

#### Fwd: EDC BOS - The Developer's Pocket Protector

1 message

The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us> To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us> Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 2:41 PM

Thank you.

Kitty Miller on behalf of Ray Nutting El Dorado County Board of Supervisors 530) 621-5651

------ Forwarded message -------From: Joanne Pryor <jpryor@officedoctors.com> Date: Thu, Sep 19, 2013 at 8:10 AM Subject: EDC BOS - The Developer's Pocket Protector To: bosone@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bosthree@edcgov.us, bosfour@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us

I am not going to stop, I am not going to give in, I am not going to let YOU destroy my dreams for a future in Shingle Springs.

I am angry; why do I have to protect my neighborhood with ugly signs, it appears I am doing so because of <u>YOU</u>. I am disgusted at your mostly impassive nods at your BOS meetings; I am disappointed at how uncaring and unresponsive you are to the people who elected you. How ignorant you appear, protecting the desires of wealthy developers, and stepping on our lives. We stand up and tell you our name, and at the same time you make comments about "the Joels". Why are you on a first name basis with the Developers, and we have to introduce ourselves?

Are you working to our benefit? MOST OF YOU ARE NOT. You are not even aware of things like Measure Y; sign ordinances, etc. You do not care; WHY???

We do not want or need Tilden Park, San Stino, Marble Valley or your buzzword plan of the week/month. You waste our money with a "NEW" studies, while ignoring those already in place. Your collective honor and the respect of your community wanes at each meeting. Your Board is paralyzed by by individuals unable to use your backbone to support your own community. You made this mess, and you allow it continue. Stop it now! Stop wasting your time, our time, and the reputation of the Board. Your support staff admits to only doing what you tell them and not what is necessary or impartial. You defend them to protect your own past errors. You are selling us out, for what and why? What are you being offered that allows you to treat your constituents like trash?

NO TILDEN PARK, NO SAN STINO, NO COMMUNITY REGION, NO – NO – NO. DO NOT WAIT, like with the Shingle Springs signs. DO YOUR JOB and REPRESENT THE CITIZENS!

Support us now or face our wrath as you will never have the opportunity to support us again. Defend us and our way of live. Your efforts to

date are pathetic and smell of the influence of non-citizens. Are they better, more important than us? We have made our voices heard, we have raised our hands, and employed the talents of professionals. Listen to us, or GET OUT OF OUR WAY, stop wasting our money on your redundant studies that do not address the issues.

Joanne Pryor

4601 Hillwood Drive

Shingle Springs, CA 95682

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.

If you receive this e-mail in error please contact the sender by return e-mail and delete the material from your system.

Thank you.



#### Fwd: NO SAN STINO PROJECT

2 messages

The BOSFOUR <bosfour@edcgov.us> To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us> Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 8:36 AM

------Forwarded message ------From: Ellen Katz <ek4575@att.net> Date: Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 7:35 AM Subject: NO SAN STINO PROJECT To: Brian Veerkamp <bosthree@edcgov.us>, Norma Santiago <bosfive@edcgov.us>, Ray Nutting <bostwo@edcgov.us>, Ron Briggs <bosfour@edcgov.us>, Ron Mikulaco <bosone@edcgov.us>

Board of Supervisors, El Dorado Co.

Due to a class I have on September 30, I am unable to attend this important meeting. But I continue to encourage you to TAKE SOME ACTION on the San Stino project. We are asking you to DENY the application for this project and remove the Community Region Line, not sideline it.

These meetings go on and on and nothing is done. PLEASE DO SOMETHING instead of just listening. The people have spoken and you know what we want – NO HIGH DENSITY LIKE SAN STINO.

Ellen Katz 4575 Hillwood Drive Shingle Springs

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.

If you receive this e-mail in error please contact the sender by return e-mail and delete the material from your system. Thank you.

The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us> To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us> Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 2:41 PM

Thank you.

Kitty Miller on behalf of Ray Nutting El Dorado County Board of Supervisors 530) 621-5651 [Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]



1 message

The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us> To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us> Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 2:42 PM

EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Thank you.

Kitty Miller on behalf of Ray Nutting El Dorado County Board of Supervisors 530) 621-5651

------ Forwarded message ------From: Frank Liebert <sugit@pacbell.net> Date: Thu, Sep 26, 2013 at 9:27 AM Subject: Meeting Monday To: bostwo@edcgov.us

Please do not Amend the General Plan to accommodate Tilden Park and San Stino developments the people have spoken loud and clear they don't want these developments as proposed. And also remove the CRL. Thank you, Frank Liebert

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.





#### EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

#### Fwd: STOP SAN STINO

1 message

The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us> To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us> Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 2:42 PM

Thank you.

Kitty Miller on behalf of Ray Nutting El Dorado County Board of Supervisors 530) 621-5651

------ Forwarded message ------From: Ellen Katz <ek4575@att.net> Date: Thu, Sep 19, 2013 at 6:11 AM Subject: STOP SAN STINO To: Brian Veerkamp <bosthree@edcgov.us>, Norma Santiago <bosfive@edcgov.us>, Ray Nutting <bostwo@edcgov.us>, Ron Briggs <bosfour@edcgov.us>, Ron Mikulaco <bosone@edcgov.us>

### **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

This has gone on long enough!. Start making the decisions to STOP SAN STINO. I have important classes to go to Monday and Tuesday mornings and can't keep taking time away from them to go to these meetings that aren't getting anywhere. You know how many people show up at your meetings regarding San Stino so you KNOW how we feel about this development. You are sitting in your chairs at these meetings because we voted you in – so do what the people want.

WE DO NOT WANT SAN STINO IN ITS PRESENT FORM – ARE YOU NOT GETTING THE MESSAGE?! The will of the people is to <u>deny the San Stino application so</u> <u>we can move on</u>, PLEASE!

Ellen Katz

#### ek4575@att.net

4575 Hillwood Drive Shingle Springs



#### Fwd: Board Of Supervisors Meeting September 30

1 message

The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us> To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us> Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 2:43 PM

Thank you.

Kitty Miller on behalf of Ray Nutting El Dorado County Board of Supervisors 530) 621-5651

------ Forwarded message ------From: <Vetteaddict86@aol.com> Date: Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 10:49 AM Subject: Board Of Supervisors Meeting September 30 To: bostwo@edcgov.us

Hello Mr. Nutting-My name is Joe Martin and I have lived in Shingle Springs for about 7 years. We moved to Shingle Springs from El Dorado Hills to get away from the ever increasing building and "growth" that was taking place there. We moved here to allow our children the opportunity to live in a quiet rural area while still being close to more populated areas. Now, with the San Stino and Tilden Park projects looming, our peaceful community may be coming to an end. The traffic at our Ponderosa off ramp has already increased greatly since the time we have moved her and would be ridiculous if these two projects were approved. The voters in Shingle Springs do not want these projects approved. We want to maintain our country rural lifestyle.

Please listen to your people/voters and deny these two projects from taking place. Please also take action and remove the Shingle Springs Community Line to keep developers from targeting our beautiful community.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Joe Martin 4030 Toiyabe Lane Shingle Springs

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.



#### Fwd: for rural Shingle Springs

1 message

The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us> To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us> Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 2:43 PM

Thank you.

Kitty Miller on behalf of Ray Nutting El Dorado County Board of Supervisors 530) 621-5651

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Mark Faley** <mfaley@yahoo.com> Date: Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 8:44 AM Subject: for rural Shingle Springs To: bostwo@edcgov.us

Mr Nutting,

I am writing to express my complete objection to the urbanization of Shingle Springs. While I am not opposed to development it needs to be compatible with the surrounding region and support the rural sense of community. As I am learning more about the plan for the White,Zweck, Schieber properties I am concerned that we are at risk of changing the gentle rural culture of Shingle Springs and the surrounding areas.

I believe to 10 or 5 acre minimum parcel size would bring in the more rural ag/equine type of person and help maintain the current feel of Shingle Springs. Based on signage and local conversations it is clear that there is a currently large and growing level of concern and frustration that Shingle Springs will be a high density development area.

While I am not able to attend the next session of the Board I am asking that you move forward with removing Shingle Springs from high density planning and also an earlier review process for such development.

Regards, Mark Faley Shingle Springs

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.

If you receive this e-mail in error please contact the sender by return e-mail and delete the material from your system.

Thank you.



Fwd: vote no

1 message

The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us> To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us> Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 2:43 PM

Thank you.

Kitty Miller on behalf of Ray Nutting El Dorado County Board of Supervisors 530) 621-5651

------ Forwarded message ------From: **Debbie B** <debbrews@hotmail.com> Date: Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 6:34 AM Subject: vote no To: "bostwo@edcgov.us" <bostwo@edcgov.us>

Ray,

Please vote NO on all development projects, as proposed.

Thank you, Debbie

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.



#### Fwd: Proposed development in Shingle Springs

1 message

The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us> To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Thank you.

Kitty Miller on behalf of Ray Nutting El Dorado County Board of Supervisors 530) 621-5651

------Forwarded message ------From: **Steve and Candy Arendt** <<u>scarendt@sbcglobal.net</u>> Date: Thu, Sep 26, 2013 at 2:31 PM Subject: Proposed development in Shingle Springs To: "bostwo@edcgov.us" <<u>bostwo@edcgov.us</u>>

September 26, 2013

Dear Ray Nutting,

My name is Steve Arendt. I am a resident of Shingle Springs. I cannot attend the BOS meeting of September 30<sup>th</sup>; however I want to express my opposition to the proposed developments in Shingle Springs. I have lived in Shingle Springs for over 35 years. I chose to live in Shingle Springs because of its rural character. It had been my dream as a young boy living in Minnesota to have my own rural property. If I had wanted to have a more suburban environment I would have chosen other areas in El Dorado County or the eastern portion of Sacramento County. While I have seen many changes throughout the county, this is the most alarming. It is not only alarming because it is 'my backyard', but also because the Shingle Springs area has always represented the character of a rural area.

The current infrastructure cannot support the proposed developments. The roads of Shingle Springs cannot now, or would they ever be able to handle the type of traffic these two projects threaten. Our small, two lane roads cannot be widened. As I drive the current roads, it becomes all the more obvious that the county is not maintaining the roads I currently use. I have done my best to avoid the large pieces of asphalt that are being brought to the surface creating a dangerous situation. This is prior to the upcoming winter rain season. The higher volumes of traffic will only further the deterioration.

By taking office, you have pledged to represent the voters of El Dorado County. Are you representing us and our desires or yielding to the developers? Is there a sufficient EIR completed realizing the impact these developments will have? Please demonstrate that you do in fact have the best interests of the current residents of Shingle Springs by denying the future development of not only the two proposed concepts but also any future massive development in Shingle Springs.

Sincerely,

Steve Arendt 4041 Spectrum Way Shingle Springs, CA

scarendt@sbcglobal.net

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.



#### Fwd: Supervisors Special Meeting on Monday, 9/30/13, 10:00am

3 messages

The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us> To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us> Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 1:57 PM

Thank you.

Kitty Miller on behalf of Ray Nutting El Dorado County Board of Supervisors 530) 621-5651

-------Forwarded message -------From: Steve Clark <sclark@wccx.com> Date: Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 1:52 PM Subject: Supervisors Special Meeting on Monday, 9/30/13, 10:00am To: bosone@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bosthree@edcgov.us, bosfor@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us

I Steven Clark of Shingle Springs am asking you, to please hear the people and follow their wishes, do not defer action on #5 and take action now on #6 right away, and above all keep the General Plan as is when it comes to the Zoning of the 5 Ac at the San Stino site Item #5 is about Community Region Lines, which are urban boundary lines. The staff is recommending that the Board of Supervisors (BOS) defer action on this. We need as many people as possible to show up and request that the BOS reject the staff's recommendation and instead move forward with removing the Shingle Springs Community Region Line. Shingle Springs does not want to be a target for high density development.

Item #6 is the Early Review policy that will require all projects needing a General Plan Amendment to come before the BOS for a hearing to decide if they warrant further processing. We need as many people as possible to show up and request that the BOS approve this policy immediately and start hearings to review and deny projects like San Stino and Tilden Park Thank you Steve Clark

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.

If you receive this e-mail in error please contact the sender by return e-mail and delete the material from your system. Thank you.

The BOSFOUR <bosfour@edcgov.us> To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us> Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 2:03 PM

[Quoted text hidden]

The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us> To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us> Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 2:45 PM

13-0510 Public Comment Rcvd 9-27-13

Thank you.

Kitty Miller on behalf of Ray Nutting El Dorado County Board of Supervisors 530) 621-5651

[Quoted text hidden] [Quoted text hidden]



## Public Comment for 9/30/13\_Community Region item

1 message

 Ellen Van Dyke <vandyke.5@sbcglobal.net>
 Fri, Sep 27, 2013 at 10:22 AM

 To: Brian Veerkamp <bosthree@edcgov.us>, Ron Mikulaco <bosone@edcgov.us>, Ray Nutting

 <bostwo@edcgov.us>, Jim Mitrisin <edc.cob@edcgov.us>, Norma Santiago <bosfive@edcgov.us>, Ron Briggs

 <bosfour@edcgov.us>

Cc: Ellen Van Dyke <vandyke.5@sbcglobal.net>

'Thank you!' to the Board for having directed this issue to be addressed back in June. I was personally really excited until it became clear staff was going to ignore it. In following up, you have brought it to the forefront again, and again, thank you!

So here we are with the staff report released this week – my comments are attached.

See you Monday! -Ellen

BOS 9.30.13\_CommReg letter.pdf

Public Comment for Sept 30, 2013 Special Meeting of the Board of Supervisors

Dear Supervisors:

I take issue with the staff report regarding Community Region (CR) boundaries. (9/30/13 BOS Agenda item 5) That statement is professional sounding, but more to the point, I could not be more disappointed in staff with how this has been represented.

- On June 27th, many who spoke in public comment to say that they 'supported' LUPPU, actually meant: you don't need to derail LUPPU; Community Region boundary review must be on a parallel path.
- I have been told repeatedly by staff and CEDAC Regulatory Reform attendees that CR review is not to be included in the TGPA. I have disagreed whole-heartedly, so imagine my surprise to see in writing on page 2 that CR's "are currently included in the TGPA". So are they, or aren't they? I see big problems with the LUPPU package, so a concurrent path rather than inclusion would be fine with me.
- The staff's claim that CRB's were analyzed in the 1996 and 2004 General Plan EIR is terribly misleading. The concept was created, but the individual parcels where the density transition occurs were not reviewed by the public, and certainly there was no understanding of what those lines meant in terms of development. The lack of review is documented; do we really have to go there?
- Page 2, comment 3, refers to the parcels without water/sewer and how they are easily served by EID. Let me point out that the water is NOT already on these sites, although developers are generally willing to pay to bring it in. The assertion by CEDAC members and staff that these low density parcels HAVE water and sewer is just that: an assertion. Dixon Ranch proposes to rip a trench through my neighborhood down my street for access, and the Malcolm-Dixon developments will be tearing through Malcolm-Dixon Rd. Water is not already on site. *Why are these parcels in the Community Region?*
- Comment 3 also points out that only parcels less than 4.5 acres are required to be served by public water/sewer. The truth is that General Plan policy 5.3.1.7 requires *all* commercial projects within the CR to be connected, but staff waives this requirement if the developer says it is too costly. *Example: Springs Equestrian septic system*.
- Page 3, items 6 and 7, point out that a parcel can be brought into the CR with a 3/5 vote of the Board, *at any time*. Surely bringing a parcel *out* of the CR should be even more simple. We are not asking to eliminate the CR, just very moderately amend it.
- The references to CEQA in item 8 are daunting. But note that CEQA does not automatically equal EIR. Think 'exempt', and get on with the review, or we will never know.
- Page 4 expounds upon the virtues of the Visioning process and grant program. I was present when a group hoping for funds in furthering the vision of a reduced CR asked about the grants and was derided for not 'bucking up' and doing their own fundraising.
- Page 5, item 1a, assumes that amending the CRB's would undermine the General Plan; this is pure drama. Item 1b is not clear if the proposal is to first complete the TGPA and *then* make CR changes, or to make the changes as *part* of the TGPA. I will hope they clarify this on the 30th.

Staff has given the community a huge disappointment in this report; we expected much more and have been let down. Here are a few inconsistencies that have muddled the issue, and made your path of choices less clear:

- $\circ$  The General Plan 5-yr review staff report (4/4/11) concluded that the CR lines should be analyzed.
- $\circ$  The White Paper (6/27/13) said the 5/yr review did not identify the need to review them.
- Staff said in Board hearing (1/29/13) and to me multiple times since, that CR's *are not* included in the TGPA.
- The current staff report (6/30/13) says they *are* included in the TGPA.

• The current staff report (9/30/13, p2) also says CR's were reviewed in 2011 (I have not seen this documented) *If you are not confused, perhaps you should be.* 

I believe the staff report to be correct in saying the CR reveiw is included in the TGPA: the adopted ROI 182-2011 includes CR analysis, as did the NOP in July of 2012, and numerous public comment requests. If Community Region boundary review is not to be included in the TGPA now, the parallel path should be forged. It is called multi-tasking and we moms do it every day.

# Please vote to review and contract the Community Region boundaries *now,* and ask staff to go back and do the job you directed them to do June 27th.

Sincerely, Ellen Van Dyke, Rescue Resident