

## Comment on 6/10/14 BOS Agenda: Alto map extension and DA

2 messages

John Giles < johngiles 10@yahoo.com>

Thu, Jun 5, 2014 at 10:06 AM

Reply-To: John Giles <johngiles10@yahoo.com>

To: "bosone@edcgov.us" <bosone@edcgov.us>, "bostwo@edcgov.us" <bostwo@edcgov.us>, "bosthree@edcgov.us" <bosthree@edcgov.us>, "bosfour@edcgov.us" <bosfour@edcgov.us>, "bosfive@edcgov.us" <bosfive@edcgov.us>, "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Please do not approve the Alto DA and map at this time. Many things have changed since this project was first proposed. At the least, a supplemental environmental impact analysis needs to be conducted, and there are issues around Measure Y compliance. County Staff should be directed to focus on these issues. It is no benefit to the County to trade 40 acres of oak woodlands for more houses and congestion. Certainly there is no urgency to approve such a trade.

Thank you,

John Giles Cameron Park

EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us> To: John Giles <johngiles10@yahoo.com>

Thank you for your comments.

Office of the Clerk of the Board El Dorado County 330 Fair Lane, Placerville, CA 95667 530-621-5390 [Quoted text hidden] Thu, Jun 5, 2014 at 10:07 AM



## Re: Comment on 6-10-14 BOS Agenda, Alto map extension & development agreement

1 message

The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us> To: Mike Freire <mikefreire@msn.com> Bcc: edc.cob@edcgov.us

On Wed, Jun 4, 2014 at 3:09 PM, Mike Freire <mikefreire@msn.com> wrote: Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please do not approve the Development Agreement to extend the tentative map without first requiring a supplemental environmental analysis, as required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

Thank you,

Michael Freire El Dorado Hills, Ca.

Mike Freire

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.

If you receive this e-mail in error please contact the sender by return e-mail and delete the material from your system.

. . . ...

.....

Thank you.

#### 14-0584 Public Comment BOS Rcvd 6-5-14 Page 1 of 1



## Re: Agenda Tuesday, ALTO LLC

1 message

The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us> To: Bob Hablitzel <bhablitzel@sbcglobal.net> Bcc: edc.cob@edcgov.us

On Thu, Jun 5, 2014 at 8:27 AM, Bob Hablitzel <br/>
sbcglobal.net> wrote: Dear Supervisor,

Regarding the Alto LLC item on your agenda next Tuesday, please consider these items in your actions:

This project has approval until 2016. An extension at this time for potentially 15 years is way to long to benefit El Dorado County. A five year extension from 2016 is more than adequate to protect the applicant.

A lawsuit still exists on this project, and with the current approval until 2016 and potential CEQA update issues, an extension is not warranted at this time.

Thank you,

**Bob Hablitzel** 

El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

**Bob Hablitzel** 

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.

If you receive this e-mail in error please contact the sender by return e-mail and delete the material from your system. 14-0584 Public Comment

. ...



# Comment on 6/10/14 BOS Agenda, Alto map extension & Development Agreement

1 message

Kelle Reve <kelrev@att.net>

Reply-To: Kelle Reve <kelrev@att.net>

Thu, Jun 5, 2014 at 10:38 AM

To: "bosone@edcgov.us" <bosone@edcgov.us>, "bostwo@edcgov.us" <bostwo@edcgov.us>,

"bosthree@edcgov.us" <bosthree@edcgov.us>, "bosfour@edcgov.us" <bosfour@edcgov.us>, "bosfive@edcgov.us" <bosfive@edcgov.us>, "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Please do not approve the Development Agreement to extend the tentative map without first requiring a supplemental environmental analysis, as required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

We live very close to this area that is to be developed and are very concerned that CEQA is not being followed by the developers or our supervisors.

We are not opposed to new developments, however, we just want the laws that were voted into place, by us, the people, and as a reminder, also followed by our supervisors, that were voted into place, by us, the people. Thank you,

Jaime & Kelle Hernandez Rescue, CA



# Comment on 6/10/14 BOS Agenda, Alto map extension and Development Agreement

1 message

 Mary Lou Giles <mlgiles18@yahoo.com>
 Thu, Jun 5, 2014 at 11:52 AM

 Reply-To: Mary Lou Giles <mlgiles18@yahoo.com>
 To: "bosone@edcgov.us" <bosone@edcgov.us>, "bostwo@edcgov.us" <bostwo@edcgov.us>,

 To: "bosone@edcgov.us" <bosone@edcgov.us>, "bostwo@edcgov.us" <bosfour@edcgov.us>, "bosfour@edcgov.us" <bosfour@edcgov.us>, "bosfour@edcgov.us>, "b

Dear Supervisors Mikulaco, Nutting, Veerkamp, Briggs and Santiago,

Please do not approve the Development Agreement to extend the tentative map for this project. Circumstances have changed since the original project approval. The project is no longer Measure Y compliant regarding traffic impact. Furthermore, the oak woodland mitigation utilized for the approval has been thrown out in court. CEQA **requires** a new environmental analysis.

It boggles my mind that under these circumstances county staff is recommending approval. Once again, they seem to blindly follow where developers lead, rather than acting in the public interest. It is your duty, as the executive branch of county government, to uphold the law, deny approval, and require a supplemental CEQA analysis.

Sincerely, Mary Lou Giles Cameron Park



# BOS 6/10/14 Public Comment\_DA13-0001/Alto LLC Tentative Map DA

1 message

Ellen Van Dyke <gvralliance@gmail.com>

Thu, Jun 5, 2014 at 1:56 PM

To: Ron Mikulaco <bosone@edcgov.us>, Ray Nutting <bostwo@edcgov.us>, Brian Veerkamp <bosthree@edcgov.us>, Ron Briggs <bosfour@edcgov.us>, Norma Santiago <bosfive@edcgov.us>, Jim Mitrisin <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Public Comment - Board Hearing 6/10/14

#### Re: Opposition to proposed Alto DA and map extension

June 5, 2014

**Dear Supervisors:** 

We understand from the proposed Development Agreement (DA) that the price tag for a 15 year map extension on the Alto subdivision is \$30K. We also understand that this money need not be spent within the project-impact area of EI Dorado Hills (from Article 4 pg16 of the DA).

While Alto's tentative map is not currently proposed for any changes, there is much that has changed regarding circumstances surrounding the project. The roads are more congested, wetlands are being encroached upon, oak canopy is disappearing, and other development projects have been approved. Tentative Maps have expiration dates for a reason.

Between 2009 when this project was first approved, and today:

- the 'Option B' mitigation for oak tree removal, utilized in this project approval, was overturned in court and is no longer available,
- Caltrans has determined, and put in writing, that Highway 50 at EDH Blvd is now at capacity,
- road improvements projected as mitigation measures for Alto have actually been completed by the county, yet the Level of Service (LOS) at three of the project-impacted intersections are operating at LOS F during peak hours rather than the predicted LOS B.

We would not expect to be at odds with County staff on this issue. Yet here they are reporting to you that the 10-year old data from the environmental analysis will be good for another 15 years, and that "there are no significant changes in circumstances" at this point in time. 14-0584 Public Comment

BOS Rcvd 6-5-14 Page 1 of 2

The Green Valley Alliance has advocated for both the safety and rural character of the Green Valley Corridor. It is pretty clear that County staff is advocating for an approval that is *not* in the best interest of the impacted neighborhoods, the corridor, or the County residents in general.

Your actions should assure county residents that county policy is being followed and that the Board of Supervisors is working on their behalf. Do not approve this map extension and DA without supplemental environmental review to address current conditions.

Ellen Van Dyke on behalf of Green Valley Alliance

www.GreenValleyAlliance.org