# TARGETED GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT AND

# COMPREHENSIVE ZONING ORDINANCE UPDATE

PUBLIC COMMENTS FROM WEB FORM
FOR

# THE ENVIRONMENAL IMPACT REPORT NOTICE OF PREPARATION

MAY 25, 2012 THROUGH JULY 10, 2012

PRESENTED TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND PLANNING COMMISSIONERS ON JULY 12, 2012 FOR
REVIEW AND CONSIDERATION DURING THE 2012 ZONING ORDINANCE WORKSHOP
(WEEK OF JULY 16, 2012)

Sunday, July 08, 2012

Karen De Guire Private Citizen

PO Box 816 karend1111@yahoo.com

Somerset

CA 95684

PrimaryInterest: Zoning Ordinance Update

#### Comments

7

Here are my comments on the proposed Zoning Plan Amendments. I am very concerned that, although El Dorado County leaders profess their belief in keeping rural values alive; their actions and specifically these Zoning Amendments will have the opposite effect.

Specifically, adding high density housing will affect our water tables and traffic congestion in our county.

The agricultural amendments are specifically aimed at destroying small agriculture in El Dorado County. People on one acre or less can easily own a couple of chickens, rabbits and maybe a milk goat for their own use. Restricting ownership to parcels over 1 acre is more restrictive than Sacramento County where you can have backyard chickens on your city lots.

I don't seer the purpose in restricting the harvesting of ones own animals. I want to know what I am eating and I can only do that if I raise my own animals for my own food.

Form Data Number 2147490630 Content Language: 1033 Form Number: 20317 Date Created: 7/8/2012 10:02:09 PM

*Thursday, July 12, 2012*Page 1 of 1

12-0837 | 2 of 8

Sunday, July 08, 2012

Mr. & Samp; Mrs. Mueller, Family

6696 Rhodes Ave. mikemue2@comcast.net

Placerville

CA 95667

PrimaryInterest: Both

#### Comments

We ask that this process be stopped at once, as it s unnecessary and a huge waste of money and resources!

 Form Data Number
 2147490629
 Content Language:
 1033

 Form Number:
 20317
 Date Created:
 7/8/2012 5:23:09 PM

*Thursday, July 12, 2012*Page 1 of 1

12-0837 | 3 of 8

Friday, July 06, 2012

Sam Real public

PO Box 245 dnsr0401@yahoo.com

Mt. Aukum

CA 95656

PrimaryInterest: Zoning Ordinance Update

#### Comments

'd like to receive updates on the Zoning Ordinance and where you are at present on the Review process Task?

 Form Data Number
 2147490617
 Content Language:
 1033

 Form Number:
 20317
 Date Created:
 7/6/2012 8:15:50 AM

*Thursday, July 12, 2012*Page 1 of 1

12-0837 | 4 of 8

Thursday, July 05, 2012

Greg Garrett

1681 Starbuck Rd gtgcorp@yahoo.com

Rescue

CA 95672

PrimaryInterest: Zoning Ordinance Update

#### Comments

The proposed zoning map changes the land use designation for parcel 102-070-37-100 from LDR to RL or RR. Please correct and return to LDR. The mixup on this parcel's designation stems from the recording of a parcel map on the adjoining parcel (102-010-72-100). This map corrected a boundary line error. Prior to the recording of this map, parcel #102-070-37-100 was all zoned one designation for LDR (5 acres). The adjacent parcel was zoned for RR (10 acres). After the map was filed, the designation was not updated to reflect the correct boundary, leaving a 10-30 strip of land appearing to have the adjacent parcel's zoning. Parcel #102-070-37-100 was singularly zoned LDR (5 acres) and should remain as such. This is NOT a mixed zone parcel. Changing the designation would be changing the existing zoning on this parcel incorrectly. I request do not change the zoning from LDR to RR or RL. This parcel should be corrected to show LDR. Thank-you. Greg Garrett 916-806-8325.

Form Data Number 2147490607 Content Language: 1033
Form Number: 20317 Date Created: 7/5/2012 8:47:22 AM

*Thursday, July 12, 2012*Page 1 of 1

12-0837 | 5 of 8

Thursday, June 21, 2012

Loch Henson

PO Box 1514 lochhenson@gmail.com

Diamond Springs CA 95619

PrimaryInterest: Targeted General Plan Amendments

#### Comments

3

I would like consideration to allow OUTSIDE THE STRUCTURES home business activities to be conducted on my property.

 Form Data Number
 2147490512
 Content Language:
 1033

 Form Number:
 20317
 Date Created:
 6/21/2012 10:28:08 AM

Thursday, July 12, 2012

Page 1 of 1

Lloma@sbcglobal.net

Thursday, June 21, 2012

Lloma Alameda

2001 Green Valley Rd

el dorado hills

CA 95762

PrimaryInterest: Both

#### Comments

Dixon Ranch proposal.

2

- 1) High density housing is not conducive to what surrounds this project. Homes w/acreage on 3 sides and large lots on the 4th.
- 2) Water----always an issue. Wheres it come from and what happens in drought years? Can't keep adding water consumers.
- 3)No TOPO map. Current map looks like a layover. What happens to all the Oaks?
- 4)Traffic on Green Valley Rd. Awful now. Green Springs Ranch n Sterlingshire need stop lights. So would this project. What happens to me, my access? I live across the street of proposed entrance.

No high density developments in El Dorado County. Thats not what our County is all about.

Form Data Number 2147490511 Content Language: 1033
Form Number: 20317 Date Created: 6/21/2012 9:25:17 AM

*Thursday, July 12, 2012*Page 1 of 1

12-0837 | 7 of 8

Sunday, June 17, 2012

Nancy Coffee 1196 Malcolm Dixon Road El Dorado Hills

najava1@sbcglobal.net

CA 95762

PrimaryInterest: Both

#### Comments

It is my understanding that one of the proposed changes is to have current residents pay for any required infrastructure rather than the developers. This is outragious and so wrong! We strongly object to this proposal. IN NO WAY SHOULD THIS EVER BE THE CASE for any development. Please do not incorporate this into any Plan or Zoning Ordinance. It is unacceptable.

Form Data Number 2147490478 Content Language: 1033
Form Number: 20317 Date Created: 6/17/2012 2:37:30 PM