

Deny S08-0012R Rancho Olivo Vineyards

1 message

Lcundall@yahoo.com <Lcundall@yahoo.com>

Thu, Apr 2, 2015 at 9:52 PM

To: "bosone@edcgov.us" <bosone@edcgov.us>, "bostwo@edcgov.us" <bostwo@edcgov.us>, "bosthree@edcgov.us" <bosthree@edcgov.us>, "bosfour@edcgov.us" <bosfour@edcgov.us>, "bosfive@edcgov.us" <bosfive@edcgov.us>, "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us> LATE DISTRIBUTION

Re: S08-0012R Rancho Olivo Vineyards

To Whom It May Concern:

DATE 413/15 BDS 417/15 As a neighbor directly affected by the traffic to and from the Olivo winery, I strenuously object to any permitting that would allow public or private business events at the current address or at any other address within the Cameron Park Estates residential community.

In the request for this Special Use Permit, Mrs. Olivo states that she believes has a 50-50 approval among neighbors I am not aware of anyone who has phoned the Olivos, but I know that at the meeting on July 9th, 2014, the neighbors present were pretty much unanimously against any increase in events at the Olivos property due to their inability to comply with the terms of their current SUP. There may have been some 40% of attendees who asked that the Olivos stick to their original SUP and show us that they are able to be good neighbors, but that portion was certainly not a majority.

At that meeting, Nello Olivo stated clearly that he would not be asking for any more wine tastings than he was already doing on his property - another violation of their current SUP. In addition, the event that was planned that weekend had hours in violation of the Temporary Use Permit that was issued for it.

At this time, the Olivo family is requesting approval for 9 events at their winery with up to 150 people at each event and up to 5 wine tasting events per month (thursday through Monday) with anywhere between 2 and 6 people or a "slightly larger number," which we are verbally assured will not be any "large parties" but we have no history of compliance and there is no specified limit to the number of people who might come through the gated community.

Either of these conditions would dramatically impact the safety and usability of the residential, equestrian community of Cameron Park Estates and will make the roads, utilized at a rate much higher than they are currently, unsafe for the very activities that residents associate with the neighborhood - namely, a peaceful community with equestrian trails; which is absolutely incompatible with traffic for special events on a regular-butunannounced schedule, whether they are brought in by private car or large shuttle.

The Olivos have violated past SUPs and TUPs on multiple occasions, most notably during the summer of 2012, when events were held on a weekly basis from Memorial Day through Labor day and into October. The Olivo's claim that this was due to matters beyond their control; however, they managed to accommodate the events each and every week. At that time, I discussed at length and in writing the violations and the need for enforcement with Aaron Mount; however, the community found throughout that process that there is evidently no way to enforce a Special Use Permit that limits events at the Olivo Winery, since it would involve verification of the events that violate the SUP by agencies unavailable to verify such things.

The Agricultural Comission found that the revised SUP was not in compliance with section A of Policy 8.1.4.1 because of significant comflict with surrounding neighbors. The finding was that approving the SUP would not promote positive reception of agriculture in the community.

It is apparent that the Olivos are unable to manage their property and business within the limits of the permit(s) they already have. Allowing them more leeway is not the answer to the contention they are causing in the

Cameron Estates CSD.

The Olivos have many many options available to them for wineries that have access to public roads that would give them automatic rights to all of the events they are asking in their SUP request. Not one single neighbor has commented that they only wish they could see more traffic on their roads due to a winery at the end – quite the opposite. The roads of CPE are not maintained to support business traffic of this sort and those residents upon who's property the roads lie are not prepared to take on the liability of having non-residents using our roads on wine-tasting tours. I have specifically discussed this matter with my home owners insurance and liability on our private road for business customers of a neighbor is not within the scope of my coverage.

Please do not grant S08-0012R.

Respectfully,

~Lisa Cundall

2/2



Rancho Olivo Vineyards Special Use Permit Revision S08-0012-R

1 message

Kayla Schureman <kaylaschureman@gmail.com>

Fri, Apr 3, 2015 at 6:13 AM dcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us,

To: bosone@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bosthree@edcgov.us, bosfour@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us, edc.cob@edcgov.us

Cc: John Schureman <skurman@pacbell.net>, Helen Schureman <momo3qtz@pacbell.net>, Shannon Schureman <shannonschureman@gmail.com>, kelly schureman <kellyschureman@gmail.com>, hleja@sbcglobal.net

Hello,

I am unable to attend the hearing on April 7th, but I would like to object the motion to allow Rancho Olivo to establish a public wine tasting business in a private, residential community <u>without consent from every single</u> <u>homeowner</u> in Cameron Estates.

The nature of the this business will undoubtedly cause and increase in private road traffic, and potential intoxicated drivers will pose a threat to the community's health and safety. Because the latter is not something that can be measured or replaced in monetary value or properly controlled by legislation, I strongly believe this should not be a matter of a majority vote but rather a decision made by all within the private grounds.

Please consider this a formal resident objection to Rancho Olivo.

Thank you, Kayla Schureman kaylaschureman@gmail.com