



Outlook

Fw: Please pass this on to the Planning Commissioners and Planning Staff Member Responsible

From Robert J. Peters <Robert.Peters@edcgov.us>
Date Mon 4/20/2026 1:31 PM
To Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>

Please see the attached comment.

Robert Peters

Deputy Director of Planning
Planning and Building Department

County of El Dorado

Planning and Building Department
2850 Fairlane Court
Placerville, CA 95667
Direct: (530) 621-6644
Robert.Peters@edcgov.us



Elevate to El Dorado
A Great Place to Live, Work & Play

From: Karen L. Garner <Karen.L.Garner@edcgov.us>
Sent: Monday, April 20, 2026 8:49 AM
To: Rhiannon R. Guilford <Rhiannon.Guilford@edcgov.us>
Cc: Robert J. Peters <Robert.Peters@edcgov.us>
Subject: FW: Please pass this on to the Planning Commissioners and Planning Staff Member Responsible

Karen L. Garner

Director
Planning and Building Department

County of El Dorado

Planning and Building Department
2850 Fairlane Court
Placerville, CA 95667
Direct: (530) 621-5132
Karen.L.Garner@edcgov.us



Elevate to El Dorado
A Great Place to Live, Work & Play

From: danderly@comcast.net <danderly@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, April 20, 2026 12:43 AM
To: Karen L. Garner <Karen.L.Garner@edcgov.us>
Subject: Please pass this on to the Planning Commissioners and Planning Staff Member Responsible

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

[Report Suspicious](#)

To the El Dorado County Planning Commission

Re: Recommendation: Require adequate environmental analysis of the proposed project before considering the merits of the proposed project.

The staff report indicates that the project is deemed to be exempt from environmental review per the California Environmental Quality Act, sec. 15183. The purpose of this section of CEQA is to streamline projects when all related issues are met that were identified and addressed under the environmental documents (e.g., EIR) adopted when the zoning was originally adopted. However, the staff failed to describe and discuss how this section is applicable to the subject project, which includes variances to required landscaping; no mention of mitigation measures associated with storage and the moving of heavy-duty construction material on nearby a residential area; hours of operation; noise associated with chain link fencing as opposed to masonry walls; the lack of landscaping abutting all three streets as required; no mention of the ground base, e.g., gravel, concrete, or dirt, which creates dust and mud onto adjacent properties and roads; nor even how long the proposed “temporary” use plans to occupy the property. When an industrial use abuts residential properties, additional measures are typically incorporated in the plan to abate potential negative impacts, which the staff has omitted. The project location map indicates only two streets abut the project site whereas the property line reflects three: Shingle Lime Mine Road, Business Drive and Dividend Drive, and all of which are required to be landscaped .

Staff’s documentation, without an appeal, would go forward without any knowledge or acknowledgement of impacts on the adjacent residential area (noise, dust, hours of operation, etc.) This is not serving our community well, nor is it consistent with sec. 15183 of CEQA.

Why should exceptions to development standards be made for open storage when:

- The number of employees typically employed on a 4.5-acre parcel with industrial buildings might number about 40, and

- No increase in property tax is foreseen when no structures are built,
- And without a time limit on storage, opportunities for superior industrial endeavors could be lost indefinitely.

There is already a business park adjacent to the project site, which is what contributes more to our community than dead storage seeking exceptions.

Regards,

Dyana Anderly

Member, Association of Environmental Services and

American Institute of Certified Planners

Dyana Anderly
3484 Chasen Drive
Cameron Park, Ca 95682
(510) 913-0698 - cell