

Cindy Johnson <cynthia.johnson@edcgov.us>

Agenda Itewms BOS 4-2-13

1 message

James Sweeney <jamessweeney@comcast.net> Mon, Apr 1, 2013 at 10:22 AM To: jim.mitrisin@edcgov.us, cynthia.johnson@edcgov.us, Theresa Daly <theresa.daly@edcgov.us> Cc: bosone@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, BOSTHREE <bosthree@edcgov.us>, bosfour@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us

James S. Mitrisin Clerk of the Board jim.mitrisin@edcgov.us

Cindy Johnson Senior Deputy Clerk (Agenda, Committees/Commissions) cynthia.johnson@edcgov.us

Copy to Board Members

Please forgive my intervention with your busy schedules; however, the purpose of the agenda is to provide the public a basis for discussion. Three items are on the agenda for Tuesday April 2, 2013 in such a broad fashion that I am unable to know what is intended to be considered.

Board of Supervisors MEETING AGENDA April 2, 2013

DEPARTMENT MATTERS

19. 12-0474 Supervisor Nutting recommending the Board authorize the Chair to sign

a comment letter on the proposed four alternatives to the Draft

Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (DSEIS), for the Public

Wheeled Motorized Travel Management, for the Eldorado National

Forest.

My Questions

What are the proposed comments? Is there a proposed letter? What is the proposed position of the Board? Is it the same as the November letter? Is the proposer afraid to let the public know their position so it can be discussed? There should be a proposed document attached for discussion!!

Public Comment

20. 13-0331 Supervisor Nutting recommending the Board have a discussion and take

action on the required public notice sent to all owners of real property

within 500' of a new planning development project or a zoning change.

My Questions

What action is proposed?? Change the area of notification? One could guess forever, but it would be nice to be prepared to discuss what is intended by placing the item on the agenda!

There should be a proposal for the public to review!

* * * * * * *

21. 13-0333 Chief Administrative Office recommending the Board have a discussion

of County representation at the 2013 Capitol to Capitol (Cap to Cap)

conference in Washington D. C., and appropriations of funding.

My Questions

Is this to adjust a District Supervisors budget so they can go on the trip? Is it about who goes? The purpose of the policy was to keep Board members within their budgets!! What is the purpose of the agenda item? To avoid complying with the policy and staying within budgets?

Thank you and enjoy your service to the public.

Jack Sweeney

Government should be about service, not domination or regulation

Public Comment