



Brenda J Bailey/PV/EDC  
06/05/2007 07:18 AM

To Cindy L Keck/PV/EDC@TCP  
cc  
bcc  
Subject Fw: Rezone, Agenda item 54 in 6/5/07 meeting

— Forwarded by Brenda J Bailey/PV/EDC on 06/05/2007 07:18 AM —



Paul Raveling  
<paul.raveling@sierrafoot.org  
>  
06/04/2007 07:38 PM

To Rusty Dupray <bosone@co.el-dorado.ca.us>, Helen  
Baumann <bostwo@co.el-dorado.ca.us>, Jack Sweeney  
<bosthree@co.el-dorado.ca.us>, Ron Briggs  
<bosfour@co.el-dorado.ca.us>, Norma Santiago  
<bosfive@co.el-dorado.ca.us>  
cc Paul Raveling <Paul.Raveling@sierrafoot.org>  
Subject Rezone, Agenda item 54 in 6/5/07 meeting

Supervisors,

With apologies for a late submission, this is in response to a phone request tonight from a Waterford resident, one of three who asked that the BOS not grant the request (006-0017) for rezoning and subdivision of a parcel in Equestrian Village. I explained to the caller that the Waterford HOA board is prohibited by state law from advocacy of public policy decisions not directly affecting Waterford or its homeowners. This email is a personal report, not an expression of opinion of the Waterford Owners Association or of the the El Dorado Hills Citizens Alliance.

With regard to this subdivision I received a total of two phone calls from a single Waterford homeowner, one contact with from an owner in personal conversation, and the HOA had one unsolicited comment in response to a recent survey of Waterford owners. The survey concerned two unrelated issues, but the survey forms provided space for owners to write comments about any community issue. Text of the survey comment in question is:

E.D. County Hearing re: Lakehills Parcel P06-0017 - rezone  
No! Waterford board must respond ASAP re: rezoning lots on Lakehills to smaller 3 acre parcels inconsistent with current look of that area. Keep themas. 10 acre parcels

Almost all of our 110 responses to the survey were submitted anonymously. I confirmed that this comment did not come from the owner who I spoke with at length by phone, who had in fact not submitted a survey response. The final tally for Waterford owners who contacted me or the HOA was 3 to 0 in favor of retaining current zoning.

The owner who I talked with by phone emphasized traffic impacts. My impression of local sentiment is that retaining the rural character of the neighboring land use would be more important to most Waterford owners than traffic impacts that would result from this subdivision.

-- Paul

---

Paul Raveling

Paul.Raveling@sierrafoot.org

Web site: <http://www.sierrafoot.org>

(916) 933-5826 Home and home office

(916) 849-5826 Cell phone

Usually Wednesdays and Thursdays:

(650) 506-8393 Office at Oracle Headquarters