

Please note: forwarded message attached

Mr. Jakubowski:

Attached is the staff report for the project for your information. I will forward a copy of your email to the Board of Supervisors. Please let me know you received this and have any questions:

Tom Dougherty, Associate Planner
El Dorado County Planning Services
2850 Fairlane Court
Placerville, CA 95667

Phone: (530) 621-5875
Fax: (530) 642-0508

"Jakubowski" <ajakubowski@juno.com>

12/27/2008 09:47 PM

To: tdougherty@co.el-dorado.ca.us
cc

Subject: Tentative Subdivision Map Correction TM69-0002-C3

Tom Dougherty,

I am **against** the request to modify recorded map E-29 (TM69-0002-C3) to reduce the front 25 ft building setback and the 20 ft front utility easement to 12.5 ft for lot 128 Gold Ridge Forest Subdivision, Unit 1. Identified by the Assessor's Parcel Number 099-453-02.

The setbacks as recorded, provide the integrity and intent of a forested development. Hence the Subdivision name, **Gold Ridge Forest**.

I would only concede my not in favor of the frontage easement reductions, if both a Geotechnical Feasibility Survey and a Utility Feasibility Survey provided irrefutable evidence that the current setbacks as recorded are not feasible.

Anthony Jakubowski

3525 Jade Dr

Pollock Pines,CA 95726

Lot #126

009-452-09

Save \$15 on Flowers and Gifts from FTD!

Shop now at www.ftd.com/17007

99 JAN -5 PM 4:40
RECEIVED
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Lillian Johnson

3426 Jade Drive
Pollock Pines, CA 95726

Mailing Address:
650 Sanchez Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

kinshipilillian@yahoo.com

December 29, 2008

Tom Dougherty
Project Planner
El Dorado County Planning Services
2850 Fairlane Court
Placerville, CA 95667


Dear Mr. Dougherty,

Against Proposed Subdivision Map Correction TM09-0002-C3

As you can see, my home is located almost directly across Jade Drive from the property requesting variances for front building setback and front utility easement (see above). I am not a realtor or real estate attorney and cannot cite laws that support my position on this request. I am simply an owner who bought here in Gold Ridge Property Owners' Association, El Dorado County because my family and I wanted to enjoy the natural beauty of the area. We have owned our home here since 1993 and during the intervening years have watched the County and our area slowly lose much of the forested areas and natural habitats as more and more building has taken place. I understand the need to balance requests for variances to current building codes against protecting the expectations of existing property owners who relied on the codes when choosing the area. But I think both our expectations and the broader environmental need to avoid destruction of the forested areas in the County should take precedence over an individual desire to over-build a particular lot within the development. In San Francisco, such buildings have the derogatory name "monster homes". Already the owner of that property has cut down a large number of the trees and, if allowed these variances, will succeed in creating a use that belongs in a city or suburban area, not a mountain forested area.

Please do not grant these two requests. The lots in this area accommodate reasonably large home structures and the existing home owners should not be ignored in their desire to maintain the current ambiance of the neighborhood.

Sincerely yours,


Lillian Johnson

cc: Gold Ridge Property Owners' Association