

**Addendum to the Negative Declaration for S07-0011-R-2/PD95-0016-R-5/Kniesels Auto Collision Center**

The following details the determination by the County in preparing an addendum to the Negative Declaration for S07-0011-R/PD95-0016-R-4/Kniesels Auto Collision Center including the expanded hours of operation.

APPROVED  
PLANNING COMMISSION

DATE August 13, 2015

BY Roger Trout/Cmt  
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

**BACKGROUND**

The Negative Declaration (ND) for the current auto collision center was adopted by the Board of Supervisors on September 15, 2009 under applications S07-0011 and PD95-0016-R-3.

The applicant is requesting a revision to the existing entitlements to amend the hours of operation. Current approved hours are 7:30 AM to 5:30 PM Monday through Friday. The revision proposes to extend the hours to 7:00 AM to 7:00 PM Monday through Friday and 8 AM to 3 PM on Saturday. The extended hours of operation are being requested to allow customers to conduct business at the site outside of their normal and regular hours of work.

In accordance with CEQA Section 15164(a), an addendum to a previously adopted ND shall be prepared if some changes or additions to the project are necessary but none of the conditions described in Section 15162 calling for preparation of a subsequent ND have occurred. An addendum need not be circulated for public review but can be included in or attached to the final ND or adopted negative declaration. The decision making body shall consider the addendum with the final ND or adopted negative declaration prior to making a decision on the project.

**CONSISTENCY DISCUSSION**

As required by Section 15164(e), the following discussion details the consistency determination under Section 15162 required for the preparation of this addendum.

**Section 15162(a)(1): Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; and**

**Section 15162 (a) (2): Substantial changes occur with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or Negative Declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects.**

Discussion: The adopted Negative Declaration and Initial Study did not find any significant impacts related to the approval of the auto collision center. The request for expanded hours would not increase the uses at the site but would expand the time frame of when those uses are allowed to occur. No new significant impacts are expected to occur as a result of the expansion of the hours of operation as analyzed in the *Environmental Noise Assessment Kniesels Auto*

*Collision Center* (J.C. Brennan & Associates, October 2014). Recommended conditions of approval have been added to the project to ensure compliance with the hours of operation.

**Section 15162(a)(3): New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the Negative Declaration was adopted, shows any of the following:**

- (A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration;**
- (B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR;**

Discussion: No new information has been received that was not known at the time the previous ND was adopted that the project would have any new significant impacts. Complaints have been received for the project site and the owner has either shown consistency with the project approval or has corrected the issues.

- (C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative; and**
- (D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR would substantially reduce one or more significant effects on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measure or alternative.**

Discussion: The adoption of the previous ND did not include any mitigation measures.

## **CONCLUSION**

Based on the findings above, this addendum to the Negative Declaration is sufficient in analyzing the minor changes involving the hours of operation. No additional environmental impact is anticipated to occur as concluded in the submitted noise analysis.