

Oaks

California Oak Foundation

Our mission is to protect and perpetuate native oak woodlands

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Janet Santos Cobb
President

Tom Gaman
Vice President

Lesa McDonald Chan
Secretary/Treasurer

Carol Baird
Lynn Barris
Michael Beck
Kelly McDonald

ADVISORY COUNCIL

Norma Assam
Lucian Blazej
Peter Elias
John Evarts
Jim Folsom
Bonnie Gendron
Irene Tsukada Germain
Lawrence J. Grossman
Jane Hagedorn
Neil Havlik
Sherril Hodnefield
John Hopkins
Richard Ibarra
Sharon Johnson
Patrick Kennedy
Mel Lane
Ellen Maldonado
Sam Mills
Bruce Pavlik
Catherine Rich
Janet Russel
J. K. Sasaki
Ginger Strong
Sonia Tamez
Jack Varian
Charles Warren
Richard Wilson

TECHNICAL ADVISORS

Roger Boddart
Ron Cowan
Rosemary Dagit
Rob Gross
Walter Mark
Doug McCreary
Norm Pillsbury
Malcolm Sproul
Rick Standiford

March 17, 2008

El Dorado County
Board of Supervisors
330 Fair Lane
Placerville, CA 95667

COPY SENT TO BOARD MEMBERS
FOR THEIR INFORMATION

DATE 3/18/08
Plng

Re: Final Draft Oak Woodland Management Plan

Dear Supervisors:

The California Oak Foundation (COF) writes with comments regarding the final draft Oak Woodland Management Plan (OWMP).

Background

On February 19, 2008 Attorney General Edmund G. Brown Jr. sent a letter regarding climate change and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) to 534 local government officials, including the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors and Planning Department. This letter stated in part:

"I write to you today about a myth, a challenge, and an opportunity. The myth is that there is no immediate need to address local contributions to global warming. [S]ome continue to suggest that we can afford to wait to take action. That until all the prescriptive rules are in place at the state and federal level, we can proceed with business as usual. We do not have this luxury... Fortunately, local agencies have at their disposal an extremely powerful tool. CEQA requires public agencies to mitigate or avoid 'significant effects on the environment' when it is feasible to do so. As the Legislature recognized last year when it enacted Senate Bill No. 97, greenhouse gas emissions are the type of environmental effect that agencies must address under CEQA."

The current carbon dioxide (CO₂) contribution to climate change is in large part a byproduct of mankind having removed 50 percent of the Earth's forest cover over the last 8,000 years. Continuing "deforestation accounts for about 20% of the carbon dioxide spewed into the atmosphere each year" (Wall Street Journal 2008). Based on the latest University of California figures (2007), COF estimates that since 1990 California has converted 325,000 acres of oak woodlands to other land uses. Thus, in California there are substantially less acres of oak forest to help reduce state CO₂ emissions by 2020 to 1990 levels as required by Assembly Bill 32. Additionally, the escalating deforestation of oak woodlands (25,000 acres annually) will make it that much more difficult and expensive to meet the AB 32 goal of reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050.

OWMP

In December 2007 (attached) COF advised El Dorado County that its proposed Oak Woodland Management Plan was incongruous with the California Forest Protocols, which were initiated by Senate Bill 812 in 2002, adopted by the California Climate Action Registry in 2005, incorporated into Assembly Bill 32 in 2006, recognized by Senate Bill 97 in 2007 and approved by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) on October 25, 2007. These Forest Protocols designate the conversion of oak woodlands for other land uses to be carbon dioxide (CO₂) "biological emissions," due to lost photosynthesis and combustion releases.

2008 MAR 18 AM 10:48

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
EL DORADO COUNTY



Oaks

California Oak Foundation

Our mission is to protect and perpetuate native oak woodlands

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Janet Santos Cobb
President

Tom Gaman
Vice President

Lesla McDonald Chan
Secretary/Treasurer

Carol Baird
Lynn Barris
Michael Beck
Kelly McDonald

ADVISORY COUNCIL

Norma Assau
Lucjan Blazek
Peter Elias
John Everts
Jim Folsom
Bonnie Gendron
Irene Tsukada Germain
Lawrence I. Grossman
Jane Hagedorn
Neil Havlik
Sherril Hodnefield
John Hopkins
Richard Barra
Sharon Johnson
Patrick Kennedy
Mel Lane
Ellen Maldonado
Sam Mills
Bruce Pavlik
Catherine Rich
Janet Russel
J. K. Sasaki
Ginger Strong
Sonia Tamez
Jack Varian
Charles Warren
Richard Wilson

TECHNICAL ADVISORS

Roger Boddart
Ron Cowan
Rosemary Dagit
Rob Gross
Walter Mark
Doug McCreary
Norm Pillsbury
Malcolm Sproul
Rick Standiford

December 4, 2007

Draft Oak Woodland Management Plan Comments
Attn: Monique Wilber
2850 Fairlane Court
Placerville CA 95667

Re: Oak Woodlands & Air Quality

Dear Ms. Wilber:

The California Oak Foundation (COF) appreciates the opportunity to comment on the draft Oak Woodland Management Plan (OWMP). COF comments regard the California forest protocols and their relationship to the OWMP.

The California forest protocols were adopted by the California Climate Action Registry in 2005, incorporated into Assembly Bill 32 in 2006 and approved by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) on October 25, 2007. These forest protocols recognize that converting native forests and woodlands to development is a carbon dioxide "biological emission," due to lost photosynthesis and other CO₂ releases. CARB's next step is to formulate and institute by January 1, 2010 the "discrete" early action oak woodlands regulatory system.

The CARB forest protocols focus on counting the capture or emission of CO₂ by forest "biomass." While the protocols don't yet provide default equations for oak woodland biological emissions, they do prescribe that at a minimum CO₂ emissions include impacts to live tree biomass (including roots), standing dead tree biomass and wood lying on the ground.

In the opinion of COF, CEQA oak woodland biological reviews must analyze both wildlife habitat impacts and carbon emission impacts when determining significant impacts and proportional mitigation measures. CEQA air quality questions to be answered include: (1) how much potential carbon sequestration will be lost due to impacts to tree biomass, standing dead biomass and woody debris; (2) how much captured CO₂ will be released if the impacted oaks are burned?

COF is not alone in its perspective that California's passage of AB 32 means that climate change is a potential environmental impact that needs to be addressed immediately in CEQA reviews. California Attorney General Brown has made it clear to cities and counties that discretionary approvals must provide: (1) an examination of a project's impact on climate change and the adoption of all feasible mitigation measures to reduce such impacts; (2) such analysis can - and must - be done today even absent established thresholds of significance or impending regulations under AB 32.

COPY



February 19, 2008

I write to you today about a myth, a challenge, and an opportunity. The myth is that there is no immediate need to address local contributions to global warming. The challenge is to take action today and at every level to address global warming. And the opportunity, particularly for local government, is to be an active force in the fight against global warming by asking the hard questions, seeking the best information, and making the sound decisions that will move California to a low-carbon future. As part of this opportunity, I invite you to attend one of a series of workshops that I will co-host with the Local Government Commission this spring.

The Myth

There no longer is serious debate that global temperatures are rising and that human activities play an important role. We already are seeing the effects – disappearing glaciers, shrinking snow pack, droughts, coastal erosion, bigger and more regular storms, and more extreme heat waves. But some continue to suggest that we can afford to wait to take action. That until all the prescriptive rules are in place at the state and federal level, we can proceed with business as usual. We do not have this luxury. The best available science tells us that the effects from global warming will intensify and spread if we do not take decisive, dramatic action today. As the chairman of the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change recently declared: “If there’s no action before 2012, that’s too late. What we do in the next two to three years will determine our future.”

The Challenge

In California, we have recognized the urgent need to curb greenhouse gas emissions by committing to reduce emissions to 1990 levels by 2020, and to 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050. However, even under the aggressive timetable that the Governor and Legislature have set, most of the rules being developed to reach these targets will not take effect until 2012. A tremendous amount of local and regional planning will occur between now and then. We will experience the effects of the decisions made today well into the future. Our challenge is to ensure that the planning occurring now allows us to meet the goals we have set for ourselves.

Fortunately, local agencies have at their disposal an extremely powerful tool. CEQA requires public agencies to mitigate or avoid “significant effects on the environment” when it is feasible to do so. As the Legislature recognized last year when it enacted Senate Bill No. 97, greenhouse gas emissions are the type of environmental effect that agencies must address under CEQA. Throughout California, cities, counties, and regional planning entities have begun to address global warming as an integral part of their planning efforts, as CEQA requires, even in the absence of regulatory thresholds of significance.