

Comment on 12-10-13 BOS Agenda Item #27, File #13-1073, Measure Y and RCU Presentation

1 message

Mary Rich <maryfrich@sbcglobal.net>

Sun, Dec 8, 2013 at 9:34 AM

To: bosone@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bosthree@edcgov.us, bosfour@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us, david.defanti@edcgov.us, edc.cob@edcgov.us

To the Board of Supervisors

As Hwy 50 is already at a LOS F, we need to address this concern as a community before there are any conversations about building more housing in our area. Please do not consider recommending or approving building on the 15,832 residential lots in our area before solving traffic/road problems that will occur with this type of growth.

I teach at Ponderosa High School and I daily drive through congested traffic at the stop light at Hwy 50 and Ponderosa Rd. I cannot imagine an additional 500 vehicles (at least if all those houses get built) using that intersection.

I am not opposed to growth, let's just do it smartly.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mary Rich

Shingle Springs



Comment on 12-10-13 BOS Agenda Item #27, File #13-1073, Measure Y and RCU Presentation

2 messages

Mary Ann harmarharper@sbcglobal.net

Sun, Dec 8, 2013 at 10:32 AM

To: bosone@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bosthree@edcgov.us, bosfour@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us, edc.cob@edcgov.us

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I fully support the voter-enacted Measure Y that is intended to stop future housing developments from being approved if our roadways and highways are already congested. As the Measure Y Committee has pointed out to the county, Highway 50 is currently at Level of Service F, the county presently has 15,832 buildable residential lots, and, therefore, no more future single-family residential subdivision development projects can be approved until improvements are made to relieve the LOS F congestion on Highway 50.

Thank you,

Mary Ann & Harry Harper

4861 Juanita Drive

Shingle Springs, CA 95682

The BOSTWO

bostwo@edcgov.us>

Sun, Dec 8, 2013 at 6:55 PM

To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>, Ray Nutting <raynutting@hughes.net>

Thank you.

Kitty Miller on behalf of Ray Nutting El Dorado County Board of Supervisors 530) 621-5651

[Quoted text hidden]

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.

If you receive this e-mail in error please contact the sender by 13-1073 Public Comment Added 12/9/13



BOS Agenda Item #27, File #13-1073, Measure Y and RCU Presentation

2 messages

Steve Koss < steve.koss@icloud.com>

Sun, Dec 8, 2013 at 1:35 PM

To: "<bosone@edcgov.us>" <bosone@edcgov.us>, "<bostwo@edcgov.us>" <bostwo@edcgov.us>, "<bostwo@edcgov.us>" <bostwo@edcgov.us>, "<bostwo@edcgov.us>" <bostour@edcgov.us>, "<bostive@edcgov.us>, "<david.defanti@edcgov.us>" <david.defanti@edcgov.us>, "<edc.cob@edcgov.us>" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I fully support the voter-enacted Measure Y that is intended to stop future housing developments from being approved if our roadways and highways are already congested. As the Measure Y Committee has pointed out to the county, Highway 50 is currently at Level of Service F, the county presently has 15,832 buildable residential lots, and, therefore, no more future single-family residential subdivision development projects can be approved until improvements are made to relieve the LOS F congestion on Highway 50.

Thank you,

Steve & Melissa Koss 4409 Holly Drive Shingle Springs, Ca 95682

The BOSTWO

 bostwo@edcgov.us>

Sun, Dec 8, 2013 at 6:55 PM

To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>, Ray Nutting <raynutting@hughes.net>

Thank you.

Kitty Miller on behalf of Ray Nutting El Dorado County Board of Supervisors 530) 621-5651

[Quoted text hidden]

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.

If you receive this e-mail in error please contact the sender by return e-mail and delete the material from your system. Thank you.



FW: Action Alert! Meeting about Traffic at 12/10/13 Board Meeting

1 message

Ernie Louis <ernie4re@sbcglobal.net>

Mon, Dec 9, 2013 at 12:23 PM

To: bosone@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bosthree@edcgov.us, bosfour@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us, bosfive@

edc.cob@edcgov.us

Cc: info@shinglespringscommunityalliance.com

"Measure Y "12/9/2013

To: the Board of Supervisors.

It may not be the authority for the "Measure Y Committee" to determine the meaning and enforcement of a law currently on County Books. "The County" is responsible to represent the people, enforce laws, and to protect and defend the people of El Dorado County. The people of El Dorado County depend on the Elected Supervisors to defend and protect their neighborhoods. While others may harbor dreams and schemes to maximize individual profit, The Board of Supervisors job is Transparency (and ultimately Accountable) for the future of the residents of El Dorado County. Developers from near and far spend money to swing and spin their influence towards elected Officials, but the Residents of El Dorado County are the ones who elect and re-elect. As the past Supervisor of District One has learned, What goes around, comes around.

Ernie Louis, Resident, El Dorado County

From: sue-taylor@comcast.net [mailto:sue-taylor@comcast.net]

Sent: Sunday, December 08, 2013 12:25 PM

To: Taylor, Sue

Subject: Fwd: Action Alert! Meeting about Traffic at 12/10/13 Board Meeting

From: "Lori at Shingle Springs Community Alliance" <info@shinglespringscommunityalliance.com> **To:** "Lori at Shingle Springs Community Alliance" <info@shinglespringscommunityalliance.com>

Sent: Sunday, December 8, 2013 9:07:12 AM

Subject: Action Alert! Meeting about Traffic at 12/10/13 Board Meeting

Dear Shingle Springs residents and rural lifestyle supporters,

The follow-up presentation by the Measure Y Committee on December 10th at 2:00pm regarding the future approval of new single-family subdivisions is important and we need as many people there as possible. It is #27 on the agenda and here is a link to the agenda: https://eldorado.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=A&ID=265471&GUID=AF44B412-0682-4F0E-B135-B8FCA9F31CE2

Please attend if you are available.

Tuesday, December 10, 2013, 2:00pm

Board of Supervisors Meeting Room, Building A

330 Fair Lane, Placerville, CA 95667

If you cannot attend, but want to send your comments to the Board, a sample email is below to get you started. Please personalize it and make it your own. *Thank you for your support to keep Shingle Springs Rural!*

Contact info for Board of Supervisors:

El Dorado

(Please reference the Board meeting date and agenda item number in your email to expedite a response and subsequent addition to the agenda item)

- 1. Ron Mikulaco, Supervisor District I, (530) 621-5650, bosone@edcgov.us
- 2. Ray Nutting, Supervisor District II, (530) 621-5651, bostwo@edcgov.us
- 3. Brian Veerkamp, Supervisor District III, (530) 621-5652, bosthree@edcgov.us
- 4. Ron Briggs, Supervisor District IV, (530) 621-6513, bosfour@edcgov.us
- 5. Norma Santiago, Supervisor District V, (530) 621-6577, bosfive@edcgov.us

Board of Supervisors' Agenda Item Comments, Clerk of the Board: edc.cob@edcgov.us

To: <bosone@edcgov.us>, <bostwo@edcgov.us>, <bosthree@edcgov.us>, <bosfour@edcgov.us>, <bosfour@edcgov.us>, <bosfour@edcgov.us>, <bosfour@edcgov.us>, Subject: Comment on 12-10-13 BOS Agenda Item #27, File #13-1073, Measure Y and RCU Presentation</bosfour@edcgov.us></bosfour@edcgov.us></bosfour@edcgov.us></bosfour@edcgov.us></bosthree@edcgov.us></bostwo@edcgov.us></bosone@edcgov.us>
Dear Board of Supervisors,
I fully support the voter-enacted Measure Y.
Thank you,
Ernie Louis

Lori Parlin on behalf of the

Shingle Springs Community Alliance, No San Stino, and Stop Tilden Park

Keeping Shingle Springs Rural



P.O. Box 1581, Shingle Springs, CA 95682

www.ShingleSpringsCommunityAliance.com

www.ShingleSpringsCommunityAlliance.com

www.StopTildenPark.com

fwww.NoSanStino.com

• March M

Click here to sign our online petition of support



Comment on 12-10-13 Board of Supervisor Agenda, Item #27, File #13-1073, Measure Y and RCU Presentation

1 message

Debbie.M.Brink@healthnet.com < Debbie.M.Brink@healthnet.com> Mon, Dec 9, 2013 at 3:01 PM To: bosone@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bosthree@edcgov.us, bosfour@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us, david.defanti@edcgov.us, edc.cob@edcgov.us

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Currently I must drive into Rancho Cordova daily to work. I am in support of the voter-enacted Measure Y that is intended to stop future housing developments from being approved since our roadways and highways are already very congested. Please consider as the Measure Y Committee has pointed out to the county, Highway 50 is currently at Level of Service F and El Dorado county presently has 15,832 residential lots (that are not yet built on), and, therefore, no more future single-family residential subdivision development projects can be approved until improvements are made to relieve the this congestion on Highway 50.

As a 30 year resident in the county, I've always had to commute down Highway 50 to various jobs. Even though I try to go in early and come home late, the traffic has worsened considerably and more improvements are needed to relieve the congestion on Highway 50. Even though there has been some improvements, more are needed since it's still overly congested and in the last 4 or 5 years it has become progressively worse. I'm unable to attend this meeting, however did want to raise my concerns over this issue.

Thank you,

Debbie Brink 4907 Summit View Ct. El Dorado, CA 95623 debbie.m.brink@healthnet.com (530) 622-5262

This message, together with any attachments, is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is confidential and prohibited from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination or copying of this message or any attachment is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the original sender immediately by telephone or by return e-mail and delete this message, along with any

attachments,	from you	ır compute	r. Thank	you.



Agenda Item #27; File #13-1073: Measure Y Support / December 10, 2013 BOS Meeting

1 message

Langley, Cheryl@CDPR <Cheryl.Langley@cdpr.ca.gov> Mon, Dec 9, 2013 at 3:14 PM To: "bosone@edcgov.us" <bostwo@edcgov.us" <bostwo@edcgov.us>, "bostwo@edcgov.us" <bostwo@edcgov.us>, "bosfive@edcgov.us", "bosfive@edcgov.us", "bosfive@edcgov.us", "bosfive@edcgov.us", "bosfive@edcgov.us", "bosfive@edcgov.us", "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

To: <bosone@edcgov.us>, <bostwo@edcgov.us>, <bosthree@edcgov.us>, <bosfour@edcgov.us>, <david.defanti@edcgov.us>, <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Re: December 10, 2013 **BOS Agenda Item #27; File #13-1073**: Measure Y and RCU Presentation

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I support Measure Y and the restriction of proposed subdivision development projects. As the Measure Y Committee has pointed out, the county has already committed to the development of 15,832 buildable residential lots. That commitment must be honored, and —as these lots are developed—traffic congestion will inevitably increase. This increase in housing and concomitant traffic does not leave room for future (proposed) subdivision development projects, unless improvements are made to relieve LOS F congestion on Highway 50.

As an aside, I would like to emphasize that the build-out of these existing 15,000+ lots will most likely support the construction of single-family **custom homes**, built by **local labor** and **local contractors**. As much as land development companies would like build large housing developments in El Dorado County, I can't help but notice that not only do their activities **not** support the local economy (they tend to bring in labor from outside the county to build their projects), but the controversy surrounding their projects tends to pit neighbor-against-neighbor (unlike the building of custom, single-family residences on existing parcels). All in all, development company proposals tend to undermine the economic health of the county, and their products are generally out-of-step with the needs and desires of the majority of community members. Simply put: What happened to the "good old days" when someone bought a parcel of land in a community they loved, and built a home there? Was there (*is there?*) something wrong with that development model?

Once again, thank you for your time and attention to these important matters; the resolution of this issue will shape the future of our community.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Langley Shingle Springs