Guidelines for Managing California's Hardwood Rangelands

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA DIVISION OF AGRICULTURE & NATURAL RESOURCES PUBLICATION 3368
1996

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
INTEGRATED HARDWOOD RANGE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH & GAME

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FORESTRY & FIRE PROTECTION

Table of Contents

Technical Coordinator: Richard Standiford
Editor: Pamela Tinnin

Contributing Authors (Listed Alphabetically):
Ted Adams, UCD
James Bartolome, UCB
Mike Connor, UC Sierra Foothill Research &Extension Center
Lee Fitzhugh, UCD
Bill Frost, UC - IHRMP
Barry Garrison, CDF&G
Mel George, UCD
Greg Giusti, UC - IHRMP
John Maas, UCD
Doug McCreary, UC - IHRMP
Neil McDougald, UC - IHRMP
Kevin Shaffer, CDF&G
Tom Scott, UC - IHRMP
John Shelly, UC Forest Products Laboratory
Richard Standiford, UC - IHRMP
Bill Tietje, UC - IHRMP
Bob Timm, UC Hopland Research and Extension Center

List of Common and Scientific Names for Plants Used in This Chapter

Bigcone Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga macrocarpa*)
Blue oak (*Quercus douglasii*)
Brome (*Bromus* spp.)
California bay (*Umbellularia californica*)
California black oak (*Quercus kelloggii*)
California black walnut (*Juglans hindsii*)
California boxelder (*Acer negundo*)
California buckeye (*Aesculus californica*)
California coffeeberry (*Rhamnus californica*),
California juniper (*Juniperus californica*)
California redbud (*Cercis occidentalis*)
California sycamore (*Platanus racemosa*)
Canyon live oak (*Quercus chrysolepis*)
Ceanothus (*Ceanothus* spp.)
Coast live oak (*Quercus agrifolia*)
Coulter pine (*Pinus coulteri*)
Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*)
Engelmann oak (*Quercus engelmannii*)
Foothill pine (*Pinus sabiniana*)
Fremont cottonwood (*Populus fremontii*)
Incense-cedar (*Calocedrus decurrens*)
Interior live oak (*Quercus wislizenii*)
Jeffrey pine (*Pinus jeffreyi*)
Knobcone pine (*Pinus attenuata*)
Madrone (*Arbutus menziesii*)
Manzanita (*Arctostaphylos* spp.).
Mountain mahogany (*Cercocarpus betuloides*)
Mountain misery (*Chamaebatia foliolosa*)
Oregon ash (*Fraxinus latifolia*)
Oregon white oak (*Quercus garryana*)
Pacific madrone (*Arbutus menziesii*)
Poison-oak (*Toxicodendron diversilobum*),
Ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*)
Sugar pine (*Pinus lambertiana*)
Tanoak (*Lithocarupus densiflorus*)
Valley oak (*Quercus lobata*).
White fir (*Abies concolor*)
Wild currant (*Ribes spp.*)
Wild oats (*Avena* spp.)
Willow species (*Salix* spp.)

Worksheet 3-1. Hardwood Rangeland Propety Assessment

General Property Information

Property name _____ Parcel size _____ acres Elevation _____ feet

Describe how property was acquired (date, method acquired, original purchase price/basis)

Current Property Value _____

Nature of ownership

❑ Sole ❑ Joint ❑ Partnership ❑ Other _____

Property location (deseribe general location of property; use local maps where possible)

Accessibility (describe road access to various parts of the property and locate on map/photo)

Adjacent land uses (describe all adjacent land uses)

❑ Ag./open space ❑ Suburban ❑ Rural Residential ❑ Urban ❑ Public land ❑ Protected Areas

Topography (show on map/photo)

Acres on slopes less than 30% ___ Acres on slopes greater than 30% ___

Distance to markets

Distance to nrban areas/clientele base for hunt clubs and customers for firewood: ___ tniles

Distance to livestock markets: ___ miles

Other markets: ___ miles

Legal/political/social constraints (list ordinances, deed restrictions, zoning, and neighbor concerns affecting property)

Water

Sources of water (describe all sources of water on property and locate on map/photo where appropriate)

❑ Ponds ❑ Water troughs ❑ Springs ❑ Intermittent streams ❑ Perennial streams

❑ Wells ❑ Irrigation ditch ❑ Municipal water source ❑ Other _____

Water quality concerns (describe and locate areas with specific water quality concerns)

General Vegetation Information

Acres by general vegetation cover types (locate vegetation types on map/photo)

Grassland _____ acres Oak woodlands _____ acres Shrubland _____ acres

Irrigated agric. _____ acres Residential areas _____ acres Wetlands/riparian zones _____ acres

Other forested type _____ acres Other ( ) _____ acres

Table 3-1. Matrix of resource assessment and management enterprises (for assessment chapter)

Assessment Criteria	Livestock grazing	Hunt club/ recreation	Conservation land	Wood products	Specialty products
Parcel size	>25 acres	>500 ac (deer); >100 ac. (turkeys)	>100 ac.	>100 ac.	Depends on product
Cover type and pattern	Must have patches of open or low density woodlands for forage	Mixture of dense and open woodlands with large patches of dense connected woodlands	Must have some special cover type being lost near property or a highly desirable habitat	Must have stands with over 40 percent cover	Sufficient amount of vegetation type for product
Water	Need water	Need water	May enhance value	Not important	May be important
Access	Not important	Need road system for transport	Not essential unless public access desired	Need road system for hauling	Need access for transportation and management
Adjacent land use	Urban uses may present social conflicts	Urban uses may present social conflicts; Rely on neighbors for some habitat needs	Opportunities are best in areas close to urban/residential areas	Urban uses may present social conflicts	Urban uses may present conflicts or opportunities depending on product
Topography	Most areas <50 pct. slope	Need areas with <50 pct. slope for access	Slope class has little effect	Operate only in areas with <30 pct. slope	Most likely need areas <30 pct. slope
Distance to market	Unlimited with new video marketing sales	Need to be <120 miles	Generally near to urban areas or areas with some adverse impact	<100 miles	Should be <100 miles to market to minimize transportation
Capital improvements	Fences, water facilities	Not critical	Not critical	Depends on product	Depends on product
Legal constraints	Local ordinances, T&E species	T&E species, hunting regulations	Often restricts future land use; may be constraints on compatible enterprises	Local ordinances, T&E species, deed restrictions, Forest Practice Act	Need to check health codes, zoning restrictions, T&E species
Resource constraints	Need residual biomass	Species of interest should be present in sufficient numbers to support harvest (i.e. turkeys, deer, etc.)	Presence of critical habitat or threatened and endangered species may enhance value	Site must be capable of regeneration from seedlings or sprouting	Need to ensure that "product" management does not disrupt site ecological processes

sites. The presence of such sites may impact proposed changes in land use or management. County planning, Community Colleges, State Colleges, and local museums are good sources of information on archaeological sites in your area.

Land Use: A number of land use related issues may influence certain management decisions. The California Land Conservation Act (Williamson Act) contracts with certain counties to provide tax relief for agreeing to not develop land for 10 years. County General Plans often have restrictions on parcel size, land use, and zoning. Easements for utilities, conservation, open space, and wildlife habitat are becoming more common. Other laws and ordinances to be aware of are those relating to the right to farm and fence, trespass laws, as well as private property rights laws.

Livestock: There are a number of laws relating to livestock including: animal identification (branding) law; laws relating to diseases such as TB and brucellosis; and laws concerned with the disposal of dead animals. Your local agricultural commissioner can provide information on each of these.

Professional Certification: The State Board of Forestry has the licensing authority over natural resource professionals to protect the natural resources of the state and to protect the public interest by ensuring competent professional work. Designations for Certified Rangeland Managers (CRM) and Registered Professional Foresters are maintained by the State Board of Forestry. Details on qualifications, duties, and a list of certified professionals are available.

Values for Hardwood Rangeland Stands

Worksheet 3-2 helps you to collect basic information on hardwood rangeland cover type, canopy cover, slope class, and associated habitat elements, and will allow you to look up some general ecological and managerial recommendations. Table 3-2 shows how the information on tree cover type and canopy density can be used to refer you to a specific description. For example, if your stand is a blue oak woodland with a 50 percent canopy cover, you would go to the description for site C, found on page 11 of this chapter.

Each of the 12 broad site descriptions gives general recommendations and assessments on four categories: oak cover/forestry; recreation; wildlife diversity; and grazing. These are based on some very broad statewide conclusions from practical experiences and research studies. These descriptions, assessments, and recommendations are intended to guide you through some general ideas on the potential uses for hardwood rangeland stands on your property. As you evaluate these recommendations, the rainfall zone, slope class, and presence of wildlife habitat elements such as snags, riparian zones, or downed woody debris, which you are assessing in worksheet 3-2, will allow you to refine these recommendations. These general recommendations must be followed up with site specific information for your local area. Chapters 4 through 9 will help you develop this site specific information for your property.

Table 3-2. Classification for hardwood rangeland sites based on tree cover type and canopy cover.

Tree Cover Type	Tree Canopy Cover			
	10 - 24%	25 - 39%	40 - 59%	60 - 100%
Blue oak woodland, blue oak-foothill pine woodland	A	B	C	D
Valley oak woodland	E	F	G	H
Coastal oak woodland, montane hardwood	I	J	K	L

Site A: Blue oak woodland, blue oak foothill pine woodland; 10 – 24 percent canopy cover

Oak Cover/Forestry Assessment:
Oak volume ranges from 20 to 170 cubic feet per acre, and 10-year growth rate ranges from 2 to 40 cubic feet per acre. These are not good areas for commercial harvesting activities due to very low stocking and low growth rates. Many open blue oak savannahs lack oak regeneration, especially on low elevation and/or low rainfall zones. Managers should compare current levels of mortality to regeneration. In areas where mortality exceeds regeneration, it may be necessary to adopt management procedures to encourage regeneration.

Recreation Assessment:
These areas offer only limited opportunities for hunt clubs in their current condition because of low cover and acorn production. Medium populations of quail can be expected, which can be improved by providing additional water and cover with brush piles. It may be desirable to increase cover if feasible to improve habitat for deer and turkeys.

Wildlife Diversity Assessment:
These open blue oak savannah stands contain both grassland and woodland wildlife species. In general, the habitat is good for open grassland species such as western meadowlark, but marginal for woodland species such as Pacific-slope flycatchers. Habitat elements, such as riparian zones, snags, trees with cavities, and large woody debris, have an important effect on biodiversity by making habitats more complex. More complex habitats support greater numbers of wildlife. According to the California Wildlife Habitat Relationships system (CWHR) there are 21 amphibian species, 33 reptile species, 73 mammal species, and 137 bird species which are predicted to occur in these habitats if various elements occur. If there are no riparian zones or sources of water, no snags or cavity trees, and no large woody debris or brush piles on the site, the number of vertebrate wildlife species predicted to occur in these habitats falls to 10 amphibian species, 31 reptiles, 39 mammals, and 101 bird species. This points to the importance of maintaining diversity in the habitat elements present in the stand to provide for the highest possible diversity of wildlife species.

Grazing Assessment:
Average forage production capability is 3,000 pounds per acre with a range from 1,500 to 4,500 pounds. In low rainfall areas, the presence of scattered trees has been found to increase overall range forage production. However, thistles and other undesirable plants may occur under the tree canopy, although this is not common. Potential for range improvement through seeding, fertilization, and grazing management may increase productivity where production is currently at the lower end of the scale and available soil and soil moisture is not limiting.

Site B: Blue oak woodland, blue oak foothill pine woodland; 25 – 39 percent canopy cover

Oak Cover/Forestry Assessment:
Oak volume ranges from 170 to 425 cubic feet per acre and the 10-year growth is 25 to 70 cubic feet per acre. These areas are generally not good for commercial firewood harvesting. The existing stocking level is good for diverse resource values, and managers should not take canopy density much lower. Some light thinning may be possible in dense clusters, but avoid using equipment on areas with over 30 percent slope to minimize erosion. Perhaps 40 to 85 cubic feet could be harvested per acre in higher productivity sites every 20 years. Many areas like these have an absence of oak regeneration, especially on low elevation and/or rainfall areas. Managers should assess current levels of mortality and compare this to seedling and sapling regeneration. In areas where mortality exceeds regeneration, it may be necessary to adopt management procedures to encourage regeneration.

Recreation Assessment:
These areas have good overall habitat for mule and black-tailed deer, wild pigs and California quail. Habitat can be improved by enhancing acorn production, planting legumes, and maintaining these through proper livestock and deer management. Any reductions in oak cover will also decrease habitat value for many desired game spe-

fall areas, the shading effect of the canopy suppresses total production. Thistles and other undesirable plants may occur under the tree canopy, although this is not typical. Potential for range improvement on slopes less than 30 percent through seeding, fertilization, and grazing management may increase productivity by two- to three-fold where production is currently at the low end of the scale. Tree thinning will increase forage production under the removed canopy in the higher rainfall zones of the state (over 20 inches per year).

Site D: Blue oak woodland, blue oak-foothill pine woodland; 60 – 100 percent canopy cover

Oak Cover/Forestry Assessment:
Oak volume ranges from 1200 to 3800 cubic feet per acre. Estimated growth ranges from 170 to 510 cubic feet per acre over 10 year. Firewood harvest can be carried out to permanently reduce cover and improve habitat for selected wildlife species and range productivity. Areas with less than 30 percent slope are a good place to prioritize for harvesting on the ranch. 500 to 2500 cubic feet per acre can be harvested from these stands to permanently reduce stands to 40 to 60 percent canopy cover after 20 years. If stand openings are absent, you may wish to make some small openings through the firewood operation to encourage blue oak regeneration.

Recreation Assessment:
These areas provide excellent habitat for mule and black-tailed deer, squirrel, wild pig, wild turkey, mourning dove, and band-tailed pigeons. On areas with over 30 percent slope, hunter access is too difficult for commercial operations. Thinning stands back to 50 percent cover in a patchy pattern can enhance deer habitat. Turkeys do best with a dense canopy, and California quail do best with less tree canopy, but both species prefer dense shrub layers and ample water sources.

Wildlife Diversity Assessment:
These dense blue oak woodland stands support a large number of wildlife species, although the higher tree density makes these areas undesirable for open grassland species. A few species such as Cooper's hawks and orange-crowned warblers, actually prefer the dense conditions found in these stands. The occurrence of more complex habitats, through the presence of habitat elements such riparian zones, snags, trees with cavities, and large woody debris, has an important effect on biodiversity. There are 19 amphibian species, 25 reptile species, 62 mammal species, and 102 bird species which are predicted to occur by CWHR on the most diverse habitats in these stands. If there are no riparian zones or sources of water, no snags or cavity trees, and no large woody debris or brush piles on the site, the number of vertebrate wildlife species predicted to occur on these habitats falls to 10 amphibian species, 23 reptiles, 28 mammals, and 77 bird species. This points to the importance of maintaining diversity in the habitat elements present in the stand to provide for the highest possible diversity of wildlife species. Some thinning may help enhance overall biological diversity.

Grazing Assessment:
Average forage production capability is 900 pounds per acre with a range from 500 to 1,500 pounds. The dense tree cover suppresses forage production, leaving less available for livestock operations. Thinning stands on slopes less than 30 percent will increase forage production under the removed canopy for about 15 years by 50 to 100 percent especially on poor sites. After tree thinning, seeding, fertilization, and grazing management may increase forage production. Little improvement potential exists on steeper slopes.

Site E: Valley oak woodland; 10 – 24 percent canopy cover

Oak Cover/Forestry Assessment:
Oak volume ranges from 40 to 340 cubic feet per acre. Growth ranges from 17 to 80 cubic over 10 years. The canopy in these open valley oak savannahs needs to be maintained. These areas are poor candidates for any harvest activity. Managers should encourage the recruitment of young seedlings to sapling size through management activities.

Recreation Assessment:
These areas offer only limited opportunities for hunt clubs in their current condition because of low shrub cover

Grazing Assessment:
Average forage production capability is 3,000 pounds per acre with a range from 1,500 to 4,500 pounds. In low rainfall areas, the presence of scattered trees has been found to increase overall range forage production. However, thistles and other undesirable plants may occur under the tree canopy, although this is not typical. Potential for range improvement through seeding, fertilization, and grazing management may increase productivity where production is currently at the lower end of the scale and available soil and soil moisture is not limiting.

Site G: Valley oak woodland; 40 – 59 percent canopy cover

Oak Cover/Forestry Assessment:
Oak volume ranges from 1100 to 2900 cubic feet per acre. Ten year growth ranges from 120 to 420 cubic feet per acre. Some thinning on a sustainable basis is possible, especially in stands with large numbers of small trees to improve individual tree growth rate. There is some possibility to utilize harvested trees for solid wood products, such as white oak lumber or barrel staves. 170 to 680 cubic feet per acre could be harvested every 20 years on stands with less than 30 percent slope. It is important to ensure that adequate oak regeneration results after the harvest.

Recreation Assessment:
These areas are excellent for medium to large populations of mule and black-tailed deer, squirrel, wild pigs, wild turkeys, mourning dove, and band-tailed pigeons. On areas with less than 30 percent slope, the terrain is excellent for hunter access. Some careful tree thinning can complement game habitat. Where controlled fire can be used, it can help stimulate palatable shrub browse. Seeding clover and other legumes and maintaining these through grazing, as well as increasing shrub cover, will benefit deer, turkey and quail.

Wildlife Diversity Assessment:
These valley oak woodland stands support a large number of wildlife species. The tree density makes these areas less desirable for open grassland species such as western meadowlarks and western kingbirds, but very desirable for woodland species such as Pacific-slope flycatchers and orange-crowned warblers. The occurrence of more complex habitats, through the presence of habitat elements such riparian zones, snags, trees with cavities, and large woody debris, has an important effect on biodiversity. There are 17 amphibian species, 27 reptile species, 63 mammal species, and 123 bird species which are predicted to occur by CWHR on the most diverse habitats in these stands. If there are no riparian zones or sources of water, no snags or cavity trees, and no large woody debris or brush piles on the site, the number of vertebrate wildlife species predicted to occur on these habitats falls to 8 amphibian species, 25 reptiles, 29 mammals, and 93 bird species. This points to the importance of maintaining diversity in the habitat elements present in the stand to provide for the highest possible diversity of wildlife species.

Grazing Assessment:
Average forage production capability is 2,000 pounds per acre with a range from 1,000 to 2,800 pounds. On such sites, the shading effect of the canopy usually suppresses total production. Thistles and other undesirable plants may occur under the tree canopy, although this is not typical. Potential for range improvement on slopes less than 30 percent through seeding, fertilization, and grazing management may increase productivity by two- to three-fold where production is currently at the low end of the scale. Tree thinning will increase forage production under the removed canopy in the higher rainfall zones of the state (over 20 inches per year).

Site H: Valley oak woodland; 60 – 100 percent canopy cover

Oak Cover/Forestry Assessment:
Oak volume ranges from 2900 to 5100 cubic feet per acre. Estimated ten year growth rate ranges from 220 to 420 cubic feet per acre. Harvest could be carried out to increase individual tree diameter and crown growth rate on areas with less than 30 percent slope and high stem density and small diameter trees. This may help improve acorn production and create conditions favorable for seedling establishment. Seedlings are likely to be absent or very slow growing due to little sunlight reaching the ground. Harvest levels of 420 to 1700 cubic feet per acre can be

piles on the site, the number of vertebrate wildlife species predicted to occur on these habitats falls to 7 amphibian species, 33 reptiles, 38 mammals, and 101 bird species. This points to the importance of maintaining diversity in the habitat elements present in the stand to provide for the highest possible diversity of wildlife species.

Grazing Assessment:
Average forage production capability is 2,700 pounds per acre with a range from 1,800 to 4,000 pounds. Oak canopy in these lightly stocked areas may enhance forage production in low rainfall areas or during drought years. These low canopy levels have only minimal impact on forage production in higher rainfall zones, although thistles and other undesirable plants may occasionally occur under the tree canopy. Potential for range improvement through seeding, fertilization, and grazing management may increase productivity where production is currently at the lower end of the scale and available soil and soil moisture is not limiting.

Site J: Coastal oak woodland, montane hardwood; 25 – 39 percent canopy cover

Oak Cover/Forestry Assessment:
Oak volume ranges from 250 to 850 cubic feet per acre, with a ten year growth of 50 to 100 cubic feet per acre. Rapid regrowth of stump sprouts and fairly high growth potential of live oaks would allow some commercial harvest to take place. Harvest levels of 85 to 250 cubic feet per acre every 20 years are possible on areas with less than 30 percent slope. It is important to ensure that regeneration from seedlings or stump sprouts is adequate to replace trees being harvested.

Recreation Assessment:
These areas provide good overall habitat for deer, wild pigs and California quail. Habitat can be improved by enhancing acorn production, planting clover and other legumes and maintaining these through proper livestock and deer management, and enhancing shrub cover. Some selective thinning of dense stands may improve habitat for some game species, although leaving some denser areas will maintain habitat values for species using denser cover. If brush is present, brush piles can considerably improve quail habitat. Areas with slopes greater than 30 percent will have lower values for hunt clubs because of the difficult access.

Wildlife Diversity Assessment:
These live oak woodland stands support both grassland and woodland wildlife species. In general, the habitat is fairly good for a large number of wildlife species. The occurrence of more complex habitats, through the presence of habitat elements such riparian zones, snags, trees with cavities, and large woody debris, has an important effect on biodiversity. There are 18 amphibian species, 34 reptile species, 74 mammal species, and 131 bird species which are predicted to occur by CWHR on the most diverse habitats in these stands. If there are no riparian zones or sources of water, no snags or cavity trees, and no large woody debris or brush piles on the site, the number of vertebrate wildlife species predicted to occur in these habitats falls to 7 amphibian species, 32 reptiles, 38 mammals, and 98 bird species. This points to the importance of maintaining diversity in the habitat elements present in the stand to provide for the highest possible diversity of wildlife species.

Grazing Assessment:
Average forage production capability is 2,500 pounds per acre with a range from 1,500 to 3,500 pounds. Tree cover will cause some suppression of winter and spring production except in areas of low rainfall. Thistles and other undesirable plants may sometimes occur under the tree canopy. Potential for range improvement on slopes less than 30 percent through seeding, fertilization, and grazing management may increase productivity by two- to three- fold where production is currently at the low end of the scale. Tree thinning may increase forage production under the removed canopy in the higher rainfall zones of the state (over 20 inches per year).

Site K: Coastal oak woodland, montane hardwood; 40 – 59 percent canopy cover

Oak Cover/Forestry Assessment:
Oak volume ranges from 850 to 2200 cubic feet per acre. Growth rates of 100 to 190 cubic feet per acre are expected every 10 years. These stands are excellent candidates for sustainable wood harvest operation if slopes are

elements such riparian zones, snags, trees with cavities, and large woody debris, has an important effect on biodiversity. There are 16 amphibian species, 26 reptile species, 64 mammal species, and 99 bird species which are predicted to occur by CWHR on the most diverse habitats in these stands. If there are no riparian zones or sources of water, no snags or cavity trees, and no large woody debris or brush piles on the site, the number of vertebrate wildlife species predicted to occur in these habitats falls to 7 amphibian species, 24 reptiles, 28 mammals, and 76 bird species. This points to the importance of maintaining diversity in the habitat elements present in the stand to provide for the highest possible diversity of wildlife species. Some thinning may help enhance overall biological diversity.

Grazing Assessment:
Average forage production capability is 900 pounds per acre with a range from 500 to 1,500 pounds. The dense tree cover suppresses forage production, leaving less available for livestock operations. Thinning stands on slopes less than 30 percent will increase forage production under the removed canopy for about 15 years by 50 to 100 percent at lower levels of current production. After tree thinning, improvement potential through seeding, fertilization, and grazing management may also increase forage production. Little improvement potential exists on steeper slopes.

and wildlife-habitat relationships to serve as a guide for land management activities. The presence and sustainability of specific plant and animal species on hardwood rangeland properties needs to be evaluated with scientific information.

Wildlife Habitat Relationships

Habitats are the specific locations where the factors needed for wildlife survival and reproduction are provided. Successful long-term perpetuation of California's hardwood rangeland wildlife is best achieved by managing habitats because they are the foundation on which wildlife depend. California's five major hardwood rangeland vegetation types (see Chapter 2) and associated riparian types provide habitat for the largest number of vertebrate wildlife species in the state, when compared to habitats dominated by conifers, shrubs, grasses and wetlands. Hardwood rangeland habitats must be able to supply food, water, protection from weather and predators, and locations to reproduce in order to support viable wildlife populations.

In eastern Tehama County, deer use of the lower elevation blue oak and blue oak-foothill pine woodlands are an example of wildlife habitat relationships. These areas are important winter habitat with food and cover for deer that have migrated from higher elevation conifer and meadow habitats around Mount Lassen where they spend the spring and summer to produce fawns. Their autumn migrations take them through montane hardwood habitats where they feed on acorns and browse to gain weight for the strenuous rutting period where bucks (male deer) compete for breeding opportunities. Breeding takes place during the fall and early winter on the lower elevation oak woodlands. Does (female deer) feed on acorns and herbaceous vegetation of oak woodland wintering habitats to provide energy for fawning. These activities are critical and their populations would be dramatically reduced if hardwood habitats failed to provide these key breeding, food, and cover resources.

Habitat Scale Concepts

One way to understand the management complexities of hardwood rangelands is to look at the relationships among its component parts. Wildlife biologists typically evaluate woodland habitats on five levels, providing a convenient system for explaining woodland ecology. Although each level has its applications, it is critical for you to select the management level that is appropriate for your goals. From smallest to largest, these levels are:

1. *Individual*: The interactions of individual plants or animals with their surroundings is the most tangible level of woodland ecology. Survival and reproduction are results that you can observe from the interactions of individual plants or animals.
2. *Population*: The interactions among individuals of the same species and the interactions with their woodland environment form the population level of organization. A population is typically described by the shared characteristics of its individuals, including where they occur, the range of things they eat, when and how they produce young, and how they disperse or migrate. We use this composite picture to define the wildlife habitat relationships between a species and the areas where it occurs. Although this composite picture is somewhat abstract, population data allows biologists to predict the consequences of management activities in woodlands.
3. *Community*: The interactions among species that occur together in a community form the next step in the hierarchy. Species interactions define this level; some species prey on others, some compete with each other for resources, some share resources or recycle nutrients for one another, and some interact in hundreds of other ways. Examples include a deer browsing on oak seedlings, bees pollinating wildflowers, or jays planting acorns. Community interactions are often difficult to detect, and may occur over long time periods.
4. *Ecosystem*: The physical processes and structure that link living things to each other and their ecosystem is the next level of organization. Ecosystems are often defined by their resident or dominant species, such as the hardwood rangeland vegetation types discussed previously. This level of management is somewhat abstract, with boundaries that often blend into adjacent ecosystems.
5. *Landscape*: The geographic patterns of all the other levels creates the landscape level of organization. Some aspects of landscapes are quite tangible, such as the boundaries of a watershed. Others are abstract, such as the patterns of gene flow across the oaks in the coast ranges.

If you protect a 400-year-old oak in your backyard, then you are operating at the individual level of conservation. However, it is often impractical for landowners to manage their woodlands tree by tree. If your goal is to

Over one-third of all bird species on hardwood rangelands make use of snags, or standing dead trees in the stand. This suggests that management strategies to maintain an appropriate number of snags will result in greater wildlife species diversity.

Another important aspect of hardwood rangeland habitat structure is the spatial arrangement of the vegetative cover. The vertical and horizontal distribution of vegetation are both readily visible and easily measured.

Vertical Distribution

Vegetation often occurs in layers from grasses, to shrubs, to trees. This vertical layering affects the duration and intensity of light reaching the ground, which in turn, affects the insects, plants and subsequently those vertebrates dependent on them. Multi-layered habitats provide a diversity of elements offering more niches for wildlife. Most hardwood rangeland species, including California quail, western fence lizards, rufous-sided towhee and acorn woodpeckers, depend on multi-layered vegetation structure. Land managers should consider the consequences of activities that tend to simplify or eliminate vegetation layers.

Horizontal Distribution.

The distribution of different types of habitat or successional stages across a landscape creates diversity in all habitat elements needed for breeding, food and cover. Considering horizontal distribution is important for species that rely on large blocks of land, such as black-tailed deer, mountain lions, and red-tailed hawks.

Alteration of the horizontal distribution of habitats across large landscapes from fire, weather, residential development, rangeland conversion, or oak harvesting, can result in smaller, fragmented habitat patches. Small, isolated patches can eventually become *islands* of habitat that have a similar biological function to oceanic islands. The movement of populations of species isolated on these islands are restricted, so these populations are more susceptible to local extinction than populations which have free access to larger habitat patches. Less mobile species, such as many amphibians, have greater risks of local extinctions than those with greater mobility, such as bird species.

Maintenance of free interaction between reproducing adults is key to the survival of any wildlife species. Connecting patches of habitat through habitat *linkages* or *corridors* improves the interaction of breeding individuals between otherwise isolated populations. These linkages reduce predation and minimize impacts of harsh environmental conditions. Riparian areas often serve as linkages to hardwood rangeland habitats.

Resources Change Through Time

Important wildlife habitat attributes from oaks such as acorn-producing trees, snags, logs, and large and/or dead branches require considerable amounts of time to develop, even though they may persist for decades once they develop these characteristics. Land use practices that remove these attributes without allowing replacement will negatively alter the wildlife community. For example, it may take almost a century for most oaks to grow from acorn-produced seedlings to mature trees capable of producing abundant acorn crops. Oaks must be mature and several centuries old before they are large enough to have large diameter branches. Also, dead branches often result from heart rot which typically affects older, less healthy trees that are more susceptible to decay agents. An oak tree typically must live its entire life of several centuries before it dies and becomes a snag. Once developed, snags persist for many decades before they fall down and become logs. Logs will persist for many decades until they decay and become part of the soil. Furthermore, individual trees may produce more acorns, have more large branches, and make larger snags and logs than other trees. Therefore, trees with these desirable characteristics should be identified and retained so that wildlife communities will benefit. For example, observing acorn production of individual trees for two or three years over several weather cycles should allow most landowners to identify trees that produce large acorn crops relative to other trees on their lands (see chapter 9).

Habitat Use

The functional relationships among plants, animals and their physical environments are the foundation of ecosystems. Most wildlife species can use a variety of habitat types. The deer mouse is an example of a habitat generalist. It is thought to be the most widely distributed and abundant mammal in North America, and occurs in virtually every terrestrial vegetation type. Deer mice feed on a wide variety of plant and animal materials. They store food for use during periods of shortages, and build nests in almost any form of confined cover, such as rocks, leaves, or logs. The deer mouse can get its water from free water sources, dew, or from its food.

However, some wildlife species are so specialized that they occur in a relatively small number of habitats. The acorn woodpecker is an example of a habitat specialist. Although it has a widespread distribution, its habitat use

Fig. 4-3. An example of resource partitioning based on food habits of some land-dwelling birds that are commonly found in oak woodlands throughout California.



category '2' is defined as *rare and endangered in California; more common elsewhere.* For a more thorough list of sensitive plant species and a detailed explanation of CNPS's inventory system, you may refer to the electronic or printed California Native Plant Society's INVENTORY of Rare and Endangered Vascular Plants of California (5th. Edition). You may also wish to attain a copy the California Department of Fish and Game's (CDFG) *Special Plants List.*

Investigating the Occurrence of Sensitive Plants

As stated above, the list of plants in Appendix B does not reveal whether a particular plant species does occur on your land. The table does inform you if a particular plant has been found in a particular oak habitat(s). Additionally, the table lists unique ecological characteristics of each plant species. This information is a starting point for you to determine the possibility of one or more rare plants being found on your land. In many cases, the type, periodicity, and intensity of the land use determines whether rare, native plants exist, just as is the case for wildlife.

When determining what plants occur on your land, surveying your land for all plants (*floristic* survey) allows you gain detailed knowledge about the occurrence, distribution, and abundance of all plants, whether they be oaks, common trees, shrubs, grasses, and herbs, or sensitive species. In some cases, plant survey information may already exist for your property. In addition, there are other sources of useful information. These sources would be the local university or college, the regional resource conservation district, individuals or firms involved in biological consulting, your regional CDFG Plant Ecologist or District Biologist, and CDFG's Natural Diversity Database (NDDB). NDDB maintains location information for sensitive plants, animals, and natural communities for all of California. Regional CDFG staff have access to NDDB information, and you may contact NDDB directly if you wish to investigate what is already known about sensitive plants in your area. However, if the NDDB does not include any known records of sensitive plants on your property, this is no guarantee that sensitive plants do or do not occur there. Only plant surveys can determine that.

Management of Lands for Sensitive Native Plants

In a nutshell, there is no recipe for maintaining an area's native flora. For certain species with certain needs, avoidance or minimum activity for a period of time may be crucial (i.e., removing cattle while plants are flowering and setting seed). On the other hand, management for native plants might involve a certain activity for a particular period of time (i.e., prescribed burning to allow seeds to sprout; maintaining grazing so to reduce exotic grasses which in turn allows native species to exist, etc.). Each sensitive plant has specific needs, and it is best to consult with your local botanists, field biologists, and other plant and vegetation experts when deciding on land management activities to meet your needs and the needs of the sensitive plants that may exist on your land.

A Worksheet for Evaluating Woodland Habitat Impacts

There are many ways landowners can manage their oak woodlands for wildlife or to maintain native plants. One can choose to manage on the basis of vegetation composition, percent canopy cover, or even a single wildlife species such as deer. Yet, when assessing various management enterprises, land managers should consider a broad scale approach to management. This *system-wide* management approach considers both ecological and economic effects prior to implementing a management plan. This is really just a new way of saying *"don't put all of your eggs in one basket".*

When evaluating the impacts of various management actions, there are often unforeseen consequences. It is easy to recognize the consequences of harvesting individual oaks (e.g., they become firewood), but more difficult to recognize the potential consequences at the population (e.g. loss of acorn producers), community (loss of bird nesting locations), ecosystem (increased light to forage plants), and landscape (increased edge with grasslands or loss of habitat linkages) levels. Worksheet 4-1 is provided to help assess these broader effects by examining the resources present in the area proposed for management and the anticipated changes of the proposed enterprise to the woodland ecosystem. It is suggested that you work through this process for any enterprise you are considering, to allow you to assess the concepts presented in this chapter.

This worksheet is designed to help assess the impact of the proposed hardwood rangeland enterprise on a particular habitat element. In column one of the worksheet, you should assess the particular habitat element in the area proposed for a particular enterprise. Column two is used to describe how significant that element in the enterprise area is in relationship to the broad region or landscape surrounding the enterprise area. Column three