



Charlene Tim <charlene.tim@edcgov.us>

Fwd: Skyline Cell Phone Tower

Planning Unknown <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Charlene Tim <charlene.tim@edcgov.us>

Tue, Nov 25, 2014 at 8:19 AM

Public Comment

Thanks, Debbie Ercolini

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **V. Alexander** <valgal1969@charter.net>

Date: Tue, Nov 25, 2014 at 6:46 AM

Subject: Skyline Cell Phone Tower

To: planning@edcgov.us

To whom it may concern:

I am writing in protest to the proposed cell phone tower installation in my neighborhood on Skyline Drive in South Lake Tahoe, Ca/El Dorado County. Research is inconclusive on the harmful effects of RF radiation, and myself, nor my neighborhood want to be used as lab rats while the health effects of this type of exposure is "still being studied" As confirmed, The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) states:

"Exposure to radio frequency (RF) radiation has climbed rapidly with the advent of cell phones and other wireless technologies. Studies of the link between exposure to RF and to electric and magnetic frequency (EMF) radiation have found RF and EMF to be '**potential carcinogens**,' but the data linking RF and EMF to cancer is not conclusive. World wide, health physicists (scientists who study the biological effects of radiation) continue to study the issue. (<http://www.cancer.org>)Jan 2013.

Please reconsider this proposal, we do not want a cell phone tower in our neighborhood. Not only is it potentially carcinogenic, it is going to be a God awful eyesore!

Thank you for your kind consideration,

Respectfully

Valerie Alexander



FREE Animations for your email **Click Here!**

PC 12/11/14
#3

County of El Dorado Planning Services
2850 Fairlane Court
Placerville, CA 95667

1741 Crystal Air Drive
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

November 25, 2014

Re: Special Use Permit S14-0009/AT&T Cell Tower Skyline Drive - Parcel Number 081-102-01

To whom it may concern:

As the owner of a home located approximately 100 feet from the proposed cell tower, I strongly oppose its construction on multiple grounds including:

- It would lower the value of homes in the neighborhood and impose a financial loss on homeowners. It would also cause a loss in the real estate tax base for the subdivision due to the decrease in home values.
- Cell towers do not belong in residential neighborhoods. I purchased a home in South Lake Tahoe and this particular neighborhood because of the aesthetic beauty of the area and the neighborhood.
- There are health issues due to the electromagnetic radiation from cell towers. Placement of a cell tower in the proposed location would expose residents to these health effects. It also creates a legal liability for the El Dorado Planning Commission if the proposal were to be approved.
- Approval of the proposal would likely result in legal action by residents to stop construction of the tower and the County would incur costs to defend the action. I do not wish my taxes to be spent in this way.
- Locating a cell tower in a prominent location in South Lake Tahoe undoubtedly would attract the attention of the press and environmental groups with sit-ins and everything they involve. I do not wish my neighborhood to be the subject of such publicity, although I would support any actions within the law to stop construction of the tower.

In conclusion, I believe there are multiple reasons why the proposal to construct this cell tower should be denied.

Sincerely,

Paul Baybutt

PC 12/11/14
#3

Tom Hintz
Marcia Hintz
1646 Skyline Drive
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

November 25, 2014

County of El Dorado Planning Services
2850 Fairlane Court
Placerville, CA 95667

To whom it may concern:

We are writing in response to the proposed Special Use Permit S14-0009/AT&T Cell Tower Skyline Drive and the accompanying Negative Declaration.

As residents of the Skyline neighborhood, we are opposed to the construction of a cell phone tower as explained in the Notice of Public Hearing and Negative Declaration.

Our concerns are the following:

- Threats to public safety and electrical utilities.
- Known and unknown health hazards to residents in the area.
- Increased noise levels from HVAC units, construction and maintenance of proposed facilities.
- Aesthetic degradation of the surrounding neighborhood.
- Increased traffic due to construction and maintenance of facilities
- Negative visual impacts of the 113 foot monopine tower, tower light at the top three feet, equipment shelter, sound barrier walls, and solid wood fence.
- Reduction of property values due to a commercial business development in an area zoned single family residential.

We are requesting the proposed Negative Declaration not be adopted and the Special Use Permit not be approved based on the information above.

Sincerely,

Tom Hintz