

#### RESOLUTION XXX-2023

OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF EL DORADO

#### Adopting the El Dorado County General Plan Traffic Impact Fee (TIF) Program 2023 Annual TIF Schedule

**WHEREAS**, the County Board of Supervisors has long recognized the need for new development to help fund the roadway, bridge, and transit improvements necessary to serve that new development; and

**WHEREAS**, starting in 1984 and continuing until the present time, the Board of Supervisors has adopted and updated various fee resolutions to ensure that new development on the western slope pay its fair share of the costs of improving the County and state roadways necessary to serve that new development; and

**WHEREAS,** General Plan Measure TC-B requires the County to adopt a traffic impact fee program and to update the program annually for changes in project costs; and

**WHEREAS,** in accordance with those General Plan requirements and implementation measure, Ordinance No. 5144 (El Dorado County Code Chapter 12.28) provided that said fees shall be adjusted annually by an increase or decrease in actual project costs (accomplished by updating cost estimates using actual construction costs of ongoing and completed projects and the most current cost estimates for those projects that are far enough along in the project development cycle to have project cost estimates) or pursuant to the Engineering News-Record Building Cost Index, as appropriate; and

**WHEREAS**, with the adoption of Board Resolution 196-2020, the Board adopted the now named Traffic Impact Fee (TIF) Program in lieu of the Traffic Impact Mitigation (TIM) Fee Program; and

**WHEREAS**, on February 14, 2023, the Board adopted Ordinance No. 5173, changing the index used for annual adjustments to the Engineering News-Record (ENR) Construction Cost Index; and

**WHEREAS**, project costs have been updated as required by Ordinance No. 5173, resulting in revisions to the TIF schedule as shown on Exhibit A hereto; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors held a duly noticed public hearing during which updates to the fee schedule were studied and reviewed and the Board of Supervisors thereafter made the following findings in support of the updates to the fee schedule:

#### Government Code Section 66001(a)(1): Identify the purpose of the fee.

The purpose of the TIF is to fund capital transportation/circulation improvements which are related directly to the incremental traffic/vehicle burden imposed upon the County's transportation/circulation system by new development in the unincorporated west slope of El Dorado County through 2040. The TIF and TIF program are an implementation measure, as required by Implementation Measure TC-B of the 2004 General Plan adopted by the County Board of Supervisors: "2004 El Dorado County General Plan: A Plan for Managed Growth and Open Road; A Plan for Quality Neighborhoods and Traffic Relief." The TIF program addresses the need to fund a road system capable of achieving the traffic level of service standards of the County's General Plan. Transportation improvements funded by the TIF include future improvements as well as improvements already installed which are subject to reimbursement agreements. Improvements included in the TIF program are

Resolution XXX-2023 Page 2 of 4

necessary to accommodate new development; such improvements include, but are not limited to, new local roads, local road upgrades and widenings, signalization and intersection improvements, operational and safety improvements, Highway 50 improvements, and bridge replacement and rehabilitation. The TIF advances a legitimate County interest by enabling the County to provide infrastructure to new development and to require new development to pay its fair share.

# Government Code Section 66001(a)(2): Identify the use to which the fee is to be put. If the use is financing public facilities, the facilities shall be identified. That identification may, but need not, be made by reference to a capital improvement plan as specified in Section 65403 or 66002, may be made in applicable general or specific plan requirements, or may be made in other public documents that identify the public facilities for which the fee is charged.

The fee is to be used to fund transportation/circulation improvements necessary to accommodate new development in the unincorporated west slope of El Dorado County through 2040 as contemplated by the General Plan, including future improvements as well as improvements already installed which are subject to reimbursement agreements. The TIF will fund new local roads, local road upgrades and widenings, signalization and intersection improvements, operational and safety improvements, Highway 50 improvements, bridge replacement and rehabilitation, transit improvements in accordance with the El Dorado County Transit Authority's Capital Improvement Program (CIP), and costs associated with ongoing program, staff, and consultant costs for annual updates, major updates, and ongoing administration related to the TIF Program. The County's CIP, which is updated and adopted annually, identifies every project to be funded by the TIF and includes the following information for each project: detailed cash pro-formas which show all revenues by funding source and all expenditures per fiscal year; a current year work program; a future work program broken down into five year, ten year, and twenty year timeframes; and additional details for each capital project, including project description, a financing plan, and tentative schedule.

## Government Code Section 66001(a)(3): Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the fee's use and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.

There is a reasonable relationship between the fee's use and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed as set forth in the following documents, all of which are incorporated into this resolution as if fully set forth herein:

- The *El Dorado County Traffic Impact Fee (TIF) Program Update Nexus & Funding Model* (Nexus Study) prepared by Urban Economic, DKS Associates and Kimley-Horn, December 8, 2020. The Board of Supervisors has reviewed the assumptions of the Nexus Study supporting the original Traffic Impact Fee and evaluated the amount of fees collected under the original fee. The cost estimates for projects underway in the Nexus Study were updated by County staff for the 2023 TIF Program Annual Update, dated May 2, 2023, and the updated project costs are attached as Exhibit C.
- The most currently adopted El Dorado County *Capital Improvement Program*.
- The 2016 Programmatic Environmental Impact Report for the Western Slope Roadway Capital Improvement Program and Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program for El Dorado County, certified on December 6, 2016.
- The Western Slope Roadway Capital Improvement Program and Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program for El Dorado County Addendum to the Environmental Impact Report, March 2018.

There is a reasonable relationship between the TIF's use and the type of development projects on which the fee is imposed because the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the TIF are needed to accommodate and mitigate the incremental new traffic/vehicle burdens generated by the development of new commercial, industrial, and residential uses upon which the fee is imposed. (See documents cited above.) There is a reasonable relationship between the need for the transportation/circulation facilities and the development of new commercial, industrial, and residential projects upon which the fee is imposed because the new development projects paying the fee will receive a direct benefit from the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the

Resolution XXX-2023 Page 3 of 4

fee; the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the fee will increase traffic/vehicle circulation capacity on streets and highways directly burdened by the increase in traffic/vehicles generated by new development projects upon which the fee is charged.

## Government Code Section 66001(a)(4): Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.

There is reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed as set forth in the following documents, all of which are incorporated into this resolution as if fully set forth herein:

- The *El Dorado County Traffic Impact Fee (TIF) Program Update Nexus & Funding Model* (Nexus Study) prepared by Urban Economics, DKS Associates and Kimley-Horn, December 8, 2020. The cost estimates for projects underway in the Nexus Study were updated by County staff for the 2023 TIF Program Annual Update, dated May 2, 2023, and the updated project costs are attached as Exhibit C.
- The most currently adopted El Dorado County Capital Improvement Program.
- The 2016 Programmatic Environmental Impact Report for the Western Slope Roadway Capital Improvement Program and Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program for El Dorado County, certified on December 6, 2016.
- The Western Slope Roadway Capital Improvement Program and Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee Program for El Dorado County Addendum to the Environmental Impact Report, March 2018.

There is a reasonable relationship between the need for the public facility and the type of development projects on which the fee is imposed because the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the TIF are needed to accommodate and mitigate the incremental new traffic/vehicle burdens generated by the development including those from new commercial, industrial, and residential uses upon which the fee is imposed. (See documents cited above.) There is a reasonable relationship between the need for the transportation/circulation facilities and the development of projects including new commercial, industrial, and residential projects upon which the fee is imposed because the new development projects paying the fee will receive a direct benefit from the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the fee; the transportation/circulation facilities funded by the fee will increase traffic/vehicle circulation capacity on streets and highways directly burdened by the increase in traffic/vehicles generated by new development projects upon which the fee is charged.

The previously adopted Nexus Study provides a thorough analysis of the required transportation facilities to be improved as a result of development and provides information of the fair share analysis and fees required by TIF Zone that is further broken down by development type. The TIF Program Schedule Resolution, which may be amended from time to time, provides the most current TIF rates per development type by TIF Zone.

WHEREAS, the collection process for improvement of roadways and intersections is set forth in Ordinances No. 5144 and No. 5173 and in the TIF Program Administrative Manual, adopted on March 21, 2023, by Resolution 048-2023.

#### THERFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED,

- A. The Board of Supervisors hereby adjusts the General Plan TIF Program fee schedule as shown in the attached Exhibit A, which shall become effective sixty (60) days following adoption of this Resolution, and adjusts the project costs as shown in the attached Exhibit C; and
- B. A map of the TIF Zones is provided in Exhibit B; and

C. Applicants shall pay the TIF rate in effect at the time of building permit issuance or at the time of approval of an application for a change in the use of a building or property as provided in County Code Chapter 12.28 and the TIF Program Administrative Manual.

**PASSED AND ADOPTED** by the Board of Supervisors of the County of El Dorado at a regular meeting of said Board, held the \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 20\_\_\_\_, by the following vote of said Board:

Attest: Kim Dawson Clerk of the Board of Supervisors Ayes: Noes: Absent:

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Clerk

Chair, Board of Supervisors

		<u> </u>			
	EDU		Zone	Zone	Zone
Land Use	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Fee Basis	Α	В	С
		Original Zones			
		>>	1,4,5,6,7	2.3	8
Residential	(	Cost per EDU <sup>1</sup> >>	2,455	9,180	2,377
SFD Not Age Restricted					
Less than 1,000 SqFt	0.82	Dwelling Unit	2,013	7,528	1,949
1,000 to 1,499 SqFt	0.89	Dwelling Unit	2,185	8,170	2,116
1,500 to 1,999 SqFt	0.95	Dwelling Unit	2,332	8,721	2,258
2,000 to 2,999 SqFt	1.00	Dwelling Unit	2,455	9,180	2,377
3,000 to 3,999 SqFt	1.06	Dwelling Unit	2,602	9,731	2,520
4,000 SqFt or more	1.10	Dwelling Unit	2,701	10,098	2,615
MFD Not Age Restricted	0.57	Dwelling Unit	1,399	5,233	1,355
SFD Age Restricted	0.30	Dwelling Unit	NA	2,754	713
MFD Age Restricted	0.26	Dwelling Unit	NA	2,387	618
Nonresidential	(	Cost per EDU <sup>1</sup> >>	504	1,895	290
General Commercial	1.55	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	0.78	2.94	0.45
Hotel/Motel/B&B	0.28	Room	141	531	81
Church	0.25	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	0.13	0.47	0.07
Office/Medical	1.28	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	0.64	2.42	0.37
Industrial/Warehouse	0.51	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	0.26	0.97	0.15
<sup>1</sup> "EDI I" (equivalent dwelling unit)	acuals the d	mand placed on the trai	nenortation net	twork relative to	ono singlo

Table 1: Hwy 50 TIF Schedule - 2023 Update

<sup>1</sup> "EDU" (equivalent dwelling unit) equals the demand placed on the transportation network relative to one single family detached dwelling unit. EDU factors are expressed per dwelling unit for residential development, per room for hotel/motel/B&B, and per 1,000 square feet for all other nonresidential development.

			-		
	EDU		Zone Zone		Zone
Land Use	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Fee Basis	A	В	С
		Original Zones			
		>>	1,4,5,6,7	2,3	8
Residential		Cost per EDU <sup>1</sup> >>	9,878	20,610	33,132
SFD Not Age Restricted					
Less than 1,000 SqFt	0.82	Dwelling Unit	8,100	16,900	27,168
1,000 to 1,499 SqFt	0.89	Dwelling Unit	8,791	18,343	29,487
1,500 to 1,999 SqFt	0.95	Dwelling Unit	9,384	19,580	31,475
2,000 to 2,999 SqFt	1.00	Dwelling Unit	9,878	20,610	33,132
3,000 to 3,999 SqFt	1.06	Dwelling Unit	10,471	21,847	35,120
4,000 SqFt or more	1.10	Dwelling Unit	10,866	22,671	36,445
MFD Not Age Restricted	0.57	Dwelling Unit	5,630	11,748	18,885
SFD Age Restricted	0.30	Dwelling Unit	NA	6,183	9,940
MFD Age Restricted	0.26	Dwelling Unit	NA	5,359	8,614
Nonresidential	(	Cost per EDU <sup>1</sup> >>	848	3,930	7,527
General Commercial	1.55	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	1.31	6.09	11.67
Hotel/Motel/B&B	0.28	Room	237	1,100	2,108
Church	0.25	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	0.21	0.98	1.88
Office/Medical	1.28	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	1.08	5.02	9.62
Industrial/Warehouse	0.51	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	0.43	2.00	3.84
<sup>1</sup> "EDU" (equivalent dwelling unit) (	equals the de	emand placed on the trai	nsportation ne	twork relative to	one single

 Table 2: Local Roads TIF Schedule - 2023 Update

<sup>1</sup> "EDU" (equivalent dwelling unit) equals the demand placed on the transportation network relative to one single family detached dwelling unit. EDU factors are expressed per dwelling unit for residential development, per room for hotel/motel/B&B, and per 1,000 square feet for all other nonresidential development.

	EDU		Zone	Zone	Zone
Land Use	Rate <sup>1</sup>	Fee Basis	Α	В	С
		Original Zones			
		>>	1,4,5,6,7	2,3	8
Residential	(	Cost per EDU <sup>1</sup> >>	12,333	29,790	35,509
SFD Not Age Restricted					
Less than 1,000 SqFt	0.82	Dwelling Unit	10,113	24,428	29,117
1,000 to 1,499 SqFt	0.89	Dwelling Unit	10,976	26,513	31,603
1,500 to 1,999 SqFt	0.95	Dwelling Unit	11,716	28,301	33,733
2,000 to 2,999 SqFt	1.00	Dwelling Unit	12,333	29,790	35,509
3,000 to 3,999 SqFt	1.06	Dwelling Unit	13,073	31,578	37,640
4,000 SqFt or more	1.10	Dwelling Unit	13,567	32,769	39,060
MFD Not Age Restricted	0.57	Dwelling Unit	7,029	16,981	20,240
SFD Age Restricted	0.30	Dwelling Unit	NA	8,937	10,653
MFD Age Restricted	0.26	Dwelling Unit	NA	7,746	9,232
Nonresidential	(	Cost per EDU <sup>1</sup> >>	1,352	5,825	7,817
General Commercial	1.55	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	2.09	9.03	12.12
Hotel/Motel/B&B	0.28	Room	378	1,631	2,189
Church	0.25	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	0.34	1.45	1.95
Office/Medical	1.28	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	1.72	7.44	9.99
Industrial/Warehouse	0.51	Bldg. Sq. Ft.	0.69	2.97	3.99

#### Table 3: Total TIF Schedule - 2023 Update

<sup>1</sup> "EDU" (equivalent dwelling unit) equals the demand placed on the transportation network relative to one single family detached dwelling unit. EDU factors are expressed per dwelling unit for residential development, per room for hotel/motel/B&B, and per 1,000 square feet for all other nonresidential development.



					Inflation		roposed		
		CIP			djustment	2	2023 CIP		Updated
River	Crossing	Account	2022 Cost	(20	)22 - 2023) <sup>1</sup>	Ad	justment <sup>2</sup>		Cost
Indian Creek	Green Valley Rd	Zones B	\$ 5,945,000	\$	-	\$	60,000	\$	6,005,000
Mound Springs Creek	Green Valley Rd	Zones B	\$ 6,560,000	\$	-	\$	49,000	\$	6,609,000
Weber Creek	Green Valley Rd	Zones B	\$ 11,937,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	11,937,000
South Fork American River	Salmon Falls Rd	Zone C	\$ 28,750,000	\$	1,409,000	\$	-	\$	30,159,000
Weber Creek	Cedar Ravine Rd	Zones A	\$ 3,248,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,248,000
Carson Creek	White Rock Rd	Zone C	\$ 5,807,500	\$	285,000	\$	-	\$	6,092,500
North Fork Cosumnes River	Mt. Aukum Rd	Zones A	\$ 5,807,500	\$	285,000	\$	-	\$	6,092,500
North Fork Cosumnes River	Bucks Bar Rd	Zones A	\$ 15,282,000	\$	-	\$	(349,000)	\$	14,933,000
South Fork Weber Creek	Newtown Rd	Zones A	\$ 6,656,000	\$	-	\$	(1,000)	\$	6,655,000
New York Creek	Malcolm Dixon Rd	Zone C	\$ 5,175,000	\$	254,000	\$	-	\$	5,429,000
Total								\$	97,160,000
New Development Share <sup>3</sup>								•	<u>11.47</u>
TIF Program Share								\$	11,144,000
Inflation adjustment is 4.9% based Project cost adjustments were prov	<b>o o</b>	Record Constru	iction Cost Index be	twee	n January 2022	and	January 2023		

#### Table 6: Bridge Replacement Projects

share of total development at the planning horizon (see Table 5).

Sources: County of El Dorado.

#### Table 7: Intersection and Safety Improvements

						New							
				New	De	evelopment	Number		New				2023 New
		С	ost per	Development	(	Cost per	of	D	evelopment	20	23 Inflation	D	evelopment
Type of Deficiency	Location	Inte	ersection <sup>1</sup>	Share <sup>2</sup>	In	tersection	Projects		Cost	Α	djustment <sup>6</sup>		Total Cost
Tier 1 - Existing Deficien	юу										-		
Intersections	To Be Determined	\$ 2	2,530,000	13.41%	\$	339,273	2	\$	679,000	\$	33,000	\$	712,000
Safety Improvements	To Be Determined	\$ <sup>·</sup>	1,449,000	13.41%	\$	194,311	10	\$	1,943,000	\$	95,000	\$	2,038,000
Tier 2 - Future Deficienc	y .												
Intersections	To Be Determined	\$ 2	2,530,000	100.00%	\$	2,530,000	16	\$	40,480,000	\$	1,984,000	\$	42,464,000
Intersections	Cameron Park Dr / Hacienda Rd <sup>3</sup>	\$	575,000	100.00%	\$	575,000	1	\$	575,000	\$	28,000	\$	603,000
Intersections	El Dorado Hill Blvd/Saratoga Way/Park Dr <sup>4</sup>	\$ 3	3,000,000	100.00%	\$	3,000,000	1	\$	3,000,000	\$	147,000	\$	3,147,000
Intelligent Transportati	on System (ITS) Program												
ITS Elements <sup>5</sup>	To Be Determined	\$ 9	9,766,000	100.00%	\$	9,766,000	1	\$	9,766,000	\$	479,000	\$	10,245,000
TIF Program Share												\$	59,209,000

<sup>1</sup> Intersection costs originally based on \$350,000 for signal equipment plus \$1,850,000 for channelization and other costs. Includes intelligent transportation systems (ITS). Safety improvements based on actual costs for safety-focused projects completed between 2001 and 2016, and adjusted annually for inflation since.

<sup>2</sup> For existing deficiencies, TIF program share is equal to new development as a share of total development at the planning horizon (see Table 5).

<sup>3</sup> For signal equipment only.

<sup>4</sup> Planning-level estimate provided by the design engineer

<sup>5</sup> Includes ITS elements listed in the El Dorado Hills Project List

<sup>6</sup> Adjusted based on 4.9% change in the ENR Construction Cost Index from January 2022 to January 2023.

Sources: County of El Dorado; Table 4.

#### **Table 8: Transit Capital Projects**

			Unit	2	022 Total	New Develop- ment	Ne	ew Develo-	Ir	2023 nflation	2023 TIF Program
apital Project	Source	No.	Cost		Cost	Share <sup>1</sup>	р	ment Cost	Ad	justment	Share
us Stop Improvements	Short-range Capital Plan			\$	14,950	13.41%	\$	2,000	\$	-	\$ 2,000
lissouri Flat Transfer Point Expansion	Short-range Capital Plan			\$	357,000	100.00%	\$	357,000	\$	17,000	\$ 374,000
ambridge Park-and-Ride Improvements	Short-range Capital Plan			\$	230,000	13.41%	\$	31,000	\$	2,000	\$ 33,000
perations and Maintenance Facility nprovements leet Expansion	Short-range Capital Plan			\$	46,000	13.41%	\$	6,000	\$	-	\$ 6,000
Paratransit Van	Short-range Capital Plan	1	\$77,000	\$	77,000						
Dial-A-Ride Vans	Long-range Capital Plan	5	48,000	\$	240,000						
Local Route Buses	Long-range Capital Plan	2	580,000	\$	1,160,000						
Subtotal				\$	1,477,000	100.00%	\$	1,477,000	\$	72,000	\$ 1,549,000
ambridge Park-and-Ride Improvements	Long-range Capital Plan			\$	3,134,000	13.41%	\$	420,000	\$	21,000	\$ 441,000
ounty Line Transit Center	Long-range Capital Plan										
Land				\$	1,406,000						
Construction				\$	8,185,000						
Subtotal				\$	9,591,000	13.41%	\$	1,286,000	\$	63,000	\$ 1,349,000
Total				\$ ^	4,849,950						\$ 3,754,000

Costs do not include planned transition to zero emission vehicle fleet.

Costs exclude projects within the City of Placerville.

Bass Lake Hills Park and Ride improvements are anticipated to be funded directly by nearby development projects.

<sup>1</sup> For capital projects not directly related to growth, TIF program share is equal to new development as a share of total development at the planning horizon (see Table 5).

Sources: El Dorado County Transportation Commission, Western El Dorado County 2019 Short and Long Range Transit Plan, prepared by LSC Consultants, Inc. November 20, 2019, pp. 165-167, 173-174; El Dorado Transit staff (for fleet vehicles and Councy Line Transit Center cost estimates); Table 4 (this model).

### Table 9: Program Administration

			Total 20-yr. Progran		
Task	Unit Cost	Frequency	Units	Cost	
Annual program updates <sup>1</sup>	51,750	Annually	20	1,035,000	
Major program updates	1,150,000	Every 5 Years	4	4,600,000	
Travel demand model updates	379,500	Every 5 Years	4	1,518,000	
Total				7,153,000	
<sup>1</sup> Includes periodic minor technical (tra	nsportation ana	lysis) updates.			
Sources: County of El Dorado.					

#### Table 10: TIF Program Costs

Map ID	CIP Acct.	Project No.	Project Name	Tot	tal Cost		rior Year unding <sup>1</sup>		ture Local	20	022 Net Cost		Inflation djustment		Proposed 2023 CIP djustment	20	23 Net Cost
Hwy	50 Auxiliary Lanes						<u> </u>		<b>v</b>				•				
A-1	Hwy 50 Blackstone	53115/36104021	Auxiliary Lane Westbound		3,563,000		10,000	\$	-	\$	3,553,000		174,000			\$	3,727,000
			Subtotal	\$	3,563,000	\$	10,000	\$	-			\$	174,000	\$	-	\$	3,727,000
Hwy	50 Interchanges Pro	ojects															
I-1	Zone C	71323/36104001	El Dorado Hills Blvd	1	11,731,000		659,000	\$	-	\$	5 11,072,000			\$	-	\$	11,072,000
I-2	Silva Valley IC	71345/36104004 71368/36104003	Silva Valley Pkwy-Phases 1&2	1	11,781,000		207,000		-	\$	5 11,574,000		484,000		-	\$	12,058,000
I-3	Hwy 50 Zones A-C	71330/36104005	Bass Lake Rd		6,227,000		22,000		489,000	\$	5,716,000		304,000		-	\$	6,020,000
1-4	Hwy 50 Zones A-C	71332/36104006	Cambridge Rd	1	11,109,000		39,000		-	\$	5 11,070,000		542,000		-	\$	11,612,000
I-5	Hwy 50 Zones A-C	72361/36104007	Cameron Park Dr	2	25,997,000		1,527,000		-	\$	5 24,470,000		1,199,000		(14,000)	\$	25,655,000
I-6	Hwy 50 Zones A-C	71333/36104010 71338/36104008 71339/36104009	Ponderosa Rd/S Shingle Rd	2	23,393,000		1,654,000		-	\$	5 21,739,000				-	\$	21,739,000
I-7	Hwy 50 Zones A-C	71347/36104011	El Dorado Rd		6,623,000		181,000		-	\$	6,442,000		316,000			\$	6,758,000
			Subtotal	\$ 9	96,861,000	\$	4,289,000	\$	489,000			\$	2,845,000	\$	(14,000)	\$	94,914,000
Road	way Improvements																
R-1	Zone B	72143/36105004	Cameron Park Dr Widening	\$	4,110,000	\$	252,000	\$	-	\$	3,858,000			\$	-	\$	3,858,000
R-2	Zone C	72376/36105013	Green Valley Rd Widening						Construction	on	Completed - S	See T	able 14	-			
R-3	Zone C	GP178/36105018	Green Valley Rd Widening	1	16,672,000		-		-	\$	5 16,672,000		817,000		-	\$	17,489,000
R-4	Zone C	72374/36105042	White Rock Rd Widening	1	10,886,000		5,000		-	\$	5 10,881,000		533,000		-	\$	11,414,000
R-5	Zone B	72142/36105027	Missouri Flat Rd		8,773,000		-		-	\$	8,773,000		430,000		-	\$	9,203,000
R-6	Zone C	GP147/36105035	Saratoga Way Extension-Phs 2		13,282,000		-		-		3 13,282,000		-		680,000	\$	13,962,000
R-7	Zone C	72377/36105007	Country Club Dr Extension	2	24,281,000		-		-	\$	24,281,000		1,180,000		(200,000)	\$	25,261,000
R-8	Zone C	71362/36105008	Country Club Dr Extension	1	13,227,000		-		-	\$	3 13,227,000		638,000		(201,000)	\$	13,664,000
R-9	Zone C	71361/36105009	Country Club Dr Extension	2	20,581,000		-		-	\$	20,581,000		999,000		(200,000)	\$	21,380,000
R-10	Zone B	71360/36105010	Country Club Dr Realignment				Constr	uctio	n Completed	d -	See Reimburs	seme	nt Agmts & T	able	9 14		
R-11	Zone B	72334/36105011	Diamond Springs Pkwy-Phs 1B	3	33,757,000		7,156,000		14,635,000	\$	5 11,966,000		-		500,000	\$	12,466,000
R-12	Zone C	66116/36105024	Latrobe Connection		3,251,000		353,000		-	\$	2,898,000		142,000		-	\$	3,040,000
R-13		71375/36105022	Headington Rd Extension	1	14,950,000		704,000		-	\$	5 14,246,000		-		765,000	\$	15,011,000
R-14		72BASS/36105054	Bass Lake Rd		1,902,000		-		-	\$	5 1,902,000		93,000		-	\$	1,995,000
R-15		72LATROBE/36105055	Latrobe Rd Widening			oject t		to th	e TIF Proga	am	after additiona	al ana	alysis is comp	olete	d at a later da	ate.	
R-16		72381/36105041	White Rock Rd Widening		8,238,000		319,000		-	\$	5 7,919,000				-	\$	7,919,000
R-17	Zone C	GP154/36105069	Latrobe Rd Widening		6,744,000		-		-	\$	6,744,000		330,000		-	\$	7,074,000
R-18	Zone B	NA	Pleasant Valley Rd		409,000		-		-	\$	409,000				-	\$	409,000
			Subtotal	\$ 18	31,063,000	\$	8,789,000	\$	14,635,000			\$	5,162,000	\$	1,344,000	\$	164,145,000

#### Table 10: TIF Program Costs

Map ID	CIP Acct.	Project No.	Project Name	Total Cost	Prior Year Funding <sup>1</sup>	Future Local Funding <sup>2</sup>	2022 Net Cost	Inflation Adjustment	Proposed 2023 CIP Adjustment	2023 Net Cost
Reim	bursement Agreeme	ents								
R-6	Zone C	71324/36105034	Saratoga Way Extension-Phs 1	10,958,000	NA	NA				10,958,000
R-10	Zone C	71360/36105010	Country Club Dr Realignment	4,381,000	NA	NA				4,381,000
R-10	Zone B	71360/36105010	Country Club Dr Realignment	7,256,000	NA	NA				7,256,000
R-10	Hwy 50 Zones A-C	71360/36105010	Country Club Dr Realignment	148,000	NA	NA				148,000
R-12	Zone C	66116/36105024	Latrobe Connection	55,000	NA	NA				55,000
I-2	Silva Valley IC	71328/36104002	Silva Valley Interchange	193,000	NA	NA				193,000
I-2	Silva Valley IC	71328/36104002	Silva Valley Interchange- Design	5,602,000	NA	NA				5,602,000
NA	Zone C	71353	Bass Lake Rd (SIA)	1,477,000	NA	NA				1,477,000
NA	Zone B	76107	Silver Springs Pkwy	2,127,000	NA	NA				2,127,000
NA	Zone B	66108/76108/ 36105039	Silver Springs Pkwy	4,274,000	NA	NA				4,274,000
NA	Zones A-B	76114	Deer Valley Rd	70,000	NA	NA				70,000
			Subtotal	\$ 36,541,000	NA	NA				\$ 36,541,000
Other	Programs									
NA	Zones A - C	NA	Bridge Replacement	11,144,000	NA	NA				11,144,000
NA	Zones A - C	NA	Intersection Improvements	59,209,000	NA	NA				59,209,000
NA	Zones A - C	53118/36109004	Transit	3,754,000	NA	NA				3,754,000
NA	Zones A - C	NA	Fee Program Admin	7,153,000	NA	NA				7,153,000
			Subtotal	\$ 81,260,000	NA	\$-		\$-	\$-	\$ 81,260,000
			Total	\$ 399,288,000	\$ 13,088,000	\$ 15,124,000		\$ 8,181,000	\$ 1,330,000	\$ 380,587,000
				100%	3%	4%				95%

<sup>1</sup> Amounts represents spending through June 30, 2020 based on EDC DOT June 2020 CIP Book (see sources).

<sup>2</sup> Includes funding for Bass Lake Rd. Interchange (Map ID I-3) from the Bass Lake Hills Public Facilities Financing Plan (BLHPFFP), and funding for Diamond Springs Parkway (Map ID R-11) from Missouri Flats Master Circulation and Funding Plan (MC&FP) and State-Local Partnership Program (SLPP).

Sources: Tables 6, 7, 8, and 9 of this study; Appendix B (attached to this model documentation); "TIM Fee Capital Improvement Costs Supporting Documentation" (for total project cost estimates), County of El Dorado, Department of Transportation (DOT); Adopted 2020 Capital Improvement Program, June 9, 2020 (for prior year funding and future local funding estimates).