

EDAC – Report to Board of Supervisors
 July 25, 2011 Matrix Handout

Issue ID #	General Plan Components	Staff Recommendation			EDAC Recommendation ¹			
		TGPA	Optional Review	Separate Track	TGPA	Optional Review	Separate Track	
I-1	Multi Family Densities	✓			\$ ²			
I-1	Commercial/Mixed-Use Densities & Concurrency	✓			\$			
I-6	High Density Residential Densities		✓		(Clarification needed)			
I-2	Rural Commerce (Industrial, Commercial and Home Occupations)		✓		✓			
I-2	Expand Rural Center Boundary		✓		✓			
I-1	Air Quality/Energy Conservation objectives	✓			\$			
I-3	CR & RC boundary amendments	✓			✓/\$			
I-4	30% Slope limitations	✓			✓			
I-5	Planned Development	✓			✓			
I-6	Density Bonus		✓			✓		
I-7	EDH Business Park employment cap limits		✓			✓		
I-8	Floor Area Ratio	✓			✓			
I-9	Water and Sewer Hook-up requirements	✓			✓			

¹ The “EDAC Recommendation” is based on the specific policy amendments or solutions identified by EDAC committees. Our review of the staff reports posted Friday, July 22 has not been completed.

² \$ = potential for cost savings in lieu of separate analysis. In general, these are state requirements (Housing Element, SB 375, etc.) or previously initiated ROI.

11-0019.4.L.1

Submitted by EDAC
#2
 at Board Hearing of 7/25/11

EDAC – Report to Board of Supervisors
 July 25, 2011 Matrix Handout

	Zoning Ordinance Project Component Issues	Staff Recommendation			EDAC Recommendation ³			
		Project Description	Optional Review	Defer to separate ordinance	Project Description	Optional Review	Defer to separate ordinance	
1	Multiple commercial zones		✓		✓			
2	Agricultural or rural commercial zone		✓		✓			
3	Planned Development provisions	✓			✓			
4	Table 2-4 Amendment	✓			✓			
5	Employees with home occupations		✓		✓			
6	Residences in TPZ		✓		✓			
7	Mixed Use Development (MUD 2)			✓	✓			
8	Animal Keeping			✓			✓ ⁴	
9	Wetland/Riparian Setbacks				✓			
10	Zoning Map Update				✓			
11	Ranch Marketing on Grazing Lands				✓			
12	Industrial Zones in Rural Regions				✓			
13	Ag Zoning “Opt In” within Ag Districts				✓			

³ The “EDAC Recommendation” is based on the specific policy amendments or solutions identified by EDAC committees. Our review of the staff reports posted Friday, July 22 has not been completed.

⁴ “Animal Keeping” language is in Draft ZO. Consideration of issues in a subsequent ordinance is OK, as long as language is not adopted in this ZO.