

9-30-13 6



EDC COB &lt;edc.cob@edcgov.us&gt;

13-0793

## Special Meeting, September 30, 2013

1 message

Langley, Cheryl@CDPR &lt;Cheryl.Langley@cdpr.ca.gov&gt;

Tue, Sep 24, 2013 at 3:09 PM

To: "bosfive@edcgov.us" <bosfive@edcgov.us>, "bosfour@edcgov.us" <bosfour@edcgov.us>, "bosone@edcgov.us" <bosone@edcgov.us>, "bosthree@edcgov.us" <bosthree@edcgov.us>, "bostwo@edcgov.us" <bostwo@edcgov.us>  
Cc: "roger.trout@edcgov.us" <roger.trout@edcgov.us>, "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

**Cheryl Langley**  
**5010 Mother Lode Drive**  
**Shingle Springs, CA 95682**

September 24, 2013

**To:** <bosone@edcgov.us>, <bostwo@edcgov.us>, <bosthree@edcgov.us>, <bosfour@edcgov.us>, bosfive@edcgov.us

**cc:** <roger.trout@edcgov.us>, <edc.cob@edcgov.us

**Subject: Special Meeting—San Stino Project & Shingle Springs Community Region Line**

Dear Board Members:

Thank you for the setting up the Special Meeting and allowing members of the public to weigh in on important issues facing our community. I'll make this brief—I know **everyone** is busy...

- Please deny the San Stino project—**send the developer back to the drawing board.** Apparently denial would be the only thing that would get his attention. Despite the community meeting held with MIG as the mediator (July 11, 2013), I couldn't help but notice that both the August 10, 2013 Sacramento Bee article and the KCRA news report of July 29, 2013 revealed that the developer was touting the project as **originally proposed**—stating proudly that he had responded to community pressure by dropping the number of houses to 1, 041.

While the developer insists that "approved projects never look like proposed projects" and is therefore continuing with the development of an EIR based on the project as originally proposed (and simply offering project "alternatives" based on community comments), it is my contention that the EIR should reflect—and fairly evaluate—the **intended project**. Otherwise, the EIR is not a full and fair evaluation of the approved/completed project. I think the

13-0793 Public Comment 9-30-13

developer is aware that he is not going to be building 1,000+ houses on the project site—right? Why not base the EIR evaluation on a sensible project that actually suits the community? **If the project—as it now stands— is denied, this would tell the developer that the county is serious about his need to adjust his plan.**

- **Please approve the adjustment to the Shingle Springs Community Region Line.** Let's keep the commercial core compact and avoid commercial sprawl. While I realize developers can always request expansion of the Community Region Line—let them. That would be a welcome hurdle—it would put the onus on the developer to prove that their project was worthy of inclusion—that it had merit, instead of just being given a “pass” because of some arbitrary line on a map.

Once again, thank you for your time and attention to these important matters that will shape our community. I will be at the meeting, but probably will not speak (and yes, I'm taking the day off from work to be there). And yes, I have a great deal of empathy for Board members—I have seen many agendas and don't know how you do the job you do—or why. It must feel thankless sometimes. Consequently, whatever the result of this meeting (I don't expect miracles) I thank you for your service.



---

## Fwd: Meeting Monday

1 message

---

**The BOSTWO** <bostwo@edcgov.us>  
To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Thu, Sep 26, 2013 at 11:07 AM

Thank you.

Kitty Miller on behalf of  
Ray Nutting  
El Dorado County Board of Supervisors  
530) 621-5651

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Frank Liebert** <sugit@pacbell.net>  
Date: Thu, Sep 26, 2013 at 9:27 AM  
Subject: Meeting Monday  
To: [bostwo@edcgov.us](mailto:bostwo@edcgov.us)

Please do not Amend the General Plan to accommodate Tilden Park and San Stino developments the people have spoken loud and clear they don't want these developments as proposed. And also remove the CRL.  
Thank you, Frank Liebert

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.

If you receive this e-mail in error please contact the sender by return e-mail and delete the material from your system.

Thank you.