



CALIFORNIA REPUBLIC

REORGANIZED

Reorganized State of California Ordinance 24-01

**THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA INSURRECTION AGAINST THE UNITED STATES
CONSTITUTION**

AUTHORS

Terry McBride, Calaveras County
Liz Ritchie, Contra Costa County
Josh Disney, San Benito County

The people of California are suffering from a tyrannical state government which fails to provide a republican form of governance, enables and supports across its southern border the invasion of the United States of America by illegal foreign nationals and protects vicious criminals who commit outrageous acts of violence upon the Citizens of America all caused by a government of and for a mono-party system lead by a tyrannical dictator who openly defies federal law.

California's mono-party political machine, its socialist Governor, along with communist state legislators, socialist elected officials, agencies, and unelected regional governance boards, are colluding to create a Communist California state in direct violation of both Article IV, Section 4 and Article VI of the United States Constitution.

The state of California is moving at "breakneck speed" toward the ultimate Communist state. The California 1850 Compact Constitution was legislatively replaced in 1879 by a progressive constitution. After over 155 years of hard work to destroy all vestiges of the United States Constitution by using the 1879 Constitution and through court decisions, over 920 amendments to the California constitution, massive taxes, strangulating regulations, regionalism, deliberate destruction of the United States premier education and economic systems along with the raping of one of the planets finest environmental zones, the stage is now being set for the final nails into California's totalitarian coffin.

Since the mid 1990s, California has been a "mono party" system. Over the years the noose around the states "republican" form of government has been tightened with bogus constitutional amendments that include "redistricting" congressional and state seats and resorting to a political party killing amendment Proposition 14 that allows the two "top" vote getting candidates from the state's primaries to move onto the general elections regardless of party affiliation.

Worse yet, since the passage of the 2010 Proposition 14, criminal cartels have taken control of the porous southern border of California and have established operations to traffic human slaves and to distribute massive amounts of fentanyl that kills thousands of Americans each year. This operation run by the cartel network works in conjunction with government financed non-governmental agencies and have taken control of California's political machine.

In 2020, the California Attorney General's office proposed an amendment to the California's state constitution to replace the "Bicameral Assembly and Senate with a Unicameral Non-Partisan Legislature and to increase the number of members serving in the Legislature" that was considered for the November ballot. If the "Unicameral Non-Partisan Legislature" proposition had passed, the loss of a representative form of government and the formation of a totalitarian state government would have been completed.

The pattern and timing of these events are by design and will doom the state of California and the Citizens of the United States who live within its border into tyranny patterned after the Communist Chinese Maoist takeover of China following World War II.

WHEREAS, California's has a "porous" border with sections of inadequate fencing on the southern border as well as access from the Pacific Ocean onto miles of unguarded beaches. It is known that thousands of unidentified foreign nationals referred to as "Got Aways" enter the state monthly violating federal immigration laws; and

WHEREAS, the porous border of California allows untold numbers of the illegal foreign nationals to enter California trafficking humans for labor and sex trade with no plan to remedy to secure the border and violating Federal law 22 U.S.C. Section 7102 and California Penal Code Section 236.1; and

WHEREAS, the porous border of California allows untold numbers of the illegal foreign nationals to enter California trafficking dangerous life threatening drugs including fentanyl which is killing citizens with no plan to remedy to secure the border; and

WHEREAS, the true purpose of all government is to promote the welfare and provide for the protection and security of the governed, and when any form or organization of government proves inadequate for, or subversive of this purpose, it is the RIGHT, it is the DUTY of the PEOPLE to alter or abolish it.

WHEREAS, the Constitution's first three words—*We the People*—affirm that the government of the United States exists by the consent of the people to serve its citizens. The government of California, the current socialist governor and mono-party system along with local officials are following a coordinated design with the intent to actively engage in *acts of insurrection* against the United States Constitution; and

WHEREAS, the existing State of California Government thus called has not only abused the powers nominally entrusted to it, but, with the connivance and active aid of the executive, has usurped and exercised other powers, to the manifest injury of the people, which, if permitted, will inevitably subject them to despotism and tyranny.

BE IT RESOLVED, acting on behalf of all Citizens of California, the Reorganized State of California will establish a restored California Government. The Reorganized California Government will consist of an Executive Branch, Judicial Branch and a Bi-Cameral Legislative Branch of government.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Reorganized State of California shall honor the Constitution of the United States of America as the supreme law of the land and shall honor Articles IV, V and VI that embody the concepts of federalism, describe the rights and responsibilities of state governments and the states in relationship to the federal government.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Reorganized State of California shall ensure Law Enforcement enforce and the Courts prosecute those engaged in modern-day slavery according to Federal law 22 U.S.C. Section 7102 and California Penal Code Section 236.1 thus protect the rights of the people under Article IV, Section 4 of the United States Constitution that guarantees to every state in the Union a republican form of government, and to protect each of them against invasion; and on application of the legislature, or the executive (when the legislature cannot be convened) against

domestic violence in accordance with our Compact secured by the California Statehood Act of September 9, 1850.

ADOPTED this ____ day of _____, 2024.

AYES: _____

NOES: _____

Reorganized State of California Assembly

Reorganized State of California Senate

Joshua Disney
Speaker of the Assembly

Terry McBride
Senate President Pro Tempore

On July 19th American Paving Project Superintendent, Kyle Wengel, approached my front gate and attempted to sell me several tons of excess gravel from the Mt. Murphy Road paving project. I explained to him that **my tax dollars** already paid for the materials and labor to pave the road, so I was not about to pay for it a second time. Kyle very quickly backpedaled and offered to deliver the gravel **for free** on Monday, July 22nd.

It is apparent by my surveillance photos that the **double chip seal** process Rafael Martinez described is NOT what was applied to Mt. Murphy Road. The **single chip seal** process was completed by 10:54 AM. Shortly thereafter Kyle arrived to determine exactly where to dump the gravel.

Although I am sincerely grateful for the free delivery of **8 tons** of gravel to my driveway, those materials should have been applied to the road by American Paving. It is evident that 3.5-foot swaths of the road were not properly surfaced which will cause it to quickly deteriorate. More importantly is the matter of DOT accountability regarding the **fraudulent misuse of taxpayer funds** for this paving project.

Pursuant to El Dorado County Charter, Section 401, **Department Heads** shall cooperate with the **Chief Administrative Officer** so that the CAO may achieve and complete coordination of all county activities. In the event of a **constituent complaint** regarding a department head or their staff, it is the responsibility of the Department Head to handle that matter **in accordance with federal and state law and County policy**. **Rafael Martinez** is required to **communicate** necessary and relevant information to the **CAO in a timely manner** in order to achieve the **complete coordination** of all county activities. The CAO will then **communicate** with the **District Supervisor**, in this case, **Lori Parlin**.

However, former CAO Don Ashton unlawfully ordered the former IT Director to block my email **communications** with staff. The current CAO and the IT Director have maintained that **unlawful order**, and in so doing they violated my First Amendment rights and their oaths of office. Any **deceptive, obstructive enterprise** by any public servant that weakens confidence and undermines the sense of security for individual rights is against the Supreme Law of the land, and all other laws which comply with the Constitution. Your knowledge of their misconduct, and failure to take remedial measures, makes you **complicit and liable**.

Lori, during the last BOS meeting you heaped praise upon **Democrat Kris Payne** for his prepared questions when CAO Tiffany Schmid was the Taxpayers speaker. Everyone knows Kris is obsessed with controlling the narrative for his dog and pony show. Since you are part of the problem, it's high time you take responsibility to fix it.

Kim Dawson

From: melody.lane@reagan.com
Sent: Tuesday, August 13, 2024 3:22 PM
To: Kim Dawson; BOS-Clerk of the Board; Lori Parlin; Rafael Martinez
Cc: BOS-District V; David A Livingston; Tiffany Schmid
Subject: 8/13/24 BOS Open Forum Public Comments - Mt. Murphy Road DOT Paving Fraud

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Please ensure the entirety of my Open Forum public comments, including photos, are entered into the public record.

Pursuant to Good Governance Policy, transparency, and Section 54954.2(a) of the Brown Act, Lori Parlin and the CAO are required by law to address the following issues:

1. Hold DOT/Rafael Martinez accountable regarding the fraudulent misuse of taxpayer funds for this paving project.
2. Rectify the issue of the *unlawful* directive of the past and present CAOs who blocked my ability to communicate via email with all county staff.

###

On July 19th American Paving Project Superintendent, Kyle Wengel, approached my front gate and attempted to sell me several tons of excess gravel from the Mt. Murphy Road paving project. I explained to him that **my tax dollars** already paid for the materials and labor to pave the road, so I was not about to pay for it a second time. Kyle very quickly backpedaled and offered to deliver the gravel **for free** on Monday, July 22nd.

It is apparent by my surveillance photos that the double chip seal process Rafael Martinez described is NOT what was applied to Mt. Murphy Road. The single chip seal process was completed by 10:54 AM. Shortly thereafter Kyle arrived to determine exactly where to dump the gravel.

Although I am sincerely grateful for the free delivery of **8 tons of gravel to my driveway**, those materials should have been applied to the road by American Paving. It is evident that 3.5-foot swaths of the road were not properly surfaced which will cause it to quickly deteriorate. More importantly is the matter of DOT accountability regarding the **fraudulent misuse of taxpayer funds** for this paving project.

Pursuant to El Dorado County Charter, Section 401, **Department Heads** shall cooperate with the **Chief Administrative Officer** so that the CAO may achieve and complete coordination of all county activities. In the event of a **constituent complaint** regarding a department head or their staff, it is the responsibility of the Department Head to handle that matter **in accordance with federal and state law and County policy**. **Rafael Martinez** is required to communicate necessary and relevant information to the **CAO in a timely manner** in order to achieve the complete coordination of all

county activities. The CAO will then communicate with the **District Supervisor**, in this case, **Lori Parlin**.

However, former CAO Don Ashton unlawfully ordered the former IT Director to block my email communications with staff. The current CAO and the IT Director have maintained that **unlawful order**, and in so doing they violated my First Amendment rights and their oaths of office. Any **deceptive, obstructive enterprise** by any public servant that weakens confidence and undermines the sense of security for individual rights is against the Supreme Law of the land, and all other laws which comply with the Constitution. Your knowledge of their misconduct, and failure to take remedial measures, makes you **complicit and liable**.

Lori, during the last BOS meeting you heaped praise upon **Democrat Kris Payne for his prepared questions when CAO Tiffany Schmid was the Taxpayers speaker**. Everyone knows Kris is obsessed with controlling the narrative for his dog and pony show. Since you are part of the problem, it's high time you take responsibility to fix it.

Melody Lane

Founder – Compass2Truth

Brown Act Preamble: “The people, in delegating authority, do not give their public servants the right to decide what is good for the people to know and what is not good for them to know. The people do not yield their sovereignty to the bodies that serve them. The people insist on remaining informed to retain control over the legislative bodies they have created.”

From: melody.lane@reagan.com <melody.lane@reagan.com>

Sent: Thursday, August 1, 2024 6:29 PM

To: 'Rafael Martinez' <Rafael.Martinez@edcgov.us>; 'Lori Parlin' <lori.parlin@edcgov.us>; 'Shelley Wiley' <Shelley.Wiley@edcgov.us>; 'David A Livingston' <david.livingston@edcgov.us>; Tiffany Schmid <Tiffany.Schmid@edcgov.us>; Tonya Digiorno <tonya.digiorno@edcgov.us>

Cc: 'Preston Moore' <Preston.Moore@edcgov.us>; 'BOS-District V' <bosfive@edcgov.us>; 'BOS-District IV' <bosfour@edcgov.us>; 'BOS-District I' <bosone@edcgov.us>; 'BOS-District III' <bosthree@edcgov.us>; 'BOS-District II' <bostwo@edcgov.us>; 'Kelly D. Carnahan' <Kelly.Carnahan@edcgov.us>; road.maintenance@edcgov.us; Richard Esposito <resposito@mtdemocrat.net>; Noel Stack <nstack@mtdemocrat.net>; Eric Jaramishian <eric@mtdemocrat.com>

Subject: RE: Mt. Murphy Road - PRA/Incomplete project/gravel scam

Mr. Martinez, et al,

Note that on 7/19/24 at approximately 1:51 PM American Paving Project Superintendent, Kyle Wengel, approached my front gate and attempted to sell me several tons of excess gravel from the Mt. Murphy Road paving project. I explained to him that **my tax dollars** already paid for the materials and labor to pave Mt. Murphy Road, so I was not about to pay for it a second time. Kyle very quickly backpedaled and offered to deliver the gravel **for free** on Monday, July 22nd.

It is glaringly apparent by my surveillance videos that the double chip seal process you described in #2 below is NOT what was applied to Mt. Murphy Road. Videos show that the work commenced on 7/22 at approximately 7:35 AM and the **single chip seal** process was completed by 10:54 AM.

Kyle arrived at 11:48 AM to determine exactly where to deposit approximately 8 tons of gravel in my driveway. By 12:32 PM the first of four trucks arrived, and the last truck departed by 1:25 PM.

Although I am sincerely grateful for the free delivery of 8 tons of gravel to my driveway, those materials should have been applied to Mt. Murphy Road by American Paving Systems. You can clearly see by the photos that 2.5 – 3.5' swaths of the road in front of my home were not properly surfaced which will cause it to quickly deteriorate.





More importantly is the matter of DOT accountability regarding the **fraudulent misuse of taxpayer funds** for this paving project.

Pursuant to El Dorado County Charter, Section 401, **Department Heads shall cooperate with the Chief Administrative Officer** so that the Chief Administrative Officer may achieve and complete coordination of all county activities. In the event of a **constituent complaint** regarding an elected department head or their staff, it is the responsibility of the Department Head to handle that matter as they deem most appropriate and **in accordance with federal and state law and County policy**. However, each Department Head is required to communicate necessary and relevant information to the **Chief Administrative Officer in a timely manner in order to achieve the complete coordination of all county activities**. The Chief Administrative Officer will then communicate with the **District Supervisor**.

However, former CAO Don Ashton unlawfully ordered the former IT Director to obstruct and/or filter my communications. The current IT Director, Tonya Digiorno, has maintained Mr. Ashton's unlawful order, and in so doing she violated my First Amendment rights and her oaths of office.

Your knowledge of such misconduct within your department, and failure to take remedial measures, does not demonstrate transparency or "Good Governance" by any stretch of the imagination. Any deceptive, obstructive enterprise undertaken by any public servant, such as you, that tends to weaken public confidence and undermines the sense of security for individual rights, is against public policy and against the Supreme Law of the land and all other laws which comply with the national Constitution. Fraud, in its elementary common-law sense of **deceit**, is the simplest and clearest definition of that word [483 U.S. 372] in the statute. *See United States v. Dial, 757 F.2d 163, 168 (7th Cir. 1985), supra, - Any enterprise undertaken by the public official who tends to weaken public confidence and undermine the sense of security for individual rights is against public policy.*

Melody Lane
Founder – Compass2Truth

Any act by any public officer either supports and upholds the Constitution, or opposes and violates it.

From: melody.lane@reagan.com <melody.lane@reagan.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 30, 2024 12:55 PM

To: 'Rafael Martinez' <Rafael.Martinez@edcgov.us>; 'Lori Parlin' <lori.parlin@edcgov.us>; 'Shelley Wiley' <Shelley.Wiley@edcgov.us>; 'David A Livingston' <david.livingston@edcgov.us>; Tiffany Schmid (Tiffany.Schmid@edcgov.us) <Tiffany.Schmid@edcgov.us>; Tonya Digiorno (tonya.digiorno@edcgov.us) <tonya.digiorno@edcgov.us>; Kim Dawson (Kim.Dawson@edcgov.us) <Kim.Dawson@edcgov.us>; edc.cob@edcgov.us

Cc: 'Preston Moore' <Preston.Moore@edcgov.us>; 'BOS-District V' <bosfive@edcgov.us>; 'BOS-District IV' <bosfour@edcgov.us>; 'BOS-District I' <bosone@edcgov.us>; 'BOS-District III' <bosthree@edcgov.us>; 'BOS-District II' <bostwo@edcgov.us>; 'Kelly D. Carnahan' <Kelly.Carnahan@edcgov.us>; 'road.maintenance@edcgov.us' <road.maintenance@edcgov.us>

Subject: RE: Mt. Murphy Road double chip seal...PRA Request for Information

Mr. Martinez, et al,

Although you are out of the office until 8/5/24, it is apparent you are still resorting to your usual Bureaucratic Shenanigans. Diverting and obfuscating the issues, especially pertaining to DOT accountability, is unacceptable and will not be tolerated.

First and foremost, it is apparent IT is still blocking my emails. I'm referring to the matter of the former CAO, Don Ashton, unlawfully ordering IT to block my ability to communicate electronically with staff. Despite numerous requests made to Tiffany Schmid and David Livingston, this issue has never been resolved which represents a direct assault upon my 1st Amendment rights.

During our conversation yesterday, Preston confirmed that despite several attempts to forward emails to him and road maintenance, **none of my emails were ever received by DOT**. You are aware that failure to provide public services to constituents violates local, state, and federal laws, ordinances, regulations, and statutes. I demand the County immediately remediate my ability to communicate with DOT and all other EDC staff.

Secondly, I abhor dishonesty, particularly by public servants whose salaries are paid via my tax dollars. It is insulting for you to claim that the chip seal on Mt. Murphy Road is not inferior when it is glaringly apparent from the photographs and my surveillance videos that the work done on Mt. Murphy Road by American Pavement Systems was NOT the double chip seal you described in #2 below.

It is also glaringly evident that American Pavement/DOT failed to apply a double chip-seal 2–3' wide swaths in front of my property.

Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to obtain **via email** the following information:

- 1.) The name of the Transportation inspector assigned by DOT to oversee the completion and the quality standards of the project work.
- 2.) The American Pavement Systems contract, and all DOT communications with APS relevant to the scope, cost, and timeline pertaining to the double chip seal project work on Mt. Murphy Road.
- 3.) All internal DOT communications with staff pertaining to the chip seal of Mt. Murphy Road project and the remediation of the missed portions of the road.

To avoid unnecessary delays or costs of duplication, electronic copies are acceptable and may be emailed directly to melody.lane@reagan.com in **pdf format**. **Access is always free**. Fees for "inspection" or "processing" are prohibited. (§ 6253)

The agency must justify the withholding of any record by demonstrating that the record is exempt or that the public interest in confidentiality outweighs the public interest in disclosure. (§6255) **If you determine that some but not all of the information is exempt from disclosure and that you intend to withhold it, I ask that you provide a signed notification citing the specific legal authorities on whom you rely.**

It is further requested that your determination be made within **10 days, or sooner**, as stipulated within the California Public Records Act, Government Code 6253(c). ***Note these time periods may not be used solely to delay access to the records. (§ 6253(d))**

Please do not hesitate to contact me immediately if you have any questions.

Melody Lane

Founder – Compass2Truth

"To compel a man to subsidize with his taxes the propagation of ideas which he disbelieves and abhors is sinful and tyrannical." ~ Thomas Jefferson ~

###

Sent: Monday, July 29, 2024 9:27 AM

To: <Preston.Moore@edcgov.us>; <road.maintenance@edcgov.us>; <Kelly.Carnahan@edcgov.us>; <matt.smeltzer@edcgov.us>; rafael.martinez@edcgov.us; <david.livingston@edcgov.us>

Cc: <Tiffany.Schmid@edcgov.us>; <tonya.digiorno@edcgov.us>; <bosfive@edcgov.us>; <bosfour@edcgov.us>; <bosone@edcgov.us>; <bosthree@edcgov.us>; <bostwo@edcgov.us>

Subject: FW: Mt. Murphy Road double chip seal...

Apparently my emails are still blocked by IT. Obstruction of EDC public services to constituents violates local, state, and federal laws, ordinances, regulations, and statutes. Please remediate my ability to communicate with DOT staff immediately.

Melody Lane

Founder – Compass2Truth

From: Rafael Martinez <Rafael.Martinez@edcgov.us>

Sent: Tuesday, July 30, 2024 7:31 AM

To: melody.lane@reagan.com; Lori Parlin <lori.parlin@edcgov.us>; Shelley Wiley <Shelley.Wiley@edcgov.us>

Cc: Preston Moore <Preston.Moore@edcgov.us>; BOS-District V <bosfive@edcgov.us>; BOS-District IV <bosfour@edcgov.us>; BOS-District I <bosone@edcgov.us>; BOS-District III <bosthree@edcgov.us>; BOS-District II <bostwo@edcgov.us>; Kelly D. Carnahan <Kelly.Carnahan@edcgov.us>

Subject: RE: Mt. Murphy Road double chip seal...

Melody,

The answers to your questions are in red below.

1. Do these photos actually represent a double-chip seal, or just a single-chip seal?
Yes, the photos do represent a double-chip seal.
2. Exactly what does a double chip seal entail, and what is the anticipated life of such a surface treatment?
A double chip seal consists of ditching, grading, and compacting the dirt road surface, then applying asphalt emulsion over the dirt/grindings, and on top of the emulsion is 3/8 rock imbed into the oil. Then, the roadway is lightly swept, and a second layer of emulsion is applied and 5/16 rock chip is imbed into the oil. Since this is the first hard surfacing that the county has done on Mt. Murphy, it is going to be hard to determine the life expectancy. The life expectancy of a standard chip seal surface treatment over an asphalt road is 5 to 10 years. With that being said the amount and type of traffic, driving habits, and unforeseen issues such as subgrade failures or storm related issues will shorten the life span of the roadway.
3. Will DOT take responsibility to remediate the inferior work recently done on the road by American Pavement Systems?
The work and product are not inferior, and the county will continue to maintain Mt. Murphy Road. Additional information, funding came from AQMD grant for dust mitigation.
4. Proper maintenance of Mt. Murphy Road is of paramount importance to residents who rely on this as an evacuation route in the event of another wildfire. Who is the person in charge to oversee Quality Assurance for DOT projects to ensure the work is properly done?
Transportation has an inspector on every construction project. They take samples when needed, monitor the site for the safety of the workers and the public, and ensure quality and quantities are to Transportation's expectations. As we do, with all county-maintained roads, we will monitor and maintain the chip seal on Mt. Murphy Rd.

Sincerely,
Rafael Martinez
Director

County of El Dorado
Department of Transportation
2850 Fairlane Court
Placerville, CA 95667
(530) 621-7533
rafael.martinez@edcgov.us

From: melody.lane@reagan.com <melody.lane@reagan.com>
Sent: Friday, July 26, 2024 12:18 PM
To: Rafael Martinez <Rafael.Martinez@edcgov.us>; Lori Parlin <lori.parlin@edcgov.us>; Shelley Wiley <Shelley.Wiley@edcgov.us>
Cc: Preston Moore <Preston.Moore@edcgov.us>; BOS-District V <bosfive@edcgov.us>; BOS-District IV <bosfour@edcgov.us>; BOS-District I <bosone@edcgov.us>; BOS-District III <bosthree@edcgov.us>; BOS-District II <bostwo@edcgov.us>
Subject: RE: Mt. Murphy Road double chip seal...

Rafael,

Residents would like to know: What is the status of the road work that DOT said was to be completed by 7/26/24? It appears the work is incomplete/not properly done. Note below text dialog with American Pavement Systems.

Melody's text message 7/24/24 at 12:17 PM to Kyle Wengel at American Pavement Systems:
Are your crews going to be finishing the double chip seal on Mount Murphy Road, or will that be handled by DOT?

Kyle Wengel replied:
Double chip seal is completed on Mt. Murphy. We went back and completed what we had missed.

Melody replied:
The road is not double chip sealed as expected, only the gravel that you laid last week. It looks like a base coat that will soon deteriorate.

Melody Lane

Founder – Compass2Truth

“The duty of a patriot is to protect his country from its government.” – Edward Abbey

From: melody.lane@reagan.com <melody.lane@reagan.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 25, 2024 11:12 AM
To: rafael.martinez@edcgov.us; 'Lori Parlin' <lori.parlin@edcgov.us>
Cc: 'Preston Moore' <Preston.Moore@edcgov.us>; bosfive@edcgov.us; bosfour <bosfour@edcgov.us>; bosone@edcgov.us; bosthree@edcgov.us; bostwo@edcgov.us
Subject: Mt. Murphy Road double chip seal...

Rafael,

A few weeks ago I was informed that the double chip seal of Mt. Murphy Road was due to be completed on July 26th. On Monday July 22, American Pavement Systems Project Superintendent, Kyle Wengel, informed me that the job was now completed.

However, it appears that only a very thin base coat of gravel was applied to the road, leaving large segments untreated. The below photos should make it obvious that it will not last long, representing a complete waste of taxpayers resources:





This is a photo you should be very familiar with of one of the chunks of pavement that DOT graded up from the 2006 solid surface of Mt. Murphy Road, and then DOT annually applied dirt and gravel which soon washed away and turned into a potholes/washboard surface:



Please explain the following:

1. Do these photos actually represent a double chip seal, or just a single chip seal?
2. Exactly what does a double chip seal entail, and what is the anticipated life of such a surface treatment?
3. Will DOT take responsibility to remediate the inferior work recently done on the road by American Pavement Systems?
4. Proper maintenance of Mt. Murphy Road is of paramount importance to residents who rely on this as an evacuation route in the event of another wildfire. Who is the person in charge to oversee Quality Assurance for DOT projects to ensure the work is properly done?

Regards,

Melody Lane
Founder – Compass2Truth

"If you do not take an interest in the affairs of your government, then you are doomed to live under the rule of fools." ~ Plato ~

WARNING: This email and any attachments may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any unauthorized review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments) by other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments.