

LATE DISTRIBUTION
DATE 8/26/14

#38



El Dorado County

330 Fair Lane, Bldg A.
Placerville, California
530 621-5390
FAX 622-3645
co.el-dorado.ca.us/bos

Master Report

File Number: 09-0174

| | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| *File ID: 09-0174 | Agenda Agenda Item Type: | Status: Draft |
| Version: 1 | Reference: | Gov Body: Board Of Supervisors |
| Department: Board Of Supervisors | | Created: 02/02/2009 |

Agenda Title:

Final Action:

Title: Supervisors Sweeney and Nutting requesting the Board of Supervisors amend the 2004 General Plan as follows:

A. Remove Camino/Pollock Pines from the Community Region list (General Plan Policy 2.1.1.1, page 12);

B. Add the communities of Camino, Cedar Grove and Pollock Pines to the Rural Centers list (General Plan Policy 2.1.2.1, page 13)

Notes:

Agenda Date: 02/10/2009

Agenda Number:

Sponsors:

Enactment Date:

Attachments:

Enactment Number:

Same:

Hearing Date:

Contact: Supervisor Sweeney X5652 or Supervisor Nutting X5651

Next Meeting Date:

Approval History

| Version | Date | Approver | Action |
|---------|------|----------|--------|
| | | | |

History of Legislative File

| Ver- sion: | Acting Body: | Date: | Action: | Sent To: | Due Date: | Return Date: | Result: |
|---------------|--------------|-------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------------|---------|
| | | | | | | | |

Text of Legislative File 09-0174

1 of 2

Supervisors Sweeney and Nutting requesting the Board of Supervisors amend the 2004 General Plan as follows:

- A. Remove Camino/Pollock Pines from the Community Region list (General Plan Policy 2.1.1.1, page 12);
- B. Add the communities of Camino, Cedar Grove and Pollock Pines to the Rural Centers list (General Plan Policy 2.1.2.1, page 13)

Background:

When the Board of Supervisors adopted the 2004 General Plan, the area that includes Pollock Pines and Camino and all areas in between were placed in a Community region. If we look at the land uses surrounding these communities we see a significant amount of agricultural and open space land use.

When we look at the intensity of development allowed (or called for) in a Community Region the difference between that designation and that of rural Centers becomes obvious: A Community Region is an area set to absorb great amounts of high density residential and retail and a Rural Center is only set to create a service area for the adjacent land uses. It should be expected that a Community Region would be served by community sewer and water systems and be a well planned road system. A Rural Center could be served by combinations of individual water and sewer systems or community systems and the roads would only serve the local community as opposed to being part of a major thoroughfare system.

Other than for the Highway 50 connection these communities precisely fit the Rural Center description. They MUST never be brought to the land use intensities of the Community Regions. Hence, they deserve the Rural Center designations.

Since this amendment would provide a significant reduction in impacts, we see no cause for a lengthy adoption process.