Ranalli: Fiduciary to public = RMP represents heart & lifeblood of EDC

Concerns re: CAO report re: Bill Crenshaw & Victoria Sacksteder appointments – RMAC dissolved by end of 2017

RMAC transparency & accountability

- 1. Ruled by American River Conservancy, CA State Parks & BLM = River Mafia
- 2. Perpetual Brown Act violations & Principal Agent Oaths of Office
- 3. Falsified minutes, info and recommendations submitted to BOS & Planning Com
- 4. RMAC website changed: (See current view reverts to 2013)
- 5. No longer shows RMAC appointee term start/end or contact info
- 6. 2012 Meeting with EDSO/Trout/MGDP issues (see agenda)

Audio recorded meetings w/Vickie Sanders & consultant Steve Petersen

- 1. 2014-15 Dissolve RMAC predetermined outcome done deal
- 2. 8/3/15 Personnel issues Noah Rucker admitted set up & false data
- 3. Noah admitted @ April Planning RMP deviated from SOP= never sent to BOS
- 4. 9/14/15 4 witnesses = RMAC Roger Trout/Ranalli set up
- 5. RMAC Violations of Principal Agent Oaths of Office
- 6. Retaliation, harassment & unnecessary law suits

Any act by any public official either supports & defends the Constitution, or opposes and violates it. Per the Brown Act, please dialog publicly at this time the following issues:

- 1. RMAC ad hoc set in place for State & Federal control of RMP?
- 2. If so, to whom is ad hoc accountable?
- 3. RMAC ad hoc remain under Parks & Rec Commission?
- 4. All claims can be substantiated. If you have knowledge of wrong doing, then why aren't you taking remedial action?

<u>Madam Clerk:</u> Please enter these documents into the public record:

- 1. Current RMAC screenshot
- 2. RMAC start/end terms
- 3. 8/3/15 meeting agenda w/Vickie Sanders
- 4. 9/4/12 meeting agenda with D'Agostini, Trout & Jeremy McReynolds

6. 17-0528 Clerk of the Board recommending the Board make the following appointments to the River Management Advisory Committee: Appoint William Crenshaw, Non-Commercial Boater Representative (Vacant), Term Expiration 05/03/2021; and Appoint Victoria Sacksteder, Member at Large (Vacant), Term Expiration 05/03/2021.



River Management Hame > Government > Rivers

What is the River Management Advisory Committee?



The River Management Advisory Committee (RMAC) provides a forum for the discussion of river use issues, ideas and conflicts. RMAC is a formal advisory body appointed by the County Board of Supervisors (RMAC Resolution). The committee makes recommendations to both the County Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors on matters related to whitewater recreation and campground development along the South Fork American River. Most of the committee's efforts during recent years have been related to the River Management Plan Update. The County established RMAC to be representative of a broad base of interests concerning the river. There are seven members and two alternates: a State Department of Parks & Rec Representative and their alternate, a permitted outfitter, a non-commercial boater; one local business representatives; one local residents; and two members-at-large.

RMAC meets January through November on the second Monday of each month, starting at 7:00 p.m., in the Board of Supervisors Meeting Room, 330 Fair Lane, Bldg A, Placerville, CA 95667. The public is invited to attend and participate. (Committee Members)



Subscribe to River Management Advisory Committee Agendas and Minutes. More Information

River Management Advisory Committee Agendas and Minutes are now located at

http://eldorado.legistar.com/Calendar.aspx

- RMAC Meeting 4-15-2014 Audio
- RMAC Meeting 3-18-2014 Audio
- RMAC Meeting 8-16-2016 Audio

Archives (Agenda & Minutes)

Request for Agenda Item Form

2012 / 2013 RMAC Meeting Calendar

Agenda	Minutes		
July 16, 2013	July 16, 2013-Draft		
June 18, 2013	CANCELLED		
May 9, 2013	May 9, 2013		
April 11 <mark>,</mark> 2013 2012 Annual Report - Draft	April 1, 2013		
March 14, 2013	March 14, 2013		
February 13, 2013	February 13, 2013		
January 15, 2013	January 15, 2013		
January 10, 2013	CANCELLED		
November 08, 2012	November 08, 2012		
October 11, 2012	October 11, 2012		
September 18, 2012	September 18, 2012		
September 13, 2012	September 13, 2012		
August 9, 2012	August 9, 2012		
July 12, 2012 Institutional Discussion - Posted 8/28/12	July 12, 2012		



Board of Supervisors

Home> Government> Board of Supervisors

Return to Index



River Management Advisory Committee

Committee oversees the administration of the River Management Plan and may consider and make recommendations on amendments to the plan and related matters. (Resolution 065-2002)

Term Limits: Four-year term.

Email:

Membership: Seven members to be appointed by the Board of Supervisors: Business Representative, Outfitter Representative, Non-commercial boater Representative, Landowner/Resident Representative, California Dept. of Parks and Recreation Representative, Members-at-Large (2).

Meetings: Winter Schedule - Meetings are held the second Wednesday of each month at 7:00 p.m. at the Coloma-Lotus Fire Station. Summer Schedule May-Sept.

Contact: Noah Triplett 621-6052

Website:

Agendas/Minutes:

Mema	Weight Hamilton	Appointed	êngipa"	Expression
Carter, Eric	Roll: State Dept Of Parks & Rec Alternate Appointed by: Full Board District: N/A	1/4/2011	2:24/2009	01/01/2015
Cushman, Faith	Roll: Landowner/Resident Appointed by: Full Board District: 4th	3/8/2011	3/8/2011	01/01/2014
Deitchman, Bill	Roll: State Dept Of Parks & Recreation Rep Appointed by: Full Board District: N/A	1/4/2011	8/28/2001	01-01-2015
Gershon, Keith	Roll: Non-Commercial Boater Rep Appointed by: Full Board District: 4th	1/29/2013	1/29/2013	01/01/2017
Lasko, Tim	Roll: Member-at-large Appointed by: Full Board District: 4th	4/6/2010	8/15/2006	01/01/2014
Liles, Stephen	Roll: Outfitter Appointed by: Full Board	1/4/2011	8/14/2007	01-01-2015



Liles, Stephen	Roll: Outfitter Appointed by: Full Board District: 4th	1/4/2011	8/14/2007	01-01-2015
Pugliese, Dominic	Roll: Coloma/Lotus Business Owner Appointed by: Full Board District: 4th	4/23/2013	4/23/2013	01/01/2015
Tahl, Marilyn	Roll: Member-at-large Appointed by: Full Board District: 4th	1/4/2011	1/30/2007	01/01/2015

Corrections to this page may be sent to Cynthia. Johnson@edcgov.us

Return to Index

Home | I Want To | Living | Visiting | Government | Doing Business
Translation | Policies and Disclaimers | Site Map.

8/3/15 RMAC Meeting

Parks & Recreation – Vickie Sanders

I. Personnel Issues

- A. Noah Rucker
- B. RMAC minutes/Brown Act violations/Audio recordings
- C. Conspiracy/harassment/discrimination
- D. Remedial action

II. Next RMAC Meeting

- A. Rescheduled Date?
- B. May 2010 Brown Act Ciccozzi/Briggs/Mtn. Demo
- C. Wording of agenda > Bullying
- D. EDSO

5 & 8

EDSO, MGDP, Planning SUP Agenda

September 4, 2012

I. RMP Subject to Brown Act – 4 Entities:

- 1. Federal BLM
- 2. State CA State Parks, F&G
- 3. Local BOS, Planning, Env. Mgt., MGDP
- 4. NGOs ARC, Sierra Conservancy, Chamber of Commerce (AB42)

II. 3 Tiers – Planning/Code Enforcement

- 1. Restaurants Coloma Club Brawl
- 2. Special Events ARC Trailfest & Wakamatsu music events
- 3. Campgrounds
 - a) American River Resort Toe Up Cup 7/25
 - b) Coloma Resort Gunshots/Fireworks 7/4

III. RMAC – SUPs

- 1. CA State Parks
- 2. Environmental Management No response from G. Stanton
- 3. Planning/Dev. Services Stonewalling Fees & Easements

IV. Code & Law Enforcement

- 1. Restoring Trust & Improving Community Relations
 - a) Retaliation
 - b) Media Manipulation
- 2. Consequences Property Values, privacy, easements, eminent domain
- 3. Transparency & Accountability
 - a) MOUs
 - b) Ordinances
 - c) Documentation
 - d) Planning Commission P&Ps (decibel meters, sound chart, software)

V. Next Steps & Follow Up Target Dates

- 1. EDSO & MGDP
- 2. CAO-DOT/Environmental Management/Planning



Melody Lane

From: Melody Lane <melody.lane@reagan.com>

Monday, May 15, 2017 10:19 AM Sent:

To: Michael Ranalli

Cc: shiva.frentzen@edcgov.us; brian.veerkamp@edcgov.us; sue.novasel@edcgov.us;

john.hidahl@edcgov.us; Jim Mitrisin; edc.cob@edcgov.us; 'Donald Ashton';

bosfive@edcgov.us; bosfour@edcgov.us; bosone@edcgov.us; bosthree@edcgov.us;

bostwo@edcgov.us

Please pull Item #6 from Consent for public discussion and dialog Subject:

Attachments: 8-3-16 Agenda CAO Ranalli Trout.docx; Counsel CAO CPRA Agenda 10-4-16.docx

Importance: High

Supervisor Ranalli, et al:

There are several issues pertaining to the River Management Advisory Committee that have been perpetually swept under the rug of government bureaucracy. In the interest of public transparency and accountability, and pursuant to Sections 54954.3 and 54954.2(a) of the Brown Act, please pull Item #6 from Consent for public discussion and dialog.

Also ensure the entirety of this message, with attachments, is timely posted via the government distribution system.

§54954.3 Public's right to testify at meetings. (c) The legislative body of a local agency shall not prohibit public criticism of the policies, procedures, programs, or services of the agency, or of the acts or omissions of the legislative body. Nothing in this subdivision shall confer any privilege or protection for expression beyond that otherwise provided by law. Care must be given to avoid violating the speech rights of speakers by suppressing opinions relevant to the business of the body.

As such, members of the public have broad constitutional rights to comment on any subject relating to the business of the governmental body. Any attempt to restrict the content of such speech must be narrowly tailored to effectuate a compelling state interest. Specifically, the courts found that policies that prohibited members of the public from criticizing school district employees were unconstitutional. (Leventhal v. Vista Unified School Dist. (1997) 973 F. Supp. 951; Baca v. Moreno Valley Unified School Dist. (1996) 936 F. Supp. 719.) These decisions found that prohibiting critical comments was a form of viewpoint discrimination and that such a prohibition promoted discussion artificially geared toward praising (and maintaining) the status quo, thereby foreclosing meaningful public dialog.

Where a member of the public raises an issue which has not yet come before the legislative body, the item may be briefly discussed but no action may be taken at that meeting. The purpose of the discussion is to permit a member of the public to raise an issue or problem with the legislative

body or to permit the legislative body to provide information to the public, provide direction to its staff, or schedule the matter for a future meeting. (§ 54954.2(a).)

Melody Lane

Founder - Compass2Truth

Any act by any public officer either supports and upholds the Constitution, or opposes and violates it.

