## Chapter three:

## The Indian Hemp Drugs Commission Report

No single document yet produced is of greater value to rational analysis of the range of social, ethical \& economic questions surrounding Cannabis Sativa than The Indian Hemp Drugs Commission Report of 1893-94. The very complexity of the society out of which the report is generated must account for a good deal of the thoroughness of its seven volumes. For the British realized that their basically cooperative governing scheme for India, particularly in light of projected schemes for industrial development, depended for its success on receipt \& application of intelligent policy recommendations which would forestall their embroilment in unworkable plans. From their extensive experience at bringing other cultures under colonial rule they were aware that there are always areas of another country's life best left alone unless, of course, they run directly contrary to the colonial plans for exploitation or are likely to effectively thwart such plans. In such cases the colonial power is obligated, they knew, to prevail absolutely or to fail.

The question to be resolved, then, in 1893 was whether or not hemp drug use by large numbers of Indians of a fantastic variety of backgrounds \& persuasions was inimical to British interests in her colony. A logical extension of this question would be, of course, could Britain pragmatically expect to eliminate hemp drug use in India if it were found to be necessary. Proceeding from this question, it became readily apparent that what was required was a full-scale analysis of the entire phenomenon - know your potential adversary as well as or better than he knows himself, whenever possible.

With this background in mind, it should be clear that the Indian Hemp Drugs Commission was not, as some would have it, a committee of heads \& sycophants who gathered together to advocate legalization, to minimize the inherent risks, or to pass down to the ages a paean on the subject of Cannabis Sativa. It was,
instead, a committee of hard-nosed pragmatists who were seeking to analyze an issue of immediate bearing on the future of a vast social-economic enterprise in which they each had substantial personal stakes.

So much more the pity that in America of the midtwentieth century - eighty years after the appearance of this report-even the title is unfamiliar and the report itself has been for all practical purposes unavailable to all. In 1969, however, a much delayed but welcome event occurred - a rational \& observant publisher in Silver Springs, Maryland-the Thomas Jefferson Publishing Company - put out an edited version of the Report which includes the full text of the Commission's findings \& several valuable appendices.

The two major policy recommendations of the Indian Hemp Drugs Commission were:
I. Total prohibition of the cultivation of the hemp plant for narcotics, \& of the manufacture, sale or use of the drug derived from it, is neither necessary nor expedient in consideration of their ascertained effects, of the prevalence of the habit using them, of the social \& religious feeling on the subject, and of the possibility of its driving the consumers to have recourse to other stimulants or narcotics which may be more deleterious.
II. The policy advocated is one of control and restriction, aimed at suppressing the excessive use and restraining the moderate use within due limits.


The complete \& exhaustive body of evidence supporting these positions will not be dealt with in great detail here; rather, we shall concentrate on a summary of the conclusions which the Commission used in arriving at its recommendations:
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