

LATE DISTRIBUTION 9-8-14

EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Fwd: BOS 9/9/14, item 26 file no. 13-1218 (LUPPU update)

1 message

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Ellen Van Dyke <vandyke.5@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Mon, Sep 8, 2014 at 12:09 PM

Subject: BOS 9/9/14, item 26 file no. 13-1218 (LUPPU update)

To: Brian Veerkamp <bosthree@edcgov.us>, Ron Mikulaco <bosone@edcgov.us>, Jim Mitrisin <jim.mitrisin@edcgov.us>, Norma Santiago <bosfive@edcgov.us>, Ron Briggs <bosfour@edcgov.us>

Dear Supervisors:

Items 1-3 of this update paint a rosy picture of progress and public inclusion that I do not feel, and that is misleading to you.

1a) TGPA-ZOU hearings

The varied AM/PM opportunities to attend the hearings was good. But the 'summary of public comments' was a moving target that never materialized, the 'flagged items' list was literally sprung as an agenda with no slot to discuss items not flagged, and the few people intending to speak on different topics left without speaking because it just wasn't clear that there would be an opportunity. The video of the last day (8/27) is still not available for anyone who wanted to see the wrap up.

The Agricultural community was given their own hearing in the evening, but there was no such hearing local to the El Dorado Hills/Cam Park/Shingle Springs areas which are so affected by the changes. Many concerns of El Dorado Hills APAC and RCU and others have not been discussed. The many ZOU changes have still not been itemized, and likely that has contributed to a lack of public input, regardless of any outreach efforts.

From today's update by staff: "The Planning Commission generally supported the TGPA-ZOU project, but recommended a number of modifications and identified some issues that needed further discussion (as identified on the "Flagged Items" list)."

It was not just the 'flagged list' deemed to need further discussion; the wrap up 8/27 concluded that there were other issues that needed to be addressed prior to making appropriation to BOS Rcvd 9-8-14

the Board, and "generally supported the TGPA-ZOU..." was revised to reflect that recommendations would be based on issues that had been discussed in the hearings (can't get exact wording for you without the video though!). District 1 Commissioner Stewart requested a similar series of hearings take place after staff has had a chance to prepare responses to the DEIR comments. An evening meeting in El Dorado Hills should be considered.

Staff is aware of these points, but I did not see them reflected in the update. Summary? **ZOU** changes and their impacts still must be clarified and a sincere soliciting of public input - among west County residents as well - made prior to recommendations going to the Board. FYI.

2) Biological Review

Clearly there is no intention to get this done. Staff says they'll be back "sometime in September", but there is no request here to schedule a September date, and today's update is already the second week in Sept. **Please pick a date**. There are a few basic Bio-policies that could be included in the current TGPA-ZOU update that could be discussed on that date as well.

3) Community Vision/Community Region boundaries

Community Region Boundary review is NOT the same as Community Visioning, and the staff discussion June 24th was not the least bit clarifying in this regard. "Staff anticipates returning to the Board in October or November to determine the Board's objectives for amending the Community Region Boundaries..." It seems unlikely staff could still be unclear about what is meant regarding CRB review. Perhaps they should clarify for the Board what it is that they do not understand about the possible contraction of these lines to eliminate the low density lands that are a target for high density residential development.

Ellen Van Dyke

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.

If you receive this e-mail in error please contact the sender by return e-mail and delete the material from your system.

Thank you.