



Charlene Tim &lt;charlene.tim@edcgov.us&gt;

---

**Fwd: planning project S14-0009**

---

**Planning Unknown** <planning@edcgov.us>  
To: Charlene Tim <charlene.tim@edcgov.us>

Mon, Nov 24, 2014 at 8:57 AM

Hi Char,

Here is a public comment for S14-0009.

Thank you, Debbie Ercolini

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Lou Pierini** <pierini1@pacbell.net>  
Date: Sat, Nov 22, 2014 at 4:36 PM  
Subject: planning project S14-0009  
To: "planning@edcgov.us" <planning@edcgov.us>

I am opposed to project S14-0009/at@t cell tower. Please check TRPA file # 20051580 from April 2007 where there is a formal denial of a smaller project on the parcel next to this one. This one is even more intrusive than that last one. The proposed project, parcel owner, objections are in that file. I do not want the neighborhood turned into a commercial cell tower site. My specific objections are in TRPA file #20051580 along with TRPAs formal denial letter. Thanks, Lou Pierini

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.

If you receive this e-mail in error please contact the sender by return e-mail and delete the material from your system.

Thank you.

PC 12/11/14  
#3  
2 pages

November 20, 2014

To:  
County of El Dorado Planning Services  
2850 Fairlane Court  
Placerville, CA 95667

NOV 24 PM 1:34  
RECEIVED  
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Attn: Mr. Joe Prutch & Eldorado Planning Commission.

Re: Special Use Permit S14-0009/AT&T Cell Tower Skyline Drive – parcel# 081-102-01

Dear El Dorado Planning Commission, Mr. Joe Prutch, or To Whom It May Concern:

As a resident and homeowner in the area, I am strongly opposed to the placement of another Cell Tower in our neighborhood. Not only am I opposed to the addition of a new Cell Tower, but I advocate the removal of the pre-existing one on the adjacent parcel. The last thing we need in our residential neighborhood is another Cell Tower.

**Long term health effects are still an unknown factor regarding Cell Towers.**

They most likely are harmful, especially to children, infants and or pregnant woman. Can you guarantee that there are no negative health effects? Can you guarantee that there will not be other harmful health effects discovered in the future? We as residents do not want to be lab rats and most likely have to suffer the consequences at a later date.

**There should be no cell towers in a residential neighborhood with so many small children always playing outside, nearby or next to a Cell Tower. Certainly, there are so many other open spaces in the area that would be much safer.**

**A residential backyard is an unacceptable location for a Cell Tower!!**

I speculate, that the owner of the proposed parcel is being monetarily compensated to allow such an unsafe proposal. Besides this particular owner/resident, I am confident that after talking to several neighbors, that there are **NO** other nearby residents or homeowners that would be in favor of another Cell Tower in our neighborhood! **Not only is it more likely than not to cause harmful health affects, but it will cause a loss of value to the homes in this residential area!**

**If you allow the passage of this Special Use Permit, and it is confirmed at any time now or in the future, that Cell Towers can be harmful in any way, I (and all other Homeowners that I have spoken to) will hold the planning commission and or any individuals associated with the passage of this Special Use Permit, responsible and therefore proceed with a class action lawsuit.**

Please ask yourself this: (and please truthfully answer)

**Would you want YOUR pregnant wife anywhere nearby this Cell Tower?  
Would you yourself, want to do yard work all day next to this Cell Tower?  
Would you allow YOUR small children to play by or next to this Cell Tower?  
Surely, the intelligent answer is NO!**

Or, are you advocating that everyone stay indoors at all times?

So then why should we, as residents, allow this?

**Especially, since no residents and or homeowners are in favor of it, except of course, possibly AT&T or any other person or agency being monetarily compensated.**

**We are the taxpayers and we demand that this Special Use Permit NOT be granted.** I am confident that AT&T can find a safer non-residential location. I see many nearby empty hilltops with no homes located on them.

Respectfully,



Mr. John Ellen  
Homeowner/Resident  
Crystal Air Drive  
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150  
(650) 340-8689

CC: Country Club Estates Residents,  
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150