

EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Fwd: Rancho Olivo Vineyards Special use Permit Revision S08-0012-R

1 message

Wed, Apr 8, 2015 at 4:23 PM

Clerk of the Board,

Please see below, I looked at responses to subject SUP Revision for April 1, 2015 (as included in the agenda item) but did not see my remarks included.

Thank you for your attention to this matter, as the agenda item was postponed to a later date and I would like my comments included.

Alan Baracco

Forwarded Message ————
Subject:Rancho Olivo Vineyards Special use Permit Revision S08-0012-R
Date:Wed, 01 Apr 2015 16:54:25 -0700
From:Baracco Geezers
baracco.geezers@att.net>
To:bosone@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bosthree@edcgov.us, bosfour@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us, edc.cob@edcgov.us

Dear Board Members and Clerk;

Subject permit, denied by both the Ag. Committee and the Economic Development Commission (Planning Commission) is set for an appeal hearing by the applicants at your meeting on April 7, 2015. I ask that you deny the SUP revision as well, for a variety of reasons:

- 1. The applicants property is not zoned to accommodate events as envisioned with attendance by hundreds of people, as occurred (in violation of the Olivo's existing SUP) approximately 20 times in 2013. In fact, the property is within the Cameron Estates community, zoned R5 or R10, residential.
- 2. The SUP revision is opposed by an overwhelming number of residents of Cameron Estates due to noise, traffic and road use and safety, and rightly so. Many parcels (including mine at 3246 Lariat Drive) are directly impacted, reducing our quality of life and property values.
- 3. As Planning Commission staff well know, the Olivo's cannot be trusted to abide by the terms of any SUP. Over strong objections voiced to them in 2013, when events (mostly commercial weddings) were happening nearly every weekend during the summer they did nothing. Our pleas for enforcement were ignored, and therefore if this SUP revision is granted the same thing will happen.

Please respect our right to live in accordance with private residential zoning as it has existed for many years and deny this SUP revision.

Respectively,

Alan Baracco