



The Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act

El Dorado: 2011 Progress Report for Community Alliance to Reduce Truancy (CART)

ALLOCATED FUNDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2010 - 2011		\$451,651		
PROGRAM EXPENDITURES FOR 2010 - 2011	State Funds	Interest	Non-JJCPA Funds	
Salaries and Benefits: (Help)	\$399,263.00	\$414.00	Total Non-JJCPA Funds: <input type="text" value="\$146,560.00"/>	
Services and Supplies: (Help)				
Professional Services: (Help)	\$6,279.00			
Community-Based Organizations: (Help)				
Fixed Assets: (Help)				
Administrative Overhead (Maximum = 0.5% of State Funds): (Help)				
Other: (Help)				
Fund Totals:	\$405,542.00	\$414.00	\$146,560.00	
Program Total for 2010 - 2011 Fiscal Year:	\$552,516.00			

NUMBER OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS	
Completed Program:	<input type="text" value="212"/>
In Progress:	<input type="text" value="175"/>
Did Not Complete Program:	<input type="text" value="25"/>
Total:	412.00

AVERAGE LENGTH OF TIME	
Average Length of Time In Program:	<input type="text" value="237.0"/> Days <input type="button" value="v"/>
PER PARTICIPANT EXPENDITURES	
Funds Expended Per Program Participant:	\$1,341.00

Juvenile Participant Outcome Measures

Outcome:	Arrest Rate (All Arrests)	Completion of Probation Rate	Incarceration Rate
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Percentage	A Percentage	A Percentage
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	N/A
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	While in Program
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	237	140	237
Results For Program Participants:	23.6 %	54.7 %	9.3 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	175	103	175
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	294	177	293
Results for Reference Group:	21.8 %	58.8 %	8.2 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	0

Outcome:	Rate of Completion of Restitution	Rate of Completion of Court-Ordered Community Service	Probation Violation Rate
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Percentage	A Percentage	A Percentage
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	N/A
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	While in Program
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	45	118	140
Results For Program Participants:	36.9 %	62.7 %	22.9 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	34	90	103
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	51	145	177
Results for Reference Group:	47.1 %	62.1 %	28.8 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	0

Outcome:	Education - Academic Achievement (Grade Point Average)	Education - Attendance - Other - Percent of Class Periods with Unexcused Absences	
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Mean or Average	A Percentage	
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	114	111	
Results For Program Participants:	2.3 Mean/Avg.	7.3 %	
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	92	92	
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	145	165	
Results for Reference Group:	2 Mean/Avg.	5.2 %	
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	

Progress Report Comments - Outcomes:

At the beginning of this 2010-2011 reporting period, due to the budget crisis that continues to plague the state and counties, the El Dorado County Probation Department had to eliminate two positions from the CART program. This change resulted in the combining of schools and caseloads to meet the needs of the program. This dramatically changed the ability of the officers in these combination caseloads, who supervise multiple schools, to adequately meet the needs of the students supervised in this program. Thus, three of the goals during this program year were not met.

The number of all minors with an arrest is twenty-four percent (24%) in the current year compared to twenty-two percent (22%) in the previous year. As there is no statistical difference, the goal of no change is met for all minors.

There was an increase of minors who failed to complete probation in 2010-11 than in the previous year by four percent (4%). Thus the goal of no change is not met.

Only one percentage point separates this year with the prior year's incarceration rates. Thus, the goal of no change is met.

There was a higher percentage (47%) of minors who paid restitution last year compared to (37%) minors who paid restitution for the current year; thus the goal of no change is not met. Given the economic downturn it is not surprising that fewer minor's and their families had the financial means to pay their restitution.

The CART minors who had a community service obligation this year completed their obligations at a slightly higher rate (63%), then the minor's from the previous year (62%). The goal of no change is met.

In the current year the rate of minors having at least one probation violation is twenty-three percent (23%), six percentage (6%) points lower than the previous year's twenty-nine percent (29%). Thus, the goal of no change is exceeded.

This year's average Grade Point Average (GPA) at the end of the program is 2.3, three-tenths higher than the previous year's 2.0 GPA. Thus, the goal of no change is exceeded for GPA.

The CART minors have a higher percent of unexcused absences, 7.3% vs. 5.2% for last year. However, last year was unusually low, compared to the 2008-2009 average of 7.3%. As a result, there is no change. Nevertheless, compared to last year this difference is statistically significant; therefore, the goal of no change is not met.

This year's program proved to be challenging in the face of continued budget cuts and the loss of personnel. Of the eight measured categories, three goals were not met while two goals were exceeded and the rest of the goals were met. The major goals of the CART program are to raise academic attainment, reduce recidivism and successfully move minors off supervision. Two of the three major goals were met during this reporting period. The CART program goals for the 2011-2012 program year will continue to focus on the areas that were met or exceeded, and to intensely focus on those areas that were not met.