# Five Year Projection 

## September 13, 2010

## Five Year Projection

|  | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As of June 22 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2 - 2 0 1 3 ~}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 2 0 1 5 ~}$ |
| Revenues | $195,438,929$ | $184,894,510$ | $186,523,502$ | $188,383,614$ | $190,370,853$ |
| Appropriations | $195,438,929$ | $200,053,040$ | $207,143,876$ | $214,499,959$ | $222,122,183$ |
| Total | 0 | $(15,158,529)$ | $(20,620,374)$ | $(26,116,345)$ | $(31,751,330)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revised 7I26 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | $193,736,113$ | $183,702,221$ | $185,418,870$ | $187,267,489$ | $189,198,906$ |
| Appropriations | $195,248,053$ | $200,053,040$ | $207,143,876$ | $214,499,959$ | $222,122,183$ |
| Total | $(1,511,940)$ | $(16,350,818)$ | $(21,725,007)$ | $(27,232,470)$ | $(32,923,277)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Addenda |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | $200,744,474$ | $187,464,482$ | $189,178,887$ | $190,945,091$ | $192,725,900$ |
| Appropriations | $200,744,474$ | $198,434,228$ | $205,545,330$ | $212,806,545$ | $220,329,807$ |
| Total | 0 | $(10,969,746)$ | $(16,366,443)$ | $(21,861,454)$ | $(27,603,907)$ |
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## Five Year Projection

|  | FY | FY | FY | FY | FY |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| As of June 22 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 | 2012-2013 | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 |
| Revenues | $195,438,929$ | $184,894,510$ | $186,523,502$ | $188,383,614$ | $190,370,853$ |
| Appropriations | $195,438,929$ | $200,053,040$ | $207,143,876$ | $214,499,959$ | $222,122,183$ |
| Total | 0 | $(15,158,529)$ | $(20,620,374)$ | $(26,116,345)$ | $(31,751,330)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Addenda |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | $200,744,474$ | $187,464,482$ | $189,178,887$ | $190,945,091$ | $192,725,900$ |
| Appropriations | $200,744,474$ | $198,434,228$ | $205,545,330$ | $212,806,545$ | $220,329,807$ |
| Total | 0 | $(10,969,746)$ | $(16,366,443)$ | $(21,861,454)$ | $(27,603,907)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Variance |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | $5,305,545$ | $2,569,972$ | $2,655,385$ | $2,561,477$ | $2,355,047$ |
| Appropriations | $5,305,545$ | $(1,618,812)$ | $(1,598,546)$ | $(1,693,414)$ | $(1,792,376)$ |
| Total | 0 | $(4,188,783)$ | $(4,253,931)$ | $(4,254,891)$ | $(4,147,423)$ |

Five Year Projection

## Primary Reasons for Variance

- FY 2010-11 changes due primarily to increased fund balance offset with increase to contingency
- FY 2011-12 assumes \$4.8M of FY 201011 carryforward additional Contingency (one-time funds) being set aside as Designation for Capital Projects (placeholder only)
$\square$ Notice Designation for Capital Projects increases from \$1.8M to \$6.6M


## Primary Reasons for Variance

- Beginning in FY 2011-12 sustainable ERI savings of approximately $\$ 3.3 \mathrm{M}$ are anticipated, and deficit is decreased accordingly


## Changes to Fund Balance

## Assumptions

- Fund balance was initially projected to equal the prior year's Contingency carryforward only
$\square$ Assumes no department savings
$\square$ Assumes no increased revenues
- Historically fund balance carryforward has always included some department savings and/or increased revenues
- Revised 5 year assumes \$2M of sustainable carryforward fund balance each year


## Revenue Assumptions

- Property Tax
$\square$ Reduced by 4\% in FY 2011-12
$\square$ Reduced by $0.5 \%$ for remaining 3 years
- Sales Tax
$\square 1 \%$ decline each year
- All other discretionary revenue sources remain flat
- Departmental revenue increases an average of 2\% (primarily related to Human Services)


## Appropriation Assumptions

- Salaries and Benefits increase 4\% primarily related to assumed increases in CalPERS expenses
- Operating expenses increase 3\%
- General Fund contribution of $\$ 1.5 \mathrm{M}$ each year to the Road Fund
- General Fund contributions to Community Services programs remains at FY 2010-11 level with 3\% growth
- No additional General Fund contributions for Capital Projects or Parks


## Conservative Assumptions



## Contingency \& Reserves

- Contingency remains at 3\% of adjusted general fund appropriations
- Reserve remains at 5\% of adjusted general fund appropriations
- Fund balance assumes \$2M in sustainable carryforward and 100\% rollover of Contingency funds


## Current 5-Year Projected Shortfalls

|  | FY 2010-11 | FY 2011-12 | FY 2012-13 | FY 2013-14 | FY 2014-15 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shortfall | 0 | $(10,969,746)$ | $(16,366,443)$ | $(21,861,454)$ | $(27,603,907)$ |

- Shortfalls are primarily structural
$\square$ Related to insufficient revenue to fund ongoing operational expenses
- Use of one-time revenue or one-time savings will not help future year deficit, but will only "buy time"


## One time example

- Example A - Use of one time revenue
$\square$ Utilize $\$ 4.8 \mathrm{M}$ of carryforward fund balance (current placeholder in Designation for Capital Projects) to help fill the gap in FY 2011-12

|  | FY 2011-12 | FY 2012-13 | FY 2013-14 | FY 2014-15 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Shortfall | $(10,969,746)$ | $(16,366,443)$ | $(21,861,454)$ | $(27,603,907)$ |
| Reserve | $4,800,000$ |  |  |  |
| New <br> Shortfall | $(6,169,746)$ | $(16,366,443)$ | $(21,861,454)$ | $(27,603,907)$ |

## Sustainable Savings Example

- Example B - Sustainable savings
$\square$ Reduce 110 positions in FY 2010-11 resulting in $100 \%$ cost savings by July 1, 2011
- Assumes $\$ 100 \mathrm{~K}$ savings per position

|  | FY 2011-12 | FY 2012-13 | FY 2013-14 | FY 2014-15 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Shortfall | $(10,969,746)$ | $(16,366,443)$ | $(21,861,454)$ | $(27,603,907)$ |
| Savings | $11,000,000$ | $11,440,000$ | $11,897,600$ | $12,373,504$ |
| New <br> Shortfall | 30,254 | $\mathbf{( 4 , 9 2 6 , 4 4 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 9 , 9 6 3 , 8 5 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 5 , 2 3 0 , 4 0 3 )}$ |

## Sustainable vs. One Time

$\square$ Sustainable

|  | FY 2011-12 | FY 2012-13 | FY 2013-14 | FY 2014-15 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Shortfall | $(10,969,746)$ | $(16,366,443)$ | $(21,861,454)$ | $(27,603,907)$ |
| Savings | $11,000,000$ | $11,440,000$ | $11,897,600$ | $12,373,504$ |
| New <br> Shortfall | $\mathbf{3 0 , 2 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{( 4 , 9 2 6 , 4 4 3 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 9 , 9 6 3 , 8 5 4 )}$ | $\mathbf{( 1 5 , 2 3 0 , 4 0 3 )}$ |

$\square$ One time - Buys time

|  | FY 2011-12 | FY 2012-13 | FY 2013-14 | FY 2014-15 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shortfall | $(10,969,746)$ | $(16,366,443)$ | $(21,861,454)$ | $(27,603,907)$ |
| Reserve | $4,800,000$ |  |  |  |
| New <br> Shortfall | $\mathbf{( 6 , 1 6 9 , 7 4 6 )}$ | $(\mathbf{1 6 , 3 6 6 , 4 4 3 )}$ | $(\mathbf{2 1 , 8 6 1 , 4 5 4 )}$ | $(\mathbf{2 7 , 6 0 3 , 9 0 7 )}$ |

## Next Steps

- 5 year projection is a tool
- Will be updated if major assumptions change
- Will be updated with adopted FY 2010-11 budget numbers
- Will be updated at mid-year to include any additional sustainable savings or use of one time funds


## Board Comments

## ■Thank you!

