## ALL WAY STOP SURVEY
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I / COMMITTEE APPROVED STAFF'S RECOMMENDATION.
[ JCOMMITTEE REQUESTED FURTHER ACTION.

Action: APPROVED

# EL DORADO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ENGINEERING AND TRAFFIC SURVEY: ALL WAY STOP 

## Location: Serrano Parkway (No. 2344) at Greenview Drive (West) (Private Gated Road)

Subject: All Way Stop
Date: January 2nd, 2023

## REQUEST REFERENCE:

This study was initiated in response to a request from the General Manager of the Serrano Homeowners Association. This letter requested that the County study the Serrano Parkway/Greenview Drive (West) intersection to see if an "All Way Stop" at Serrano Parkway and Greenview Drive (West) was justified.

The County began investigation of this intersection and discussed their findings during the December $5^{\text {th }}, 2019$, West Slope Traffic Advisory Committee Meeting. At that meeting the El Dorado County Department of Transportation's Traffic Unit was directed to prepare an "All Way Stop" Engineering and Traffic Study. The Serrano Homeowners Board of Directors was also asked to formally affirm their support for an "All Ways Stop"

On March $16^{\text {th }}, 2022$, the Serrano Homeowners Board of Directors submitted a letter of approval and a resident petition in favor of an "All Way Stop". As a result of receiving the letter of approval and the petition, the Traffic Unit gathered new Turning Movement data, new pedestrian counts and remeasured sight distances. This data was discussed at the July $13^{\text {th }}, 2022$, West Slope Traffic Advisory Committee Meeting. This updated Engineering and Traffic Study includes the new data gathered at this intersection.

The scope of this study is limited to determining if an "All Way Stop" is appropriate for the intersection. This study is limited to Serrano Parkway in the immediate vicinity of its intersection with Greenview Drive (West). All subsequent references apply only to this area unless otherwise indicated.

## INTRODUCTION:

The 2014 California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CAMUTCD), Revision 6, states in part:

## Section 2B. 07 Multi-Way Stop Applications

Support:

1. Multi-way stop control can be useful as a safety measure at intersections if certain traffic conditions exist. Safety concerns associated with multi-way stops include pedestrians, bicyclists, and all road users expecting other road users to stop. Multi-way stop control is used where the volume of traffic on the intersecting roads is approximately equal.
2. The restrictions on the use of STOP signs described in Section 2B. 04 also apply to multiway stop applications.
Guidance:
3. The decision to install multi-way stop control should be based on an engineering study.
4. The following criteria should be considered in the engineering study for a multi-way STOP sign installation:
A. Where traffic control signals are justified, the multi-way stop is an interim measure that can be installed quickly to control traffic while arrangements are being made for the installation of the traffic control signal.
B. Five or more reported crashes in a 12 -month period that are susceptible to correction by a multi-way stop installation. Such crashes include right-turn and left-turn collisions as well as right-angle collisions.
C. Minimum volumes:
5. The vehicular volume entering the intersection from the major street approaches (total of both approaches) averages at least 300 vehicles per hour for any 8 hours of an average day; and
6. The combined vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle volume entering the intersection from the minor street approaches (total of both approaches) averages at least 200 units per hour for the same 8 hours, with an average delay to minor-street vehicular traffic of at least 30 seconds per vehicle during the highest hour; but
7. If the 85 th-percentile approach speed of the major-street traffic exceeds 40 mph , the minimum vehicular volume warrants are 70 percent of the values provided in Items 1 and 2.
D. Where no single criterion is satisfied, but where Criteria B, C.1, and C. 2 are all satisfied to 80 percent of the minimum values. Criterion C. 3 is excluded from this condition.
Option:
8. Other criteria that may be considered in an engineering study include:
A. The need to control left turn conflicts.
B. The need to control vehicle/pedestrian conflicts near locations that generate high pedestrian volumes.
C. Locations where a road user, after stopping, cannot see conflicting traffic and is not able to negotiate the intersection unless conflicting cross traffic is also required to stop; and
D. An intersection of two residential neighborhood collector (through) streets of similar design and operating characteristics where multi-way stop control would improve traffic operational characteristics of the intersection.

## GENERAL PHYSICAL CONDITIONS:

SERRANO PARKWAY
Functional Classification:
Length:
Limits:
Alignment:
Grade:
Lanes
Surface:
Striping:
Median:

Shoulders:
Speed Limit:

## GREENVIEW DRIVE

Functional Classification:
Length:
Limits:
Alignment:
Grade:
Surface:
Striping:
Median:
Shoulders:
Speed Limit:

Major Collector
0.10 mile within study area ( 3.66 miles total length)

250 feet east and west of Greenview Drive (West)
Straight
Moderate grade, uphill to the east
Four (4) lanes plus eastbound left turn lane
Asphalt surface
White dashed lane lines and edge lines
Concrete and landscaped median with a left turn pocket for the eastbound Serrano Parkway to northbound Greenview Drive (West) turning movement
Both sides of Serrano Parkway have concrete curb and gutter with a bike lane.
45 miles per hour

Private Gated Road
230 feet to access gate
Serrano Parkway to 230 feet north of Serrano Parkway Straight
Moderate grade, uphill to the north
Asphalt surface
Crosswalk at Serrano Parkway
Concrete and landscaped median
Concrete curb and gutter
Private Road

Serrano Parkway is intersected by Greenview Drive (West), Mile Post 2.33, within the study area. Traffic entering from Greenview Drive (West) is required to stop at Serrano Parkway. Greenview Drive (West) is controlled by a "STOP" sign, legend, and crosswalk at its intersection with Serrano Parkway.

No portion of the study section of Serrano Parkway or Greenview Drive (West) currently meets the minimum housing density requirements, or concentration of businesses necessary to qualify for a 25 mile per hour prima facie Residence District or Business District speed limit as defined in the California Vehicle Code.

The study section of Serrano Parkway was accepted into the County Maintained Roadway System in 2000. Serrano Parkway is a four (4) lane divided asphalt concrete roadway, in good condition, with a left turn pocket at the intersection for the eastbound Serrano Parkway to northbound Greenview Drive (West) turning movement. The pavement is approximately eighty (80) feet in width with four (4) foot wide asphalt concrete shoulders with sidewalks along both side of Serrano Parkway. A crosswalk with curb ramps crosses Greenview Drive (West) connecting the northwest and northeast
corners of the Serrano Parkway/Greenview Drive (West) intersection. Pavement markings consist of white dashed lane striping, and white edge line striping. A raised landscaped median is present between the eastbound and westbound lanes of Serrano Parkway except for the area within the intersection.

Greenview Drive (West) is a private gated road that accesses the Serrano Country Club and several Serrano residential subdivisions. Greenview Drive is a two-lane divided asphalt concrete roadway, in good condition, with no sidewalks. Greenview Drive has a raised landscaped median.

Serrano Parkway is classified as a Major Collector by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), as depicted by the California Road System (CRS) maps. Greenview Drive (West) is classified as a private road.

## RECORD DATA:

## Traffic Counts:

A review of the average daily traffic volume counts for Serrano Parkway revealed that counts were conducted by the County of El Dorado Transportation Division starting on August 22nd, 2019. The following counts were recorded:

Serrano Parkway:

| DATE | MILEPOST | SITE | ADT |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| August 22 ${ }^{\text {nd }}, 2019$ | 2.28 | West of Greenview Drive (West) | 11,994 |
| August 22 ${ }^{\text {nd }}, 2019$ | 2.38 | East of Greenview Drive (West) | 9,526 |
| Average Daily Traffic Counts |  | 10,760 |  |

A review of the average daily traffic volume counts for Greenview Drive (West) revealed that a count was conducted by the County of El Dorado Transportation Division starting on August 22nd, 2019. The following count was recorded:

Greenview Drive (West):

| DATE | MILEPOST | SITE | ADT |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| August $22^{\text {nd }}, 2019$ | 0.01 | North of Serrano Parkway | 3,496 |
| Average Daily Traffic Counts |  | 3,496 |  |

## Collision Data:

There have been three (3) collisions within the study area in the past three (3) years that are susceptible to correction by an "All Ways Stop". However, two (2) of the collisions occurred in March and June of 2022. March's collision was a head-on and June's collision was a broadside.

## FIELD REVIEW AND OBSERVATION:

One (1) radar speed survey was conducted by the El Dorado County Department of Transportation on Serrano Parkway on February 24, 2022 (see attached sheet). The following table reflects the result of the survey:

| 2022 SITE LOCATION | MILE <br> POST | SPEED <br> LIMIT | $85 \%$ SPEED | 10 MPH <br> PACE | $\%$ IN PACE <br> SPEED |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 550 feet west of <br> Greenview Drive (West) | 2.22 | 45 mph | 46 mph | $38-47 \mathrm{mph}$ | $76.2 \%$ |

During the radar survey that took approximately thirty (30) minutes, two (2) bicyclists and six (6) pedestrians were observed along Serrano Parkway within the study section.

As part of this study, two turning movement counts were taken.
On April $28^{\text {th }}, 2022$, the following results were recorded for the intersection of Serrano Parkway and Greenview Drive (See attached Turning Movement Sheet).

| File Name: Turning Movement: Serrano Parkway at Greenview Drive (West) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Date: |  | 4/28/2 | 022 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time: |  | 7:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | GREENVIEW From North |  |  | SERRANO <br> From East |  |  | SERRANO <br> From West |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Time | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | Bikes |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 14 |  | 3 | 4 | 63 |  |  | 26 | 11 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 7:15 AM | 21 |  | 7 | 7 | 109 |  |  | 24 | 13 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 7:30 AM | 35 |  | 0 | 3 | 127 |  |  | 35 | 15 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| 7:45 ANA | 42 |  | 5 | 6 | 149 | , | . | 93 | 23 | 3 | 2 |  |  |
| 8:00 AM | 19 |  | 3 | 3 | 174 |  |  | 88 | 25 | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| 8:15 AM | 33 |  | 4 | 8 | 129 | - | - | 74 | 23 |  |  |  |  |
| 8:30 AM | 24 |  | 4 | 2 | 85 | - |  | 71 | 20 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 8:45 AM | 20 |  | 2 | 4 | 72 |  |  | 42 | 19 |  |  |  |  |
| 200 PM | 30 |  | 3 | 8 | 95 |  |  | 82 | 20 | 5 |  |  |  |
| 2:15 PM | 27 |  | 0 | 4 | 84 |  |  | 136 | 33 | 7 |  |  |  |
| 2:30 PN | 21 |  | 7 | 3 | 87 |  |  | 147 | 31 |  |  |  |  |
| 2:45 P/ | 31 |  | 4 | 6 | 92 |  |  | 130 | 35 |  |  |  |  |
| 3:00 PM | 28 |  | 8 | 4 | 95 |  |  | 97 | 34 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 3:15 PM | 30 |  | 8 | 7 | 63 |  |  | 108 | 27 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 3:30 PM | 23 |  | 7 | 9 | 79 |  |  | 129 | 43 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| 3:45 PM | 27 |  | 11 | 8 | 99 |  |  | 95 | 38 |  | 4 |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 18 |  | 3 | 6 | 86 |  |  | 104 | 33 |  | 2 |  |  |



The Caltrans Department of Transportation Highway Design Manual indicates that the minimum stopping sight distance requirement for an eighty-fifth percentile speed of 45 MPH is 350 feet. The corner sight distance out of Greenview Drive (West) is at minimal standards looking both easterly and westerly. The eastbound Serrano Parkway to northbound Greenview Drive (West) turning movement sight distance also meets minimal standards.

The El Dorado County Department of Transportation performed a Synchro Traffic Analysis Model using the traffic count data collected at the study intersection on April 28 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2022$. The Synchro Traffic Analysis Model determines if the intersection meets Traffic Signal Warrants, Multiway Stop Warrants and other pertaining traffic data.

The El Dorado County Department of Transportation also performed a Sensitivity Analysis to determine, if the intersection did not meet Traffic Signal or Multiway Stop Warrants, how much additional traffic would be required to meet Multiway Stop Warrants at this intersection.

The El Dorado County Department of Transportation also reviewed a proposal to add a crosswalk crossing Serrano Parkway on either the east side or the west side of the Serrano Parkway/Greenview Drive (West) intersection. Due to the existing geometrics of the intersection and Serrano Parkway's moderate uphill grade to the east, adding a crosswalk that meets the "Americans With Disabilities Act" Standards is not cost appropriate for this project.

## FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS:

The study section of Serrano Parkway for this survey is 500 feet long and runs east/west with Greenview Drive (West) being a north/south roadway, 230 feet in length from Serrano Parkway to the Serrano access gate. Based on the study, Serrano Parkway at Greenview Drive (West) has been found to have the following:

- Serrano Parkway has a posted and radar enforced speed of 45 miles per hour.
- Serrano Parkway within the study area has a recorded $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed of 46 miles per hour.
- Serrano Parkway within the study area has a calculated average daily traffic volume of 10,760 vehicles per day.
- Serrano Parkway within the study area is straight with a moderate uphill grade to the east.
- Serrano Parkway is classified as a Major Collector, serving several Serrano subdivisions. In addition, it also serves as an arterial connecting Bass Lake Road with Silva Valley Parkway and El Dorado Hills Boulevard.
- Serrano Parkway does not qualify as a "Residence District" or "Business District".
- Greenview Drive (West) is a private road.
- Greenview Drive (West) is straight with an uphill grade to the north.
- The calculated average daily traffic volume was 3,496 vehicles per day for Greenview Drive (West).
- There is a left turn pocket for eastbound traffic on Serrano Parkway at Greenview Drive (West).
- There are existing multiway stop-controlled intersections 450 feet to the east (Serrano Parkway at Villagio Drive) and 1,270 feet to the west. (Serrano Parkway at Terracina Drive)
- Currently the Serrano Parkway/Greenview Drive intersection operates at "Level of Service B" ("LOS B").

As noted above, in the Record Data Section, the 2014 California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CAMUTCD) provides guidance criteria for determining whether multi-way stop control is warranted.

## A. Signal Warrants:

- A traffic signal is not warranted at the Serrano Parkway/Greenview Drive (West) intersection. Therefore, the intersection does not currently meet standard "A" for a multiway stop installation.


## B. Number of Collisions Warrant:

- There have been two (2) CHP reported collisions so far in 2022. Both collisions are susceptible to correction by a multiway stop. A minimum three (3) CHP reported collisions per year that are susceptible to correction by a multi-way stop installation is the standard for a multiway stop at this intersection. This intersection is showing a growing trend of collisions, in the past year, that could be mitigated by an "All Way Stop" controlled
intersection. Because of this growing trend of collisions, this warrant may be met by March of 2023.


## C. Minimum Volume Warrant:

- To meet this standard, both the major street approaches and the minor street approaches must meet the vehicular volume standard for a multiway stop installation.

1. The combined vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle volumes entering the intersection from the major street approaches (Serrano Parkway, total of both approaches) must average at least 210 vehicles per hour for any 8 hours of an average day. This major street volume does meet the standard for a multiway stop at this intersection.
2. The combined vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle volumes entering the Serrano Parkway/Greenview Drive (West) intersection from the minor street approach (Greenview Drive) must average at least 140 vehicles for the same 8 hours. Currently the volume of entering vehicles is slightly under this threshold. However, traffic is projected to increase to above this threshold soon as the Serrano Subdivisions continue constructing new homes.

The 2014 California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (CAMUTCD) states that the following criteria may also be considered in an engineering study.

- The need to control left turn conflicts
- Locations where a road user, after stopping, cannot see conflicting traffic and is not able to negotiate the intersection unless conflicting traffic is also required to stop.

The minimum stopping sight distance requirement for an eighty-fifth percentile speed of 45 MPH is 350 feet. The Serrano Parkway/Greenview Drive intersection sight distances are at minimal standards. The El Dorado County Department of Transportation has, in the past, twice recommended that the Serrano Homeowners Association remove, or cut short, the median vegetation and remove the trees to the east of Greenview Drive (West) to increase the intersection site distances. During the July $13^{\text {th }}, 2022$, Traffic Advisory Committee Meeting these site distances were again discussed. In addition, it was brought to the attention of the Traffic Advisory Committee that two collisions had occurred at the intersection, in March of 2022 and June of 2022. Both collisions were between vehicles turning left from eastbound Serrano Parkway to northbound Greenview Drive (West) and vehicles traveling westbound on Serrano Parkway. The Traffic Advisory Committee during the meeting's discussion period again recommended to the Serrano Homeowners Association that the median and corner vegetation be removed or trimmed to improve site distances. Maintaining the median vegetation and monitoring the size and density of trees near the intersection is a constant task. In the interest of public safety, the possible sight distance limitations justify an "All Way Stop".

## El Dorado County Department of Transportation Sensitivity Test Result:

In Serrano and neighboring subdivisions, as residential build out continues, average daily traffic counts, pedestrian counts and bicyclist counts are projected to increase on Serrano Parkway and Greenview Drive (West). In May of 2022, the intersection of Serrano Parkway/Greenview Drive was
analyzed to approximate how many additional dwelling units would trigger the "All Way Stop" minor street approach warrant. Based on traffic volume, an estimated increase of 280 to 300 vehicles per day would need to use Greenview Drive (West) for the peak eight (8) hours. Assuming ten (10) vehicle trips per day per dwelling unit (Industry Standard for subdivisions like Serrano). At a minimum, roughly, twenty-eight (28) to thirty (30) new dwelling units would need to be occupied to meet the minimum minor street approach traffic volume warrant for a multiway stop at the Serrano Parkway/Greenview Drive (West) intersection.

## DISCUSSION

Based on the results of the survey and the requirements of the California Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices, currently the "All Way Stop" is not warranted at this location. However, due to the following, the County recommends the installation of an "All-Way Stop" at the intersection of Serrano Parkway and Greenview Drive (West).

- Subdivisions accessed by Greenview Drive (West) are still in the building/construction phase and soon are projected to build out to where the "All Way Stop" at the intersection of Serrano Parkway/Greenview Drive (West) will be warranted.
- The Serrano Parkway/Greenview Drive (West) intersection is landscaped with vegetation of varying heights and densities. Although intersection sight distances meet minimal standards, meeting those standards requires constant vegetation maintenance. In the interest of public safety, an "All Way Stop" is recommended.
- Currently the Serrano Parkway/Greenview Drive (West) intersection has had two collisions since March of 2022 that are susceptible to correction by an "All Way Stop". By March of 2023, the intersection may meet the warrant of three collisions that are susceptible to correction by an "All Way Stop".


## RECOMMENDATION

Based on the findings of this survey, it is recommended that:

1. For public safety, the Traffic Advisory Committee approve the installation of "STOP" signs, associated striping and legends stopping eastbound and westbound traffic on Serrano Parkway at its intersection with Greenview Drive (West).



Attachments: Vicinity Map (1)<br>Vicinity Photos (3)<br>Turning Movement (1)<br>Traffic Counts (6)<br>Collision Summary (4)<br>Speed Survey (1)
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File Name: Turning Movement: Serrano Parkway at Greenview Drive (West)
Start Date: 4/28/2022
Start Time: 7:00 AM


| $2: 00 ~ P M$ | 30 |  | 3 | 8 | 95 |  |  | 82 | 20 |  | 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2: 15 ~ P M$ | 27 |  | 0 | 4 | 84 |  |  | 136 | 33 |  | 7 |  |  |
| $2: 30$ PM | 21 |  | 7 | 3 | 87 |  |  | 147 | 31 |  |  |  |  |
| $2: 45$ PM | 31 |  | 4 | 6 | 92 |  |  | 130 | 35 |  |  |  |  |
| $3: 00$ PM | 28 |  | 8 | 4 | 95 |  |  | 97 | 34 |  | 1 |  |  |
| $3: 15 ~ P M$ | 30 |  | 8 | 7 | 63 |  |  | 108 | 27 |  | 4 |  |  |
| $3: 30$ PM | 23 |  | 7 | 9 | 79 |  |  | 129 | 43 |  | 2 | 2 |  |
| $3: 45$ PM | 27 |  | 11 | 8 | 99 |  |  | 95 | 38 |  |  | 4 |  |
| $4: 00$ PM | 18 |  | 3 | 6 | 86 |  |  | 104 | 33 |  |  | 2 |  |


| EL DORADO COUNTY <br> DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> Summary Beginning: <br> November 9, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count Station City/Town: Road Name: Lanes: | Special Serrano Serrano Pkwy 2 |  |  | Counter ID: Mile Post: Location: Direction: |  | 61 <br> E of Greenview Dr (W) EASTBOUND |  |  |  |
| Date | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 9 | 10 | Weekly | Wk Day |
| Day <br> Time | Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Average | Avg. |
| 100 | 13 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 6 |
| 200 | 8 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 2 |
| 300 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| 400 | 9 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| 500 | 9 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
| 600 | 18 | 38 | 50 | 74 | 45 | 60 | 23 | 44 | 53 |
| 700 | 47 | 108 | 238 | 238 | 222 | 252 | 40 | 164 | 212 |
| 800 | 76 | 146 | 256 | 228 | 246 | 252 | 114 | 188 | 226 |
| 900 | 141 | 166 | 168 | 174 | 152 | 196 | 177 | 168 | 171 |
| 1000 | 210 | 203 | 211 | 196 | 189 | 226 | 232 | 210 | 205 |
| 1100 | 183 | 273 | 236 | 244 | 233 | 258 | 224 | 236 | 249 |
| 1200 | 249 | 296 | 248 | 296 | 308 | 326 | 306 | 290 | 295 |
| 1300 | 295 | 297 | 280 | 400 | 288 | 334 | 298 | 313 | 320 |
| 1400 | 267 | 298 | 402 | 286 | 409 | 450 | 283 | 342 | 369 |
| 1500 | 288 | 364 | 458 | 432 | 490 | 477 | 317 | 404 | 444 |
| 1600 | 288 | 384 | 449 | 431 | 372 | 495 | 311 | 390 | 426 |
| 1700 | 280 | 432 | 542 | 503 | 474 | 486 | 336 | 436 | 487 |
| 1800 | 225 | 312 | 394 | 386 | 386 | 356 | 256 | 331 | 367 |
| 1900 | 199 | 225 | 268 | 264 | 257 | 270 | 159 | 235 | 257 |
| 2000 | 140 | 170 | 211 | 232 | 198 | 223 | 150 | 189 | 207 |
| 2100 | 114 | 120 | 134 | 130 | 127 | 202 | 168 | 142 | 143 |
| 2200 | 66 | 35 | 48 | 49 | 90 | 180 | 138 | 87 | 80 |
| 2300 | 49 | 19 | 29 | 41 | 29 | 71 | 59 | 42 | 38 |
| 2400 | 23 | 15 | 24 | 8 | 20 | 46 | 38 | 25 | 23 |
| Totals | 3204 | 3924 | 4673 | 4635 | 4566 | 5185 | 3674 | 4266 | 4597 |
| AM Peak Hr | 12:00 | 12:00 | 8:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 |
| AM Count | 249 | 296 | 256 | 296 | 308 | 326 | 306 | 290 | 295 |
| PM Peak Hr | 1:00 | 5:00 | 5:00 | 5:00 | 3:00 | 4:00 | 5:00 | 5:00 | 5:00 |
| PM Count | 295 | 432 | 542 | 503 | 490 | 495 | 336 | 436 | 487 |

TOTAL ADT:
9,205

| EL DORADO COUNTY <br> DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> Summary Beginning: <br> November 9, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count Station City/Town: Road Name: Lanes: | Special <br> Serrano <br> Serrano Pkwy <br> 2 |  |  | Counter ID: <br> Mile Post: <br> Location: <br> Direction: |  | 61 <br> E of Greenview Dr (W) WESTBOUND |  |  |  |
| Date | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 9 | 10 | Weekly | Wk Day |
| Day Time | Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Average | Avg. |
| 100 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 3 |
| 200 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 6 |
| 300 | 10 | 14 | 11 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 6 | 10 | 10 |
| 400 | 16 | 27 | 40 | 27 | 25 | 24 | 7 | 24 | 29 |
| 500 | 15 | 58 | 73 | 77 | 76 | 66 | 18 | 55 | 70 |
| 600 | 51 | 123 | 253 | 236 | 231 | 236 | 72 | 172 | 216 |
| 700 | 106 | 268 | 676 | 668 | 609 | 682 | 142 | 450 | 581 |
| 800 | 198 | 350 | 456 | 502 | 456 | 492 | 222 | 382 | 451 |
| 900 | 233 | 323 | 323 | 290 | 301 | 311 | 312 | 299 | 310 |
| 1000 | 294 | 292 | 288 | 276 | 294 | 295 | 344 | 298 | 289 |
| 1100 | 262 | 360 | 268 | 291 | 266 | 278 | 316 | 292 | 293 |
| 1200 | 244 | 304 | 242 | 326 | 270 | 318 | 284 | 284 | 292 |
| 1300 | 240 | 305 | 289 | 259 | 296 | 344 | 309 | 292 | 299 |
| 1400 | 214 | 275 | 315 | 312 | 336 | 356 | 276 | 298 | 319 |
| 1500 | 239 | 304 | 324 | 313 | 261 | 370 | 260 | 296 | 314 |
| 1600 | 276 | 293 | 286 | 270 | 296 | 314 | 250 | 284 | 292 |
| 1700 | 211 | 266 | 298 | 276 | 284 | 341 | 258 | 276 | 293 |
| 1800 | 161 | 178 | 189 | 218 | 218 | 254 | 188 | 201 | 211 |
| 1900 | 118 | 96 | 132 | 116 | 102 | 158 | 114 | 119 | 121 |
| 2000 | 101 | 81 | 72 | 100 | 84 | 86 | 82 | 87 | 85 |
| 2100 | 74 | 44 | 54 | 47 | 53 | 128 | 110 | 73 | 65 |
| 2200 | 56 | 17 | 18 | 24 | 25 | 88 | 64 | 42 | 34 |
| 2300 | 24 | 9 | 9 | 14 | 12 | 44 | 38 | 21 | 18 |
| 2400 | 14 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 24 | 16 | 11 | 9 |
| Totals | 3173 | 3999 | 4635 | 4660 | 4520 | 5228 | 3706 | 4274 | 4608 |
| AM Peak Hr | 10:00 | 11:00 | 7:00 | 7:00 | 7:00 | 7:00 | 10:00 | 7:00 | 7:00 |
| AM Count | 294 | 360 | 676 | 668 | 609 | 682 | 344 | 450 | 581 |
| PM Peak Hr | 4:00 | 1:00 | 3:00 | 3:00 | 2:00 | 3:00 | 1:00 | 2:00 | 2:00 |
| PM Count | 276 | 305 | 324 | 313 | 336 | 370 | 309 | 298 | 319 |

TOTAL ADT:
9,205

| EL DORADO COUNTY <br> DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION <br> Summary Beginning: <br> November 9, 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count Statio City/Town: Road Name: Lanes: | Special <br> Serrano <br> Serrano Pkwy <br> 2 |  |  | Counter ID: <br> Mile Post: <br> Location: <br> Direction: |  | 68 <br> W of Greenview Dr (W) EASTBOUND |  |  |  |
| Date | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 9 | 10 | Weekly | Wk Day |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Day } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Average | Avg. |
| 100 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| 200 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 1 |
| 300 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 400 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 7 |
| 500 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 16 | 11 | 17 | 9 | 12 | 13 |
| 600 | 9 | 42 | 62 | 70 | 47 | 62 | 20 | 45 | 57 |
| 700 | 23 | 98 | 218 | 218 | 216 | 208 | 52 | 148 | 192 |
| 800 | 46 | 118 | 233 | 225 | 222 | 228 | 110 | 169 | 205 |
| 900 | 98 | 132 | 172 | 168 | 170 | 180 | 104 | 146 | 164 |
| 1000 | 136 | 167 | 181 | 193 | 174 | 192 | 173 | 174 | 181 |
| 1100 | 142 | 224 | 173 | 207 | 211 | 211 | 206 | 196 | 205 |
| 1200 | 189 | 234 | 244 | 254 | 266 | 290 | 214 | 242 | 258 |
| 1300 | 212 | 235 | 224 | 325 | 236 | 255 | 230 | 245 | 255 |
| 1400 | 171 | 230 | 292 | 268 | 306 | 335 | 191 | 256 | 286 |
| 1500 | 210 | 274 | 350 | 340 | 340 | 362 | 233 | 301 | 333 |
| 1600 | 180 | 251 | 312 | 310 | 277 | 342 | 218 | 270 | 298 |
| 1700 | 162 | 286 | 342 | 306 | 358 | 322 | 214 | 284 | 323 |
| 1800 | 152 | 188 | 242 | 244 | 260 | 234 | 144 | 209 | 234 |
| 1900 | 116 | 135 | 179 | 175 | 168 | 159 | 102 | 148 | 163 |
| 2000 | 89 | 111 | 156 | 131 | 125 | 150 | 111 | 125 | 135 |
| 2100 | 78 | 63 | 92 | 92 | 85 | 137 | 118 | 95 | 94 |
| 2200 | 46 | 32 | 22 | 35 | 47 | 108 | 102 | 56 | 49 |
| 2300 | 17 | 11 | 12 | 20 | 21 | 54 | 35 | 24 | 24 |
| 2400 | 6 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 15 | 24 | 21 | 13 | 13 |
| Totals | 2105 | 2864 | 3541 | 3615 | 3565 | 3890 | 2627 | 3172 | 3495 |
| AM Peak Hr | 12:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 | 12:00 |
| AM Count | 189 | 234 | 244 | 254 | 266 | 290 | 214 | 242 | 258 |
| PM Peak Hr | 1:00 | 5:00 | 3:00 | 3:00 | 5:00 | 3:00 | 3:00 | 3:00 | 3:00 |
| PM Count | 212 | 286 | 350 | 340 | 358 | 362 | 233 | 301 | 333 |



TOTAL ADT:
10,703


| EL DORADO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTA <br> Summary Beginning: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count Statio City/Town: Road Name Lanes: | Special Serrano Greenview Dr (W) 2 |  |  | Counter ID: <br> Mile Post: <br> Location: <br> Direction: |  | 61 <br> N of Serrano Pkwy SOUTHBOUND |  |  |  |
| Date | 25 | 26 | $27 \mid$ | 28 | 22 | 23 | 24 | Weekly | Wk Day |
| Day Time | Sun | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Average | Avg. |
| 100 | 35 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 14 | 11 | 5 |
| 200 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| 300 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 400 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| 500 | 5 | 9 | 13 | 10 | 13 | 10 | 4 | 9 | 11 |
| 600 | 5 | 21 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 20 | 9 | 16 | 19 |
| 700 | 11 | 56 | 59 | 66 | 63 | 55 | 24 | 48 | 60 |
| 800 | 37 | 158 | 160 | 161 | 150 | 138 | 66 | 124 | 153 |
| 900 | 70 | 122 | 132 | 146 | 114 | 115 | 62 | 109 | 126 |
| 1000 | 79 | 120 | 123 | 94 | 118 | 135 | 104 | 110 | 118 |
| 1100 | 94 | 102 | 120 | 120 | 108 | 108 | 94 | 107 | 112 |
| 1200 | 106 | 112 | 120 | 108 | 126 | 120 | 123 | 116 | 117 |
| 1300 | 93 | 106 | 104 | 138 | 110 | 122 | 114 | 112 | 116 |
| 1400 | 100 | 111 | 105 | 140 | 119 | 112 | 102 | 113 | 117 |
| 1500 | 85 | 130 | 132 | 126 | 130 | 118 | 86 | 115 | 127 |
| 1600 | 91 | 99 | 127 | 154 | 113 | 100 | 74 | 108 | 119 |
| 1700 | 96 | 126 | 102 | 98 | 108 | 108 | 92 | 104 | 108 |
| 1800 | 80 | 124 | 130 | 118 | 111 | 109 | 68 | 106 | 118 |
| 1900 | 78 | 78 | 83 | 78 | 101 | 108 | 74 | 86 | 90 |
| 2000 | 52 | 98 | 52 | 56 | 48 | 82 | 54 | 63 | 67 |
| 2100 | 58 | 36 | 42 | 53 | 49 | 49 | 61 | 50 | 46 |
| 2200 | 31 | 10 | 11 | 17 | 27 | 35 | 55 | 27 | 20 |
| 2300 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 15 | 13 | 33 | 45 | 19 | 16 |
| 2400 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 12 | 46 | 12 | 7 |
| Totals | 1227 | 1638 | 1655 | 1732 | 1665 | 1697 | 1378 | 1570 | 1677 |
| AM Peak Hr | 12:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 | 12:00 | 8:00 | 8:00 |
| AM Count | 106 | 158 | 160 | 161 | 150 | 138 | 123 | 124 | 153 |
| PM Peak Hr | 2:00 | 3:00 | 3:00 | 4:00 | 3:00 | 1:00 | 1:00 | 3:00 | 3:00 |
| PM Count | 100 | 130 | 132 | 154 | 130 | 122 | 114 | 115 | 127 |

[^0]
# EL DORADO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 

## ACCIDENT SITE ANALYSIS SUMMARY FOR <br> SERRANO PW

Report Date: 7/1/2022

For the period beginning January 1, 2012 and ending December 31, 2021

| Beg | ning at Mile Post 2.28 |  | Mile Post 2.38 |  | tion Length $=0.10$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | Number of Accidents: | 1 | Number of Injuries: | 0 | Number of Fatalities: | 0 |
| 2015 | Number of Accidents: | 2 | Number of Injuries: | 2 | Number of Fatalities: | 0 |
| 2020 | Number of Accidents: | 1 | Number of Injuries: | 1 | Number of Fatalities: | 0 |
| Accident $\mathbf{T o t a l}=$ |  |  | Injury Total $=3$ |  | Fatality Total $=0$ |  |

## EL DORADO COUNTY <br> DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

2012 C.H.P. ACCIDENT SUMMARY FOR SERRANO PW FROM MP-2.28 TO MP-2.38
Report Date: 7/1/2022
The following accident data is based on the C.H.P. Accident Reports for the one year period from
January 1, 2012, through December 31, 2012.
The following code numbers have been used to classify the various major types of accidents:

| 1 | $=$ Headon | $2=$ Sideswipe | $3=$ Rearend |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 4 | $=$ Broadside | 5 | $=$ Hit Object |
| 7 | $=$ Pedestrian Involved | 8 | $=$ Bicycle Involved |
| 10 | $=$ Parked Vehicle Involved | 11 | $=$ Snow Removal Equip. Involved |
| 13 | $=$ Motorcycle Involved | 14 | $=$ School Bus Involved |


| Street | Mile <br> Post | Dist. | Dir. |  | Cross Street |  | 亭 | \# | Time | Cond. | Imp. | Code | CHP \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SERRANO PW | 2.33 |  | AT | of | GREENVIEW DR (W) | 2 | 0 | 0 | DAY | DRY | HNBD | 42 | 2080071 |

[^1]Total Number of Fatalities: 0

## EL DORADO COUNTY <br> DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

2015 C.H.P. ACCIDENT SUMMARY FOR SERRANO PW FROM MP-2.28 TO MP-2.38
Report Date: 7/1/2022
The following accident data is based on the C.H.P. Accident Reports for the one year period from
January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015.
The following code numbers have been used to classify the various major types of accidents:

| 1 | $=$ Headon | $2=$ Sideswipe | $3=$ Rearend |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 4 | $=$ Broadside | $5=$ Hit Object | $6=$ Overturned |
| 7 | $=$ Pedestrian Involved | $8=$ Bicycle Involved | $9=$ Animal Involved |
| 10 | $=$ Parked Vehicle Involved | 11 | $=$ Snow Removal Equip. Involved |
| 13 | $=$ Motorcycle Involved | 14 | $=$ School Bus Involved |


| Street | Mile Post | Dist. | Dir. |  | Cross Street |  |  |  | T | Time | Cond. | Imp. | Code | CHP \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SERRANO PW | 2.33 |  | AT | of | GREENVIEW DR (W) | 2 |  |  | 0 | DARK | DRY | HNBD | 20 | 5040022 |
| SERRANO PW | 2.36 | 140 | EAST | of | GREENVIEW DR (W) | 1 |  |  | 0 | DARK | DRY | HNBD | 520 | 5020046 |

Total Number of Accidents: 2
Total Number of Injuries: 2
Total Number of Fatalities: 0

## EL DORADO COUNTY <br> DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

2020 C.H.P. ACCIDENT SUMMARY FOR SERRANO PW FROM MP-2.28 TO MP-2.38
Report Date: 7/1/2022
The following accident data is based on the C.H.P. Accident Reports for the one year period from
January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020.
The following code numbers have been used to classify the various major types of accidents:

| 1 | $=$ Headon | $2=$ Sideswipe | $3=$ Rearend |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 4 | $=$ Broadside | $5=$ Hit Object | $6=$ Overturned |
| 7 | $=$ Pedestrian Involved | $8=$ Bicycle Involved | $9=$ Animal Involved |
| 10 | $=$ Parked Vehicle Involved | 11 | $=$ Snow Removal Equip. Involved |
| 13 | $=$ Motorcycle Involved | 14 | $=$ School Bus Involved |


| Street | Mile Post | Dist. | Dir. |  | Cross Street |  | $\frac{\overline{3}}{5}$ | 苞 | Time | Cond. | Imp. | Code | CHP \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SERRANO PW | 2.33 |  | AT |  | GREENVIEW DR (W) | 2 | 1 | 0 | DAY | DRY | HNBD | 420 | 0100106 |

Total Number of Accidents: 1
Total Number of Injuries: 1
Total Number of Fatalities: 0

## County of El Dorado <br> Transportation Division

Street Name: SERRANO PKWY 20222.22
Limits: TERRACINA DR to GREENVIEW DR
Radar Survey Sheet
X=West $l=$ East



[^0]:    TOTAL ADT:
    3,496

[^1]:    Total Number of Accidents: 1
    Total Number of Injuries: 0

