



Fwd: Green Valley Corridor Study

1 message

The BOSONE <bosone@edcgov.us>
To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Tue, Dec 17, 2013 at 12:05 PM

Cindy Munt

Assistant to Supervisor Ron Mikulaco, Dist 1
Board of Supervisors, County of El Dorado
Phone: (530) 621-5650

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Tara Mccann <mccannengineering@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Tue, Dec 17, 2013 at 12:02 PM

Subject: Green Valley Corridor Study

To: "bosone@edcgov.us" <bosone@edcgov.us>, "bostwo@edcgov.us" <bostwo@edcgov.us>, "bosthree@edcgov.us" <bosthree@edcgov.us>, "bosfour@edcgov.us" <bosfour@edcgov.us>, "bosfive@edcgov.us" <bosfive@edcgov.us>

Dear Board of Supervisors,
RE: Green Valley Corridor Study

- Concerned about cursory nature of the Scope of Work Draft for the Green Valley Corridor Study.
- County already has critical issues on this segment with just level of existing vehicle traffic. We need a thorough and workable corridor study document that will give answers and direction of how to proceed with growth and where.
- At times of the year when Hwy 50 is temporarily closed or diverted such as last summers Shingle Springs Fire grid lock is at dangerous levels for emergency responders or to get residents out if there was another fire in the vicinity. I think someone has video of last years Green Valley Rd experience

during the S.Sp.fire. Would be good to look at.

- 2B-1.Which Dixon TIA the one that is not yet released yet? The Original Dixon TIA had documented flaws and incorrect data.
- 2B-2 counts April and May? Would these be dates of Spring break or school releases in May ?
- 2B-3 please clarify no additional data is needed to address this request.
- Task 2 Deliverable - traffic data and review of "historical data" needs to be more clearly defined what is historical data will be reviewed.
- Cursory evaluation of sight distance. Not sure how you do a cursory evaluation of sight distance. Actual site distance are easy to do and should be included at minimum as part of the corridor study.
- Draft scope of the Green Valley Corridor Task 4 - analyze existing Traffic counts at intersections and roadway segments using Highway Capacity Manual HCM 2010 methodologies. Operational deficiencies identified and improvements suggested. Is the scope is only analyzing existing conditions and not any already approved or projects or projects applied for in the planning phase? What basis will the suggested improvements of the corridor study be made for - the existing conditions only? Lots of questions on the scope. I will send much more detail later. For the sake of time my global statement is the scope needs to be better defined. I am appreciative of the Boards understanding the need now at this time in El Dorado County to look at and evaluate the operation of a major Arterial Road connecting Sacramento County to points east through one of the largest population centers of the County and it's significance to the entire County as well as major feeder road to and from the the State Highway

System and the impact of the road systems in the area this one Corridor has.

Thank You for Your Service,
Tara Mccann
Resident El Dorado County

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.

If you receive this e-mail in error please contact the sender by return e-mail and delete the material from your system.

Thank you.