# **Zoning Ordinance**

### Public Review Draft Board of Supervisors Direction October 18, 2010

1

### **Goals of Workshop**

- Board confirmation of work program
- Policy direction
  - Zoning maps
  - New zones
  - Deleted zones
  - Format of ordinance
- Prepare to release public review draft for CEQA and public comment



### **Reasons for Update**

- General Plan Consistency
  - Requirement of state law (CGC §65680)
  - Implement policies and measures
  - Zoning and Land Use Designation consistency
- Implement state and federal laws
- Improve effectiveness
  - Ease of use by staff, public & decision makers
  - Fix identified problems and inconsistencies





### **Public Input**

- Planning Commission workshops
  - 2006-2007
- Posted on County web site
  - 2008-2010
- EDAC Regulatory Reform Subcommittee
  - 2009-2010



### **Zoning Maps**

- Consistency with General Plan
  - Rules for inconsistencies
    - Find zone closest to existing zone consistent with GP designation
    - Downzone only where necessary
    - Fix clear inconsistencies with existing use of property (GPA required in some instances)
    - Amend Table 2-4 in Land Use Element

### Reasons for General Plan Amendment



- New Zones
- Deleted Zones
- "Compatible" or Holding Zones
  - Policy 2.2.5.6
  - Retain existing zone until development is feasible
    - Land owner desires
    - Infrastructure availability

#### **Proposed Revision to Table 2-4**

-						and Us							
Zones	MFR	HDR	MDR	LDR	RR	AL	NR	C	R&D	Ι	OS	TR	PF
RM	•							● <sup>1</sup>					•
R1		•											•
R20K		•											•
R1A	<mark>⊘</mark>	<mark>⊘</mark>	•					<mark>⊘</mark>		<mark>⊘</mark>			•
R2A	♦	<mark>⊘</mark>	•					<mark>⊘</mark>		<mark>⊘</mark>			•
R3A	♦	<mark>⊘</mark>	•					<mark>⊘</mark>		<mark>⊘</mark>			•
RE (5-10)	\$	$\diamond$	\$	•	• <sup>2</sup>			<mark>◇</mark>		<mark>◇</mark>			•
NS	•	•	•										•
С								•					•
СРО								•		<mark>♦</mark>			•
CG								•		<mark>♦</mark>			•
I										•			•
R&D									•	<mark>♦</mark>			•
AE				♦	•	•	•						
<mark>AP</mark>				$\diamond$	•	•	•						
PA				♦	•	•	•				● <sup>3</sup>		
(10-160)				<u> </u>	-	-	-						
RL (10-160)	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	$\diamond$	•	•	•	<mark>⊘</mark>	<mark>◇</mark>	<mark>⊘</mark>	• <sup>3</sup>	♦	•
AG					•		-				•3		
(40-160)					•	•	•				•		
<mark>FR</mark>				<i></i> ♦	•	•	•					$\diamond$	•
TPZ				<mark>⇔</mark>	•	•	•						
<mark>RFL</mark>	•	•	•	•	•		•				•	•	•
<mark>RFH</mark>	•	•						•				•	•
TC	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
OS	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	<mark>♦</mark>	♦	♦	•	•	•



### **Proposed New Zones**

- Rural Lands (RL)
  - Intended to replace some RA
  - Would not be an Agricultural Zone but would allow some agricultural uses
  - Allows residential use, but is more resource based
- Forest Resource (FR)
  - Intended to identify timberland not zoned TPZ
  - Allows residential use, but recognizes forestry and other resource extraction industries as primary use
  - Above 3000'



### **Proposed New Zones**

- Neighborhood Service (NS)
  - Identified in General Plan
  - Permitted in Residential land use designated areas
  - Intended to serve the neighborhood
- Agricultural Grazing
  - Intended to replace RA where grazing activity is present
  - Not proposed to be implemented until after GP Implementation Measure AF-D and AF-E are completed

### **Other Suggested Zones**

- Broader Range of Commercial Zones
  - Big box/Auto oriented
  - Pedestrian oriented/Walkable
  - Agricultural commercial
- Non-Williamson Act, Limited Ag Zone
  - Replacement for RA
  - Ag protections (setbacks, right-to-farm)
  - No winery/ranch Marketing



## **Combining (Overlay) Zones**

### Retain

- Planned Development
- Community Design (Design Review)
- Airport Safety

#### Eliminate

• Sierra Design (Another Design Review)





### **New Combining Zones**

- Avalanche Hazard
- Dam Failure Inundation
- Ecological Preserve
- Historic Design Review
- Mobile/Manufactured Home Park
- Platted Lands
- Scenic Corridor
- Tahoe Basin
- Mineral Resources

### **Mapping Rules**



- Identified inconsistencies through GIS
- Prepared set of rules for inconsistencies with each zone
- Re-zoned parcels based on rule-sets

### Rule Sets for Zoning Map Update U - Unclassified



If land use designation is:	Proposed zone would be:
MDR	R1A, R2A or R3A based on predominant lot size
LDR	RE-5
RR	RE-10 or FR or RL-160 if above 3000'
NR	RL-40 if below 3000' FR if above 3000' or adjacent to TPZ of Nat'l Forest

### Rule Sets for Zoning Map Update Medium and Low Density Residential R1A, R2A, R3A and RE-5

If land use designation is:	Proposed zone would be:
C/I/MFR/HDR	Keep existing zone as a holding zone until infrastructure is available to support a higher intensity zone
MDR	Keep existing zone if consistent or as holding zone (RE-5)

### Ordinance Text Organizational Structure

- Matrices and Tables
- Separate Articles
  - Development Standards
  - Specific Use Requirements
  - Rules of Interpretation
  - Permit Processing
- Comprehensive Glossary



### Policy Issues Attachment G



- Non-conforming uses
- Non-conforming parcel setbacks
- Residential use of TPZ
- Rezoning of rolled-out Williamson Act land
- Texas Hill Reservoir take area zoning

### **Deferred Issues**

- Mixed Use Development (MUD 2)
- Comprehensive Sign Ordinance Update
- Scenic Highway
- Animal Keeping
- Historic Design Review
- Vacation Home Rental



### Adoption Schedule Next Steps



- Board confirmation of work program
- Release Public Review Draft (text and maps)
- Begin CEQA
- Public outreach & information
- Planning Commission hearings
- Revisions to draft
- Re-circulate CEQA if necessary
- Board adoption hearings

### **Summary and Board Action**

### • Confirm:

- Amendment to Table 2-4
- New and deleted zones
- Basic structure of ordinance
- Deferred items
- Process and schedule