

7/12/2018

Edcgov.us Mail - Cell Tower Public Hearing Letter of Concern - proposed Site 3 Pleasant Valley area



PC 7-26-18
#3
Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
2 pages

Cell Tower Public Hearing Letter of Concern - proposed Site 3 Pleasant Valley area


Scott Schilling <scottschilling@att.net>
Reply-To: Scott Schilling <scottschilling@att.net>
To: Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>

Thu, Jul 12, 2018 at 2:51 PM

Please include my attached letter as part of the public hearing on the proposed site 3 Pleasant Valley area location.

Thank you.

Scott Schilling

 Planning Commission meeting 7-26-2018.docx
15K

To: El Dorado County Planning Commission

Date: July 12th, 2018

Subject: Location of AT&T Cell Tower Site 3-Pleasant Valley

I am writing this letter in response to your upcoming public hearing on July 26th, 2018. Unfortunately I will not be able to attend this meeting in person so please hear my concerns regarding the location of this tower.

- 1. Visual impact to our natural landscape and beauty** - We moved our family from the Sacramento area to the adjacent property of the proposed site 3 cell tower back in 1989 to enjoy the rural lifestyle and beauty of this Pleasant Valley community. This mono pine will stick out like a sore thumb as it is proposed within direct view of our property and of the surrounding neighbors.
- 2. Increased traffic in our neighborhood** – At the last meeting Epic wireless held to “inform the community on the benefits” The question was asked about additional carriers on this tower. They said “YES” they were intending on leasing space on this tower which would support an additional 4 carriers in addition to AT&T. My concern here is with this particular site 3 tower is the additional traffic (via easement of the neighbor’s unmaintained road) from Pleasant Valley Road and typical preventative maintenance for EACH carrier monthly would result in constant strangers driving up our road to provide maintenance to this location. We have enough noisy dogs in our neighborhood without the proposed site 3 tower adding to the disruptive solitude and security by maintenance crews driving through our neighborhood.

I am not opposed to technology and understand the importance of communications for safety and federal grant dollars to assist in the cost however, AT&T is not concerned with the impact of our natural beauty of this area and is only looking for a low cost alternative for the location of this particular site 3 tower. There are plenty of locations within the Pleasant Valley area that are *not within a neighborhood* as this one is proposed, that this tower could be located.

Representing El Dorado County as the Planning Commission I would hope that you deny the current site 3 location to a location that does not directly affect our community’s beauty and solitude.

Best Regards,
Scott & Rhonda Schilling