# EL DORADO COUNTY CALIFORNIA Chief Administrative Office 

February 24, 2015

Memo To: The Honorable Board of Supervisors<br>From: Pamela Knorr, Chief Administrative Officer Laura Schwartz, Chief Budget Officer<br>Subject: Requesting FY 2015-16 Budget Policy Direction

On January 5, 2015, the Board of Supervisors directed the Chief Administrative Office to prepare a structurally balanced budget for FY 2015-16. A structurally balanced budget balances the operating expenses with operating revenues. After several discussions with departments the ovewhelming message was that departments simply wanted a number, or a bottom line target to budget to. If reductions are not made, the current spending model equates to a structural deficit of approximately \$19M.

The Board, County staff and other stakeholders are working towards developing a strategic plan and thus far has developed 5 priority areas as follows:

- Public Safety
- Infrastructure
- Economic Development
- Financial Sustainability
- Effective Services

In addition to these efforts, the Budget Ad Hoc Committee is developing budget policies to promote financial stability and long-term planning related to preparation and responsible management of the County budget.

In order to achieve a structurally balanced budget and provide Net County Cost targets to departments, the Chief Administrative Office has prepared five different scenarios which will be discussed in more detail below and are included as Attachment A.

## Scenario A

The first scenario analyzed was based on the following criteria:

- All General Fund vacant positions as of January were deleted out of the projection
- Operating costs and department revenues were scaled back to FY 2013-14 actuals
- Discretionary revenue available to fund Net County Cost and General Fund Contributions was $\$ 117,543,308$ which equals the revised revenue projection for FY 2014-15
- Use of fund balance was restricted to the prior year carry forward contingency of \$3.8M

This scenario resulted in a projected $\$ 7.4 \mathrm{M}$ deficit. In addition to still providing a large deficit, this projection also penalizes those departments that currently have vacant positions and was rejected by department heads as they requested a target number and the latitude to balance department budgets at their discretion. Therefore the Chief Administrative Office is not recommending that the Board consider this scenario.

## Scenario B

The second scenario analyzed was based on the following criteria:

- Department Net County cost "targets" were arrived at by taking a 5 year average of the total actual percentage of Discretionary Revenues that departments used to fund operations.
- Discretionary revenue available to fund Net County Cost and General Fund Contributions was $\$ 117,543,308$ which equals the revised revenue projection for FY 2014-15
- Use of fund balance was restricted to the prior year carry forward contingency of \$3.8M

This scenario resulted in a balanced budget, however, the cuts to departments were not realistic or equitable due to the fluctuations in Net County Cost over the last 5 years based on reorganizations and changes in departmental operations. Therefore the Chief Administrative Office is not recommending the Board consider this scenario.

## Scenario C

The third scenario analyzed was based on the following criteria:

- Department Net County cost "targets" were arrived at by utilizing a $10 \%$ cut from the FY 2014-15 Mid-Year projected Net County Cost.
- Certain General Fund Contributions such as contributions to Community Services, Housing Community Economic Development (HCED) and to UCCE were cut $10 \%$.
- Discretionary revenue available to fund Net County Cost and General Fund Contributions was $\$ 117,543,308$ which equals the revised revenue projection for FY 2014-15
- Use of fund balance was restricted to the prior year carry forward contingency of \$3.8M

This scenario resulted in a slight deficit of $\$ 86,397$. An across the board $10 \%$ cut is significant to departments and will have impacts on service. The revenue assumption in this scenario is conservative with no growth projected. While this scenario does not project any surplus funds for debt service, capital/deferred maintenance or unfunded liabilities, the scenario also does not utilize any fund balance above the $\$ 3.8 \mathrm{M}$ in contingency carry-forward. The current Mid-Year
figures project a year end fund balance of approximately $\$ 11 \mathrm{M}$ which would leave approximately $\$ 7.1 \mathrm{M}$ available for these costs. This is a scenario that the Board should consider.

## Scenario D

The fourth scenario analyzed was based on the following criteria:

- Department Net County cost "targets" were arrived at by utilizing an $6.25 \%$ cut from the FY 2014-15 Mid-Year projected Net County Cost.
- Certain General Fund Contributions such as contributions to Community Services, Housing Community Economic Development (HCED) and to UCCE were cut 6.25\%.
- Discretionary revenue available to fund Net County Cost and General Fund Contributions was $\$ 121,257,648$ which includes a $4 \%$ growth factor on property tax and sales tax revenues.
- Use of fund balance was restricted to the prior year carry forward contingency of \$3.8M

This scenario resulted in a slight deficit of $\$ 97,835$. The Chief Administrative Office would work with departments and finalize revenue projections to take this deficit to zero. The revenue assumptions in this scenario are less conservative with $4 \%$ growth projected on property tax and sales tax revenue. Currently these revenues are trending at 5\% growth from last year. While this scenario does not project any surplus funds for debt service, capital/deferred maintenance or unfunded liabilities, the scenario also does not utilize any fund balance above the $\$ 3.8 \mathrm{M}$ in contingency carry-forward. The current Mid-Year figures project a year end fund balance of approximately $\$ 11 \mathrm{M}$ which would leave approximately $\$ 7.1 \mathrm{M}$ available for these costs. This is a scenario that the Board should consider.

## Scenario E

The fifth and final scenario analyzed was based on the following criteria:

- Department Net County cost "targets" were arrived at by utilizing a $13.5 \%$ cut from the FY 2014-15 Mid-Year projected Net County Cost.
- Certain General Fund Contributions such as contributions to Community Services, Housing Community Economic Development (HCED) and to UCCE were cut $13.5 \%$.
- Discretionary revenue available to fund Net County Cost and General Fund Contributions was $\$ 121,257,648$ which includes a $4 \%$ growth factor on property tax and sales tax revenues.
- Use of fund balance was restricted to the prior year carry forward contingency of \$3.8M
- Debt service and unfunded liability funding totaling $\$ 7 \mathrm{M}$ was included in the operating budget

This scenario resulted in a small deficit of $\$ 350,608$. The Chief Administrative Office would work with departments and finalize revenue projections to take this deficit to zero. An across the board $13.5 \%$ cut is very significant to departments resulting in impacts on service and possible reductions in force. The revenue assumptions in this scenario are less conservative with $4 \%$ growth projected on property tax and sales tax revenue. This scenario does include $\$ 7 \mathrm{M}$ in funds for debt service and unfunded liabilities. This is a scenario that the Board should consider.

## Five Year Forecast

Attachment A also shows the revised 5 year forecast for scenarios C-D above. The budget projection includes only the contingency amount from the prior year as the available fund balance programmed into the budget. For example, in FY 2015-16, the projection includes $\$ 3.8 \mathrm{M}$ in fund balance. This is the current amount available in the FY 2014-15 General Fund contingency. An assumption is made that this money will not be spent and will carry forward into FY 2015-16 to fund FY 2015-16 contingency.

The major assumptions in the 5 year forecast include:

- No growth in discretionary revenue in FY 2015-16 (Scenario C). Revenues are based on FY 2014-15 projected actuals
- $4 \%$ growth in property tax and sales tax discretionary revenue (Scenario's D \& E)
- $1 \%$ growth in departmental revenues
- $3 \%$ growth in salaries and benefits
- No growth in all other operating costs
- No General Fund contributions for Roads or Fire
- $51 \%$ of TOT funds for Economic Development
- Use of fund balance equals carry-forward unspent contingency funds
- Across the board 10\% cut to Net County Cost in FY 2015-16 (Scenario C)
- Across the board $6.25 \%$ cut to Net County Cost in FY 2015-16 (Scenario D)
- Across the board $13.5 \%$ cut to Net County Cost in FY 2015-16 (Scenario E)
- Contingency and Reserves funded at best practice levels of 3\% and 5\%

In each of these scenarios the outgoing years show surplus amounts. The Chief Administrative Office recommends that these surplus amounts be used to fund debt service, capital projects/deferred maintenance and unfunded liabilities.

## Use of Fund Balance

The FY 2014-15 Mid-Year projection estimates a year end fund balance of approximately $\$ 11 \mathrm{M}$ (excluding any carryforward funding for capital projects and FENIX). Of this amount, $\$ 3.8 \mathrm{M}$ is already programmed in FY 2015-16 (carry forward contingency) which leaves approximately $\$ 7.1 \mathrm{M}$ in fund balance not included in the FY 2015-16 budget. The Chief Administrative Office is recommending that these funds be set aside to cover unbudgeted General Fund liabilities. In the January 2015 budget update the Chief Administrative Office identified several unbudgeted General Fund Liabilities. It should be noted that this is a projection and actual fund balance could come in higher or lower than this amount. The table below includes some of these liabilities.

| Unbudgeted GF Liabilities | Estimated Cost |
| :--- | :--- |
| Water | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| Fire | $\$ 800,000$ annually |
| General Plan Implementation | Variable |
| Roads | Variable |
| Parks | Variable |
| Property Tax System | $\$ 2,000,000$ |
| Public Safety Facility | $\$ 50,000,000$ |
| A\& B Renovations and Deferred Maintenance | $\$ 15,000,000$ |
| Courthouse Road Improvements | $\$ 4,000,000$ |
| Camino Interchange | TBD |
| Juvenile Hall | $\$ 10,000,000$ |
| Employee Payment of accruals | $\$ 4,300,000$ |
| Retiree Health | Variable |
| Classification and Compensation Study | $\$ 200,000$ |

## Next Steps

The Chief Administrative Office would like the Board to provide policy direction for the FY 2015-16 budget development. Ideally, the departments have asked for Net County Costs targets and the Chief Administrative Office would like the Board to pick one of the scenarios noted above so that these targets can be distributed. Attachment B is the proposed budget calendar. Departments are already working on the FY 2015-16 budget submittals and these proposals are due to the Chief Administrative Office by April 6, 2015. Policy direction from the Board is required in order to develop the FY 2015-16 budget in time to comply with the Budget Act. The Chief Administrative Office recommends setting a special meeting either at the end of March or first week in April to allow departments to present the service impacts of the reductions that the Board provides direction on today.

Attachment A: Net County Cost target scenarios A-E \& 5 year forecasts for scenarios C-D Attachment B: Proposed Budget Calendar

## Attachment A

|  | Scenario A - Vacancy Savings \& FY 13-14 Actuals |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | FY 13-14 Actual NCC | $\begin{gathered} \text { FY 14-15 } \\ \text { Projected NCC } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Proposed } \\ \text { FY 15-16 NCC } \end{gathered}$ | Variance from 14/15 Projected | Variance from 13/14 Actual |
| Board of Supervisors | 1,356,495 | 1,409,395 | 1,477,358 | 67,963 | 120,863 |
| Chief Administrative Office | 6,067,230 | 7,352,168 | 7,324,356 | $(27,812)$ | 1,257,126 |
| Auditor-Controller | 2,127,085 | 2,656,894 | 2,630,003 | $(26,891)$ | 502,918 |
| Treasurer-Tax Collector | 617,494 | 1,125,658 | 738,937 | $(386,721)$ | 121,443 |
| Assessor | 2,597,698 | 3,113,056 | 3,056,233 | $(56,823)$ | 458,535 |
| County Counsel | 2,404,756 | 2,809,269 | 2,804,667 | $(4,602)$ | 399,911 |
| Human Resources | 1,336,620 | 1,913,041 | 1,688,064 | $(224,977)$ | 351,444 |
| Information Technologies | 6,750,373 | 7,323,104 | 6,371,370 | $(951,734)$ | $(379,003)$ |
| Economic Dev / Parks \& Trails | 1,508,451 | 2,573,177 | 1,748,190 | $(824,987)$ | 239,739 |
| Surveyor | 1,352,103 | 1,567,568 | 1,634,919 | 67,351 | 282,816 |
| Grand Jury | 50,950 | 80,147 | 50,950 | $(29,197)$ | 0 |
| Superior Court MOE | 1,486,378 | 1,265,067 | 1,740,381 | 475,314 | 254,003 |
| District Attorney | 5,554,171 | 5,647,031 | 5,655,986 | 8,955 | 101,815 |
| Public Defender | 2,689,434 | 3,443,079 | 3,432,736 | $(10,343)$ | 743,302 |
| Sheriff | 36,646,207 | 43,485,600 | 41,858,667 | $(1,626,933)$ | 5,212,460 |
| Probation | 8,200,224 | 10,607,075 | 10,087,569 | $(519,506)$ | 1,887,345 |
| Ag Commissioner | 373,596 | 487,612 | 398,517 | $(89,095)$ | 24,921 |
| Recorder-Clerk/Registrar of Voters | 635,346 | 863,510 | 1,028,910 | 165,400 | 393,564 |
| Transportation | 697,032 | 558,114 | 627,308 | 69,194 | $(69,724)$ |
| Development Services | 1,685,010 | 3,562,704 | 3,712,232 | 149,528 | 2,027,222 |
| Public Health (Animal Services) | 905,706 | 1,291,252 | 1,357,959 | 66,707 | 452,253 |
| Environmental Management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Veterans Services | 334,312 | 449,983 | 347,076 | $(102,907)$ | 12,764 |
| Human Services | 2,014,660 | 1,714,557 | 1,858,329 | 143,772 | $(156,331)$ |
| Library | 1,515,581 | 1,732,117 | 1,567,537 | $(164,580)$ | 51,956 |

## NCC Total

103,198,254

General Fund Contributions
Health
3,973,086
RCD's
147,638
Misc.
139,055
CSS A87 Offset
$(290,979)$
Community Services
2,032,614
Airports
253,961
120,454
260,000
40,000
UCCE
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { EDWPA } & 300,000 \\ \text { HCED } & 62,741\end{array}$
Mental Health SLPR match 16,510
Health SLPR match 704,192
Contingency
6,220,000
Increase to Reserve
362,473
Miwok (pass thru)
2,600,000
VLF - MH (pass thru)
66,131
VLF - Health (pass thru) 3,743,505
VLF - SS (pass thru)
Sub-total GF Contributions
21,784,577

## Total

$124,982,831$

Discretionary Revenues
$117,543,308$

## Surplus I (Shortfall)

 $(7,439,523)$Fund Balance Available
7,156,604

| Scenario B-\% of NCC Based on 5 year NCC Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY 09-10 Actual } \\ & \text { NCC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY 10-11 Actual } \\ & \text { NCC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY 11-12 Actual } \\ & \text { NCC } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FY 12-13 Actual } \\ & \text { NCC } \end{aligned}$ | FY 13-14 Actual NCC | 5 Year Average NCC | 5 Year Average NCC | FY $14-15$ Projected NCC | Proposed FY $15-16$ NCC | Variance from 14/15 Projected | Variance from 13/14 Actual |
| Board of Supervisors | 1,325,184 | 1,338,240 | 1,388,318 | 1,306,407 | 1,356,495 | 1,342,929 | 1.63\% | 1,409,395 | 1,528,646 | 119,251 | 172,151 |
| Chief Administrative Office | 5,955,886 | 6,322,368 | 6,106,573 | 6,389,406 | 6,067,230 | 6,168,293 | 7.46\% | 7,352,168 | 7,021,324 | (330,844) | 954,094 |
| Auditor-Controller | 2,401,609 | 2,336,722 | 2,259,420 | 1,973,542 | 2,127,085 | 2,219,676 | 2.69\% | 2,656,894 | 2,526,641 | $(130,253)$ | 399,556 |
| Treasurer-Tax Collector | 1,051,080 | 1,003,669 | 978,544 | 507, 470 | 617,494 | 831,651 | 1.01\% | 1,125,658 | 946,663 | $(178,995)$ | 329,169 |
| Assessor | 3,162,979 | 3,254,061 | 2,852,535 | 2,622,742 | 2,597,698 | 2,898,003 | 3.51\% | 3,113,056 | 3,298,776 | 185,720 | 701,078 |
| County Counsel | 1,709,337 | 1,894,429 | 1,880,843 | 2,210,265 | 2,404,756 | 2,019,926 | 2.44\% | 2,809,269 | 2,299,268 | $(510,001)$ | $(105,488)$ |
| Human Resources | 672,289 | 669,175 | 660,943 | 1,078,408 | 1,336,620 | 883,487 | 1.07\% | 1,913,041 | 1,005,667 | $(907,374)$ | $(330,953)$ |
| Information Technologies | 1,418,396 | 1,089,412 | 1,775,459 | 4,873,145 | 6,750,373 | 3,181,357 | 3.85\% | 7,323,104 | 3,621,316 | $(3,701,788)$ | $(3,129,057)$ |
| Economic Dev / Parks \& Trails * |  |  |  |  | 1,508,451 | 0 | 0.00\% | 2,573,177 | 1,694,013 | $(879,164)$ | 185,562 |
| Surveyor | 1,711,279 | 1,497,145 | 1,383,881 | 1,379,967 | 1,352,103 | 1,464,875 | 1.77\% | 1,567,568 | 1,667,457 | 99,889 | 315,354 |
| Grand Jury | 90,039 | 86,172 | 90,945 | 45,107 | 50,950 | 72,643 | 0.09\% | 80,147 | 82,689 | 2,542 | 31,739 |
| Superior Court MOE | 1,227,100 | 1,317,983 | 503,719 | 1,308,821 | 1,486,378 | 1,168,800 | 1.41\% | 1,265,067 | 1,330,437 | 65,370 | $(155,941)$ |
| District Attorney | 5,559,751 | 5,360,914 | 4,914,410 | 5,287,941 | 5,554,171 | 5,335,437 | 6.46\% | 5,647,031 | 6,073,291 | 426,260 | 519,120 |
| Public Defender | 2,540,023 | 2,530,719 | 2,443,680 | 2,509,253 | 2,689,434 | 2,542,622 | 3.08\% | 3,443,079 | 2,894,249 | $(548,830)$ | 204,815 |
| Sheriff | 40,502,161 | 38,525,901 | 36,002,542 | 34,705,426 | 36,646,207 | 37,276,447 | 45.11\% | 43,485,600 | 42,431,518 | $(1,054,082)$ | 5,785,311 |
| Probation | 8,691,216 | 8,357,429 | 7,630,356 | 7,702,219 | 8,200,224 | 8,116,289 | 9.82\% | 10,607,075 | 9,238,714 | $(1,368,361)$ | 1,038,490 |
| Ag Commissioner | 331,761 | 367,778 | 283,304 | 306,858 | 373,596 | 332,659 | 0.40\% | 487,612 | 378,664 | $(108,948)$ | 5,068 |
| Recorder-Clerk/Registrar of Voters | 985,901 | 800,629 | 885,210 | 189,928 | 635,346 | 699,403 | 0.85\% | 863,510 | 796,125 | $(67,385)$ | 160,779 |
| Transportation | 370,566 | 406,236 | 446,881 | 665,109 | 697,032 | 517,165 | 0.63\% | 558,114 | 588,685 | 30,571 | $(108,347)$ |
| Development Services | 2,778,730 | 2,517,237 | 1,918,099 | 1,458,890 | 1,685,010 | 2,071,593 | 2.51\% | 3,562,704 | 2,358,080 | $(1,204,624)$ | 673,070 |
| Public Health (Animal Services) | 794,085 | 1,113,127 | 873,944 | 821,120 | 905,706 | 901,596 | 1.09\% | 1,291,252 | 1,026,281 | $(264,971)$ | 120,575 |
| Environmental Management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Veterans Services | 323,327 | 287,647 | 213,409 | 247,643 | 334,312 | 281,268 | 0.34\% | 449,983 | 320,165 | $(129,818)$ | $(14,147)$ |
| Human Services | 630,541 | 1,034,300 | (108,931) | 561,363 | 2,014,660 | 826,387 | 1.00\% | 1,714,557 | 940,670 | $(773,887)$ | $(1,073,990)$ |
| Library | 1,409,906 | 1,564,752 | 1,483,795 | 1,446,692 | 1,515,581 | 1,484,145 | 1.80\% | 1,732,117 | 1,689,392 | $(42,725)$ | 173,811 |
| Total | 85,643,146 | 83,676,045 | 76,867,879 | 79,597,722 | 88,906,912 | 82,636,651 |  | Sub-total | 95,758,731 | (\$117,543,308-\$21, | 721,836 GF Contribui |
| * Set at 51\% TOT + \$291,202 Parks NCC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Available | 94,064,718 | (Less Econ DeviPar | s of \$1,694,013) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Variance | 1,694,013 | (Balanced. Variance | = Econ Dev) |


| Scenario C - Across the Board 10\% cut off 14-15 Projected NCC |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Department | FY 13-14 Actual NCC | FY 14-15 Projected NCC | $\left.\begin{array}{\|cc} \text { Proposed } & \text { FY } \\ 15-16 \text { NCC } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Variance from 14/15 Projected | Variance from 13/14 Actual |
| Board of Supervisors | 1,356,495 | 1,409,395 | 1,281,268 | $(128,127)$ | $(75,227)$ |
| Chief Administrative Office | 6,067,230 | 7,352,168 | 6,683,789 | $(668,379)$ | 616,559 |
| Auditor-Controller | 2,127,085 | 2,656,894 | 2,415,358 | $(241,536)$ | 288,273 |
| Treasurer-Tax Collector | 617,494 | 1,125,658 | 1,023,325 | $(102,333)$ | 405,831 |
| Assessor | 2,597,698 | 3,113,056 | 2,830,051 | $(283,005)$ | 232,353 |
| County Counsel | 2,404,756 | 2,809,269 | 2,553,881 | $(255,388)$ | 149,125 |
| Human Resources | 1,336,620 | 1,913,041 | 1,739,128 | $(173,913)$ | 402,508 |
| Information Technologies | 6,750,373 | 7,323,104 | 6,657,367 | $(665,737)$ | $(93,006)$ |
| Economic Dev / Parks \& Trails * | 1,508,451 | 2,573,177 | 1,664,893 | $(908,284)$ | 156,442 |
| Surveyor | 1,352,103 | 1,567,568 | 1,425,062 | $(142,506)$ | 72,959 |
| Grand Jury | 50,950 | 80,147 | 72,861 | $(7,286)$ | 21,911 |
| Superior Court MOE | 1,486,378 | 1,265,067 | 1,150,061 | $(115,006)$ | $(336,317)$ |
| District Attorney | 5,554,171 | 5,647,031 | 5,133,665 | $(513,366)$ | $(420,506)$ |
| Public Defender | 2,689,434 | 3,443,079 | 3,130,072 | $(313,007)$ | 440,638 |
| Sheriff | 36,646,207 | 43,485,600 | 39,532,364 | $(3,953,236)$ | 2,886,157 |
| Probation | 8,200,224 | 10,607,075 | 9,642,795 | $(964,280)$ | 1,442,571 |
| Ag Commissioner | 373,596 | 487,612 | 443,284 | $(44,328)$ | 69,688 |
| Recorder-Clerk/Registrar of Voters | 635,346 | 863,510 | 785,009 | $(78,501)$ | 149,663 |
| Transportation | 697,032 | 558,114 | 507,376 | $(50,738)$ | $(189,656)$ |
| Development Services | 1,685,010 | 3,562,704 | 3,238,822 | $(323,882)$ | 1,553,812 |
| Public Health (Animal Services) | 905,706 | 1,291,252 | 1,173,865 | $(117,387)$ | 268,159 |
| Environmental Management | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Veterans Services | 334,312 | 449,983 | 409,075 | $(40,908)$ | 74,763 |
| Human Services | 2,014,660 | 1,714,557 | 1,558,688 | $(155,869)$ | (455,972) |
| Library | 1,515,581 | 1,732,117 | 1,574,652 | $(157,465)$ | 59,071 |
|  |  | Sub-total | 96,626,712 | NCC |  |
| * Set at 51\% TOT + Parks NCC of \$262, | 0\% cut) | Total Available | 96,304,780 | (\$117,543,308-\$21,238,528 GF Contributions) |  |
|  |  | Variance | $(321,932)$ | Shortfall |  |
| Health |  |  | 3,973,086 |  |  |
| RCD's |  |  | 147,638 |  |  |
| Misc. |  |  | 139,055 |  |  |
| CSS A87 Offset |  |  | $(290,979)$ |  |  |
| Community Services |  |  | 2,032,614 |  |  |
| Airports |  |  | 253,961 |  |  |
| LAFCO |  |  | 120,454 |  |  |
| HCED |  |  | 62,741 |  |  |
| UCCE |  |  | 260,000 |  |  |
| TRPA |  |  | 40,000 |  |  |
| EDWPA |  |  | 300,000 |  |  |
| Mental Health SLPR match |  |  | 16,510 |  |  |
| Health SLPR match |  |  | 704,192 |  |  |
| Contingency |  |  | 6,015,000 |  |  |
| Increase to Reserve |  |  | 21,424 |  |  |
| Miwok (pass thru) |  |  | 2,600,000 |  |  |
| VLF - MH (pass thru) |  |  | 66,131 |  |  |
| VLF - Health (pass thru) |  |  | 3,743,505 |  |  |
| VLF - SS (pass thru) |  |  | 1,033,196 |  |  |
| 10\% Cut to GF Disretionary Contributions |  |  | 235,536 |  |  |
| Revised Variance |  |  | $(86,397)$ | Shortfall |  |

# Scenario C <br> 5 year forecast as of December, 2014 

## COUNTY OF EL DORADO

General Fund Revenue and Appropration Projection
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrrrr} & & \text { FY 2015-16 } & & \text { FY 2016-17 }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Projected } \\ \text { FY 2017-18 }\end{array}\right]$

## APPROPRIATIONS (Category)

General Government

Law and Justice
Land Use \& Development
Health/Human Services
Nondepartmental
Total Appropriations

APPROPRIATIONS (Object)
Salaries/Benefits
Operating Expenses
Fixed Assets
Other Financing Uses
Transfer to Other Funds
Appropriation for Contingency
Total Appropriations
Revenue Surplus/(Shortfall)
Designated for Capital Projects
Designated for Contingencies
General Reserve
\$ Needed for 5\% General Reserve
Additional Funds to Reach 5\%

| \$ | 34,942,949 | \$ | 35,766,180 | \$ | 36,614,108 | \$ | 37,487,474 | \$ | 38,387,041 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 81,319,729 |  | 83,344,341 |  | 85,429,691 |  | 87,577,601 |  | 89,789,949 |
|  | 17,407,301 |  | 17,815,421 |  | 18,235,808 |  | 18,668,831 |  | 19,114,870 |
|  | 70,198,391 |  | 71,332,119 |  | 72,499,858 |  | 73,702,630 |  | 74,941,485 |
|  | 20,981,568 |  | 21,358,991 |  | 21,687,036 |  | 22,025,872 |  | 22,375,673 |
| \$ | 224,849,938 | \$ | 229,617,051 | \$ | 234,466,500 | \$ | 239,462,408 | \$ | 244,609,018 |
| \$ | 146,322,731 | \$ | 150,712,401 | \$ | 155,233,785 | \$ | 159,890,834 | \$ | 164,687,621 |
|  | 59,172,883 |  | 59,175,020 |  | 59,177,221 |  | 59,179,488 |  | 59,181,823 |
|  | 1,284,075 |  | 1,284,075 |  | 1,284,075 |  | 1,284,075 |  | 1,284,075 |
|  | 80,983 |  | 80,983 |  | 80,983 |  | 80,983 |  | 80,983 |
|  | 11,974,266 |  | 12,159,572 |  | 12,350,437 |  | 12,547,028 |  | 12,749,516 |
|  | 6,015,000 |  | 6,205,000 |  | 6,340,000 |  | 6,480,000 |  | 6,625,000 |
| \$ | 224,849,938 | \$ | 229,617,051 | \$ | 234,466,500 | \$ | 239,462,408 | \$ | 244,609,018 |
| \$ | $(64,972)$ | \$ | 5,039,850 | \$ | 6,687,025 | \$ | 8,406,778 | \$ | 10,223,664 |
| \$ | 7,480,174 | \$ | 7,480,174 | \$ | 7,480,174 | \$ | 7,480,174 | \$ | 7,480,174 |
| \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| \$ | 10,002,422 | \$ | 10,023,846 | \$ | 10,240,932 | \$ | 10,464,574 | \$ | 10,694,970 |
| \$ | 10,023,846 | \$ | 10,240,932 | \$ | 10,464,574 | \$ | 10,694,970 | \$ | 10,932,323 |
| \$ | $(21,424)$ | \$ | $(217,086)$ | \$ | $(223,642)$ | \$ | $(230,396)$ | \$ | $(237,353)$ |
| \$ | $(86,397)$ | \$ | 4,822,764 | \$ | 6,463,383 | \$ | 8,176,383 | \$ | 9,986,311 |

FY 2015-16 Assumptions
Property Tax and other local taxes remain flat in FY 2015-16
Property Tax and other local taxes grown at 5\% annually in FY 2016-17 thru 2019-20
Sales tax remains flat in FY 2015-16
Sales tax grown at 5\% annually in FY 2016-17 thru 2019-20
All other Discretionary Rev remains flat
3\% growth on salaries, no growth on operating expenses
No additional GF for roads or Fire
Assumes 49\% TOT for GF Operating Costs
Assumes 10\% across the board cut scenario


# Scenario D <br> 5 year forecast as of December, 2014 

## COUNTY OF EL DORADO

General Fund Revenue and Appropration Projection
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrrrr} & & \text { FY 2015-16 } & & \text { FY 2016-17 }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Projected } \\ \text { FY 2017-18 }\end{array}\right]$

| APPROPRIATIONS (Category) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Government | \$ | 35,870,429 | \$ | 36,721,637 | \$ | 37,598,382 | \$ | 38,501,429 | \$ | 39,431,567 |
| Law and Justice |  | 83,390,145 |  | 85,475,574 |  | 87,623,566 |  | 89,835,998 |  | 92,114,803 |
| Land Use \& Development |  | 17,605,461 |  | 18,018,988 |  | 18,444,945 |  | 18,883,705 |  | 19,335,653 |
| Health/Human Services |  | 70,364,849 |  | 71,503,570 |  | 72,676,454 |  | 73,884,523 |  | 75,128,835 |
| Nondepartmental |  | 21,172,895 |  | 21,495,604 |  | 21,830,005 |  | 22,171,267 |  | 22,523,567 |
| Total Appropriations | \$ | 228,403,779 | \$ | 233,215,375 | \$ | 238,173,352 | \$ | 243,276,922 | \$ | 248,534,426 |
| APPROPRIATIONS (Object) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries/Benefits | \$ | 149,629,272 | \$ | 154,118,138 | \$ | 158,741,694 | \$ | 163,503,981 | \$ | 168,409,162 |
| Operating Expenses |  | 59,240,960 |  | 59,243,097 |  | 59,245,298 |  | 59,247,565 |  | 59,249,900 |
| Fixed Assets |  | 1,284,075 |  | 1,284,075 |  | 1,284,075 |  | 1,284,075 |  | 1,284,075 |
| Other Financing Uses |  | 80,983 |  | 80,983 |  | 80,983 |  | 80,983 |  | 80,983 |
| Transfer to Other Funds |  | 12,050,489 |  | 12,238,082 |  | 12,431,302 |  | 12,630,318 |  | 12,835,306 |
| Appropriation for Contingency |  | 6,118,000 |  | 6,251,000 |  | 6,390,000 |  | 6,530,000 |  | 6,675,000 |
| Total Appropriations | \$ | 228,403,779 | \$ | 233,215,375 | \$ | 238,173,352 | \$ | 243,276,922 | \$ | 248,534,426 |
| Revenue Surplus/(Shortfall) | \$ | 95,526 | \$ | 4,484,869 | \$ | 5,115,430 | \$ | 5,797,956 | \$ | 6,482,185 |
| Designated for Capital Projects | \$ | 7,480,174 | \$ | 7,480,174 | \$ | 7,480,174 | \$ | 7,480,174 | \$ | 7,480,174 |
| Designated for Contingencies | \$ | - - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| General Reserve | \$ | 10,002,422 | \$ | 10,195,783 | \$ | 10,417,943 | \$ | 10,646,812 | \$ | 10,882,591 |
| \$ Needed for 5\% General Reserve | \$ | 10,195,783 | \$ | 10,417,943 | \$ | 10,646,812 | \$ | 10,882,591 | \$ | 11,125,488 |
| Additional Funds to Reach 5\% | \$ | $(193,361)$ | \$ | $(222,160)$ | \$ | $(228,869)$ | \$ | $(235,779)$ | \$ | $(242,898)$ |
| Total Revenue Surplus/Shortfall | \$ | $(97,835)$ | \$ | 4,262,709 | \$ | 4,886,561 | \$ | 5,562,177 | \$ | 6,239,287 |

## Assumptions

Property Tax and other local taxes grown at 4\% annually
Sales tax grown at 5\% annually
All other Discretionary Rev remains flat
3\% growth on salaries, no growth on operating expenses
No additional GF for roads or Fire
Assumes 49\% TOT for GF Operating Costs
Assumes $6.25 \%$ across the board cut scenario


# Scenario E <br> 5 year forecast as of December, 2014 

## COUNTY OF EL DORADO

General Fund Revenue and Appropration Projection
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrrrr} & & \text { FY 2015-16 } & & \text { FY 2016-17 }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Projected } \\ \text { FY 2017-18 }\end{array}\right]$

APPROPRIATIONS (Category)
General Government
Law and Justice
Land Use \& Development
Health/Human Services
Nondepartmental
Total Appropriations
APPROPRIATIONS (Object)
Salaries/Benefits
Operating Expenses
Fixed Assets
Other Financing Uses
Transfer to Other Funds
Appropriation for Contingency
Total Appropriations

| Revenue Surplus/(Shortfall) | \$ | $(329,668)$ | \$ | 4,043,694 | \$ | 4,972,975 | \$ | 5,857,093 | \$ | 6,748,961 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Designated for Capital Projects | \$ | 7,480,174 | \$ | 7,480,174 | \$ | 7,480,174 | \$ | 7,480,174 | \$ | 7,480,174 |
| Designated for Contingencies | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| General Reserve | \$ | 10,002,422 | \$ | 10,023,363 | \$ | 10,236,022 | \$ | 10,455,104 | \$ | 10,680,804 |
| \$ Needed for 5\% General Reserve | \$ | 10,023,363 | \$ | 10,236,022 | \$ | 10,455,104 | \$ | 10,680,804 | \$ | 10,913,320 |
| Additional Funds to Reach 5\% | \$ | $(20,941)$ | \$ | $(212,659)$ | \$ | $(219,083)$ | \$ | $(225,699)$ | \$ | $(232,516)$ |
| Total Revenue Surplus/Shortfall | \$ | $(350,608)$ | \$ | 3,831,035 | \$ | 4,753,893 | \$ | 5,631,393 | \$ | 6,516,445 |
| Assumptions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Property Tax and other local taxes grown at 4\% annually |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sales tax grown at 4\% annually |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All other Discretionary Rev remains flat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3\% growth on salaries, no growth on operating expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No additional GF for roads or Fire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assumes 49\% TOT for GF Operating Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assumes 13.5\% across the board cut scenario |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Includes annual funding of \$7M for Debt Service and OPEB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Attachment B RECOMMENDED BUDGET CALENDAR

## Fiscal Year 2015-16

Jan 23210 reports available on-line for department review and update
Feb 17210 reports due back to Karen Feathers (CAO office)
Feb 18 Budget Planning Documents begin posting on EDCNET

Forms<br>Memberships<br>Information Technologies Request<br>Computer Minor Equipment Request<br>Fixed Asset Request<br>Grants Detail<br>Operating Transfers In/Out<br>Inter/Intra fund Transfers

Feb 18 BPREP Open
Feb 24 Mid-Year report presented to the BOS - Direction received for FY 2015-16 Budget

Feb $24 \quad$ Budget calendar presented to BOS - Direction received for FY 2015-16
Mar 02 Budget Kick-Off Meeting - Board Chambers 11:00-12:00
Apr 06 Completed Department budget packages due to the CAO by 5:00 p.m. The BPREP system will be closed to departments for budget data entry.

May 22 Recommended Budget available
May 26-
May 29 Functional group meetings with BOS members, CAO staff \& Dept. Heads
June 1 Budget Special Meetings begin. Will carry over to $6 / 3 \& 6 / 4$ if necessary
June 16 Board adoption of Recommended Budget
June 30 Recommended Budget Interfaced into FAMIS
Aug 15 Tentative - Books close for FY 2014-15 - BPREP open for Addenda changes. These changes will be limited to adjustments to fund balance only.

Sep 15 Budget Hearing- It is anticipated that this year the Board of Supervisors will consider that adopted budget as a Department matter on the agenda on a regular Tuesday. At that time, adjustments will be made to fund balance and revenue projections. This is simply an opportunity to finalize the fund balance and revenue and receive final comments on the budget rather than reconsideration of the entire budget.

Sep 22 Adoption of Budget Resolution
Sep 30 Adopted Budget interfaced into FAMIS

