

 Outlook

Publ icComment 26-0428 June 23

LATE DISTRIBUTION

From gina posey <gposey777@gmail.com>

DATE 6/23/26

Date Mon 6/22/2026 11:30 PM

To BOS-Clerk of the Board <edc.cob@edcgov.us>; Kim Dawson <kim.dawson@edcgov.us>

 1 attachment (15 KB)

public comment 26-0428 Costco Sales Tax revenue.docx;

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Clerk of the Board,
Please attach my public comment to agenda item 26-0428
Thank you
Gina

--
Gina Posey
Candidate for EDC Supervisor District 4 - 2026
www.Posey4Supervisor.com

Date: June 23, 2026

To: Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors

From: Gina Posey

Agenda Item: 26-0428

As a taxpayers advocate, and as a candidate for Supervisor District 4, I have concerns with this proposal and urge the Board to proceed cautiously.

Road maintenance and improvements are countywide responsibilities that should be prioritized through an open and transparent budgeting process, not through the creation of special accounts tied to a single commercial project. Establishing a dedicated fund for one community based on revenues generated by one business sets a precedent that could lead to unequal treatment of taxpayers and communities throughout El Dorado County.

If Costco is approved, residents and visitors from across the county, including residents of my District 4, will shop there. The sales tax revenue generated will not come exclusively from El Dorado Hills residents, yet this proposal would reserve a portion of those revenues for a single zip code.

Communities throughout the county face road maintenance challenges, including many rural areas such as District 4, with aging infrastructure and limited resources. Road improvements should be based on a demonstrated need, traffic impacts, and countywide priorities—not on creating special revenue accounts tied to individual developments.

Additionally, it is unclear what legal mechanisms exist to earmark future sales tax revenues in this manner and whether doing so would reduce the Board's flexibility to address changing countywide transportation priorities. I am concerned this will set a harmful precedent by diluting the Board's ability to govern.

If the Board wishes to pursue this concept, it should first receive a full legal analysis, identify the fiscal impacts to the County Road Fund, and consider whether similar treatment would be offered to other communities and future commercial projects.

I respectfully ask the Board to reject this proposal or, at a minimum, direct staff to return with a comprehensive analysis of the legal, fiscal, and policy implications before taking further action

Sincerely,

Gina Posey