



Late Distribution

PC 4-22-21
Item #2
Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Fwd: I am against the proposed pot shop in Meyers

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 7:14 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kathy Staychock** <kathy.staychock@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Apr 21, 2021 at 4:51 PM
Subject: I am against the proposed pot shop in Meyers
To: <planning@edcgov.us>

Dear EDC Planners,

Hello my name is Kathy Staychock and I am a resident of Meyers. I am opposed to this proposed cannabis dispensary at the Gym location in Meyers for several reasons:

1. I am (or was) a member of The Gym @ Altitude that sadly was forced to close. This was a hub of the Meyers community- a place of health, fun and community-building. The Gym was a successful local business - exactly what all municipalities and counties work to promote and attract.
2. The proposed dispensary is located directly across the street from an established school bus stop. This is bad for kids. It seems obvious to me that dispensary customers will see this big parking lot and decide to park here and get high. Don't be fooled that most people will buy weed for sore ski muscles or sleep. No, most tourists are here to party- and some will smoke the goods the minute they get back in the car. Kids will notice this- especially the distinctive second-hand smoke. **Isn't it illegal for a pot shop to be in close proximity to a school bus stop?**
3. There are already four pot shops in SLT. We don't need another pot shop or want it in Meyers at least at this location. Why would the EDC want to easily enable tourists to get high before driving up hwy 50 and through the county in a potential snow storm?

Unfortunately, pot and greed combined here to evict a thriving business and happy place for over 100 locals so that tourists can conveniently buy weed on their drive into or out of town- exactly the kind of business we don't need or want.

Who wants to join me in a boycott of this lame new business chain and the greedy landlord that is making it happen?

Thank you for the opportunity to vote no. I know **A LOT of local people are pissed** about this even if they haven't discovered how to voice their concerns.

Kathy Staychock



Late Distribution

PC 4-22-21
Item #2
Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Fwd: Feedback on Embarc dispensary application for Meyers

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 7:14 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Katie Joll** <ktsplane1@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Apr 21, 2021 at 5:13 PM
Subject: Re: Feedback on Embarc dispensary application for Meyers
To: <planning@edcgov.us>

To Whom it May Concern,

I'm writing as a member of the Meyers community to request that the scoring which put Embarc ahead of competitors be reconsidered. It is my belief that one of the alternative proposals should have won. It seems the Embarc scoring didn't account for being near a school bus stop (it is within 200ft and the county ordinance states it shouldn't be closer than 1500ft). It also displaces a current business when we have many other empty buildings in Meyers - that should have been part of scoring.

There also seems to have been a conflict of interest in that the owner of the building was on the Meyers Area Committee and participated in voting to rezone the location to enable cannabis dispensaries. The sense in the community is that a well-loved gym and critical part of our community is being pushed out for corporate interests and that the dealings in doing so have reeked of a lack of integrity. This is not how we want our community run.

Additionally, many of us are concerned about potential traffic issues due to the dispensary. We already have heavy traffic entering and exiting Meyers at weekends and holidays and a left turn into and out of the dispensary for incoming traffic presents another hazard. The goal of the dispensary is to capture tourist traffic so we feel our concerns are justifiable in that the bulk of their target customers are coming in during the busy times.

Lastly, the gym is a much more valuable asset to Meyers as a community than a dispensary. They provide a healthy service and classes serve from young kids through to senior adults. There are plenty of dispensary options already in the city so Embarc are not really adding anything necessary - @Altitude was our only gym.

Thank you,

Katherine Joll Brown

4/22/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: Pot house in Myers



Late Distribution

PC 4-22-21
Item #2
Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Fwd: Pot house in Myers

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 7:14 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Gary Mendel** <gvmendel@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Apr 21, 2021 at 5:14 PM
Subject: Pot house in Myers
To: <planning@edcgov.us>

I am opposed to Embarc going in the gym location or even being in Myers . Smarter choice is the ugly chapel. People will be buying coming into town , why make then cross traffic in a busy summer in Myers . The owners of these properties should have no say who gets the license, the people should have the say as it interrupts their daily life styles . The owner cleared out the gym so he could claim it was empty , what a loser, greedy jackass.

Embarc is obviously a greedy business as their prices show ,
Do not give them another location, period .

I have owned and lived here since 1985 , just for reference .
Gary Mendel

4/22/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd:



Late Distribution

PC 4-22-21
Item #2
Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>
2 pages

Fwd:

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 7:15 AM

----- Forwarded message -----
From: <lizbroscoc@aol.com>
Date: Wed, Apr 21, 2021 at 6:13 PM
Subject:
To: <planning@edcgov.us>

Clerk of the Planning Commission,
In addition to agreeing with all of the concerns and comments of Mark Remelman that are listed below, I would also like to add my concerns and opposition to a pot store in Meyers.

The California Conservation Corp is right across the street. The "CCC's" as they are known, is a place for young adults to learn how to work hard, improve themselves and their quality of life - as many come from broken homes or homelessness. Many are also over-coming addictions and are doing their best to use the opportunity provided by the CCC's to get a leg up on their future. What a fine example we would be showing them by supporting a pot store in Meyers! Come on, can't we do better than this? Can't we set a better example for our youth in regards to physical and mental health? I hope so....

I've owned a home in Meyers for 30 years. Change is all around us and has been for a while now. But do we really have to go this route? I think we should be ashamed of ourselves for even considering this. It will certainly not help our community. We have new young families sprouting up all over the place, and as a person who works with at-risk youth in the juvenile treatment center and at Mount Tallac Alternative High School, more pot shops in Tahoe is exactly what we don't need.

Thank you for your consideration,
Liz Broscoe

Proposed Embarc shop is barely 200ft from school bus stop (County Code requires 1,500ft from a school bus stop, County Ordinance 5111, page 3 section C, document # 19-1152 G) The Building Owner (Greg Daum) was on the MAC (Meyers Advisory Committee) and did not recuse himself when voting to change the zoning (to allow pot shops) which affected his properties. This was a clear conflict of interest, both ethically & legally. The traffic flow and traffic safety considerations were apparently not part of the scoring matrix but should have been. As presented the goal of a Pot shops in Meyers is to capture tourist business upon entering the Tahoe Basin. For the record there are already a number of pot shops for locals to use within 10 miles of Meyers. As planning is well aware, traffic is already a serious problem in the Meyers area. The potential customers coming into the basin, trying to access the Embarc or the Tahoe Honey locations would have to make a left turn across Hwy 50 (which during snow season would be extremely dangerous due to snow berms and reduced visibility) then make another left turn across Hwy 50 traffic to try and reenter the traffic flow headed towards the stateline area. The Boldwyn proposal is the only one which does not create a traffic flow / circulation hazard. The Embarc & Tahoe Honey proposals both displace unique ongoing businesses in the Meyers business core,

vs the Boldwyn proposal which will utilize a defunct business (wedding chapel) location. The Embarc proposal will displace the Altitude Gym which is a key Meyers community asset (and does not wish to close). The services the gym provides are far more valuable to the community than a pot shop. The Tahoe Honey proposal would displace Bob Dog Pizza. Since my prior input, I have spoken to the owner of Bob Dog Pizza who also owns the building. He is indeed willing to close the Pizza business and sell the building to Tahoe Honey. However the traffic issues which exist for the Gym would actually be worse for this location because the egress from this location requires traversing two residential streets. This is contrary to the intent of keeping dispensaries & their traffic out of residential zones. Then, to get back to Hwy 50 East bound would be very dangerous especially in bad weather or heavy westbound traffic times. The restricted visibility caused by the Agricultural Inspection station exacerbates the danger of crossing WB 50 to reach EB 50. The COVID-19 pandemic has forced so many businesses to fold, the last thing the county should be doing is encouraging landlords to close viable businesses.

4/22/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: No more pot shops! ☹️

PC 4-22-21

Item#2



Late Distribution

Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Fwd: No more pot shops! ☹️

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 7:15 AM

----- Forwarded message -----
From: <davidwoods1920@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Apr 21, 2021 at 6:15 PM
Subject: No more pot shops! ☹️
To: <planning@edcgov.us>

I am writing to protest the proposal for a pot shop in Meyers. There are already several in South Lake Tahoe, and we don't need another one. The children in our community do not need more negative influence. How on earth can you possibly justify the replacement of a healthy gymnasium with a drug dispensary? Marijuana use destroys families, relationships, the health of our community, and when smoked, creates dangerous drivers and even fires from carelessly discarded butts.

Regards,
David Woods
530 314 9007

Sent from my iPhone

4/22/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: Objection to plans for a drug shop in Myers



Late Distribution

PC 4-22-21

Item #2

Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Fwd: Objection to plans for a drug shop in Myers

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 7:15 AM

To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Andrew Hewitt** <a.hewitt@uq.edu.au>

Date: Wed, Apr 21, 2021 at 6:51 PM

Subject: Objection to plans for a drug shop in Myers

To: planning@edcgov.us <planning@edcgov.us>

To Whom it May Concern:

I am writing to object to the drug sales business that is being considered for Myers at Lake Tahoe where I have lived for many years.

The health, environmental and social risks from such businesses are bad for our community. In particular, fire risks in the heavily forested and extremely high fire risk area of Lake Tahoe are very high. Cigarettes and other combustible products pose a severe fire risk to our area. Such businesses also should not be near school bus stops.

Thank you for considering my request to not permit this proposed new business.

Yours faithfully,
Dr Andrew Hewitt, Ph.D.

P.O. Box 10746
South Lake Tahoe CA 96158

Phone 530 318 7592



Late Distribution

*PC 4-22-21
Item #2*
Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Fwd: Meyers Pot Store

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 7:15 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Terri Arnold** <bladejob101@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, Apr 21, 2021 at 7:32 PM
Subject: Fwd: Meyers Pot Store
To: <planning@edcgov.us>

Terri

Begin forwarded message:

From: Terri Arnold <bladejob101@yahoo.com>
Date: April 21, 2021 at 6:33:48 PM PDT
To: planning@edc.gov
Subject: Meyers Pot Store

To Whom It May Concern;

I am sending in my comments although I don't think anyone really cares about my community. I live in Meyers- which is South Lake Tahoe. My legal address at the end of South Upper Truckee is South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150. I bring this up because we already have 3 pot shops in South Lake Tahoe, 96150. We do not need another one in our community, near neighborhoods, a school and in an area of known severe traffic issues.

First my county doesn't protect me from vacation rentals- Hotel Businesses run in my neighborhood. I moved to the end of South Upper Truckee to be far from the Zoned Tourist Corridor in City limits. Then my county school district doesn't allow my kids to go to school in person but county and city allow packed roads and town full of tourist. Now we will have a pot store out here to capture tourist coming into town, again not in South Lake Tahoe zoned tourist area and in a location to add to horrific traffic on the wrong side of the road coming in. My county cares about money and tourist first.

I deal with tourists so we have a community to live in. I don't care what people choose to do when it comes to anything like pot, drugs, alcohol, sex, politics, health, religion, etc etc. but I do care if it's in my neighborhood that I purposely chose to live in because it was far from any tourist corridor. I have lived in El Dorado my whole life- 48 years. I have lived more than half that in Meyers. This is sad for my kids.

Thought I could live here my whole life. Not anymore and worst part is none of you at planning commission even care that long term, working middle class people raising the next generation want to leave. Let's create a family friendly neighborhood. Certain things belong in certain areas like a defined tourist area in city limits already in place.

Terri Arnold
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

4/22/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: Meyers Proposed Pot Shop



Late Distribution

Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

PC 4-22-21

Item #2

Fwd: Meyers Proposed Pot Shop

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 7:15 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Julie Osborne** <jaosborne1990@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Apr 21, 2021 at 7:32 PM
Subject: Meyers Proposed Pot Shop
To: <planning@edcgov.us>

Please, please, please, do NOT put a pot shop in our tiny little family community. The location being sought is WAY to close to an elementary school. If you lived in this wonderful neighborhood, you also would not want this to happen. Especially if you have children. There are laws that must be abided by with placing a shop like this so close to a school.

It's shameful how a wonderful gym is being forced out. The gym that represents what this community stands for, a healthy lifestyle in a beautiful area.

Please don't go against what a community wants and only think of the deep pockets and putting money first.

Please don't ruin our community and dont destroy a thriving business!!!

4/22/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: Altitude Gym vs A Pot Shop

PC 4-22-21

Item #2

Late Distribution

Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>



Fwd: Altitude Gym vs A Pot Shop

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 7:16 AM

To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Carolyn Coffey** <ccinlaketahoe@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, Apr 21, 2021 at 7:53 PM

Subject: Altitude Gym vs A Pot Shop

To: <planning@edcgov.us>

The people of South Lake Tahoe need Altitude Gym more than another Pot Shop. Our gym in the Keys closed due to Covid and we need a Gym that will take those of us 65 and over who participate in Silver Sneakers....please vote to keep Altitude Gym open!

4/22/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: Getting high not fit in our old community Meyers



Late Distribution

Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

PC 4-22-21

Item # 2

Fwd: Getting high not fit in our old community Meyers

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 7:16 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dru Blair** <druryblair@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, Apr 21, 2021 at 9:04 PM
Subject: Getting high not fit in our old community Meyers
To: <planning@edcgov.us>

Wow! Maybe we can get a cool mural like the one on the old eldorado savings bank at Tahoe's new pot center bijou! No doubt we in Meyers can over take that title, health and family be damned. Who needs a gym that supports our local athletes and just plain outdoor enthusiasts. Especially the model we set for our kids and grandkids of health hiking biking etc. Still wonder why our Meyers future gold medalist wasted their time on athletic endeavors when they now could spend their time working profitable jobs at our Meyers dispensary. Maybe we could get the Sierra at Tahoe bus to stop at the old gym to pick up our kids and grand children to help introduce to the new Meyers culture. Can you imagine the money pot could infuse to Meyers, I guess that's your job as elected officials. I bet brothels could even drive the rent on Holiday Market out of business, I know not legal yet, but imagine the MONEY. How much MONEY, pot, brothels, strip clubs could bring limitless improvements to our community, the time to start shaping our community is NOW, which do you represent. What truly is Meyers, I bet Ed McCarty is rolling over in his grave.



Late Distribution

PC 4-22-21
Hem #2
Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Fwd: Agenda item 21-0556

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 7:16 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Scott Budnik** <thewaterboyscott@att.net>
Date: Wed, Apr 21, 2021 at 9:09 PM
Subject: Agenda item 21-0556
To: <planning@edcgov.us>

Dear Planners,
The Meyers dispensary location selection scoring is faulty because:

1. A thriving business is displaced/removed/closed.
2. A delapidated, detractful business/location could be remodeled/rebuilt. It is still unsightly and a total eyesore.
3. Another business site, food grade ready, with the owner really looking for a way out of his present business, is ready to sell out.

From a resident's point of view, the perspectives above should be factored in to the final decision. The community should benefit. How does the worst choice for the community, become the winner in the selection process???

Sincerely,
Scott J Budnik
Meyers Resident 20+yrs

4/22/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: New Cannabis Shop in Meyers



Late Distribution

Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

PC 4-22-21

Hem #2

Fwd: New Cannabis Shop in Meyers

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 7:16 AM

To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Vanessa Yochheim** <vanessa@tahoebuilt.com>

Date: Wed, Apr 21, 2021 at 9:42 PM

Subject: New Cannabis Shop in Meyers

To: planning@edcgov.us <planning@edcgov.us>

Clerk of the Planning Commission,

I am writing to give my input for the proposed Cannabis Shop in Meyers. My husband and I have been residents of Meyers for the last 25 years. We spent 13 years driving our 3 children back and forth to LTESMS for school where I also volunteered as a parent helper once a week. Our youngest child has been an active participant at the @altitude gym as well. We have witnessed the traffic problems firsthand and have learned to deal with the influx of visitors thus far. However, we believe that the past few years has shown us there's been a dramatic increase in tourism to the area and the traffic has become a nightmare. The proposal of placing a new cannabis shop in Meyers would make it even worse, especially if the shop is to be placed at the gym location or Bob Dogs Pizza. The clientele would be mostly tourists coming into town and that would completely disrupt the already congested flow of traffic. We already have plenty of cannabis shops in the city and we don't have the need for one in Meyers. We also don't need one to be so close to our elementary school. The @altitude gym was a great place for our community and the owners did not want to leave. Please consider the wants and needs of our community.

Thank you,
Vanessa & Bartt Yochheim
Yochheim Construction & Land Development Inc

Sent from my iPhone



Late Distribution

Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

PC 4-22-21

Item #2

2 pages

Fwd: Please don't approve the Embarc dispensary in Meyers

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 7:16 AM

To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jessica Cone** <jcone_56@yahoo.com>

Date: Wed, Apr 21, 2021 at 10:48 PM

Subject: Please don't approve the Embarc dispensary in Meyers

To: planning@edcgov.us <planning@edcgov.us>

Clerk of the Planning Commission,

I am a full time resident of Christmas Valley/Meyers. I work at Barton Hospital. My husband works for U.S. Forest Service as a Firefighter. We have growing family with a toddler and pets. I don't know this for sure but I feel like we represent a substantial and growing portion of the Meyers area. My family and I also frequented the gym that is @ Altitude and that was occupying the building in contention for the Embarc Marijuana dispensary. Recently the gym was evicted from the building, and while I believe this was unfair, it cannot really be argued against as it is the property owners prerogative.

I personally don't think that we need a dispensary in Meyers at all. I think it is at odds with the community plan and does not add to our sense of community. Unfortunately, I was a resident of South Lake Tahoe when the initial bills were passed so my argument not to have a dispensary at all would seem to be a moot point. I do question whether the actual community had much notice as to whether there should be a dispensary in the downtown corridor of Meyers. Was there sufficient education and advertising of the bills? I could not find this on the county website. I did read parts of the area plan and the regulatory history/ timeline but these are vague. I will continue to search. It seems that the business/property owners, who are also 'community members' and who also have vested interest in certain businesses should have removed themselves from the government proceedings entirely so as not to have a conflict of interest. One such member, the owner of the property where Embarc is meant to go is also on the Meyers Area Council and has been part of the process throughout. Is this not unethical government practice?

I would like to go on record as being against approval of the Embarc dispensary location in Meyers for the following reasons:

Proposed Embarc shop is barely 200ft from school bus stop (County Code requires 1,500ft from a school bus stop, County Ordinance 5111, page 3 section C, document # 19-1152 G)

The Building Owner (Greg Daum) was on the MAC (Meyers Advisory Committee) and did not recuse himself when voting to change the zoning (to allow pot shops) which affected his properties. This was a clear conflict of interest, both ethically & legally.

The traffic flow and traffic safety considerations were apparently not part of the scoring matrix but should have been. As presented the goal of a Pot shops in Meyers is to capture tourist business upon entering the Tahoe Basin. For the record there are already a number of pot shops for locals to use within 10 miles of Meyers. As planning is well aware, traffic is already a serious problem in the Meyers area. The potential customers coming into the basin, trying to access the Embarc or the Tahoe Honey locations would have to make a left turn across Hwy 50 (which during snow season would be extremely dangerous due to snow berms and reduced visibility) then make another left turn across Hwy 50 traffic to try and reenter the traffic flow headed towards the stateline area. The Boldwyn proposal is the only one which does not create a traffic flow / circulation hazard.

4/22/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: Please don't approve the Embarc dispensary in Meyers

The Embarc & Tahoe Honey proposals both displace unique ongoing businesses in the Meyers business core, vs the Boldwyn proposal which will utilize a defunct business (wedding chapel) location. The Embarc proposal will displace the Altitude Gym which is a key Meyers community asset (and does not wish to close). The services the gym provides are far more valuable to the community than a pot shop. Unfortunately the At Altitude gym has already been displaced, which is a location that me, and my family, frequented. The owner needlessly kicked us out well before he actually needed the property. I just don't understand why everyone else on the board is supporting him?!

I sincerely hope that you will consider these arguments when making the decision to approve this property. I am looking into serving on the board myself to have a positive impact in this area and promote a better sense of community.

Thank you,

Jessica Freeman and family.

4/22/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - pot shop



PC 4-22-21

Item #2

Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Late Distribution

pot shop

1 message

Dory Smith <oneplan08@yahoo.com>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 7:01 AM

Reply-To: Dory Smith <oneplan08@yahoo.com>

To: "julie.saylor@edcgov.us" <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

I do not see the need nor any benefit to allowing a pot dispensary in Meyers. The location is hazardous because of crossing lanes of traffic in both directions if the "patrons" purchase going into town.

Selling to people leaving just sounds like an idiotic, dangerous and irresponsible idea. I vote no. Dory Smith, South Lake Tahoe, California

"Lead not by the example our power but by the power of our example." Joe Biden

4/22/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: Meyers Cannabis Shop Comment



PC 4-22-21

Hem #2

Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Late Distribution

Fwd: Meyers Cannabis Shop Comment

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 7:26 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Erik maliska** <erik@peaktahoerentals.com>
Date: Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 7:20 AM
Subject: Meyers Cannabis Shop Comment
To: planning@edcgov.us <planning@edcgov.us>

If not too late to add to public comment:

There are plenty of venues to obtain cannabis in South Lake Tahoe. The small town of Meyers does not need one. For tourism to see that our town is "going to pot" is not the first impression we need as a community. We need a bank in Meyers, abs other services to make it a stand alone town!

Best Regards,
Erik Maliska, Realtor & Property
www.PeakTahoeRentals.com ... A Higher Level of Service
Real Estate License # 01726854
E-mail: Erik@PeakTahoeRentals.com
Main/Mobile: (530) 318-7059, Reservations: (530) 715-0526
BOOK ONLINE TODAY

4/23/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: Regarding hearing regarding Cannabis club in Meyers.

PC 4-22-21

Item #2



Not Distributed

Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Fwd: Regarding hearing regarding Cannabis club in Meyers.

1 message

County of El Dorado Clerk of the Board <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 8:15 AM

To: The BOSONE <bosone@edcgov.us>, The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us>, The BOSTHREE <bosthree@edcgov.us>, The BOSFOUR <bosfour@edcgov.us>, The BOSFIVE <bosfive@edcgov.us>, Donald Ashton <don.ashton@edcgov.us>, David Livingston <david.livingston@edcgov.us>, Tiffany Schmid <tiffany.schmid@edcgov.us>, Robert Peters <robert.peters@edcgov.us>, Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>, Jeanette Salmon <jeanette.salmon@edcgov.us>, Breann Moebius <breann.moebius@edcgov.us>

Fyi

Office of the Clerk of the Board
El Dorado County
330 Fair Lane, Placerville, CA 95667
530-621-5390

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s), except as otherwise permitted. Unauthorized interception, review, use, or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, or authorized to receive for the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication. Thank you for your consideration.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Affordable Construction Services Tahoe** <maryeasterlinglee@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Apr 21, 2021 at 5:25 PM
Subject: Regarding hearing regarding Cannabis club in Meyers.
To: edc.cob@edcgov.us <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

As a citizen of this community I strongly encourage those considering

the pot club in Meyers to consider its location. As one enters Tahoe, Meyers is the Gateway into town. The club would be one of the first things you see. We want to promote Tahoe as "Family Friendly" not Pot Friendly. I do not want the club period but if it must be, can we please locate it in a less conspicuous place.

Sent from Mail for Windows 10

4/23/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: Letter in Support of Cannabis in Myers

PC 4-22-21

Item #2



Not Distributed

Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Fwd: Letter in Support of Cannabis in Myers

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 8:22 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **David Orr** <dorr17@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 8:21 AM
Subject: Letter in Support of Cannabis in Myers
To: <planning@edcgov.us>

To the Planning Commission of EDC:

I was on the cannabis subcommittee that ranked and scored over 20 different business plans for the City of South Lake Tahoe. I think El Dorado County made the right decision when deciding to use a merit-based score system. The City of South Lake Tahoe used a similar process and wound up with the 3 best operators as a result. In this instance, you have a clear winner, who was, unsurprisingly also the top score within the city. Embark has a track record of success, local ownership, and community stewardship. Great job--and a great outcome.

Thanks,
David Orr

4/23/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: Pot Shop

PC 4-22-21

Item #2



Not Distributed

Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Fwd: Pot Shop

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 8:36 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kent Wattanachinda** <kent@wattabike.com>
Date: Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 8:34 AM
Subject: Pot Shop
To: planning@edcgov.us <planning@edcgov.us>

Why do we need another pot shop? Especially, it's taking away healthy established business. We don't need pot shop in Myers. I hope the officials who are making this decision have moral. I'm not against pot shop but we have too many in town already. This little community needs parks, public bathrooms, crosswalks and a healthy business like Gym. Don't let these big time lawyers persuade you.

Sent from my iPhone

4/23/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: Gym closing

PC 4-22-21

Item #2



Not Distributed

Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Fwd: Gym closing

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 9:13 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Tina Kaeser** <tkaeser36@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 9:13 AM
Subject: Gym closing
To: <planning@edcgov.us>

Hello

I would like to voice my concerns about a pot shop opening in Meyers. What is nice about this area that it is a community and I feel this is an unnecessary addition. There are numerous stores within 10 miles of here. It would add to traffic and trash that is not addressed already.

Thank you for your time

Tina Kaeser

Sent from my iPad

4/23/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: RE EMBARC APPEAL - Today's Planning Commission Meeting

PC 4-22-21



Not Distributed

Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>
Item #2

Fwd: RE EMBARC APPEAL - Today's Planning Commission Meeting

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 9:53 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dan Kramer** <dan@kpastrategies.com>
Date: Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 9:48 AM
Subject: RE EMBARC APPEAL - Today's Planning Commission Meeting
To: planning@edcgov.us <planning@edcgov.us>

Unfortunately, I am unable to appear VIA Zoom because of a conflict. EMBARC has proven itself an excellent operator. I want to say that the County did a good job of providing clear guidelines and following those guidelines in their pre-application process and the results with regard to the original decision should stand. Thank you for your consideration of my comments.

Dan Kramer
1460 Crocker Drive
El Dorado Hills, CA 95762



Not Distributed

PC 4-22-21
Item #2
Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>
2 pages

Fwd: LTUSD school bus stop at Holiday Market/ Tahoe Paradise Golf Course

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 11:25 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Kathy Staychock** <kathy.staychock@gmail.com>
Date: Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 11:23 AM
Subject: LTUSD school bus stop at Holiday Market/ Tahoe Paradise Golf Course
To: <planning@edcgov.us>

Dear Planning Commissioners,

My family and I live in Meyers. I've been listening to the zoom appeals meeting 4/22/21 regarding the cannabis dispensary proposed for our community. I missed about 30 minutes of this meeting this morning. I haven't heard a word about the school bus stop that exists right across the street from the proposed site of Embarc. Can you please explain how this has been overlooked or dismissed?

Here is a screen snip of the current LTUSD bus stop and the EDC ordinance barring dispensary close proximity from school bus stops:

7:06	TATA & B (JULIE & B WALK TO THIS STOP)	RED LIGHT
7:09	D & BONANZA (D & JULIE WALK TO THIS STOP)	RED LIGHT
7:17	ARRIVE STMS	
7:45	STMS STARTS	
South Tahoe Middle School Take Home Bus Routes		
Route A: STMS TAKE HOME GRADES 6-8		
2:00	DEPART STMS	
	APACHE & CHEYENNE	RED LIGHT/ESCORT
	CHEYENNE & NAVAHOE	RED LIGHT/ESCORT
	BLITZEN & WASABE	RED LIGHT/ESCORT
	BLITZEN & SHAKORI	RED LIGHT/ESCORT
	BLITZEN & HAN	RED LIGHT/ESCORT
	BLITZEN & ST. NICK	RED LIGHT/ESCORT
	SANTA CLAUS & ST NICK	RED LIGHT/ESCORT
	SANTA CLAUS & HWY 89	RED LIGHT/ESCORT
	SO UPPER TRUCKEE & PORTAL	RED LIGHT/ESCORT
	SO UPPER TRUCKEE & EGRET	RED LIGHT/ESCORT
	SO UPPER TRUCKEE & 2nd KEKIN	RED LIGHT/ESCORT
	TAHOE PARADISE/MARKET PARKING LOT-NEXT TO SIGN	RED LIGHT

ARTICLE 4- SPECIAL USE REGULATIONS

CHAPTER 130.41 - COMMERCIAL CANNABIS C. Location. A commercial cannabis activity authorized under this section shall not

be located within 1,500 feet from any school, school bus stop, place of worship, park, playground child care center, youth-oriented facility, pre-school, public library, licensed drug or

4/23/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: LTUSD school bus stop at Holiday Market/ Tahoe Paradise Golf Course

alcohol recovery facility, or licensed sober living facility. A commercial cannabis activity authorized under this section shall not be established on any parcel containing a dwelling unit used as a residence or within 500 feet of a residential zoning district. Distance shall be measured from the nearest point of the property line of the premises that contains the commercial cannabis activity to the nearest point of the property line of the enumerated use using a direct straight-line measurement. A new adjacent use does not affect the continuation of an existing use that was permitted and legally established under the standards of this chapter.

Thank you for your response,
Kathy Staychock
Meyers, CA

4/23/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: Additional Comments on today's EMBARC related Appeal Hearing



Not Distributed

PC 4-22-21
Item #2
Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Fwd: Additional Comments on today's EMBARC related Appeal Hearing

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>
To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 4:02 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dan Kramer** <dan@kpastrategies.com>

Date: Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 4:01 PM

Subject: Additional Comments on today's EMBARC related Appeal Hearing

To: planning@edcgov.us <planning@edcgov.us>

Commissioners,

I commented earlier today, and was finally able to sign in again and listen to part of today's long appeals hearing. As a former City Planning Commissioner I know that the rules are not supposed to be changed after the fact to advantage appellants in this situation, or any other. I strongly urge Commissioners to follow the scoring criteria and the rules outlined in the appeal. Thank you for the opportunity to provide additional comment.

Dan Kramer
1460 Crocker
El Dorado Hills CA 95762

Sent from Kramer's iPhone



Not Distributed

PC 4-22-21

Hem #2

Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

2 pages

Fwd: Meyers Commercial Cannabis Appeal

1 message

Tiffany Schmid <tiffany.schmid@edcgov.us>

Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 5:13 PM

To: Breann Moebius <breann.moebius@edcgov.us>, Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>, Christopher Perry <christopher.perry@edcgov.us>, Aaron Mount <aaron.mount@edcgov.us>, Brendan Ferry <brendan.ferry@edcgov.us>

Just making sure you all received this.

Tiffany Schmid

Director, Planning and Building Department

County of El Dorado

Planning and Building Department

2850 Fairlane Court

Placerville, CA 95667

(530) 621-5132

tiffany.schmid@edcgov.us



Elevate to El Dorado

A Great Place to Live, Work & Play

----- Forwarded message -----

From: <b-symons@comcast.net>

Date: Thu, Apr 22, 2021 at 5:10 PM

Subject: Re: Meyers Commercial Cannabis Appeal

To: Meyers Area Plan <MeyersAreaPlan@edcgov.us>

I sat on the zoom all day and had my hand raised most of it. I was not called upon during the public comment and want to express the following items. Though they may not be considered, they do speak to the scoring. Many of the public speakers did not address the scoring which was what this was about.

1. Embarc listed 3 properties for their site on their application. The building is approximately 6000 square feet with approximately 12 parking spaces. When you throw in staffing and customers, the lot will fill quickly. And the use of the large property is to do additional "services" besides retail. They have talked about classes on the property. To accomodate the visitors, they will end up using the lot on the west side for parking.
2. Looking at the odor issue is another use of the lot on the west side of the property. Zero lot line issues include encroachment on the adjacent land therefore using that as part of their business model.
3. As for security, none of the entities addressed security for the community. They're protecting their product, and do not plan to sell to individuals less than 21 years old. But their customers will be leaving their building and consuming their product in our community. There are studies that address mental health issues for regular and long term users. As the product gets more potent

4/23/2021

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: Meyers Commercial Cannabis Appeal

and more available, these users will be getting on our highways at 45 miles per hour in Meyers going to South Lake Tahoe at 55 miles an hour and putting the community at risk.

Beth Symons
Meyers resident
530.577.6934

On 04/19/2021 9:59 AM Meyers Area Plan <meyersareaplan@edcgov.us> wrote:

Good Morning,

The El Dorado County Planning Commission will hold an Appeal Hearing on the scoring decision for the Commercial Cannabis Retail License in Meyers this Thursday, April 22nd starting at 8:30 a.m. The link to the Agenda and meeting materials is below.

<https://eldorado.legistar.com/MeetingDetail.aspx?ID=847095&GUID=AD6975B0-97DA-444E-A3F7-9FB304A122C4&Options=&Search=>

WARNING: This email and any attachments may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any unauthorized review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments) by other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments.