

L. Marengo Open Forum BOS 12/7/2021

Follow-up to Board of Supervisors meeting of 11/9/2120 and request for information re: item 4 of 21-1750

From: Linnea Marengo (ldmarengo@yahoo.com)
To: christopher.perry@edcgov.us
Cc: lori.parlin@edcgov.us; bostwo@edcgov.us
Date: Sunday, November 14, 2021, 06:11 PM PST

To: Mr. Chris Perry
Interim Director, Planning and Building

From: Linnea Marengo
Penobscot Ranch

Date: November 14, 2021

I have reviewed your staff comments to the Board of Supervisors at their Board meeting of 11/9/2121 regarding Item 4 of 21-1750 which reads as follows:

"4) Amend Sec. 130.67.030 to expressly allow Code Enforcement to investigate and enforce violations of approval requirements contained within Temporary Use Permits, Conditional Use Permits, Special Use Permits, Conditions of Approval, and similar."

As noted in my recorded public comment, I had hoped that the Ranch Marketing and Winery ordinances could also be included as "similar".

In your staff report you publicly stated that the Ranch Marketing and Winery Ordinances "will not affect" the above item (4) as presented to the Board. You stated "there is a separate conversation on Ranch Marketing and Winery Ordinances and this item won't affect that conversation".

Since,

- a. I am not certain what is meant by 'a separate conversation' taking place regarding Ranch Marketing and Winery ordinances and
- b. you had reason to negate my request to include these two ordinances in the above item (4) and
- c. you implied instead there is a 'separate conversation' taking place regarding Code Enforcement's ability to investigate and enforce violations of requirements contained within the Ranch Marketing and Winery ordinances;

I am requesting answers to the following:

1. What exactly is the County's plan to which you refer to as a 'separate conversation' regarding investigation and enforcement of violations of requirements contained within the Ranch Marketing and Winery ordinances?

2. Is this 'conversation' open and available to the public now?
3. How and when will Code Enforcement be approved and staffed to investigate, and more importantly, enforce violations of requirements contained within the Ranch Marketing and Winery ordinances? Or any other new or similar ordinances which would allow amplified voice and music such as special events, including wedding receptions.
4. What is the schedule for implementation of that plan?
5. Who is leading implementation of the plan? What department and which staff?
6. When and how will the public be allowed to provide input into this plan as it develops and before submission to the Board of Supervisors for approval?
7. Will there be one or more public workshops? When?
8. Even before public workshops, will you invite and involve input from individuals who have been and are affected negatively by violations of these two ordinances, such as problems with loud, regular, amplified, disruptive noise affecting the quality of one's home life?

While I very much appreciate the conceptual approval of the Board to allow investigation and enforcement of use permits as delineated in the above item 4, I am requesting the same consideration and staffing be provided to the investigation and enforcement of the Ranch Marketing and Winery ordinance requirements. (I am also asking that the same considerations apply to any new ordinance which would involve special events, such as wedding receptions with amplified music, dj's, etc.)

Serious enforcement capabilities and sufficient resources are key.

--- For example, a routine citation of \$500 is meaningless and insignificant when the commercial event(s) regularly and routinely bring in thousands of dollars to the business owner per event.

--- Will Code Enforcement be staffed to investigate noise complaints made outside of regular business working hours, for example, available during weekends when many amplified, loud, raucous events take place? To ask a tax paying property owner to pay their own professional noise consultant \$1,500 per noise event is unreasonable when the offending party is fined only \$500 per event.

As Supervisor Turnboo correctly articulated at the Board of Supervisors meeting, it is important to "work together to solve these problems". I agree.

I offer my help and support and look forward to your prompt and thorough response to my questions.

Thanking you in advance.

EL DORADO COUNTY ORDINANCE CODE

Sec. 1.24.010. - Violation deemed misdemeanor.

In this section the term "violation of the ordinances of the County" means any of the following:

1.

Doing an act that is prohibited or made or declared unlawful, an offense, a violation, an infraction or a misdemeanor by ordinance or by rule or regulation authorized by ordinance.

Sec. 1.24.030. - Penalty for infraction.

- A.
- Any person convicted of an infraction for violation of an ordinance of the County is punishable by:
 -
 - 1.
 - A fine not exceeding \$100.00 for a first violation;
 - 2.
 - A fine not exceeding \$200.00 for a second violation of the same ordinance within one year;
 - 3.
 - A fine not exceeding \$500.00 for each additional violation of the same ordinance within one year.
-
- B.
- Notwithstanding any other provision of law, a violation of local building and safety codes determined to be an infraction is punishable by a fine not exceeding \$100.00 for a first violation; a fine not exceeding \$500.00 for a second violation of the same ordinance within one year; a fine not exceeding \$1,000.00 for each additional violation of the same ordinance within one year of the first violation.
-
- Prior Code, § 401(C); Code 1997, § 1.24.030; Ord. No. 3172, § 6(part), 1981)
-

Sec. 1.24.040. - Continuing violation.

- Each such person shall be guilty of a separate offense for each and every day during any portion of which any violation of any provision of the ordinances of the County is committed, continued or permitted by any such person, and he or she shall be punished accordingly.
-
- (Prior Code, § 401(D); Code 1997, § 1.24.040; Ord. No. 3172, § 6(part), 1981)

Luke 8:17 – For nothing is secret that will not be revealed, nor *anything* hidden that will not be known and come to light.

Propaganda has become steadily more oppressive where citizens are tempted to believe whatever our media and government hierarchy tell them. Ten years ago, we would have been shocked and outraged by the very idea of censorship, but I'm afraid we've all become acclimated to cruelty and injustice by being exposed to it in steadily increasing doses throughout the years, no thanks in part to the Mtn. Democrat and this BOS.

For example, after the November 29th Taxpayers Association meeting I approached George Turnboo and asked him if he was willing to step outside to talk privately.

George responded, *"Well, as long as it's not controversial, that's fine."*

I asked, *"What do you deem controversial?"*

George replied, *"Talking about my assistant or my Planning Commissioner."*

I then asked, *"Has County Counsel advised you NOT to speak with me and certain other individuals?"*

George revealed, *"...there has been some staff that I know of that's been said, but I can't talk about it. OK?"*

On another issue, *"Just to be clear, George, you NEVER SENT ME AN EMAIL. That is a fallacy. There was NO response. I was stood up!...Your assistant, in this room, called me a f***ing bitch. He's refused to give me any of the materials that I, as a member since 2008 of this organization, that I am entitled to. I've been deprived the benefits of membership. That's fraud...There's so much crap going on, and your assistant is a big part of the corruption that is going on right here in this organization."*

George replied, *"Well, let me...let me reach out to you. We'll set an appointment and I'll meet with you. OK?"*

For the second time I never heard from George or Mark Treat about scheduling another meeting. In other words, I was lied to by a pastor and public servant whose admin, Todd White, is the chairman of the Republican Central Committee. The RCC holds their monthly meetings at Park Community Church where Todd is an elder.

The church is just as much a part of the problem for turning a blind eye and deaf ear to the scriptural warnings. Proverbs 6 declares a proud look, a lying tongue, a heart that devises wicked plans, feet that are swift in running to evil, a false witness *who* speaks lies, and one who sows discord among brethren to be abominations. Jesus described them as wolves in sheep's clothing, hypocrites and a brood of vipers, and that sure describes this BOS.

The heart of the problem is lack of adherence to Good Governance, core values of excellence of service, transparency, and accountability to your sacred oaths of office. This is information the public has a right to know if they are ever going to vote intelligently and change the tide of government tyranny.

George, you professed to serve God first and your constituents second. The evidence is right here in this transcript and corresponding documents being entered into the public record. You are either part of the problem, or part of the solution. It would be wise for you to spend more time studying your Bible, and less time listening to the bad advice of County Counsel.

Rebellion to tyrants is obedience to God. So where do you stand George? Are we going to meet or not?

Madam Clerk: Please enter these documents into the public record:

- 1) This transcript
- 2) 11/22/21 Taxpayers Turnboo transcript
- 3) 12/3/21 Esposito/Eric Jaramishian email @ 10:49 AM

11/22/21 Taxpayers Association (Joe Harn speaker) – Conversation with George Turnboo

M: George, can I speak with you privately outside in the parking lot?

G: Uh...are you going to beat me up? Or what?

M: I'm not going to beat you up. I'm just going to ask you some questions and have a conversation with you. Are you willing to, or not?

G: Well, as long as it's not controversial, that's fine.

M: What do you deem controversial?

G: Talking about my assistant or my Planning Commissioner.

M: So, are you refusing to speak with me?

G: I will speak with you if it is not regarding that, fine. But if you want to speak with me, I want my assistant with me, Mark Treat. You can speak to us then. Not alone.

M: Let me put it this way. Has County Counsel advised you NOT to speak with me and certain other individuals?

G: Not that I've heard of. No.

M: Not that you've heard of? But that you are aware of?

G: But there has been some staff that I know of that's been said, but I can't, but I can't talk about it. OK?

M: So in other words, again, I've asked you this before—are you refusing...?

G: No. Listen, the only thing is...

M: Who do you serve? Do you serve God, or do you serve man?

G: I serve God first. And I serve my constituents second. That's...I...I...The thing is, if there is anything controversial I would rather meet with you with Mark Treat. I am not to meet with you alone.

M: OK. Well, you've stood me up on that meeting...

G: No. Now wait a minute. Wait a minute Melody. I said I would meet with you as long as Mark is there.

M: You didn't say that. I never got anything from you! There was no email!

G: I'll show you the email. I'll show you the email.

M: I never got anything from you!

G: Well...

M: There must be some censoring going on. Like you saw at Tuesday's Board of Supervisors meeting...

G: No, I said I'd meet with you as long as Mark is there.

M: You didn't ever say anything of the sort! I have no emails. I've NEVER received an email from you!

G: OK. Let me see. I'll send...I'll meet with you as long as Mark is there. I will not meet with you alone.

M: OK. Just to be clear, George, you NEVER SENT ME AN EMAIL. That is a fallacy.

G: That's...lets go back...it was sent from Mark. An email from him.

M: Not from YOU!

G: He's my assistant. He represents me.

M: Well the thing is there was no response. There was NO response. I was stood up!

G: OK. You want to meet with me, fine. But you are going to meet with me and Mark.

M: OK. Well, let's put it this way George. I think you've been showing your true colors...

G: No, no. The thing is, I don't want to...I don't want to argue with you. I really don't.

M: Your assistant, in this room, called me a f***ing bitch. He's refused to give me any of the materials that I, as a member since 2008 of this organization, that I am entitled to. I've been deprived the benefits of membership. That's fraud. You've seen—oh, you weren't here—when Andy has censored me.

G: No, I have not. No, I have not been here. I haven't been here.

M: Well, it happened again before you walked in. But there have been times, again, the same thing that has been going on with Planning. The County is no longer acknowledging...

G: Let's...let's...

M: ...not just for me, but for other constituents as well...

G: OK.

M: ...the issue of Public Record Act requests.

G: OK. Let...I'll get Mark to reach out for me. We'll get together and set an appointment. I'll meet with you and him and me and you. How's that? (aggitated) I'm not going to meet with you alone. I just don't trust with everything that's going on.

M: OK. Again, just for the record...

G: And I'm...I don't...I won't meet with anybody alone because I don't trust people. Because then I pay...There's too much stuff going on. There is!

M: Oh, I know! I know that! George, you forget I used to work at the Capitol.

G: I know! I know that.

M: The whole crap that is going on with the Mountain Democrat; how they are falsely portraying stuff in the newspaper...

G: I know.

M: It's mainstream media libel.

G: Yeah. Yeah!

M: There's so much crap going on, and your assistant is a big part of the corruption that is going on right here in this organization.

G: Well, let me...let me reach out to you. We'll set an appointment and I'll meet with you. OK?

M: It would have to be here. Not at the government building.

G: No, no. We would meet here.

M: But I expect to hear from YOU.

G: OK. Sounds good.

###

12-7-21 Open Forum – Turnboo, truth, Taxpayers, censorship & corruption

From: Melody Lane [mailto:melody.lane@reagan.com]

Sent: Friday, December 3, 2021 10:49 AM

To: Eric Jaramishian; Richard Esposito

Cc: Noel Stack; Krysten Kellum; george.turnboo@edcgov.us; lori.parlin@edcgov.us; david.livingston@edcgov.us; Vern R Pierson; ana.melendez@waterboards.ca.gov; Rose, David@Waterboards; bosfive@edcgov.us; bosfour; bosone@edcgov.us; bosthree@edcgov.us; bostwo@edcgov.us

Subject: FW: Planning Commission - El Dorado County corruption

Mr. Esposito,

For some reason Eric's email bounced back. Attached are the factual documents. Please ensure Eric receives this email and ensure his commitment to *truthful journalism*. If he has any questions, he knows how to reach me.

The public is entitled to honest services. It should interest you to know that the County is no longer acknowledging Public Record Act requests for information and other constituent correspondence, nor are they lawfully abiding by the Brown Act. Check out the documents submitted to COB Kim Dawson during the 11/18/21 Planning Commission Open Forum when Jack Sweeney, whom I referred to, was in the audience. Below is an excerpt from my public comments:

Please ensure the entirety of this correspondence, including the attached documents, are entered into the minutes of today's Planning Commission meeting. ***In light of the apparent communication breakdown, I also ask that you immediately acknowledge receipt of this correspondence.**

The attached un rebutted affidavits of truth addressed to Kris Payne and Andy Nevis stand as truth and fact before any court in America. They have been especially egregious in their blatant discrimination, censorship and attacks against me, a third generation evangelical who has been actively involved in ministry for over 35 years. The Taxpayers Association has been used for their own political purposes in collusion with other county officials, including but not limited to their collusion with Lori Parlin, Todd White, David Livingston and Breann Moebius, to deprive the public of information and due process of law. As such, Andy and Kris are unfit to serve as Planning Commissioners. It is a matter of public record that two members of the Taxpayers Association have threatened me, one of which was in the audience today, former Supervisor Jack Sweeney:



USGC Title 18, Sections 241 & 242 are the federal crimes delineated in the attached documents that I referred to during Open Forum. All of the aforementioned individuals have violated their oaths of office and deprived the public of their Constitutional rights which are grounds for removal pursuant to Sections 3 & 4 of the 14th Amendment. Citizens taking a stand for freedom from tyranny is gaining greater momentum throughout California and our nation. As you are aware, this was a very hot issue during Tuesday's packed BOS meeting where concerned citizens lined up to exercise their First Amendment rights, and as a result the BOS didn't end until after 7 PM.

For the record, Chairman Jon Vegna unlawfully attempted to censor me again today when I called him out on fraud, specifically with respect to the attached PRA that was never properly addressed. There are numerous other PRAs affecting Planning that have not even been acknowledged, entered into the system, or assigned a PRA#. It is presumed that County Counsel is unlawfully advising staff not to respond to these public record act requests for information, (Refer to the attached correspondence.)

Mr. Vegna, and the others addressed in this correspondence, are again reminded that I am an American Citizen claiming all of my constitutionally secured inherent rights and guaranteed due process of law. Since I am based in constitutional authority, any effort on your part to stop me from speaking or walking of the room, **as you again threatened to do today**, conclusively demonstrates by your own actions to be in opposition to the Constitutions, that you have shown yourselves to be domestic enemies, and are unfit to serve in any official capacity, pursuant to the self-executing Sections 3 & 4 of the 14th Amendment.

Regards,

Melody Lane

Founder – Compass2Truth

"Nothing can now be believed which is seen in a newspaper. Truth itself becomes suspicious by being put into that polluted vehicle... Perhaps an editor might begin a reformation in some such way

as this. Divide his paper into four chapters, heading the 1st, Truths. 2d, Probabilities. 3d, Possibilities. 4th, Lies. The first chapter would be very short." - Thomas Jefferson, 1807

From: Melody Lane [mailto:melody.lane@reagan.com]

Sent: Thursday, December 2, 2021 4:53 PM

To: Richard Esposito; Noel Stack; Krysten Kellum; Eric Jaramishian

Cc: lori.parlin@edcgov.us; george.turnboo@edcgov.us; wendy.thomas@edcgov.us; john.hidahl@edcgov.us; sue.novasel@edcgov.us; todd.white@edcgov.us; david.livingston@edcgov.us; Breann Moebius; Vern R Pierson; Sheriff D'Agostini; andrew.nevis@waterboards.ca.gov; ana.melendez@waterboards.ca.gov; Rose, David@Waterboards; 'Donald Ashton'; bosfive@edcgov.us; bosfour; bosone@edcgov.us; bosthree@edcgov.us; bostwo@edcgov.us; Amanda Ross; Andy Nevis; John Clerici; Jon Vegna

Subject: Planning Commission - El Dorado County corruption

Mr. Esposito, et al,

You will recall when Dr. Dale Smith and I met with you on two occasions in 2010 regarding the blatantly false representations made by Mountain Democrat reporter Chris Daley. Dr. Smith was a consultant and an executive director of Californians Aware, a legal organization whose expertise is the Brown Act. At the time we shared about the harassment and threats made against me by members of the notoriously liberal River Management Advisory Committee (RMAC) for exposing their Brown Act violations. During one such audio recorded RMAC meeting Dr. Smith literally laid down the law on the table causing two RMAC appointees, Dave Martinez and Martin Harris, to resign soon thereafter. The individual who sexually assaulted and stalked me, necessitating I obtain a restraining order against him, was also present at that meeting using the occasion to harass me. When served with the TRO, he refused to relinquish his guns as required by law. **(See attached AOA letter)**

The below article appeared in Monday's Mountain Democrat underscores how the Taxpayers Association, in conjunction with Sheriff D'Agostini and other EDC public officials, operate outside the law for their own political purposes resulting in a gross abuse of the public trust, no thanks to the media's assault on **truthful journalism**. This is nothing more than a political dog and pony show orchestrated to launch Andy Nevis' political aspirations:

<https://www.mtdemocrat.com/news/forum-to-discuss-homeless-shelter-program-options/>

Speakers will include **Sheriff John D'Agostini** and **Chief Administrative Officer Don Ashton**.

Assemblymember Kevin Kiley will provide his perspective on homeless issues at the state level. **Other elected and appointed officials** have also been invited. The forum will be moderated by **Taxpayers Association President Andy Nevis**.

Planning Commissioners **Andy Nevis** and **Kris Payne** were recently appointed by the BOS to replace Cheryl Bly-Chester and James Williams. However the Mountain Democrat reporter Eric Jaramishian grossly misrepresented key elements in the below articles which were evidently prepared by county staff:

<https://www.mtdemocrat.com/news/supes-remove-planning-commissioner-bly-chester/>

<https://www.mtdemocrat.com/news/new-district-4-planning-commissioner-appointed/>

<https://www.mtdemocrat.com/news/taylor-denied-planning-commissioner-position/>

<https://www.mtdemocrat.com/news/payne-named-district-2-planning-commissioner/>

During the August 31st hearing to remove Cheryl Bly-Chester, I gave public testimony about George Turnboo and Lori Parlin as two of the 14 individuals who met with the FBI concerning EDC corruption. I personally participated in 8 meetings where I presented notarized affidavits to the FBI along with other evidence of EDC corruption. The core of the issues being discussed that day pertained to Brown Act violations and other unlawful acts by Planning Commissioners. Thankfully Ms. Bly-Chester had the courage to challenge and

expose their unlawful conduct. One need only watch the first 25 minutes of the April 22nd Planning Commission meeting to glean what she was referring to during her testimony. Of particular interest was the threat made by three members of staff—David Livingston, Breann Mobius and one other attorney—that they would quit if Ms. Bly-Chester was not removed from the Planning Commission. While the heated BOS exchange continued, Eric Jaramishian approached and silently handed me the below note:

8/31/21 BOS meeting
Cheryl Bly-Chester

I'd like to
speak to you. Ran out
of business cards.

559-269-1390

Eric Mountain
Democrat

However when the August 31st hearing adjourned, Eric was suddenly very aloof and reticent to speak with me or Ms. Bly-Chester. In fact, he never returned my phone call the next day. It was apparent by his frequent visits to the rear of the room that staff had given Eric the heads up not to speak to me.

It wasn't until the packed November 16 BOS meeting that I had the occasion to speak with Eric who was seated at the back of the room in my row. Before the Resolution to Return to Normal Education item began, at least 8 other people heard our exchange when I asked Eric why he didn't want to speak with me only minutes after he'd handed me the note about the Cheryl Bly-Chester fiasco. Eric replied, "***I had all the data I needed.***" That remark drew gasps as all eyes focused on him. Moments later Eric changed seats to another part of the room.

Yeah, sure. The government, working in conjunction with the MSM, will "*decide what is good for the people to know and what is not good for them to know.*" That isn't honest journalism; it is aiding and abetting outright totalitarianism-Marxism.

As John Adams said, "Facts are stubborn things." It would behoove you to thoroughly read the attached Affidavits of Truth. Note especially the affidavits addressed to Andy Nevis and Sheriff D'Agostini. Andy's contains the notarized affidavit of Lori Parlin pertaining to Al Hamilton's threat made against me during a Taxpayers Association meeting (attached). Supervisor Jack Sweeney has also threatened me which is a matter of public record. CAO Don Ashton has received three affidavits. Sheriff D'Agostini has received two affidavits containing factual evidence of his collusion with staff to deprive me of my **First and Second Amendment** rights under color of law, which are federal offenses under USGC Title 18, Sections 241 & 242.

The public also has a right to know that Andy Nevis, Kris Payne, Todd White and Lori Parlin, working in conjunction with other public officials, are unlawfully using the Taxpayers Association for their own political purposes in violation of AB1234 and their sacred oaths of office. If the Mountain Democrat was truly committed to honest journalism, then maybe the public wouldn't be so clueless about El Dorado County corruption, and they would be better prepared to vote intelligently for a change.

These notifications of legal responsibility are the first essential of due process of law, and an unrebutted affidavit stands as truth before any court in America. Full copies of the affidavits, including exhibits, have been entered into the public record and are available upon request.

Regards,

Melody Lane

Founder – Compass2Truth

Brown Act Preamble: "The people, in delegating authority, do not give their public servants the right to decide what is good for the people to know and what is not good for them to know. The people do not yield their sovereignty to the bodies that serve them. The people insist on remaining informed to retain control over the legislative bodies they have created."