

Public Comment # 25
BOS RCVD. 4-7-25

From: Wanda Demarest <wanda42536@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, April 4, 2025 5:32 PM
To: BOS-Clerk of the Board
Subject: Fwd: Subject: Support for Program Consolidation and Continued Commitment to Senior Day Care Services
Attachments: LTC Levels of Care Chart.docx

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender
You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

Good evening,

I forgot to cc you on this email I sent to each BOS today.

All the best,

Wanda Demarest

Begin forwarded message:

From: Wanda Demarest <wanda42536@sbcglobal.net>
Subject: **Subject: Support for Program Consolidation and Continued Commitment to Senior Day Care Services**
Date: April 4, 2025 at 5:07:13 PM PDT
To: bosone@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bosthree@edcgov.us,
bosfour@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us

Dear Esteemed Members of the Board of Supervisors,

El Dorado County hired me in April 1989 to open the Senior Day Care Services (SDC) Program in a building renovated with Senior Center Bond Act funding and a generous private foundation grant of \$35,000. The program was originally designed with the hope of becoming self-supporting within three years. Remarkably, it achieved that goal within just six months and remained self-sustaining through fees, donations, and grants for over two decades.

During my tenure as the Center's Supervisor (1989–2015), we served approximately 2,000 seniors, all at risk of institutionalization. Through our exceptional treatment program and wide array of family support services, we successfully prevented or delayed institutionalization for many of them. The human and financial cost savings of such outcomes should not be overlooked. Additionally, our monthly caregiver support groups, educational programs,

information and referral services, and annual Alzheimer's Conferences—drawing 200–300 attendees—benefited countless community members. We also provided internships to local high school and college students, inspiring many to pursue careers in gerontology.

It's important to clarify that SDC is not a form of adult childcare. It is a structured treatment program. Each member receives a comprehensive assessment and a goal-oriented care plan, with ongoing evaluations to monitor progress. Staff focus on each individual's strengths and abilities. Program Aides—our lifeblood—receive in-depth training on the complexities of dementia care. The environment they create is warm, joyful, and deeply respectful, making members feel honored, safe, and valued.

Thanks to this environment, members often report feeling happier, healthier, and more content—leading to fewer emergency room visits and reduced strain on the healthcare system. Family members, in turn, gain peace of mind, knowing they've made the best possible care choice. Of all long-term care options, SDC remains one of the most desirable and cost-effective. (Refer to attached cost comparison chart)

In closing, I support the decision to close the El Dorado Hills site. Doing so would help address current staff shortages, allow for the enrollment of seniors currently on the waiting list, and provide substantial cost savings. Transportation could be coordinated with El Dorado Transit. I recommend the site remain closed until there is a clearly demonstrated need—specifically, a waiting list sufficient to sustain its reopening.

Finally, I want to thank you and your staff for the thoughtful and creative approach you have taken in managing the budget constraints facing Senior Services in the coming fiscal year. There is no doubt that you have done your utmost to preserve these vital programs.

With appreciation,
Wanda Demarest

Long Term Care Options

Average Cost Per Level of Care

Type of Care	Daily Fee	Monthly Cost	Notes
Senior Day Care	\$100	\$2,167	Based on 5 days/week, 52 weeks/year. Most families only need 2–3 days/week.
In-Home Care	\$272	\$5,893	Based on 40 hrs/week. Most agencies require 3–5 hour daily minimums. \$34/hour.
Assisted Living	\$194	\$5,900	Cost varies by size (6–250 residents). Additional fees for dementia care common.
Nursing Home (semi-private)	\$305	\$9,277	Typically 99 beds.
Nursing Home (private)	\$355	\$10,646	Typically 99 beds.

From: sue norman <susannorman@att.net>
Sent: Friday, April 4, 2025 5:54 PM
To: BOS-Clerk of the Board
Subject: Re: Petition to Adopt Federal Agency Support Resolutions - Attachment for April 8 Board Meeting
Attachments: The+Mountain+Pact+Public+Lands+Support+Letter+-+March+2025.pdf

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

[Report Suspicious](#)

And also one more attachment and email to send to the Board on this topic. This is a letter from the Mountain Pact, signed by 311 local elected officials across the western United States, requesting protection of public lands, sent on March 26, 2025 to members of Congress, and Secretary Doug Burgum.

Sue Norman
530-400-9888
susannorman@att.net

susannormanauthor.com

On Friday, April 4, 2025 at 04:33:52 PM PDT, BOS-Clerk of the Board <edc.cob@edcgov.us> wrote:

Thank you, your email has been received by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, and forwarded to the Board.

El Dorado County Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

330 Fairlane Building A

Placerville, CA 95667

530.621.5390

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s), except as otherwise permitted. Unauthorized interception, review, use, or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, or authorized to receive for the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication. Thank you for your consideration.

From: sue norman <susannorman@att.net>

Sent: Friday, April 4, 2025 4:28 PM

To: BOS-Clerk of the Board <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Cc: susannorman@att.net

Subject: Petition to Adopt Federal Agency Support Resolutions - Attachment for April 8 Board Meeting

I previously sent an email regarding this topic, and plan to present a public comment at the April 8th meeting. For your convenience I have attached an electronic image copy of a hard copy of the petition I will be discussing containing 144 signatures. I also will be presenting the results of a subsequent online petition at the meeting. Please provide in the board packet if appropriate.

Thank you.

Susan Norman

Sierra Nevada Alliance Board Member

US Forest Service Physical Science Group Leader, Retired

530-400-9888

susannorman@att.net

susannormanauthor.com

WARNING: This email and any attachments may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any unauthorized review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments) by other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments.



March 26, 2025

To: Members of Congress

CC: Department of Interior Secretary Doug Burgum

As local elected officials from across the Western U.S., we know firsthand that **protected public lands sustain our communities and serve as the cornerstone of our outdoor way of life**. These lands include traditional homelands of Indigenous populations and vital watersheds for downstream communities. They also host outstanding fish and wildlife habitat and provide opportunities for outdoor recreation, including camping, hiking, paddling, hunting and fishing, and picnicking. **Protected public lands are the backbone of our mountain communities, and right now they are under threat, which is why we must fight back to ensure these critical resources are protected and preserved for generations to come.**

Public lands are a Major Driver for our Economies. A [recent report from the National Park Service](#) found that visitor spending in communities near national parks resulted in a record high \$55.6 billion benefit to the nation's economy and supported 415,400 jobs last year. A [2020 study of communities near 14 monuments designated between 1991 and 2014 demonstrated](#) that boosts in new businesses and jobs were associated with new monuments. Protected public lands, including national monuments, create jobs, enhance communities, and help boost local outdoor recreation economies which contributed [2.3 percent \(\\$639.5 billion\) of current-dollar gross domestic product \(GDP\) for the nation in 2023.](#)

Protected Public Lands are Popular. Protected public lands have overwhelming support from both the public and local elected officials. According to a [2023 Conservation in the West Poll](#), 84% of Westerners - including 71% of Republicans - are more likely to support presidents who use their power to protect existing public lands. Similarly, [the 2024 poll](#) found that 85 percent support creating new national parks, national monuments, national wildlife refuges and Tribal protected areas to protect historic sites or areas for outdoor recreation.

Public Lands have Bi-partisan Support. Protecting these places is also a winning issue on both sides of the aisle. Since the Antiquities Act was passed, 18 presidents - nine Democratic and nine Republican - have designated or expanded over 160 [national monuments across the country](#). These presidents exercised their authority under the Antiquities Act, created many iconic and beloved monuments, including the [Statue of Liberty](#), [Giant Sequoia](#), [Muir Woods](#), [Great Sand Dunes](#), and [Chimney Rock](#), among others.

The important role public lands play in our lives cannot be overstated. **But our cherished public lands are facing dire threats** - from the Trump administration; lawmakers working to transfer control to the states and potential resulting privatization; a proposal from [House Budget Committee majority staff to sell off public lands](#) to pay for other priorities in the budget reconciliation process; attempts to change the Antiquities Act; increased development; and dire climate change impacts.

Mountain communities depend on healthy and accessible public lands to survive. But increased fossil fuel development on public lands, weakened environmental regulations, and fast tracking or eliminating environmental reviews for proposed projects jeopardizes both our public lands and public health while contributing to the climate crisis by causing the release of vast amounts of potent greenhouse gas emissions. [Fossil fuel extraction on public lands generates nearly 25 percent of the United States' climate change causing emissions.](#) These emissions directly affect our Western mountain communities as we deal with dire, long-term, and costly [health](#) and [climate impacts](#) like the release of harmful methane gas, year-long wildfire seasons, drought, flooding, and extreme weather.

Yet, we know that [opening more lands and waters for oil drilling won't lower energy prices](#) and the United States is currently producing more oil than at [any point in history](#). Rather, by protecting our public lands and reducing oil and

gas leasing, we can mitigate the climate crisis that is significantly impacting our communities, local farmers and ranchers, our outdoor recreation and tourism economies, and overall public health and well-being.

We, as Western local elected officials, are tasked with finding creative solutions to the many challenges that come with the ever-increasing popularity of our public lands, our growing infrastructure needs, and the devastating and expensive impacts of climate change. We know that our public lands play a critical role in our way of life and help make the communities where we live what they are, while contributing to a healthier and better tomorrow for future generations.

A balanced approach to public lands management for the West, as well as increased funding to manage these lands, would improve access and help protect some of our most important wildlife habitat, migration corridors, treasured recreation areas, critical water resources, and Indigenous cultural sites.

Now more than ever we must continue to take and support meaningful actions to both address the climate crisis and protect our public lands. We urge you to continue to support the protection of healthy public lands and take strong climate action.

We ask you to fully fund our public lands, oppose the sale of public lands in the Budget Reconciliation Package, and oppose any attempts to change the Antiquities Act or reduce the size of our national monuments.

Sincerely,

1. Chair of the County Board of Supervisors Judy Begay, Coconino County, Arizona
2. Vice Chair of the County Board of Supervisors Patrice Horstman, Coconino County, Arizona
3. County Supervisor Jeronimo Vasquez, Coconino County, Arizona
4. County Supervisor Lena Fowler, Coconino County, Arizona
5. County Supervisor Tammy Ontiveros, Coconino County, Arizona
6. Mayor Becky Daggett, City of Flagstaff, Arizona
7. Vice Mayor Austin Aslan, City of Flagstaff, Arizona
8. Vice Mayor Michael Stabile, Town of Patagonia, Arizona
9. County Supervisor, District 3 Jennifer Allen, Pima County, Arizona
10. Vice-Chair County Board of Supervisors Adelita S Grijalva, Pima County, Arizona
11. County Recorder Gabriella Cázares-Kelly, Pima County, Arizona
12. County Attorney (D.A.) Laura Conover, Pima County, Arizona
13. Chair of the County Board of Supervisors Rex Scott, Pima County, Arizona
14. Vice Mayor & Ward 1 Council Member Lane R. Santa Cruz, City of Tucson, Arizona
15. Council Member Kevin Dahl, City of Tucson, Arizona
16. Mayor Clarinda Vail, Town of Tusayan, Arizona
17. County Supervisor Nikki Check, Yavapai County, Arizona
18. Chairman of the County Board of supervisors Martin Porchas, Yuma County, Arizona
19. Former Mayor and Council Member Randall Putz, City of Big Bear Lake, California
20. Mayor Pro Tem Gary Gardner City of Desert Hot Springs, California
21. Council Member Waymond Fermon, City of Indio, California
22. Supervisor, 2nd District Jeff Griffiths, Inyo County, California
23. Council Member Lorraine Avila-Moore City of Lynwood, California
24. Mayor Christine Bubser, Town of Mammoth Lakes, California
25. Mayor Pro Tem Amanda Rice, Town of Mammoth Lakes, California
26. City Council Member John Wentworth, Town of Mammoth Lakes, California
27. City Council Member Nina Tarnay, City of Manhattan Beach, California
28. Supervisor, District 5 Hardy Bullock Nevada County, California
29. Council Member Evan Trubee, Palm Desert, California
30. Supervisor, District 5 Cindy Gustafson, Placer County, California
31. Council Member Natalya Zernitskaya, City of Santa Monica, California
32. Mayor Jan Zabriskie, Town of Truckee, California
33. Vice Mayor Anna Klovstad, Town of Truckee, California

34. Council Member & Former Mayor Dr. Courtney Henderson, Town of Truckee, California
35. Council Member David Polivy, Town of Truckee, California
36. Colorado Speaker of the State House of Representatives Julie McCluskie, Colorado
37. Colorado State Senator Dylan Roberts, Colorado Senate District 8, Colorado
38. Colorado State Senator Mike Weissman, State Senate District 28, Colorado
39. Colorado State Senator Judy Amabile, SD-18, Boulder, Colorado
40. Colorado State Representative Meghan Lukens, House District 26, Colorado
41. Colorado State Representative HD57 Elizabeth Velasco Garfield, Eagle and Pitkin counties, Colorado
42. County Commissioner Kathy Henson, Adams County, Colorado
43. County Commissioner, District 1 Carrie Warren-Gully, Arapahoe County, Colorado
44. Mayor Torre, City of Aspen, Colorado
45. Mayor Pro Tem John Doyle, City of Aspen, Colorado
46. Council Member Ward Hauenstein, City of Aspen, Colorado
47. Council Member Ruth Stanley, Town of Avon, Colorado
48. Council Member Lindsay Hardy, Town of Avon, Colorado
49. Former Mayor Sarah Smith Hymes, Town of Avon, Colorado
50. Mayor David Knight, Town of Basalt, Colorado
51. Council Member Angela Anderson Ward, Town of Basalt, Colorado
52. Council Member Angele Dupre-Butchart, Town of Basalt, Colorado
53. Council Member Hannah Berman Town of Basalt, Colorado
54. Former Council Member William Infante, Town of Basalt, Colorado
55. Mayor Nick Decicco, Town of Blue River, Colorado
56. Trustee Jonathon Heckman, Town of Blue River, Colorado
57. Trustee Noah Hopkins, Town of Blue River, Colorado
58. Trustee Ted Slaughter, Town of Blue River, Colorado
59. Trustee Barrie Stimson, Town of Blue River, Colorado
60. Trustee Ben Stuckey, Town of Blue River, Colorado
61. Trustee Jodie Willey, Town of Blue River, Colorado
62. County Commissioner Ashley Stolzmann, Boulder County, Colorado
63. County Commissioner Marta Loachamin Boulder County, Colorado
64. Former County Commissioner Elise Jones, Boulder County, Colorado
65. Mayor Aaron Brockett, City of Boulder, Colorado
66. Mayor Pro Tem Lauren Folkerts, City of Boulder, Colorado
67. Council Member Taishya Adams, City of Boulder, Colorado
68. Former Mayor Suzanne Jones, City of Boulder, Colorado
69. Mayor Kelly Owens, Town of Breckenridge, Colorado
70. Mayor Pro Tem Dick Carleton, Town of Breckenridge, Colorado
71. Council Member Marika Page, Town of Breckenridge, Colorado
72. Council Member Todd Rankin, Town of Breckenridge, Colorado
73. Town Manager Shannon Haynes, Town of Breckenridge, Colorado
74. Council Member Carol Saade, Town of Breckenridge, Colorado
75. Council Member, Jay Beckerman Town of Breckenridge, Colorado
76. Council Member Stephen Gerard, Town of Breckenridge, Colorado
77. Mayor Pro Tem Deven Shaff, City and County of Broomfield, Colorado
78. Council Member Paloma Delgadillo, City of Broomfield, Colorado
79. Trustee Michal Rosener, Town of Buena Vista, Colorado
80. Trustee Chris Hassig, Town of Carbondale, Colorado
81. Trustee Colin Laird, Town of Carbondale, Colorado
82. County Commissioner PT Wood, Chaffee County, Colorado
83. County Commissioner District 2 David Armstrong, Chaffee County, Colorado
84. County Commissioner Gina Lucrezi, Chaffee County, Colorado
85. Former County Commissioner Keith Baker, Chaffee County, Colorado
86. County Commissioner Jodie Hartman-Ball, Clear Creek County, Colorado

87. County Commissioner George Marlin, Clear Creek County, Colorado
88. Council Member Gabi Prochaska, Town of Crested Butte, Colorado
89. Council Member Beth Goldstone, Town of Crested Butte, Colorado
90. Council Member Flor Alvidrez, City and County of Denver, Colorado
91. Mayor Carolyn Skowrya, Town of Dillon, Colorado
92. Mayor Jessika Buell, City of Durango, Colorado
93. Mayor Pro Tem Gilda Yazzie, City of Durango, Colorado
94. Council Member Dave Woodruff, City of Durango, Colorado
95. County Commissioner Jeanne McQueeney, Eagle County, Colorado
96. County Commissioner Tom Boyd, Eagle County, Colorado
97. County Commissioner Matt Scherr, Eagle County, Colorado
98. Former County Commissioner Kathy Chandler-Henry, Eagle County, Colorado
99. Council Member Geoffrey Grimmer, Town of Eagle, Colorado
100. Town Trustee Frank Lancaster, Town of Estes Park, Colorado
101. Council Member Tricia Canonico, City of Fort Collins, Colorado
102. Council Member Susan Gutowsky, City of Fort Collins, Colorado
103. Mayor Brian Cerkenik Town of Fraser, Colorado
104. Trustee Julie White, Town of Fraser, Colorado
105. Mayor Rick Ihnken, Town of Frisco, Colorado
106. Council Member Daniel Kibbie, Town of Frisco, Colorado
107. Former Mayor Hunter Mortensen, Town of Frisco, Colorado
108. County Commissioner Sandy Hollingsworth, Gilpin County, Colorado
109. County Commissioner Susan Berumen, Gilpin County, Colorado
110. County Commissioner Jeff Aiken, Gilpin County, Colorado
111. Mayor Ingrid Wussow, City of Glenwood Springs, Colorado
112. Council Member Sumner Schachter, City of Glenwood Springs, Colorado
113. Council Member Jonathan Godes, City of Glenwood Springs, Colorado
114. Mayor Laura Weinberg, City of Golden, Colorado
115. Council Member, Ward 3 Don Cameron, City of Golden, Colorado
116. Council Member Patty Evans, City of Golden, Colorado
117. Mayor Abram Herman, City of Grand Junction, Colorado
118. Mayor Pro Tem Randall Reitz, City of Grand Junction, Colorado
119. Council Member and Former Mayor Anna Stout, City of Grand Junction, Colorado
120. Council Member Jason Nguyen, City of Grand Junction, Colorado
121. Council Member Scott Beilfuss, City of Grand Junction, Colorado
122. County Commissioner Laura Daniels, Gunnison County, Colorado
123. County Commissioner Jonathan D. Houck Gunnison County, Colorado
124. Mayor Diego Plata, City of Gunnison, Colorado
125. County Commissioner Heidi Eisenhour Jefferson County, Colorado
126. Council Member Jonathan Hagenow, Town of Keystone, Colorado
127. County Commissioner Elsa Tharp, Lake County, Colorado
128. County Commissioner Marsha Porter-Norton, La Plata County, Colorado
129. County Commissioner Matt Salka, La Plata County, Colorado
130. County Commissioner Clyde Church, La Plata County, Colorado
131. Tourism and Economic Development Director Adam Ducharme, Lake County, Colorado
132. County Commissioner Jody Shaddock-McNally, Larimer County, Colorado
133. County Commissioner John Kefalas, Larimer County, Colorado
134. Mayor Pro Tem Francisco Tharp, City of Leadville, Colorado
135. Mayor Pro Tem Susie Hidalgo-Fahring City of Longmont, Colorado
136. Council Member Shiquita Yarbrough, City of Longmont, Colorado
137. Mayor Hollie Rogin, Town of Lyons, Colorado
138. Trustee Glen Delman, Town of Lyons, Colorado
139. Council Member Judith Chandler, Manitou Springs, Colorado

140. Mayor Martinique Prohaska, Mountain Village, Colorado
141. Mayor Billy Giblin, Town of Nederland, Colorado
142. Trustee and former Mayor Kristopher Larsen, Town of Nederland, Colorado
143. Mayor Andrew Ward Town of Ophir, Colorado
144. Former Mayor Corinne Platt, Town of Ophir, Colorado
145. County Commissioner Michelle Nauer, Ouray County, Colorado
146. County Commissioner Jake Niece, Ouray County
147. Trustee Sarah Matchett, Town of Palisade, Colorado
148. County Commissioner Greg Poschman, Pitkin County, Colorado
149. County Commissioner Francie Jacober, Pitkin County, Colorado
150. Mayor John I. Clark, Town of Ridgway, Colorado
151. Council Member Beth Lakin, Town of Ridgway, Colorado
152. Council Member Polly Kroger, Town of Ridgway, Colorado
153. Council Member Terry Schuyler, Town of Ridgway, Colorado
154. County Commissioner Sonja Macys, Routt County, Colorado
155. County Commissioner Angelica Salinas, Routt County, Colorado
156. Mayor Dan Shore, City of Salida, Colorado
157. Council Member Alisa Pappenfort, City of Salida, Colorado
158. Council Member Dominique Naccarato, City of Salida, Colorado
159. Council Member Wayles Martin City of Salida, Colorado
160. Council Member Aaron Stephens, City of Salida, Colorado
161. County Commissioner Scott Fetchenhier, San Juan County, Colorado
162. County Commissioner Anne Brown, San Miguel County, Colorado
163. County Commissioner Lance Waring, San Miguel County, Colorado
164. Former County Commissioner Joan May, San Miguel County, Colorado
165. Former County Commissioner Carmen Tucker, San Miguel County, Colorado
166. Former Mayor and Council Member and Former County Commissioner Amy Levek, Town of Telluride and San Miguel County
167. Former County Commissioner Linda Luther-Broderick, 1984-1989, San Miguel County, Colorado
168. Former County Commissioner Art Goodtimes, San Miguel County, Colorado
169. Former County Commissioner Kris Holstrom, San Miguel County
170. Council Member Britta Gustafson, Town of Snowmass Village, Colorado
171. Council Member Susan Marolt, Town of Snowmass Village, Colorado
172. President of City Council Gail Garey, City of Steamboat Springs, Colorado
173. City Council Pro Tem Joella West, City of Steamboat Springs, Colorado
174. County Commissioner Eric Mamula, Summit County, Colorado
175. County Commissioner Nina Waters, Summit County, Colorado
176. County Commissioner Tamara Pogue, Summit County Colorado
177. Former County Commissioner Karn Stiegelmeier, Summit County, Colorado
178. Council Member Neal Shah, Town of Superior, Colorado
179. Council Member Jenn Kaaoush, Town of Superior, Colorado
180. Mayor Pro Tem J. Meehan Fee, Town of Telluride, Colorado
181. Council Member Ashley Story Von Sprecken, Town of Telluride, Colorado
182. Council Member Dan Enright, Town of Telluride, Colorado
183. Former Council Member and Mayor Pro Tem Todd Brown, Town of Telluride, Colorado
184. Mayor Travis Coggin, Town of Vail, Colorado
185. Council Member Jonathan Staufer, Town of Vail, Colorado
186. Council Member Pete Seibert, Town of Vail, Colorado
187. Council Member Samantha Bisantz, Town of Vail, Colorado
188. Council Member Dave Chapin, Town of Vail, Colorado
189. Former Mayor Kim Langmaid, Town of Vail, Colorado
190. Mayor Nick Kutrumbos, Town of Winter Park, Colorado
191. Mayor Pro Tem Jennifer Hughes, Town of Winter Park, Colorado

192. Council Member Jeremy Henn, Town of Winter Park, Colorado
193. Council Member Michael Periolat, Town of Winter Park, Colorado
194. Council Member Riley McDonough, Town of Winter Park, Colorado
195. Council Member Art Ferrari, Town of Winter Park, Colorado
196. Council Member Rebecca Kaufman, Town of Winter Park, Colorado
197. County Commissioner Angenie McCleary, Blaine County, Idaho
198. County Commissioner Muffy Davis, Blaine County, Idaho
199. Former Mayor Hyrum Johnson, City of Driggs, Idaho
200. Council President Kaz Thea, City of Hailey, Idaho
201. Council Member Dustin Stone, Town of Hailey, Idaho
202. Council Member Courtney Hamilton, City of Ketchum, Idaho
203. Council Member Tripp Hutchinson, City of Ketchum, Idaho
204. County Commissioner Michael Whitfield, Teton County, Idaho
205. Former County Commissioner Bob Heneage, Teton County, Idaho
206. Retired Mayor Chuck Tooley, City of Billings, Montana
207. Mayor Terry Cunningham, City of Bozeman, Montana
208. Former Mayor Randy Gray, City of Great Falls, Montana
209. Commissioner Shannon Wilson, City of Great Falls, Montana
210. Mayor Wilmot Collins, City of Helena, Montana
211. Mayor Pro Tem & City Commissioner Emily Dean, City of Helena, Montana
212. City Commissioner Andy Shirliff, City of Helena, Montana
213. County Commissioner Juanita Vero, Missoula County, Montana
214. County Commissioner Josh Slotnick, Missoula County, Montana
215. Mayor Andrea Davis, City of Missoula, Montana
216. Council President Amber Sherrill, City of Missoula, Montana
217. Council Member Eric Melson, City of Missoula, Montana
218. Council Member, Ward 3 Daniel Carlino, City of Missoula, Montana
219. Council Member Kristen Jordan, City of Missoula, Montana
220. Council Member, Ward 3 Gwen Jones, City of Missoula, Montana
221. Council Member Mirtha Becerra, City of Missoula, Montana
222. Speaker of the Nevada Assembly Steve Yeager, District 9, Clark County, Nevada
223. Assembly Majority Leader Sandra Jauregui, District 41, Clark County, Nevada
224. Assembly Majority Whip Howard Watts, District 15, Clark County, Nevada
225. State Senator Julie Pazina, District 12, Clark County, Nevada
226. Assemblymember Venicia Considine, District 18, Clark County, Nevada
227. County Commissioner Tick Segerblom, Clark County, Nevada
228. State Senator Angie Taylor, District 15, Washoe County, Nevada
229. Assemblymember Selena La Rue Hatch, District 25, Washoe County, Nevada
230. Assemblymember Natha Anderson, District 30, Washoe County, Nevada
231. County Commissioner Alexis Hill, Washoe County, Nevada
232. President Beth Smith, Washoe County School District, Nevada
233. Council Member Devon Reese, City of Reno, Nevada
234. Council Member Miguel Martinez, City of Reno, Nevada
235. Council Member Joe Rodriguez, City of Sparks, Nevada
236. Mayor Timothy M. Keller, City of Albuquerque, New Mexico
237. Council Member, District 7 Tammy Fiebelkorn, City of Albuquerque, New Mexico
238. Mayor Pro Tem Johana Bencomo, City of Las Cruces, New Mexico
239. Council Member Becky Corran Las Cruces, New Mexico
240. Council Member Cassie McClure, City of Las Cruces, New Mexico
241. Former Council Member, Mayor Pro Tem and Supervisor, DASWCD, Gill Sorg, Las Cruces, New Mexico
242. Mayor Russell Hernandez, Town of Mesilla, New Mexico
243. County Commissioner Hank Hughes, Santa Fe County, New Mexico
244. County Commissioner Camilla Bustamante, Santa Fe County, New Mexico

245. Former County Commissioner Anna Hansen, Santa Fe County, New Mexico
246. Mayor Alan Webber, City of Santa Fe, New Mexico
247. County Commissioner District 5 and Chairman Ronald J Mascarenas, Penasco, Taos County New Mexico
248. County Commissioner AnJanette Brush, Taos County, New Mexico
249. County Commissioner Darlene Vigil, Taos County, New Mexico
250. Council Member Genevieve Oswald, Town of Taos, New Mexico
251. Council Member Corilia Ortega, Town of Taos, New Mexico
252. Council Member Darien Fernandez, Town of Taos, New Mexico
253. Mayor Tonya Graham, City of Ashland, Oregon
254. Council Member Bob Kaplan, City of Ashland, Oregon
255. Mayor Melanie Kebler, City of Bend, Oregon
256. Council Member Mike Riley, City of Bend, Oregon
257. Council Member Steve Platt, City of Bend, Oregon
258. Council Member Ariel Méndez, City of Bend, Oregon
259. Former Bend Mayor Sally Russell, City of Bend, Oregon
260. County Commissioner Phil Chang, Deschutes County, Oregon
261. County Commission Chair Jennifer Euwer, Hood River County, Oregon
262. Council Member Amanda Goeke, City of Hood River, Oregon
263. County Commissioner, District 3 Laurie Trieger, Lane County, Oregon
264. Council President Will Anderson, City of Milwaukie, Oregon
265. Council Member Paul Tigan, City of Salem, Oregon
266. Mayor Roger Bourke, Town of Alta, Utah
267. Former Council Member Margaret Bourke, Town Of Alta, Utah
268. Mayor Ann K. Leppanen, Town of Bluff, Utah
269. Mayor Pro Tem Britt Hornsby, Town of Bluff, Utah
270. Council Member Pamela Gibson, Town of Castle Valley, Utah
271. Council Member Robert O'Brien, Town of Castle Valley, Utah
272. Council Member Tory Hill, Town of Castle Valley, Utah
273. Council Member Harry Holland, Town of Castle Valley, Utah
274. County Commissioner Trisha Hedin, Grand County, Utah
275. County Commissioner Jacques Hadler, Grand County, Utah
276. County Commissioner Mary McGann, Grand County, Utah
277. Former County Commissioner Kevin Walker, Grand County, Utah
278. Former City Council Member Kalen Jones, City of Moab, Utah
279. Council Member Kaitlin Myers, City of Moab, Utah
280. Mayor Pam Leach, Town of Rockville, Utah
281. Council Member M. Honer-Orton, Town of Rockville, Utah
282. Council Member Robin Smith, Town of Rockville, Utah
283. Mayor Erin Mendenhall, Salt Lake City, Utah
284. Mayor Barbara Bruno, Town of Springdale, Utah
285. Council Member Kyla Topham, Town of Springdale, Utah
286. Council member Jack Burns, Town of Springdale, Utah
287. Former Council Member Lisa Zumpft, Town of Springdale, Utah
288. Former Council Member Louise Excell, Town of Springdale, Utah
289. Former Council Member Suzanne Elger, Town of Springdale, Utah
290. Council Member Randy Aton, Town of Springdale, Utah
291. County Councilor Megan McKenna, Summit County, Utah
292. Council Member Michael Lilliquist, City of Bellingham, Washington
293. Council Member Sonia Hury, City of Blaine, Washington
294. Mayor Pro Tem Sean Smith, City of Covington, Washington
295. Council Member Jennifer Harjehausen, City of Covington, Washington
296. Council Member Elizabeth Porter, City of Covington, Washington
297. Council Member Susan Paine, City of Edmonds, Washington

298. Mayor Steven Yarbrough, City of Gold Bar, Washington
299. Council Member Marli Larimer, City of Kent, Washington
300. Council Member Position 4 MaryLee Chamberlain, Town of La Conner, Washington
301. Mayor Carl Florea, City of Leavenworth, Washington
302. Council Member Michael Bedard, City of Leavenworth, Washington
303. Mayor Pro Tem Anne Hessburg, City of Leavenworth, Washington
304. Council Member Zeke Reister, City of Leavenworth, Washington
305. Council Member Shane Thayer, City of Leavenworth, Washington
306. Council Member Craig Reynolds, Mercer Island, Washington
307. Council Member Tami Beaumont, City of Monroe, Washington
308. Mayor Katy Kinney Harris, Yarrow Point, Washington
309. Council Member Julia Stuble, City of Lander, Wyoming
310. Former Mayor Pete Muldoon, Town of Jackson, Wyoming
311. County Commissioner Vice Chairman Wes Gardner Teton County, Wyoming

From: Ray Nivison <bigpinetrees49@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 5, 2025 12:30 PM
To: BOS-Clerk of the Board
Subject: Senior Services

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

I am sure you can start cutting at the top!
Our seniors have worked hard and paid plenty of taxes to this county.
Is this how you thank them??

From: Chris Hayashi <hayashichristiane@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 6, 2025 1:30 AM
To: BOS-Clerk of the Board
Subject: Supporting El Dorado County Film Office

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

[Report Suspicious](#)

To my elected representatives:

I am shocked that you cannot recognize the revenue generating benefits that the EDC Film Office provides to El Dorado County. This is an office that has successfully operated on a shoestring budget, and it returns benefits to local businesses on a large scale. Every time a film crew comes to town local businesses that offer accommodation, transportation and food services benefit from their business during their shooting time. The more crews that come here the more will be attracted to provide future economic revenue to the local economy. This does come back to the country in the form of tax revenues, and supports employment for local residents. It is a golden goose that you now propose to kill out of your own lack of economic vision.

I am a Placerville business license holder and resident, whose business is directly related to the film industry. If the Film Office closes, I might as well close my own business. My services to film crews will now be lost to El Dorado County, and that business will shift to other adjacent counties, to our direct loss.

Please don't be so shortsighted that you would strangle the industry that Kathleen Dodge has so carefully nurtured in El Dorado County. Your short-term lack of vision will result in long term harm.

Here I sit, wondering if it is worth the money to renew my business license, or if I should open up shop in another county that appreciates the huge economic potential that the film industry can provide to this economically depressed region. I will also be paying attention as an El Dorado County voter as to which of my elected representatives is capable of understanding the concept of long-term business development, and will adjusting my vote accordingly when you run for office.

I hope you make the right decision.

Chris Hayashi
510-435-9962

From: Kathleen Dodge <film@eldoradocounty.org>
Sent: Sunday, April 6, 2025 5:43 PM
To: BOS-Clerk of the Board
Subject: Please post Public letters sent in support of the Film Office
Attachments: Resolution86-90 09.pdf

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Kathleen Dodge

El Dorado Lake Tahoe Film & Media Office
542 Main Street, Placerville, CA 95667
film@eldoradocounty.org
530-626-4400

April 6, 2025

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

El Dorado County
330 Fair Lane
Placerville, CA 95667

Dear Clerk of the Board,

I hope this message finds you well. It has been brought to my attention that many letters have been written by the public and sent to the Board of Supervisors in support of the Film Office, that have not been posted along with the agenda item. These letters were written in response to February 11, 2025 Agenda 19, 25-0236.

I am writing to kindly request that all public comments previously submitted regarding the funding of the "Film Office" be included as part of the public record and posted alongside the corresponding agenda items, specifically February 11, 2025 Agenda 19, 25-0236 and April 8, 2025, Agenda 25 (added by addendum) 25-0564. I understand that these are different file numbers both addressing the same topic.

For transparency and clarity, I would appreciate it if these public comments, along with the related agenda items, could be made accessible to the public before this Tuesday's Board of Supervisor's meeting.

Additionally, I am requesting a copy of all public comments that have been submitted on this matter for our records. I would be grateful if you could provide me with a copy of these comments at your earliest convenience.

Lastly, I am attaching Resolution 86-90 of the Board of Supervisors of El Dorado County related to this issue and would greatly appreciate it if you could accept this resolution and post it in the same manner as described above, alongside the relevant agenda items and public comments.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I look forward to your prompt response and the continued transparency of the public process.

Sincerely,

Kathleen

Kathleen Dodge
Executive Director
El Dorado Lake Tahoe Film & Media Office
542 Main Street
Placerville, CA 95667
www.filmtahoe.com

O. 530-626-4400

"Filmmaking is the ultimate team sport."

Michael Keaton

JMK/ljb
film.res
3-20-90



RESOLUTION No. 86-90

OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF EL DORADO

WHEREAS, the California Film Commission has adopted a model filming permit process and forwarded such process to the County of El Dorado with a request that such process be adopted by El Dorado County; and

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 14999.21 requires each county to adopt the model filming permit process as developed by the California Film Commission or inform the California Film Commission in writing as to why they cannot or need not adopt the model process; and

WHEREAS, it is the intent of the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors that the model filming permit process be adopted and that the systems necessary for implementation of the process be developed and put in place,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that:

1. The following model filming permit process shall represent the policy of the County of El Dorado:

a. The County must designate one individual to work directly with the film industry. An alternate designee must also be named in case the designee is unavailable to process the permit.

b. The designee shall work cooperatively and responsively with the industry.

c. Permit application information is to be given by the production company to the County by telephone, unless the company

representative prefers to file the application in person.

d. The designee shall expedite the permit process by coordinating all County department review and approvals.

e. The permit shall be issued with the following maximum time requirements:

(1) Two (2) working days for approval and issuance of a "normal" permit;

(2) Four (4) working days for traffic control which exceeds three (3) minutes, stunts or special effects; and

(3) Ten (10) working days for road closures.

f. Unlimited permit "riders" (a written attachment to the permit that accommodates minor changes) shall be used. However, a permit cannot be extended or amended by rider after the completion of the filming activity.

g. If other non-County agencies have jurisdiction, the designee shall notify such overlapping jurisdictions and shall inform the permittee in writing on the permit.

h. Permit fees or permit application fees shall not exceed the actual cost of issuing the permit.

i. Business license fees shall not be collected when County location use fees are being charged.

j. The County shall use the standardized permit application form adopted by the California Film Commission.

k. The County shall use the standardized insurance form adopted by the California Film Commission.

l. The designee shall establish a cancellation fee which is a portion of the permit cost, and which may be applied if

the production company cancels the permit after 3:00 PM the last working day before the scheduled shoot.

m. The County shall require the production company to have a copy of the permit on site at all times.

2. In adopting the proposed model filming permit process, the County intends that "normal permits" as provided therein shall refer to permits not involving the need for traffic control which exceeds three minutes stunts, special effects or road closures.

3. That the Community Development Department and the Department of Transportation are hereby directed to develop the systems necessary to fully implement the model filming permit process and bring such systems before the Board of Supervisors for action.

4. The designee and alternate designee shall be selected by the El Dorado Chamber of Commerce and approved by the Board of Supervisors and shall be directed to work with the Department of Transportation and the Community Development Department to develop the systems referred to above.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of El Dorado at a regular meeting of said Board, held on the 3rd day of April, 1990,
by the following vote of said Board: Supervisors Robert E. Dorr,
James R. Sweeney, Eugene A. Chappie,
Ayes: John N. Cefalu

ATTEST

DIXIE L. FOOTE

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

By

Margaret E. Needy
Deputy Clerk

Noes: None

Absent: Supervisor Patricia R. Lowe

John N. Cefalu
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

DATE	COPIES SENT TO
4/6/90	El Dorado Chamber of Commerce
✓	ESD

From: John Messina <tahoeseior@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 6, 2025 11:09 PM
To: BOS-Clerk of the Board
Subject: 04082025 BOS meeting Re: Item 25.b and c)
Attachments: 04082025 EDC BOS Senior Day Care Facility for SLT.pdf

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

attached

Lake Tahoe Seniors



Seniors - Get Informed
Tahoe's website
just for seniors
www.LakeTahoeSeniors.com

John Messina, Director (530) 307-1848
PO Box 7115, South Lake Tahoe, CA 96158
TahoeSenior@gmail.com

El Dorado County Board of Supervisors
At: edc.cob@edcgov.us
04-08-2025

Re: Item 25.b and c)

1. Having two Senior Day Care Centers in the Placerville area and none in South Lake Tahoe is a typical example of how South Lake Tahoe, the largest city in El Dorado County, is continuously neglected because of its location.

South Lake Tahoe is home to more than six-thousand seniors, none of whom have a senior day care facility available within sixty miles (or a three-hour drive round trip). This prevents family members from securing employment because they must stay home and care for their elders. This creates significant financial and stress issues for the family members caring for them.

In one case, I had to commute from San Jose to South Lake Tahoe (500 mile round trip) so a friend of mine could go to work during the week because his mother required twenty four/seven care and there was no other assistance available.

2. I believe the El Dorado Hills location should be closed and the property sold/leased with the proceeds used to establish a Senior Day Care Center in South Lake Tahoe.

This could easily be accomplished by utilizing the two existing buildings on the 65-acre site in South Lake Tahoe owned by the county.

The county owns the small building currently being used as their Senior Center. The county also owns the former recreation center on the same lot that has no planned future use. The only reason for abandoning the former recreation center was to allow the city to move those activities to the new Swim & Recreation Center. (Note: the cost of tearing down the former recreation center would exceed the cost of updating it for use as a new Senior Center.

The current small Senior Center lacks sufficient parking for large events, even the nutrition lunch program runs out of parking spaces it must share with the Art League and Historical Society functions. Moving the Senior Center to the former recreation center and using the current Senior Center for Senior Day Care could solve this. In addition, the change would also provide more space and a better layout for a new Senior Center.

It is time the EDC Board of Supervisors started thinking about South Lake Tahoe too, not just Placerville.

Thank you,

John Messina,

EDC COA and South Lake Tahoe Senior Inc. Board Member

From: Vickie Sanders <vmsanders61@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 7, 2025 7:34 AM
To: BOS-District II; BOS-District I; BOS-District III; BOS-District IV; Brooke Laine; BOS-Clerk of the Board
Subject: April 8, 2025, Item 25
Attachments: 2A JPA Staff Report 2-4-13-1-2.pdf

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Honorable Board Members,

I have been asked to provide information to assist you with the discussion on the County's membership in the SPTC JPA.

Costs of JPA Membership

As County staff states in the PowerPoint presentation, the County's contribution to the JPA in FY 2025/2026 would be \$29,200.

Benefits of JPA Membership

Weed Abatement: The JPA currently reimburses the County for the cost of chemicals for weed abatement, which has been approximately \$15,000 annually. (The cost varies from year to year, as shown in the list below.) Volunteers from El Dorado Western provide the labor for the weed abatement program.

Weed abatement funding the JPA has provided to El Dorado County over the last three years:

Maintenance for FY 22/23:	\$29,585 (budget was \$12,000)
Maintenance for FY 23/24:	\$12,000
Maintenance for FY 24/25:	\$17,000

Special repair project: In addition to the annual contribution to the County's weed abatement budget, the JPA budgeted \$25,000 to El Dorado County for matching funds on the FEMA project at Latrobe Road from the 2017 flooding and washout in Latrobe. I think this was paid out in 2021 or 2022. The CAO Admin staff processed the invoice so they would have the information on amounts actually paid.

Natural Trail Project: Finally, the JPA has spent \$221,864 on the Natural Trail Project which is a 10 mile natural trail between Latrobe and the City of Folsom. Seven miles (70%) of the trail lie within the County of El Dorado.

El Dorado County History

September 24, 2012, Legistar #12-1184

Motion was made to send a letter to the JPA to dissolve the JPA.

April 9, 2013, Legistar #12-1184

This item discussed the JPA's decision to not dissolve and approved the annual payment. I have attached the staff report from then CEO John Segerdell about the roles of the SPTC-JPA for your reference.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Vickie Sanders

SPTC JPA CEO

STAFF REPORT

Board Meeting Date: February 4, 2013

Page 1 of 7

ACTION: Board Workshop on Role of the SPTC-JPA

**AGENDA ITEM
NO. 2 (revised)**

DISCUSSION: **Workshop on Role of the SPTC-JPA**

1. Background

In August 2012, the JPA received a letter from the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors requesting that an item be placed on the JPA Board meeting agenda for discussion of dissolving the JPA.

The JPA Board of Directors considered El Dorado County's request at its the November 5, 2012 JPA Board meeting and determined that that, while the Board does not presently believe that dissolution is warranted, it would be prudent to have a comprehensive discussion of that issue among the JPA Board members and staff, with public input. It was suggested that the conversation could be done in a workshop format and could look at the legal requirements, the value of the JPA to its member agencies and the public, and the potential future role and mission of the JPA. The Board also discussed the need for a report on the legal and operational ramifications of dissolution with respect to obligations under the JPA's governing agreements, preserving the continuity of the rail corridor and compliance with the Rails to Trails Act.

2. Purpose, Structure and Powers of the JPA

a. Purpose of the JPA

The purpose of the JPA, as set forth in the "JPA Agreement" dated October 8, 1991, is to "...provide for the acquisition of Southern Pacific Transportation Company's Placerville Branch ("Placerville Branch"), and to provide reciprocal use agreements for transportation and transportation preservation uses as may be desired by the agencies through the creation of a joint powers authority." (JPA Agreement, Section 3.)

From the time of its creation in October 1991, through the close of escrow in September 1996, the JPA's focus was on acquisition of the right-of-way and improvements (the "Rail Corridor") from the Southern Pacific Transportation Company. In the months preceding the close of escrow, the JPA's member

agencies determined that the JPA should not be dissolved upon acquisition, but should continue to be the legal owner of the Rail Corridor responsible to ensure the Corridor's continuity, to preserve the reciprocal rights of the member agencies, and to provide a forum to prevent and resolve disputes between the member agencies. This action by the member agencies ultimately resulted in the Reciprocal Use and Funding Agreement among the JPA and its member agencies, dated August 6, 1996 ("RUFA").

In May 2000 the JPA Board revisited the ongoing role of the JPA and adopted a formal policy statement, which is discussed further in Section 3, below.

b. Structure and Powers of the JPA -- Preservation of Home Rule

The JPA was formed by its member agencies, which are the County of El Dorado, the County of Sacramento, the City of Folsom, and the Sacramento Regional Transit District. Each of the four member agencies contribute equally to the annual operational costs of the JPA. Since 2001, the average annual contribution by each JPA member agency is approximately \$21,000 (See Attachment 1).

The JPA is governed by a five-member Board of Directors. Each member agency appoints one member to the Board and the Board members appoint a member at large (currently a member of the Rancho Cordova City Council). The Board of Directors meets once every calendar quarter.

The RUFA governs the JPA's powers and responsibilities with respect to the Rail Corridor and is structured to preserve home rule by each member agency over its allocated portion. While the JPA is the legal owner of the Rail Corridor, each member agency holds an easement covering its allocated portion of the Rail Corridor that gives the member agency very broad discretion concerning its usage. (RUFA, Section 4.)

The JPA has no power to use or transfer any portion of the Rail Corridor without the prior request or consent of the member agency to which that portion has been allocated. (RUFA, Section 7(a).)

Conversely, any member agency may direct the JPA to permit a third-party to use its allocated portion, or to transfer part of its allocated portion, provided that the use or transfer will not:

- i. cause any reversionary rights in the Rail Corridor to vest,
- ii. otherwise threaten the continuity of any portion of the Rail Corridor,
- iii. violate the terms of any Notice of Interim Trails Use issued by the Surface Transportation Board, or
- iv. conflict with the terms of any agreement affecting the subject portion of the Rail Corridor. (RUFA Section 7(a).)

Except for the foregoing restrictions on uses or transfers and the reciprocal usage rights held by other JPA member agencies, each member agency has control over the use and disposition of its allocated portion of the Rail Corridor. This is true whether the JPA continues to exist or is dissolved, as discussed further in Section 4, below.

3. JPA Role and Value to Member Agencies and Public

- a. On May 1, 2000 the JPA Board adopted a policy for the SPTC-JPA's continued role. The adopted role includes:
 - i. Administration, including engineering and legal counsel
 - ii. Corridor maintenance/weed abatement
 - iii. Access and use permitting
 - iv. Property management
 - v. Facilitating development of transportation uses in the corridor
 - vi. Preserving continuity of the corridor (administering the RUFA).
- b. The JPA facilitates any regional use of the right-of-way by providing a single primary point of contact for the public, consistency in usage requirements and preparation/management of contracts for such uses. In the past, this has primarily been limited to excursion rail use but can be true of any future uses, such as fiber optics, electrical, gas, or other utilities that are required for development and need longitudinal easements to operate.
- c. The JPA facilitates regional uses of the right-of-way by providing a forum for staff to meet and weigh-in on potential projects. This has been true a number of times in the past. One case involved development in the City of Folsom, which included a request for a large number of crossings along E. Bidwell St. The Sacramento Regional Transit District was concerned at that time because of the potential impact of so many crossings on rail usage. JPA Staff and Board members discussed the development proposal and recommended that the number of crossings be limited. While the JPA had no authority to prohibit the crossings, the City of Folsom ultimately did reduce the number of crossings approved for development.
- d. The JPA facilitates the preservation of expertise and knowledge of the history of the public ownership of the right-of-way, which might otherwise be lost if the JPA is dissolved.
- e. The JPA manages contracts for regional maintenance and operation of the Rail Corridor, including weed abatement in the Folsom, Sacramento County and El Dorado County segments and excursion rail operations in the Folsom and Sacramento County segments.

- f. The JPA could be used to facilitate the connection of El Dorado County's trail system with the American River Bike Trail system, through Sacramento County's and Folsom's allocated portions of the corridor. This could be accomplished by bringing the trail-interest groups together to produce a feasibility study to identify the steps necessary to environmentally clear, fund, design and construct a continuous trail through the three jurisdictions.

4. Dissolution of the JPA: Procedure and Ramifications

a. Procedure for Dissolution

The JPA may be dissolved by agreement among at least three of its member agencies. In that event, the dissolution will take effect 90 days after the third member agency gives its approval. (JPA Agreement Section 12.) In addition, any member agency may withdraw from the JPA upon giving 90 days' prior written notice. (JPA Agreement, Section 12.) However, if the JPA itself has not been dissolved, legal title to the entire Rail Corridor would remain with the JPA and would not be transferred to the withdrawing member agency. (RUFA, Sections 7(c) & 10.)

Within 90 days after the third member agency approves dissolution, the JPA must wind up its affairs. This would include filing notice with the Secretary of State, terminating most contracts, paying debts, assigning any continuing contracts to the applicable member agencies and distributing assets to the member agencies.

The primary asset to be distributed by the JPA to its member agencies is the Rail Corridor. Since the Rail Corridor is subject to a Notice of Interim Trails Use (NITU) issued to the JPA by the Surface Transportation Board (STB), the JPA and its member agencies will need to comply with federal regulations regarding transfer of railbanking responsibilities. Prior to any transfer of the Rail Corridor, the JPA and its member agencies will need to file jointly:

- (i) A copy of the existing NITU,
- (ii) A statement by each member agency that it is willing to assume financial responsibility for its portion of the Rail Corridor under the Rails to Trails Act, and
- (iii) An acknowledgment by each member agency that interim trail use is subject to possible future reconstruction and reactivation of the Rail Corridor for rail service. (49 CFR 1152.29(f).)

Following the STB's issuance of NITUs for each member agency, the JPA will quitclaim to each member agency its respective allocated portion of

the Rail Corridor using the form of quitclaim deed attached to the RUFA as Exhibit D. (RUFA, Section 7(c).)

The quitclaim deed conveying title to the member agencies will include restrictive covenants on usage and transfers identical to RUFA Section 7 and will incorporate the reciprocal easement rights of the member agencies as set forth in the RUFA. (RUFA Exhibit D, Sections 1 and 2.) In addition, the quitclaim deed will require the grantee member agency to indemnify the other member agencies against any loss resulting from an act or omission of the grantee member agency that results in the assertion of reversionary rights anywhere along the Rail Corridor. (RUFA Exhibit D, Section 4.)

Finally, since the Rail Corridor is subject to the rail banking conditions imposed by the Surface Transportation Board in the Notice of Interim Trails Use, dated July 27, 1995, the JPA may need to provide the STB with notice of the transfer of title to its member agencies.

b. Ramifications of Dissolution

The immediate ramifications of dissolving the JPA are likely to be fairly minor. However, there may be adverse ramifications in the long term with respect to preserving the continuity of the Rail Corridor and the member agencies' reciprocal rights.

Dissolution of the JPA will not result in any greater control by the member agencies over their respective allocated portions of the Rail Corridor. Although the member agencies would receive legal title to their respective allocated portions, the current restrictions imposed by the RUFA as well as the Rails to Trails Act, will survive the dissolution and be included in the quitclaim deed. The only difference is that enforcement of these restrictions would fall on the other member agencies, which will have the right to obtain equitable relief, including specific performance, or preliminary/permanent injunctive relief. (RUFA Exhibit D, Section 5.)

Dissolution of the JPA may, in the long term, result in a loss of institutional memory and expertise concerning the unique concerns of a rail banked right-of-way, as well as the loss of a convenient forum for member agency staff members to discuss projects and potential concerns. Over the years, JPA staff members have changed, but the continuity of the JPA has facilitated the ability of staff to pass knowledge along to successor staff. In addition, regular meetings of the JPA staff have given the member agencies the ability to comment informally on projects in the Rail Corridor, thus preventing potential problems. These things would be lost if the JPA is dissolved.

Finally, dissolution of the JPA would result in the loss of the services provided by the JPA, such as weed abatement and providing a single point of contact for right-of-way uses that span multiple jurisdictions.

BOARD ACTION:

Board to provide direction for JPA staff regarding a future role for the JPA, or dissolution thereof.

ATTACHMENT 1
SPTC-JPA MEMBER CONTRIBUTION HISTORY

Budget	Fiscal Year	Member Contribution
\$120,000	2012/13	\$27,500
\$125,000	2011/12	\$28,750
\$139,000	2010/11	\$25,000
\$144,000	2009/10	\$25,000
\$144,000	2008/09	\$25,000
\$209,000*	2007/08	\$20,000
\$229,500*	2006/07	\$15,000
\$154,500	2005/06	\$10,000
\$163,000	2004/05	\$15,000
\$156,500	2003/04	\$15,000
\$103,500	2002/03	\$20,000
\$103,500	2001/02	\$25,000
\$120,000	2000/01	\$22,500

NOTES

1. *Includes \$90,000 unfunded budget for storm damage repair in El Dorado County.

2. Average member contribution since 2001 = **\$21,032**.

3. In FY2000/2001, the JPA Board and the four member agencies approved a Continuing Funding Agreement (CFA). The CFA allows each member agency to pay its annual contribution without having to seek Board approval each year. The CFA was approved with a not-to-exceed limit of \$30,000, with a 2% annual escalator. (Since 2001, the escalator has theoretically increased the not-to-exceed limit from \$30,000 to approximately \$38,000, though the JPA has never requested such levels of contribution).

From: chris belmer <cbelmer@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 7, 2025 10:54 AM
To: BOS-Clerk of the Board
Subject: Budget meeting

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Dear Board of Supervisors members,

I have been a resident of Eldorado County for 25 years and am currently a senior citizen. I am very concerned about the potential cuts to services for seniors in our communities. Balancing the budget on the backs of a vulnerable group, that is GROWING and will continue to as the number of seniors over age 55 grows in our County (last count approx 38%) is tragic at best.

While not all seniors currently need to access those benefits, with the given state of things economically across the country the need for services like these will likely balloon as opposed to decrease. The moral question is whether we take care of our own in this County?

Please consider increasing taxes on those who have, and handle your management of our money by efficiently capturing the tax dollars from growth in our County.
Please add my comments to the public record.

Chris Belmer, concerned resident
Sent from my iPhone

From: Judy Johnson <jujojo4343@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 7, 2025 11:51 AM
To: BOS-Clerk of the Board
Subject: Senior center support

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

[Report Suspicious](#)

I'm writing to urge you to continue financial support for our senior center. I personally have gone there several times for legal aid, various activities, information and special programs to help seniors. Several of my neighbors rely on the meals on wheels program for daily meals. I'm in placerville, so the placerville senior center is the one I know.

Please retain funding for these very important services for our seniors.

Judy johnson
Placerville

From: Michele Raley <mraley@pon.net>
Sent: Monday, April 7, 2025 3:36 PM
To: BOS-Clerk of the Board
Subject: Senior Programs being discussed April 8, 2025

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Hello to the Board of Supervisors,

It concerned me to see that the revised agenda for the April 8th meeting included in the “Department Matters” section a discussion of the following senior programs, amongst others:

- “b) Combine the Senior Day Care sites by closing the El Dorado Hills location and retaining the Placerville location and direct staff to return to the Board with a Senior Day Fee increase for full cost recovery within three years, including a Consumer Price Index annual increase;
- c) Explore options to sell or lease the County-owned El Dorado Hills Senior Day site and return to the Board with recommendations for the FY 2025-26 Adopted Budget;
- d) Explore potential for cost savings and revenue-generating opportunities in the Senior Nutrition, Senior Legal, and Animal Services Programs, including amendments to Ordinance Code Title 6 - Animals for inclusion in the FY 2026-27 Budget;”

I am sure careful consideration was given to the decision to merge the two Senior Day Care Sites into one program in Placerville. My hope is there will be enough space and staff to serve everyone who relies on this program.

Regarding Senior Nutrition, while I have not used the program myself, I know people who have relied on it and benefited from it a great deal.

I have used the Senior Legal program myself and thoroughly appreciated their excellent, knowledgeable service.

I’m fairly certain that you, as Supervisors, are confronted with a constant flow of difficult funding decisions. I simply wanted to voice my support of our county’s vital senior programs and also my concern about budget impacts to them. I truly regret that I cannot be there to hear and understand the decision-making process.

Thank you,
Michele Raley
Resident in the 3rd District

From: Bonni Bergstrom <bzbergstrom@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, April 7, 2025 3:45 PM
To: BOS-Clerk of the Board
Subject: Email Clerk of the Board

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

[Report Suspicious](#)

To: El Dorado County BOS and reference to the meeting scheduled for Tuesday, April 8, 2025

I reside in El Dorado Hills, District 2, and am a “senior” who makes use of the Gilmore Senior Center.

Please DO NOT sell, lease or close our local Senior Center in El Dorado Hills or consolidate it with the senior center in Placerville. Many seniors would not have access to a wide range of services or access to meals, classes, important activities and social interactions with peers if the Gilmore Center weren't available.

Thank you for your continued support of our local senior population.

Bonni Bergstrom
5153 Brentford Way
El Dorado Hills
Sent from my iPhone

From: Ruth Michelson <ruthmichelson@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Monday, April 7, 2025 3:47 PM
To: BOS-Clerk of the Board
Subject: April 8th, BOS AGENDA, Item 25

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

[Report Suspicious](#)

(Dear Kim- Please distribute my email. Thank you. -Ruth)

Dear Board of Supervisors and CAO Schmidt-

I have studied the power point presentation for Item 25 and have the following comments/questions.

Slide 11 refers to Senior Day Care (SDC.) I have minor comments about closing the EDH facility. The EDC has a large percentage of seniors. Those seniors will become elders as baby boomers continue to age. Please think about the future as you make your decision to close and possibly sell facilities.

My mother and stepdad attended the Placerville Senior Day Care in the early 2000's and it was a godsend. Not only did it keep them from staring at the four walls of their simple home, but it provided respite to myself and my family, since my parents lived on our property. Being a family caregiver is incredibly draining in many ways. Having them be in daycare helped them stay in their own home, which many seniors want to do.

I noticed that the current participants per day is down to 7.5 on average. But, I understand that there are 30 folks on the waiting list. The bottleneck apparently is staffing; the county is paying line staff \$16/ hr. It's much easier work at a fast food place for \$20/hour. Please increase staff's pay so that you can attract more employees for that position; then more elders can attend SDC.

I notice at the bottom of Slide 8 about facilities there is the bullet point "Future Risk: Spring Street Replacement- \$25M." The Board has previously discussed the age of this building that houses Senior Day Care, the PUFF, and the Senior Center. This brings the question of why keep the Senior Day Care at the Placerville location if it's in need of tear down? Does the Board desire to discontinue Senior care eventually?

I want to close with a personal story. When my mother attended Day Care, she had been diagnosed with Parkinson's. One of the head people there pulled me aside and urged me to have further testing done, since it had been noticed that her mental decline was more rapid than what you would see in Parkinson's. Because of this, my mother got the correct diagnosis of Diffuse Lewy Body disease; her medications, doctors, and treatment totally changed, which improved the quality of life for her remaining 18 months. I am forever grateful that this observation was made by these caring and experienced people who work with the elderly daily.

Thank you for considering my input.

Ruth Michelson

From: Eileen Griffin <eileendgriffin@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 7, 2025 3:59 PM
To: BOS-Clerk of the Board
Subject: Public Comment on Agenda Item #25 for April 8th meeting

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

[Report Suspicious](#)

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on Agenda Item #25 of the April 8th Board of Supervisors Meeting. I urge each of you to continue to honor the seniors in our community, many of whom helped shape our community, by recognizing the value of a LOCAL senior center. Although Placerville and El Dorado Hills are both in the same county, combining services in Placerville favors residents of Placerville, not residents of El Dorado Hills. Leasing or selling the Gilmore Senior Center would save money at the expense of El Dorado Hills residents. El Dorado Hills residents are a significant source of tax revenue for the county and deserve equal access to senior services. Services that are currently conveniently located in El Dorado Hills. Driving to Placerville is beyond the ability of most of the seniors who utilize the programs and services of the Gilmore Senior Center on a daily basis. Please continue to honor our seniors by preserving the programs and services offered at the Gilmore Senior Center at its current location in El Dorado Hills.

Thank you.

Eileen Griffin

El Dorado Hills resident and volunteer at the Senior Nutrition Program at the Gilmore Senior Center