## COUNTY OF EL DORADO

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION



MAINTENANCE DIVISION 2441 Headington Road Placerville, CA 95667 Phone: (530) 642-4909 Fax: (530) 642-9238 KIMBERLY A. KERR Interim Director of Transportation

Internet Web Site: http://edcgov.us/dot <u>MAIN OFFICE</u> 2850 Fairlane Court Placerville, CA 95667 Phone: (530) 621-5900 Fax: (530) 626-0387



March 23, 2012

County of El Dorado Environmental Management Department 2850 Fairlane Court Placerville, CA 95667

#### Attn: Kerri Williams, Time Critical

Dear Ms. Williams,

Attached is a Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project proposal from the County of El Dorado Department of Transportation (DOT) for FY 2012/13 AB2766 DMV Surcharge Fees funding. The proposed project is the **Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving** project. The total project cost is estimated to be: \$291,226.

The grant funding request amount is **\$206,999** for the construction phase to chip seal 2.54 miles of Bayne Road which is currently unpaved. The County DOT Maintenance Division will deliver this project and will provide an in-kind local match estimated at \$84,227 for the pre-construction prep work.

Thank you for your consideration of this fugitive PM10 emission reduction project proposal. If you have any questions, please contact Anne Novotny at 621-5931 or e-mail at <u>anne.novotny@edcgov.us</u>.

Sincerely,

Kimberly A. Kerr, Interim Director Department of Transportation

KAK:an

c: Tom Celio, Deputy Director of Maintenance Anne Novotny, Senior Planner

## **PROJECT SUMMARY**

Applicant: El Dorado County Department of Transportation Contact Person: Anne Novotny, Senior Planner Address: 2850 Fairlane Court, Placerville, CA 95667 Telephone: (530) 621-5931 FAX: (530) 626-0387 Email: anne.novotny@edcgov.us

**Project Description:** Chip seal 2.54 miles of Bayne Road, which is currently unpaved from Milepost 1.0 to Milepost 3.54. The paving project will consist of pre-construction prep work (tree removal, culvert improvements, base grading, construct structural section) and construction (install prime coat, sweeping, install chip seal, final sweeping/clean-up). A Project Location Map is attached (see Attachment B on page 10). Bayne Road is a local road, approximately 5 miles, that connects State Route 193 in Kelsey to State Route 49 in Coloma. There are 52 developed residential lots along Bayne Rd, of which 34 are located along the unpaved segment. Bayne Road is not only used by local residents but also by river rafters and kayakers. It is the shortest route between Chili Bar (where the local rafting companies start trips) and Coloma (where the rafts are pulled out). Coloma is also a popular recreation area and features the Marshall Gold Discovery State Historic Park as well as campsites along the South Fork American River. (See Attachment G for project area photos.)

<b>Estimated Emission Reductions/Cost-Effectiveness</b>	
Useful Life of Project (years)	12
Total Lifetime Emissions Reduced (lbs. of PM-10)	
165,580 x 12 = 1,986,960	1,986,960
Cost-Effectiveness (total project costs)*	
= (CRF * Funding) / (ROG + NOx + PM10)	\$0.18 dollars per lb. (of PM10)
= (0.10 * \$291,226) / (165,580) = \$.18 dollars per lb.	
Cost-Effectiveness (AQMD Funded project costs)*	
= (CRF * Funding) / (ROG + NOx + PM10)	\$0.13 dollars per lb. (of PM10)
= (0.10 * \$206,999) / (165,580) = \$.12 dollars per lb.	unio maria createrination second solution records a

\*: See Attachment 3 for instructions

Budget Summary	A	AB 2766 Funds	Matching Funds	In-Kind Match		In-Kind Match		Total Project Costs	
Materials	\$	160,719	\$	\$		\$	160,719		
Personnel	\$		\$	\$	65,241	\$	65,241		
Construction									
Equipment	\$	46,280	\$	\$		\$	46,280		
Contract, Aggregate									
Base Transportation	\$		\$	\$	16,986	\$	16,986		
Equipment Rental	Equipment Rental \$		\$	\$	2,000	\$	2,000		
TOTAL	\$	206,999	\$	\$	84,227	\$	291,226		

\*See Attachment A on page 9 for Budget Itemization.

## CONTENTS CHECKLIST

Appli	cant: County of El Dorado Department of Transportation
$\boxtimes$	Project Summary Sheet (Cover) - page 1
$\boxtimes$	Request for Proposal Contents Checklist (Second Page) - page 2
$\boxtimes$	Project Description - page 3
$\boxtimes$	Project Organization/Background – page 3
$\boxtimes$	Emission Benefits/Cost Effectiveness – <u>pages 4</u> (Must utilize March 2010 Emission Factors)
$\boxtimes$	Work Statement/Acknowledgement – page 5
$\boxtimes$	Funding Request/Cost Breakdown – page 6
$\boxtimes$	Matching Funds – page 7
$\boxtimes$	Schedule of Deliverables/Self-Monitoring Program – page 7
$\bowtie$	Authorization Letter/Resolution - page 8
$\boxtimes$	Local TRPA Review (If Applicable) – <u>N/A</u>
$\boxtimes$	Budget Itemization (Attachment A) – page 9
$\boxtimes$	Project Location Map (Attachment B) – page 10
$\boxtimes$	Average Daily Traffic Counts (Attachment C) – pages 11-12
$\boxtimes$	PM10 Emissions Reductions Calculations (Attachment D) – pages 13-14
$\boxtimes$	Section 7.10, Unpaved Road Dust - ARB Miscellaneous Processes Methodologies (Attachment E) – <u>pages 15-21</u>
$\boxtimes$	Section 7.9, Entrained Paved Road Dust - ARB Miscellaneous Processes Methodologies (Attachment F) – <u>pages 22-31</u>
$\boxtimes$	Project Area Photos (Attachment G) – pages 32-34
$\boxtimes$	Letter of Support/Petition (Attachment H) – pages 35-38
$\boxtimes$	Local TPA Review (When Applicable) - $N/A$
$\boxtimes$	2 Copies of Proposal - attached

## **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

Identify objective(s) and describe project scope of work.

The primary objective of this proposed project is to reduce vehicle fugitive dust (PM10) emissions. The secondary objective is to provide a safer roadway for residents who live off Bayne Road and for other motorists traveling on Bayne Road between the rural communities of Coloma and Kelsey.

The scope of work will involve two phases:

- Pre-construction prep work (tree removal, culvert improvements, base grading and construct structural section), which will be completed by El Dorado County DOT's Road Maintenance crew (Force Account) and will be used as the in-kind match.
- 2) Construction (install prime coat, sweeping, install chip seal, and final sweeping/cleanup), which will also be completed by DOT's Road Maintenance crew and is the portion of this project that we are requesting this grant funding.

The County currently has approximately 70 miles of unpaved County maintained roads. This project is the first priority on DOT's list of unpaved public access roads to chip seal when funding becomes available. This project is currently not programmed due to lack of funding. The County DOT relies on grants such as AB2766 funding to complete unpaved road projects.

## **PROJECT ORGANIZATION/BACKGROUND**

Provide description of your organization's ability to implement project. Describe previous, similar, successful projects. If using sub-contractors, identify and state their qualifications. If sub-contractors have not been identified, state qualifications to be met.

El Dorado County Department of Transportation (DOT) has a Maintenance and Operations Division which currently has 111 full-time allocated positions. Over half of these positions are dedicated to on-going maintenance of public access roads in the West Slope area of the County. The West Slope Road Operations Unit is responsible for on-going road maintenance that includes chip seal applications. DOT's Maintenance Crew is very experienced with performing this work. Within the past three years (2009-2011), DOT Maintenance has completed 96 chip/slurry seal projects covering 59 miles of roadway. The process used produces a roadway surface that typically has a useful life of 12 to 15 years.

The County DOT has received prior AB2766 DMV grants that have been successfully delivered including: the environmental phase of work for the US50/El Dorado Hills Boulevard Interchange Pedestrian Overcrossing, installation of countdown pedestrian signals, procurement of waterless/dustless broom street sweepers, completion of the environmental phase of the SMUD Corridor Class I Bike Path, and is currently in the design phase for the Cameron Park Drive Class I bike lanes project.

Some material will be delivered to the project site by a qualified contractor and all of the construction work will be performed by County personnel in DOT's Maintenance Division.

## **EMISSION BENEFITS/COST EFFECTIVENESS**

Estimate total lifetime NOx, ROG and PM-10 emission reductions. Use any historical data on ridership, average daily traffic, vehicle miles traveled, participation or other metric in the calculations. Calculations, assumptions and data necessary for estimates must be included in proposal and will be verified by AQMD staff. Automated Methods to Calculate Cost-Effectiveness and other cost-effectiveness analysis information is at: <u>http://www.arb.ca.gov/planning/tsaq/eval/eval.htm</u> Use March 2010 emission factors.

#### PM10 Fugitive Dust Emission Reduction/Road Dust Control

**Project definition:** The Bayne Road Paving project is a road paving project that is targeted to reduce vehicle fugitive dust (PM10) emissions.

**How emissions are reduced:** Emission reductions will result from the decrease in PM10 emissions associated with auto trips currently on the unpaved road for commute or other purposes.

Inputs	Default	Units	Comments
Funding Dollars (Funding)	\$206,999	Dollars	Requested Amount
Effectiveness Period (Life)	12	Years	Life – PM10 Fugitive Dust projects
No. of Operating Days (D)	365	Days of use/year	Bayne Road is opened year-round, 24/7
Length of Unpaved Road Segment (L)	2.54	Miles (one direction)	Unpaved segment is from Milepost 1.0 to Milepost 3.54
Average Daily Traffic (ADT1)*	151	Trips per day	At Milepost 4.68 - west end of Bayne Rd (just east of Mt. Murphy Road)
Average Daily Traffic (ADT2)*	208	Trips per day	At Milepost 0.02 (east end of Bayne Rd, (just west of State Route 193)
Annual Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)	166,414	Miles per year	Average ADT multiplied by No. of Operating Days multiplied by the length of unpaved road segment (151 +208/2) x 365 x 2.54
PM10 Emissions Reduced	82.79	Tons per year	SMAQMD Methodology (see Attachment D on page 13)
PM10 Emissions Reduced	165,580	Pounds per year	82.79 x 2000 (lbs/ton)
Total Lifetime Emissions Reduced	1,986,960	Pounds for 12 yrs	165,580 x 12
Capital Recovery Factor (CRF) for discount rate of 3%	0.10		Project Life = 12 years; Table on page 2 of ARB Methods to Find the Cost-
			Effectiveness of Funding Air Quality Projects, May 2005

#### **Inputs to Calculate Cost Effectiveness:**

#### Annualized Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Dollars

= (CRF \* Funding) / (ROG + NOx + PM10) = (0.10 \* **\$206,999**) / (165,580) = **\$ .13 dollars per lb.** (20,670 / 165,580)

\*The average ADT was collected during the one-week period of Friday, February 24 through Thursday, March 1. (See Attachment C on pages 11-12 for hourly and daily traffic counts).

The Methods to Find the Cost-Effectiveness of Funding Air Quality Projects, which is posted on the California Air Resources Board website, does not include a method or example calculations for fugitive PM10 emission reduction projects. For this project proposal, the Sacramento Metropolitan Air Quality Management District (SMAQMD) was consulted. SMAQMD staff provided the methodology to calculate the PM10 emissions reductions (see Attachments D, E and F on pages 13-30).

## WORK STATEMENT

Describe work phases, tasks and deliverables in sequence. Include all relevant information regarding materials, equipment and personnel involved with the project.

The following two phases of work will be performed: 1) Pre-Construction Prep Work, and 2) Construction. The Pre-Construction Prep Work includes tree removal, culvert improvements, base grading and construct structural section. The Construction phase involves install prime coat, sweeping, install chip seal, and final sweeping/clean-up.

List tasks within each phase of work and describe as necessary.

#### **Pre-Construction Prep Work Phase Tasks:**

- 1) **Tree Removal** Some trees will need to be removed that are encroaching on the current roadway to maintain the 20 feet roadway width to meet fire safe standards.
- Culvert Improvements A field review of drainage structures will be needed to determine if any upgrades needed to ensure proper drainage. Culverts will be replaced on an as needed basis.
- 3) **Base Grading** Entire improvement section will be rough graded to provide final alignment of roadway section and remove any obstacles.
- 4) **Construct Structural Section** Aggregate base will be added, graded and compacted to create the final structural section for construction of chip sealed roadway.

#### **Construction Phase Tasks:**

- 1) **Install Prime Coat** DOT Maintenance personnel will apply a prime coat seal of the constructed aggregate roadway to seal the subgrade for preparation of the chip seal surface.
- 2) **Sweeping** The newly installed prime coat will need to be swept of sand and any loose aggregate prior to the chip seal.
- 3) **Install Chip Seal** A chip seal surface will be installed in two layers with the first layer spread, compacted and swept with the final layer installed afterward.
- 4) **Final Sweeping/Cleanup** The day following the chip seal application is installed, a final sweeping will be performed to remove any loose gravel.

State the sequence of work activities, including a starting date, this date should not be sooner than the contract execution date between the District and Grantee, and a completion date within one year of executed contract.

	Start Date	2	Completion Date
1.	Pre-Construction Prep WorkAugust 1, 2	2012	August 15, 2012
2.	ConstructionAugust 25,	2012	August 31, 2012
3.	Project Complete or Open for UseSeptember	1, 2012	September 30, 2012

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All recipients must provide public acknowledgment that project was funded by AQMD with AB2766 Funds. Acknowledgements include placards on equipment, acknowledgment in a public education address or pamphlets, etc. Describe acknowledgement.

Acknowledgement that this project was partially funded by the El Dorado County AQMD utilizing AB2766 DMV Surcharge Funds will be included on signage placed at each end of the construction site.

## FUNDING REQUEST/BREAKDOWN OF COST

Include amount of money requested from AB2766 DMV Surcharge fund and total project cost. Estimate cost for each task. Identify source of funding for each task. Itemize any equipment to be purchased and the proportion of the cost of each piece of equipment to be paid with AB2766 DMV Surcharge funds. Grant funds may only be used to fund the portion of equipment's cost related to the provision of air quality benefit.

This proposal is requesting \$ 206,999 to fund the construction phase of the Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving project. The following table details the total estimated project costs, broken down by task and fiscal year. The second table identifies the revenue sources by fiscal year.

#### Cost Estimates by Task

Task	FY 12/13	FY 13/14	Total
Pre-Construction	\$ 125,920	\$ 0	\$ 125,920
Construction	\$ 165,306	\$ 0	\$ 165,306
<b>Total Estimated Project Costs</b>	\$ 291,226	\$ 0	\$ 291,226

#### **Revenue Source**

	FY 12/13	FY 13/14	Total
AB2766 DMV Surcharge funds requested	\$ 206,999	\$ 0	\$ 206,999
Road Fund (In-Kind Match)	\$ 84,227	\$ 0	\$ 84,227
Total Revenue	\$ 291,226	\$ 0	\$ 291,226

## **MATCHING FUNDS**

State if matching funds are monetary or in-kind (non-dollar). AQMD staff will evaluate matching funds. Ineligible funds will not be used in cost-effectiveness determination. Provide proof (letter of commitment) that matching funds are available. Matching funds must be available when the grantee enters into contract with AQMD and must be used to fund project. If matching funds become unavailable, projects will be cancelled.

The El Dorado County DOT Maintenance Crew will perform the pre-construction prep work. The cost of this work will be charged to the County's Force Account and the monetary value of this work will be used as the in-kind matching funds.

## SCHEDULE OF DELIVERABLES

List of all work products or deliverable items and their anticipated dates of delivery.

	Work Products/Deliverables	<b>Delivery Date</b>
1.	Pre-Construction Prep Work	August 15, 2012
2.	Construction	August 31, 2012
3.	Project Complete/Open for Use/Grant Closeout	September 30, 2012

## **MONITORING PROGRAM**

A monitoring program is required for all projects. Describe how project objectives will be measured and reported to the AQMD on a quarterly basis.

The El Dorado County DOT project manager for this project will provide the District, if requested and at intervals determined by the District, with project progress reports detailing the work performed during the current reporting period; work planned for the next reporting period; problems identified, solved, and/or unresolved; and the percentage of each task completed. A final written report, documenting the work performed, will be provided to the District prior to the end of the Agreement term.



RESOLUTION NO. 273-2008

## OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF EL DORADO

#### RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE DIRECTOR OF TRANSPORTATION TO SUBMIT GRANT APPLICATIONS AND EXECUTE GRANT AGREEMENTS FOR VARIOUS FUNDING PROGRAMS

WHEREAS, the County of El Dorado Transportation Department intends to pursue various local, State and Federal funding opportunities to augment the Transportation Department's project delivery, maintenance and operations programs; and

WHEREAS, the County of El Dorado Transportation Department intends to periodically submit grant applications for various local, State and Federal transportation funding programs, and other qualified grant funding opportunities that become available; and if awarded, will enter into agreements with various Grantors for implementation of said grants; and

WHEREAS, the County of El Dorado Transportation Department has the non-General Fund discretionary budget available for matching funds that may be required for the various grant programs;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of the County of El Dorado hereby:

- 1. Authorizes the County of El Dorado Transportation Department to submit grant applications for various local, State and Federal transportation funding programs, and other grant funding opportunities that become available;
- 2. Authorizes the Director of Transportation, or the Chief Administrative Officer, to sign in the name of the County of El Dorado all necessary documents required to submit grant applications;
- 3. Authorizes the Director of Transportation to execute grant agreements subject to County Counsel and Board of Supervisors review and approval;
- 4. Authorizes this resolution to apply retroactively to the El Dorado County AQMD July 2008 award of AB2766 DMV Surcharge Funds for the vehicle replace purchase of a waterless/dustless broom street sweeper and ratifies the Director of Transportation's signature on those grant documents.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of El Dorado at a regular meeting of said Board held on the \_\_\_\_\_7 \_\_\_\_day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2008, by the following vote of said Board:

Noes:

ATTEST: Cindy Keck Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Absent: none. By: Chairman, Board of Supervisors

none

Ayes: Baumann, Santiago, Dupray, Sweeney, Briggs

Rusty Dupray

I CERTIFY THAT: THE FOREGOING INSTRUMENT IS A CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN THIS OFFICE.

DATE: <u>/0/7/0</u>	8	
ATTEST: CINDY KE	K, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of El Dorado, State of Calif	omia.
By Mathrip	Jules	
Deputy Clerk		

EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal, 03/23/12 Page 8 County of El Dorado DOT, Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project 11-1429 3E 9 of 42

#### **BUDGET ITEMIZATION**

ATTACHMENT A

Line Item	Title/Classification	No. of	Base Salary	Benefit	Overhead	Total Rate	<b>Total Costs</b>
Dancannal	Pro Construction Prov Work	Hours	Rate Per Hr	Per Hr	Per Hr	Per Hr	
rersonner	Lighway Superintendent	20	\$40.09	¢12.20	\$20 CT	\$121.02	\$2 420 60
	Highway Maintenance Supervisor (1)	20	\$40.08	\$42.28	\$38.07 \$26.72	\$121.03	\$2,420.60
2	Sr. Highway Maintenance Supervisor (1)	80	\$30.01	\$20.32	\$20.73 \$21.75	\$63.00 \$69.09	\$0,092.80
3	Highway Maintenance Worker IV (1)	00	\$23.32	\$20.01	\$21.73	\$08.08	\$5,440.40
4	Highway Maintenance Worker IV (1)	160	\$24.37	\$24.15	\$22.78	\$71.30	\$5,704.00
5	Highway Maintenance Worker III (2)	240	\$23.18 \$20.01	\$21.01	\$20.75	\$04.94	\$10,390.40
0	Subtotal	240	\$20.91	\$10.74	\$17.08	\$33.33	\$13,279.20
-	Construction				-		\$10,200110
7	Highway Superintendent	20	\$40.08	\$42.28	\$38.67	\$121.03	\$2,420.60
8	Highway Maintenance Supervisor (1)	32	\$30.61	\$26.32	\$26.73	\$83.66	\$2,677.12
9	Sr. Highway Maintenance Worker (1)	32	\$25.52	\$20.81	\$21.75	\$68.08	\$2,178.56
10	Highway Maintenance Worker IV (2)	64	\$24.37	\$24.15	\$22.78	\$71.30	\$4,563,20
11	Highway Maintenance Worker III (2)	64	\$23.18	\$21.01	\$20.75	\$64.94	\$4 156 16
12	Highway Maintenance Worker II (3)	96	\$20.91	\$16.74	\$17.68	\$55.33	\$5 311 68
	Subtotal	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	\$20.91	φ10.7 Ι	φ17.00	\$55.55	\$21,307,32
	Total Personnel						\$65,240,72
	Contracts (removal, transportation,						\$00,210172
	disposal)						
13	Transportation – trucking to haul aggregate	200				\$84.93	\$16,986,00
	base from supplier to project site:					\$5.150	\$10,200,000
	Materials & Supplies	Tons					
	Pre- Construction						
14	Class II 3 /4 A/B	6000				\$10.50	\$63,000.00
	Construction					nde son a tareba est	
15	Chip Seal Aggregate	853				\$19.60	\$16,718.80
16	Chip Seal Emulsion CQS Prime Coat	33.75				\$800.00	\$27,000.00
17	CRH-ZH Chip Seal	67.50				\$800.00	\$54,000.00
	Total Materials & Supplies					Total	\$160,718.80
	Equipment Rental						
	Specialized equipment not owned by DOT						
	Maintenance needed for pre-construction						
	activities						
18	Compactor $-2$ each ( $\hat{a}$ , \$1,000 / week for 2	2				\$1,000.00	\$2,000.00
	weeks						
	Construction Equipment						
19	2 Graders	80				\$46.00	\$3,680.00
20	1 Loader	40				\$36.00	\$1,440.00
21	2 Water Trucks	80				\$40.00	\$3,200.00
22	1 Backhoe	40				\$19.00	\$760.00
23	4 Dump Trucks	960				\$35.00	\$33,600.00
24	1 Chip Spreader	16				\$86.00	\$1,376.00
25	2 Rollers	16				\$54.00	\$864.00
26	2 Brooms	16				\$37.00	\$592.00
27	4 Pickup Trucks	96				\$8.00	\$768.00
	Total Construction Equipment					approving on AAA SHOT	\$46,280.00

PROJECT GRAND T	OTAL:	\$291,226
In-Kind Local Match (Line Items 1-13, 18)	29%	\$84,227
Funding Request Amount	71%	\$206,999

#### **BUDGET ITEMIZATION (Revised 4-23-12)**

ATTACHMENT A

Line Item	Title/Classification	No. of Hours	Base Salary Rate Per Hr	Benefit Per Hr	Total Rate Per Hr	Total Costs	As Submitted	Dif	fference
Personnel	Pre-Construction Pren Work	nours		1 CI III					
1	Highway Superintendent	20	\$40.08	\$42.28	\$82.36	\$1 647 20			
2	Highway Maintenance Supervisor (1)	80	\$30.61	\$26.32	\$56.93	\$4 554 40			
3	Sr. Highway Maintenance Worker (1)	80	\$25.52	\$20.81	\$46.33	\$3,706.40			
4	Highway Maintenance Worker IV (1)	80	\$24.37	\$24.15	\$48.52	\$3,881,60			
5	Highway Maintenance Worker III (2)	160	\$23.18	\$21.01	\$44.19	\$7 070 40			
6	Highway Maintenance Worker II (3)	240	\$20.91	\$16.74	\$37.65	\$9.036.00			
	Subtotal					\$29,896.00	\$ 43,933	\$	(14.037)
	Construction								
7	Highway Superintendent	20	\$40.08	\$42.28	\$82.36	\$1,647.20			
8	Highway Maintenance Supervisor (1)	32	\$30.61	\$26.32	\$56.93	\$1,821.76			
9	Sr. Highway Maintenance Worker (1)	32	\$25,52	\$20.81	\$46.33	\$1,482.56			
10	Highway Maintenance Worker IV (2)	64	\$24.37	\$24.15	\$48.52	\$3,105.28			
11	Highway Maintenance Worker III (2)	64	\$23.18	\$21.01	\$44.19	\$2,828,16			
12	Highway Maintenance Worker II (3)	96	\$20.91	\$16.74	\$37.65	\$3,614,40			
	Subtotal			and the second second		\$14,499.36	\$ 21,307	\$	(6,808)
	Total Personnel					\$44,395.36	\$ 65,241	\$	(20,845)
	Contracts (removal, transportation, disposal)								
13	Transportation - trucking to haul aggregate	200			\$84.93	\$16,986.00			
	base from supplier to project site:						\$ 16,986	\$	-
	Materials & Supplies	Tons					<i></i>		
	Pre- Construction								
14	Class 11 3 /4 A/B	6000			\$10.50	\$63,000.00			
	Construction								
15	Chip Seal Aggregate	853			\$19.60	\$16,718.80			
16	Chip Seal Emulsion CQS Prime Coat	33.75			\$800.00	\$27,000.00			1
17	CRH-ZH Chip Seal	67.50			\$800.00	\$54,000.00			
V	Total Materials & Supplies				Total	\$160,718.80	\$ 160,719	\$	-
	Equipment Rental								
	Specialized equipment not owned by DOT								
	Maintenance needed for pre-construction	Sec. 1							
	activities								
18	Compactor - 2 each @ \$1,000 / week for 2	2			\$1,000.00	\$2,000.00			
	weeks						\$ 2,000	\$	-
	Construction Equipment								
19	2 Graders	80			\$46.00	\$3,680.00			
20	1 Loader	40			\$36.00	\$1,440.00	1		
21	2 Water Trucks	80			\$40.00	\$3,200.00			
22	1 Backhoe	40			\$19.00	\$760.00			
23	4 Dump Trucks	960			\$35.00	\$33,600.00			
24	1 Chip Spreader	16			\$86.00	\$1,376.00			
25	2 Rollers	16			\$54.00	\$864.00			
26	2 Brooms	16			\$37.00	\$592.00			
27	4 Pickup Trucks	96			\$8.00	\$768.00			
	Total Construction Equipment					\$46,280.00	\$ 46,280	\$	-
			PROJE	CT GRAN	ND TOTAL:	\$270,380	\$ 291,226	\$	(20,845)
nd Local Match (Line Items 1-13, 18) 23%							\$ 84,227	\$	(20, 846)

Funding Request Amount

EDC AQMD FY12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal, 03/23/12 County of El Dorado DOT, Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project 206,999 \$

0

\$206,999 \$

77%

#### BAYNE ROAD CIP SEAL PAVING PROJECT LOCATION MAP

**ATTACHMENT B** 



EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emissions Reduction Project Proposal, County of El Dorado DOT, 03/23/12

Page 10

#### ATTACHMENT C-1

. ;

	ח	E				Υ ΡΤΛΤΙ(	אר			
		ount Sun	nmary Be	ginning:		February	24, 2012	2		
Count Statio City/Town: Road Name: Lanes:	<b>n:</b>	Special Bayne Roa 2	ial ( P ne Road I I		Counter ID Mile Post: Location: Direction:	Counter ID: Mile Post: Location: Direction:		58 0.02 East end of Bayne Road Combined		
Date Day	26 Sun	27 Mon	28 Tue	29 Wed	1 Thu	24 Fri	_25 Sat	Weekly Average	Wk Day Avg.	
100	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	1	1	
200	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	· 1	1	
300	0	3	4	3	3	2	3	. 3	3	
400	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	<u> </u>	
500	0	1 	1	1			1	i o	1	
700	0	<u>0</u>	11			3	2			
800	4	23	21	, Q	20	31		16	21	
900	7	8	9	13		14	4	.0	11	
1000	12	11		6		9	19	11	9	
1100	8	10	11	10	9	19	15	12	12	
1200	8	10	10	7	9	11	12	10	. 9	
1300	16	13	9	10	11	20	16	14	, 13	
1400	10	12	10	8	8	12	15	11	10	
1500	10	19	21	13	12	22	14	16	17	
1600	14	25	25	13	17	27	17	20	21	
1700	16	12	24	15	15	17	16	. 16	17	
1800	16	15	18	16	14	16	7	15	16	
1900	8	16	9	10	11	19	17	13	13	
2000	<u></u> 3	4	4	10	6	14	<u> </u>	0	8	
2100	/	/	2	<del>ر</del> ۱	4	10	0 10	5	5	
2200	0			1	2	9 5	1	2		
2000	1		2	'		1	<u>'</u> 1	1	· 1	
otals	154	208	207	165	184	274	185	197	208	
M Peak Hr	10:00	8:00	8:00	9:00	8:00	8:00	10:00	8:00	8:00	
M Count	12	23	21	13	20	31	19	16	21	
M Peak Hr	1:00	4:00	4:00	6:00	4:00	4:00	4:00	4:00	4:00	
PM Count	16	25	25	16	17	27	17	20	21	

## TOTAL ADT:

208

EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal, 03/23/12 Page 11 County of El Dorado DOT, Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project

#### ATTACHMENT C-2

.

		E	EL DOR	ADO C	OUNT	Y			
	DE	EPART	MENT	OF TR/	ANSPO	RTATI	ON		
	С	ount Sun	nmary Be	ginning:		February	/ 24, 2012	2	
Count Statio City/Town:	Count Station: Special City/Town:				Counter ID Mile Post:	:	60 <b>4.68</b>		
Road Name Lanes:	:	Bayne Road 2			Location: Direction:		West End Combined	of Bayne Roa	d
Date	26	27	28	29	1	24	25	Weekly	Wk Day
Day Time	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Average	Avg.
100	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
300	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
400	0	<u> </u>		0	0	0	0	0	0
500	0	1	1	0	1	1	0		
700		10	6	6	7	12	2	6	8
800	1	12	8	8	8	8	7	7	9
900	8	9	13	4	8	9	10	9	9
1000	20	8	5	12	8	10	13	11	. 9
1100	21	10	12	7	8	11	18	12	10
1200	11	9	15	7	13	4	12	10	10
1300	21	10	16	16	8	8	9	13	12
1400	12	6	12	13	6	12	10	10	10
1500	12	9	11	12	9	7	14	. 11	10
1600	7	12	15	16	16	26	12	15	17
1700	6	8	8	7	15	15	13	. 10	11
1800	10	18	16	12	9	18	9	13	15
1900	5	7	8	8	6 E	13	10	9	9
2000	5	2	<u>ు</u>	0 6	<u>э</u> Л	0	<u>م</u>	3	0
2200		2	4	4			0		3
2300	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
2400	2	1	0	0	1	3	1	1	1
Totals	149	146	156	146	134	171	148	150	151
AM Peak Hr	11:00	8:00	12:00	10:00	12:00	7:00	11:00	11:00	11:00
AM Count	21	12	15	12	13	12	18	12	10
PM Peak Hr	1:00	6:00	1:00	1:00	4:00	4:00	3:00	4:00	4:00
PM Count	21	18	16	16	16	26	14	15	17

## TOTAL ADT:

151

EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal, 03/23/12 Page 12 County of El Dorado DOT, Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project Emission Calculations for El Dorado County DOT for Paving Roads to Reduce PM10 Dust

prepared by SMAQMD LUTRAN staff

3/2/2012

ARB Miscellaneous Processes Methodologies

Unpaved Road Dust & Traffic Area Dust - section 7.10 - Unpaved Road Dust (Non-Farm Roads) http://www.arb.ca.gov/ei/areasrc/fullpdf/full7-10prev.pdf

Unpaved Road Dust

**Bayne Road** 

2.54 Miles of Road (from project)

2.27 Emission Factor (lbs PM10/mile)

- 166,414 estimated annual VMT from EDC DOT
- 188.88 tons PM10/year
  - dry season is May-Oct, 6 months or 50% of the year where there is no dust control from
  - 0.50 moisture or rain

94.44 tons PM10/year, taking into account the rainy season

#### ARB Miscellaneous Processes Methodologies

Unpaved Road Dust & Traffic Area Dust - section 7.9 - Entrained Paved Road Dust, Paved Road Travel http://www.arb.ca.gov/ei/areasrc/fullpdf/full7-9.pdf

 $E = k(sL/2)^{0.65}(w/3)^{1.5}$ 

0.016 k-PM10 fraction multiplier from AP-42

0.02 sL-roadway silt loading fraction grams/cubic meter from CARB

2.4 w-average vehicle weight traveling roads from CARB

0.1376256 lbs PM10/VMT

Use the calculated entrained paved road dust emission factor and the same VMT/year from the above projects

#### Entrained Paved Road Dust

#### Bayne Road

166,414 VMT/year (Road miles x passes/day x days/year)

0.14 Emission Factor (lbs PM10/VMT)

11.65 Emissions (tons PM10/year)

#### Emissions reduced from paving roads in El Dorado County

(subtract the emissions from paved roads from unpaved roads)

Bayne Road

82.79 Emissions reduced (tons PM10/year)

#### ALL PROJECT TYPES (GENERIC FORM)

Subcategory: (9a) Road Dust Control (paving roads, shoulders, street sweeping)

Air District Name: El Dorado County APCD

Local Government Name: Not Applicable

#### Project Name: Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project

**Description:** Chip Seal over aggregate base on Bayne Rd for 2.54 miles from Milepost 1.0 to MP 3.54 (Issues/Comments)

Implementing El Dorado County DOT Private Agency: No Agency:

#### FUNDING:

MVFees Funding:	\$206	5,999	
MSRC Funding:		\$0	
Moyer Funding:		\$0	
CMAQ Funding:		\$0	
Other CoFunding	<b>\$8</b> 4	,227	
Project Analysis Peri	od:	12	years
<b>Capital Recovery Fac</b>	ctor:	0.10	

#### **EMISSION REDUCTIONS:**

Pou	nds per Year	Tons per Year
ROG:	0	0.00
NOx:	0	0.00
PM10:	165,580	82.79
Total:	165,580	82.79

#### COST-EFFECTIVENESS OF:

Motor Vehicle Fees and/or Moyer Funds:	\$0.13	per pound	\$251	per ton	
CMAQ Funds:	\$0	per pound	\$0	per ton	
All Funding Sources:	\$0	per pound	\$353	per ton	

Source: California Air Resources Board, Automated Methods to Find the Cost Effectiveness of Funding Air Quality Projects, Generic Methods Program

1

#### SECTION 7.10

#### UNPAVED ROAD DUST (NON-FARM ROADS)

(Updated August 1997)

EMISSION INVENTORY SOURCE CATEGORY Miscellaneous Processes / Road Dust

EMISSION INVENTORY CODES (CES CODES) AND DESCRIPTION 645-638-5400-0000 (47399) Unpaved Road Travel Dust- City & County Roads

645-640-5400-0000 (47407) Unpaved Road Travel Dust- U.S. Forests / Park Rd

645-644-5400-0000 (47423) Unpaved Road Travel Dust- BLM & BIA Roads

#### METHODS AND SOURCES

This source category provides estimates of the entrained geologic particulate matter emissions that result from vehicular travel over non-agricultural unpaved roads. The emissions are estimated separately for three major unpaved road categories: city and county roads, U.S. forests and park roads, and Bureau of Land Management (BLM) and Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) roads. The emissions result from the mechanical disturbance of the roadway and the vehicle generated air turbulence effects. Particulate matter estimates for unpaved roads are summarized in Table 1.

#### **OVERVIEW OF ESTIMATION METHODOLOGY**

Dust emissions from unpaved road dust are computed by using an emission factor computed by averaging unpaved road dust emission measurements performed by the University of California, Davis (UCD), and the Desert Research Institute (DRI). Unpaved road vehicle miles traveled (VMT) are based on Caltrans estimates of the unpaved road miles in each county, and the assumption that each mile of unpaved road receives ten vehicle passes each day.

#### **EMISSIONS ESTIMATION METHODOLOGY**

**Emission Factor.** The emission factor used for our estimates of geologic dust emissions from vehicular travel on unpaved roads is based on work performed by UC Davis,<sup>1</sup> and the Desert Research Institute.<sup>2</sup> The emission factor used for all unpaved roads statewide is 2.27 lbs  $PM_{10}/VMT$ . The derivation of this emission factor is provided in the ARB's unpaved road dust

#### 7.10-1

EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal, 03/23/12 Page 15 County of El Dorado DOT, Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project

11-1429 3E 17 of 42

background document.<sup>3</sup> In summary, the emission factor is the average of 22 unpaved road dust emissions tests performed in the San Joaquin Valley for light-duty truck traffic. Because the emission measurements were performed in California, this emission factor was used to replace the previous generic emission factor provided in U.S. EPA's AP-42 document.<sup>4</sup> The new emission factor is slightly smaller than the factors derived with the AP-42 methodology.

This methodology directly computes PM<sub>10</sub> emissions. The TSP emissions are PM<sub>10</sub> x 1.64.<sup>5</sup>

Activity Data. For the purpose of estimating emissions, is assumed that the unpaved road dust emissions are primarily related to the vehicle miles traveled (VMT) on the roads. Using data derived from the Caltrans, "Assembly of Statistical Reports" documents,<sup>6</sup> it was possible to estimate the unpaved road miles for each roadway category in each county to reflect 1993 mileage. The unpaved road dust background document provides the raw data for each road type; it also describes how the data were processed to consolidate it into the three road categories to estimate the unpaved road mileage for each county.

From the previous unpaved road dust methodology, it is then assumed that 10 daily VMT (DVMT) are traveled on unpaved city and county roads. This is based on 1976 and 1979 ARB staff surveys of several county traffic engineers. For U.S. forest and parks roads, it is assumed that 10 DVMT are also traveled per mile of road. This is based on a discussion with a member of the United States Forest Service.<sup>7</sup> Because of the potential similarity in the types of traffic, it is also assumed that the BLM and BIA also receive 10 DVMT.

Table 1 summarizes the VMT activity data for each road grouping and county. Road mileage, if needed, can be simply computed by dividing the annual VMT values by 3650 (which is 10 DVMT x 365 days).

#### **TEMPORAL ACTIVITY AND GROWTH**

Daily activity on unpaved roads occurs primarily during daylight hours. Activity is assumed to be the same each day of the week. Monthly activity varies by county and is based on estimates of monthly rainfall in each county. This is to reflect that during wet months there is less unpaved road traffic, and there are also lower emissions per mile of road when the road soils have a higher moisture content. Table 2 shows the temporal profile for each county in California.

Unpaved road growth is tied to on-road VMT growth for many counties. For other counties, growth is set to zero and VMT is not used.

#### **ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS**

1. This methodology assumes that all unpaved roads in California emit the same levels of

#### 7.10-2

EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal, 03/23/12 Page 16 County of El Dorado DOT, Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project

11-1429 3E 18 of 42

 $PM_{10}$  per VMT during all times of the year for all vehicles and conditions.

- 2. It is assumed that all unpaved roads in California receive 10 VMT per day.
- 3. This methodology assumes that no controls are used on the roads included in this inventory.
- 4. It is assumed that the emission factors derived in the San Joaquin Valley are applicable to the rest of the State.
- 5. This inventory does not include private unpaved roads. Agricultural unpaved road estimates are computed in a separate methodology.

#### CHANGES IN THE METHODOLOGY

There were three major methodology changes for this update. First, a new emission factor based on California unpaved road emissions tests was used. This emission factor was slightly less than previous emission factors. Second, the unpaved road mileage was updated to reflect 1993 estimates. The combination of these two changes reduced the estimates of  $PM_{10}$  from unpaved roads by about 35% statewide. And finally, the monthly temporal profile was updated using regional rainfall to reflect the seasonal variations in unpaved road usage and emissiveness. The temporal profile is used to apportion the emissions to each month. It is not used to adjust the overall annual emissions.

#### COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Virtually everything in this category could use improvement. Although expensive to develop, more region and season specific emission factors would help to improve the accuracy of the unpaved road dust particulate matter inventory. The VMT activity data are very simplistic, and probably do not well represent actual unpaved road travel conditions. The ARB has initiated a contract with UC Davis to develop better estimates of unpaved road travel in California.

Caltrans also no longer estimates actual unpaved road mileage, so determining the mileage requires scaling mileage from past years. It is likely that, at least for limited regions, better unpaved road mileage estimates can be determined by using updated geographic information systems (GIS) based road coverage maps. Also, inclusion of private roads, if they are determined to be significant, could be used to improve the emission estimates.

11-1429 3E 19 of 42

#### SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

The instructions and associated table below provide an example of unpaved road dust emissions for Humboldt county.

- Step 1: Road Miles. From Table 1, input the miles of unpaved road for each category.
- Step 2: Passes per Day. Input the estimated vehicle passes per day for each road type. The current California default is 10.
- Step 3: Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT). Compute the annual vehicle miles traveled for each road type. This is: *Road Miles x Passes/Day x Days/Year* (i.e., *Step 1 x Step 2 x 365*), which, using the ARB default values is *Road Miles x 3650*.
- Step 4: Emission Factor. Input the roadway emission factor. The default ARB emission factor for unpaved roads is 2.27 lbs  $PM_{10}/VMT$ .
- Step 5: Compute Emissions. Multiply the vehicle miles traveled estimate (Step 3) by the emission factor (Step 4), and divide by 2000 lbs/ton to compute the annual road specific  $PM_{10}$  emissions. (*VMT x Emission Factor*)/2000 = Annual Emissions.
- Step 6: Total Emissions. Sum emissions for the unpaved roads to compute the total unpaved road emissions.

			Road Type		
		City & County	U.S. Forest & Parks	BLM & BIA	Total
Step 1	Miles of Road	372	233	292	897
Step 2	Passes/Day	10	10	10	10
Step 3	VMT/year	1,357,800	850,450	1,065,900	3,274,050
Step 4	Emission Factor (lbs PM10/mile)	2.27	2.27	2.27	
Step 5	Emissions (tons PM10/year)	1541	9665	1210	3717

#### Estimating Unpaved Road Dust PM<sub>10</sub> Emissions in Humboldt County

EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal, 03/23/12 Page 18 County of El Dorado DOT, Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project

7.10-4

#### REFERENCES

- Flocchini, Robert; et al. <u>Evaluation of the Emission of PM<sub>10</sub> Particulates from Unpaved</u> <u>Roads in the San Joaquin Valley, Final Report</u>. University of California, Davis. Air Quality Group, Crocker Nuclear Laboratory. San Joaquin Valley Grant File #20960. April 1994.
- John Gillies; et al. <u>Effectiveness Demonstration of Fugitive Dust Control Methods for</u> <u>Public Unpaved Roads and Unpaved Shoulders on Paved Roads. Final Report</u>. Desert Research Institute. DRI Document No. 68505200.1F1, for the California Regional Particulate Air Quality Study. December 1996.
- 3. Gaffney, Patrick. <u>Entrained Dust from Unpaved Road Travel, Emission Estimation</u> <u>Methodology, Background Document</u>. California Air Resources Board. September 1997.
- 4. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. <u>Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors</u>, AP-42, Section 11.2.1, Fifth Edition. January 1995.
- Houck, J.E., Chow, J.C., Watson, J.G., et al. <u>Determination of Particle Size Distribution</u> and <u>Chemical Composition of Particulate Matter from Selected Sources in California. Final</u> <u>Report</u>. Desert Research Institute & OMNI Environmental. Prepared for California Air Resources Board. Agreement No. A6-175-32. June 30, 1989.
- 6. California Department of Transportation. <u>Assembly of Statistical Reports, 1992</u>, and <u>Assembly of Statistical Reports, 1993</u>. California Public Road Data Including Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) Data. February 1994 and January 1995.

#### **UPDATED BY**

Patrick Gaffney August 1997

11-1429 3E 21 of 42

7.10-5

#### ARB Inventory PM<sub>10</sub> Emissions 1993 PM Totals (non-Aq) Roads) Unpaved Road Miles (tons/year) AIR COUNTY City/ USFS/ BLM/ USFS/ City/ BLM/ PM, BASIN COUNTY ID# County BIA Parks County BIA Parks Miles (tons/vear) **GB**V ALPINE 62.0 115.4 0.6 2 52.8 218.6 2.6256.8 478.1 14 1600.0 6628.4 INYO 382.4 854.2 363.4 1584.4 <u>3538.7</u> 1505.4 7029.0 MONO 26 225.7 1015.6 455.4 934.9 4207.4 1886.7 1696:7 468.3 1940.0 LC 17 201.2 141.3 833.3 521.3 585.5 LAKE 125.8 LT EL DORADO 9 23.1 1.2 46.9 95.8 5.0 194.3 71.2 295.1 PLACER 31 22.0 1.8 16.3 91.3 67.6 40.1 166.2 7.3 MC 162.0 AMADOR 4.3 92.5 671.3 17.9 383.3 258.9 1072.6 3 CALAVERAS 5 250.7 31.4 160.6 1038.5 130.0 665.5 442:7 1834.0 EL DORADO 9 169.6 8.8 <u>343.9</u> 702.7 36.6 1424.7 522.4 2164.0 MARIPOSA 22 195.9 63.2 221.5 811.4 261.7 917.6 480.5 1990.6 NEVADA 29 250.6 27.1 310.1 1038.1 112.1 1284.5 587.7 2434.7 PLACER 31 134.4 10.7 99.5 556.8 44.5 412.3 244.7 1013.8 PLUMAS 32 187.0 0.4 777.8 774.5 1.5 3222.1 965.1 3998.2 4.0 993.5 16.6 2175.3 768.9 3185.4 SIERRA 46 239.8 525.1 204.4 TUOLUMNE 55 127.5 4.9 528.1 20.4 846.7 336.8 1395.3 NC 351.0 896.4 301.9 1250.7 DEL NORTE 8 84.7 0.8 216.4 3.4 1209.7 897.3 3717.3 HUMBOLDT 12 372.0 <u>233.3</u> 292.0 <u>1541.1</u> 966.5 MENDOCINO 23 273.5 120.9 580.5 1132.9 500.9 2404.9 974.9 4038.8 30.3 44.0 182.2 SONOMA 49 36.1 0.6 7.3 149.4 2.5 TRINITY 53 189.2 152.0 839.5 629.6 3477.8 1180.7 4891.3 784.0 NCC MONTEREY 27 0.0 50.3 1071.8 0.0 208.3 309.0 1280.1 258.7 999.6 413.7 1713.9 599.9 362.3 1500.9 320.5 SAN BENITO 35 95.0 77.4 241.3 393.7 217.5 SANTA CRUZ 44 0.0 144.8 901.1 0.0 NEP 1770.4 1368.8 5670.6 LASSEN 18 343.0 598.4 427.4 1421.0 2479.2 2523.7 1107 7 4588.9 MODOC 25 314.4 184.1 609.2 1302.4 762.8 823.6 2956.8 953.8 3951.4 SISKIYOU 47 198.8 41.3 713.7 170.9 727.6 716.4 2968.1 SC LOS ANGELES 2240.5 19 540.8 0.0 175.6 0.0 1.7 7.2 24.3 100.7 30 22.6 0.0 93.5 0.0 ORANGE 152.4 231.3 958.2 RIVERSIDE 33 181.8 12.7 36.8 753.2 52.6 SAN BERNARDINO 78.2 252.5 75.5 157.4 652.1 36 60.9 18.2 324.1 SCC 439.3 647.0 2680.4 SAN LUIS OBISPO 40 303.4 237.6 106.1 1256.9 984.1 282.9 154.2 638.8 42 SANTA BARBARA 85.4 0.5 68.3 353.8 2.1 497.1 216.7 VENTURA 56 67.7 0.0 52.3 280.4 0.0 \$D SAN DIEGO 37 554.3 112.4 662.3 2296.2 465.5 2743.6 1328.9 5505.3 107.2 13337 5525.2 **\$ED** 1194.3 113.5 25.9 470.3 IMPERIAL 13 4947.7 KERN 15 225.9 202.1 25.8 107.0 453.8 1879.9 935.8 837.1 342.4 LOS ANGELES 19 0.0 1054.3 337.2 1396.7 254.5 82.6 0.0 433.7 658.3 2727.2 149.7 RIVERSIDE 33 517.5 36.1 104.7 <u>2143.7</u> 1182.7 2466.1 10216.4 SAN BERNARDINO 36 954.8 1225.8 285.5 3955.6 5078.1 SF 43.3 ALAMEDA 43.2 0.0 0.1 178.8 0.0 0.6 1 65.9 273.0 CONTRA COSTA 7 53.5 0.0 12.4 221.8 0.0 51.2 MARIN 21 49.4 0.0 204.8 0.0 162.3 88.6 367.0 39.2 24.9 103.2 28 0.0 NAPA 23.7 1.2 98.2 0.0 5.0 38 0.2 0.8 SAN FRANCISCO 0.2 0.0 0.0 0.8 0.0 0.1 SAN MATEO 41 102.7 0.0 20.0 425.3 0.0 83.1 122.7 508.3 SANTA CLARA 482.1 1997.2 43 0.0 329.3 152.8 1364.3 0.0 632.9 <u>28.</u>8T 28.5 23.1 119.2 SOLANO 48 0.0 0.3 118.1 0.0 1.1 28.1 116.5 SONOMA 49 0.4 4.7 95.5 1.6 19.3 1742.2 7217.5 SJV FRESNO 10 1079.3 153.4 509.5 4471.2 635.6 2110.7 KERN 429.4 1778.9 227.4 964.3 3994.9 15 480.0 54.9 1988.6 16 76.6 317.3 KINGS 76.3 0.3 0.0 316.1 1.2 0.0 291,3 1206.8 MADERA 20 199.8 0.0 91.5 0.0 379.0 827.8 608.6 2521.3 MERCED 24 572.8 0.0 35.8 2372.9 0.0 148.4 SAN JOAQUIN 398.3 1650.1 39 384.1 0.0 14.21591.4 0.0 58.6 STANISLAUS 50 59.6 0.0 0.5 247.0 0.0 2.0 60.1 249.0 TULARE 54 272.2 45.0 74.3 1127.7 186.5 307.7 391.5 1621.9 568.8 2356.4 SV BUTTE 4 380.0 21.5 167.4 1574.2 88.9 693.3 COLUSA 258.0 24.0 319.6 1324.0 6 37.5 1069.0 99.6 155.4 GLENN 11 175.6 0.1 39.4 727.7 0.5 163.3 215.2 891.5 PLACER 31 63.9 5.1 47.3 21.2 116.3 481.9 264.7 196.0 0.0 SACRAMENTO 552.5 0.0 556.7 2306.3 34 4.2 2289.0 17.2 2732.5 1140.0 4722.7 382.6 SHASTA 45 97.8 659.6 1585.0 405.2 4.5 115.1 476.9 SOLANO 48 114.0 0.0 1.1 472.4 0.0 **SUTTER** 51 144.8 0.0 0.0 599.9 0.0 0.0 144.8 599.9 276.6 1298.1 TEHAMA 52 313.3 10.2 42.2 1145.8 600.1 2486.1 137.1 568.0 YOLO 57 137.0 0.1 0.0 567.6 0.4 0.0 YUBA 213:0 882.4 58 157.2 2.8 53.0 651.2 11.8 219.5 TOTALS 16428 6372 11886 68058 26397 49241 34686 143697

#### Table 1. 1993 Unpaved Road Dust Emissions.

\*Road miles are shown. To compute annual VMT, multiply miles by 10 passes/day times 365 days per year (VMT = miles x 3650).

PM Fraction:  $PM_{10} = TSP \times 0.61$  (TSP Emissions =  $PM_{10}/0.61$ ) EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal, 03/23/12 Page 20 County of El Dorado DOT, Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project

 Table 2

 Seasonal Profile for Unpaved Road Dust Emissions

Basin	Co #	County	JAN	FFB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
GBV	2		0.009	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.090	0 170	0 170	0 170	0 170	0.050	0.032	0.041
	14		0.000	0.022	0.023	0.004	0.000	0 170	0 170	0 170	0 170	0.050	0.032	0.041
	26	NONO	0.003	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.000	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.050	0.002	0.041
	17		0.000	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.000	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.050	0.002	0.041
L <del>i i</del>	0		0.000	0.022	0.020	0.054	0.000	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.050	0.002	0.041
1 "	21		0.009	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.030	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.050	0.032	0.041
	31		0.009	0.022	0.023	0.004	0.090	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.000	0.032	0.041
MC	<u> </u>		0.009	0.022	0.023	0.004	0.090	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.000	0.032	0.041
	2		0.009	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.090	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.000	0.032	0.041
			0.009	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.090	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.000	0.032	0.041
	- 22	MARIPOSA	0.009	0.022	0.023	0.004	0.090	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.050	0.032	0.041
	29		0.009	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.090	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.050	0.032	0.041
	31	PLACER	0.009	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.090	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.050	0.032	0.041
	32	PLUMAS	0.009	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.090	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.050	0.032	0.041
	40	SIERRA	0.009	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.090	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.000	0.032	0.041
	55		0.009	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.090	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.050	0.032	0.041
NG	8	DEL NORTE	0.021	0.029	0.032	0.074	0.087	0.151	0.166	0.178	0.080	0.100	0.050	0.025
	12	HUMBOLDI	0.021	0.029	0.032	0.074	0.087	0.151	0.100	0.178	0.080	0.106	0.050	0.025
	23	MENDOCINO	0.021	0.029	0.032	0.074	0.087	0.151	0.166	0.178	0.080	0.106	0.050	0.025
	49	SONOMA	0.021	0.029	0.032	0.074	0.087	0.151	0.166	0.178	0.080	0.106	0.050	0.025
	53		0.021	0.029	0.032	0.074	0.087	0.151	0.166	0.178	0.080	0.106	0.050	0.025
NCC	2/	MONTEREY	0.021	0.029	0.032	0.074	0.087	0.151	0.166	0.178	0.080	0.106	0.050	0.025
	35	SAN BENHU	0.009	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.090	0.170	0.1/0	0.170	0.170	0.050	0.032	0.041
	44	SANTA CRUZ	0.014	0.017	0.025	0.046	0.059	0.169	0.228	0.224	0.046	0.115	0.044	0.013
NEP	18	LASSEN	0.009	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.090	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.050	0.032	0.041
	25	MODOC	0.009	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.090	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.050	0.032	0.041
	4/	SISKIYOU	0.024	0.022	0.023	0.084	0.093	0.151	0.151	0.151	0.151	0.082	0.040	0.031
SC	19	LOS ANGELES	0.010	0.012	0.015	0.100	0.120	0.081	0.135	0.135	0.135	0.134	0.100	0.024
	30	ORANGE	0.010	0.012	0.015	0.100	0.120	0.081	0.135	0.135	0.135	0.134	0.100	0.024
	33	RIVERSIDE	0.052	0.088	0.084	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.074
800	30	SAN BERNARDINO	0.002	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.074
SUC	40	SAN LUIS UDISPU	0.020	0.023	0.022	0.071	0.009	0.120	0.109	0.139	0.132	0.104	0.070	0.030
	<u>42</u> 56		0.010	0.020	0.020	0.007	0.000	0.127	0.137	0.120	0.145	0.130	0.007	0.03/
SD	37	SAN DIEGO	0.010	0.012	0.015	0.100	0.120	0.081	0.135	0.135	0.135	0.134	0.100	0.024
SED	13	IMPERIAL	0.052	0.088	0.084	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.074
000	15	KERN	0.042	0.044	0.029	0.106	0.106	0.106	0.106	0.106	0.106	0.106	0.087	0.057
	19	LOS ANGELES	0.010	0.012	0.015	0.100	0.120	0.081	0.135	0.135	0.135	0.134	0.100	0.024
	33	RIVERSIDE	0.052	0.088	0.084	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.074
	36	SAN BERNARDINO	0.052	0.088	0.084	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.088	0.074
SF	1	ALAMEDA	0.014	0.017	0.025	0.046	0.059	0.169	0.228	0.224	0.046	0.115	0.044	0.013
	7	CONTRA COSTA	0.014	0.017	0.025	0.046	0.059	0.169	0.228	0.224	0.046	0.115	0.044	0.013
	21	MARIN	0.014	0.017	0.025	0.046	0.059	0.169	0.228	0.224	0.046	0.115	0.044	0.013
	28	NAPA	0.009	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.090	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.050	0.032	0.041
	38	SAN FRANCISCO	0.014	0.017	0.025	0.046	0.059	0.169	0.228	0.224	0.046	0.115	0.044	0.013
1	41	SAN MATEO	0.014	0.017	0.025	0.046	0.059	0.169	0.228	0.224	0.046	0,115	0.044	0.013
	43	SANTA CLARA	0.009	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.090	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.050	0.032	0.041
	48	SOLANO	0.014	0.017	0.025	0.046	0.059	0.169	0.228	0.224	0.046	0.115	0.044	0.013
	49	SONOMA	0.021	0.029	0.032	0.074	0.087	0.151	0.166	0.178	0.080	0.106	0.050	0.025
SJV	10	FRESNO	0.030	0.033	0.026	0.099	0.121	0.121	0.121	0.121	0.121	0.104	0.058	0.046
	15	KERN	0.042	0.044	0.029	0.106	0.106	0.106	0.106	0.106	0.106	0.106	0.087	0.057
	16	KINGS	0.039	0.035	0.028	0.107	0.107	0.107	0.107	0.107	0.107	0.107	0.093	0.060
	20	MADERA	0.030	0.033	0.026	0.099	0.121	0.121	0.121	0.121	0.121	0.104	0.058	0.046
	_24	MERCED	0.029	0.023	0.026	0.110	_0.094	0.110	0.110	0.110	0.110	0.110	0.110	0.055
		SAN JOAQUIN	0.024	0.026	0.025	0.080	0.096	0.138	0.138	0.138	0.138	0.103	0.051	0.043
	50	STANISLAUS	0.028	0.028	0.029	0.092	0.080	0.121	0.121	0.121	0.121	0.115	0.098	0.047
	54	TULARE	0.028	0.032	0.021	0.104	0.115	0.121	0.121	0.121	0.121	0.121	0.058	0.039
sv	4		0.024	0.029	0.026	0.069	0.078	0.099	0.209	0.209	0.115	0.075	0.037	0.030
	6	COLUSA	0.020	0.022	0.025	0.077	0.099	0.153	0.153	0.153	0.133	0.105	0.034	0.027
1	11	GLENN	0.017	0.025	0.023	0.074	0.060	0.147	0.147	0.147	0.133	0.123	0.075	0.029
1	31	I PLACER	0.009	0.022	0.023	0.054	0.090	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.170	0.050	0.032	0.041
1	34	SACRAMENTO	0.023	0.021	0.022	0.086	0.105	0.153	0.153	0.153	0.153	0.074	0.035	0.024
	45	SHASTA	0.024	0.022	0.023	0.084	0.093	0.151	0.151	0.151	0.151	0.082	0.040	0.031
	48		0.023	0.026	0.023	0.068	0.076	0.185	0.185	<u>0.185</u>	0.096	0.079	0.030	0.024
	51	TEUAMA	0.023	0.021	0.022	0.086	0.105	0.103	0.103	0.103	0.103	0.074	0.035	0.024
	57		0.023	0.026	0.023	0.008	0.076	0.185	0.185	0.185	0.096	0.079	0.030	0.024
	51		0.010	0.020	0.021	0.070	0.000	0.155	0.100	0.100	0.149	0.100	0.039	0.021

EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal, 03/23/12 Page 21 County of El Dorado DOT, Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project

#### SECTION 7.9

## ENTRAINED PAVED ROAD DUST PAVED ROAD TRAVEL

(Updated July 1997)

EMISSION INVENTORY SOURCE CATEGORY Miscellaneous Processes / Road Dust										
EMISSION INVENTORY CODES (CES CODES) AND DESCRIPTION										
640-635-5400-0000 (83618)	Paved Entrained Road Dust	- Freeways								
640-637-5400-0000 (83626)	Paved Entrained Road Dust	- Major Streets								
640-639-5400-0000 (83634)	Paved Entrained Road Dust	- Collector Streets								
640-641-5400-0000 (83642)	Paved Entrained Road Dust	- Local Streets								
<b>640-636-5400-0000 (47456)</b> (obsolete)	Paved Entrained Road Dust	- Paved Roads								

#### METHODS AND SOURCES

The paved road dust category includes emissions of fugitive dust particulate matter entrained by vehicular travel on paved roads. Road dust emissions are estimated for four classes of roads. The four classifications are: 1) freeways/expressways, 2) major streets/highways, 3) collector streets, and 4) local streets. The estimated particulate matter emissions for paved road dust for each California county are listed in Table 1. Table 2 shows the portion of travel on each of the four major road types in each county.

#### **OVERVIEW OF ESTIMATION METHODOLOGY**

Dust emissions from vehicle travel on paved roads are computed using the emission factor equation provided in the Fifth Edition of U.S. EPA's AP-42 document.<sup>1</sup> Inputs to the paved road dust equation were developed from California specific roadway silt loading and average vehicle weight data measured by Midwest Research Institute (MRI) in 1995.<sup>2</sup> Data from the Air Resources Board and air districts were used to estimate county specific VMT (vehicle miles traveled) data.<sup>3,4</sup> Caltrans HPMS (Highway Performance Monitoring System)<sup>5</sup> data were used to estimate the fraction of travel on each of the four road types in each county. The paved road dust category does not include directly emitted brake and tire wear, nor TOG, CO,  $NO_x$ ,  $SO_x$ , or PM exhaust emissions. These directly emitted motor vehicle emissions are included in the motor vehicle emission inventory.

11-1429 3E 24 of 42

#### **EMISSIONS ESTIMATION METHODOLOGY**

The emission factor provided by the EPA for estimating entrained dust emissions from vehicles traveling on paved roads is:

$$E = k \left(\frac{sL}{2}\right)^{0.65} \left(\frac{W}{3}\right)^{1.5}$$

where E is the particulate emission factor in units of pounds of particulate matter per VMT, k is the particle size multiplier (used to compute  $PM_{10}$ ,  $PM_{2.5}$ , etc.), sL is the roadway silt loading in grams/square meter, and W is the average weight (in tons) of vehicles traveling the road.

The statewide average vehicle weight is assumed to be 2.4 tons. This estimate is based on an informal traffic count estimated by MRI while they were performing California silt loading measurements. Table 3 shows the roadway silt loadings and emission factors used in each California county. The silt loading values are the averages of silt loadings measured by MRI in the South Coast AQMD and the San Joaquin Valley Unified AQMD.<sup>2</sup> (Note: The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) computed county specific average vehicle weight estimates by using average fleet weights with estimates of the amount of VMT traveled by each vehicle class. The weights used are shown in Table 3.)

The county roadway emission factors, combined with ARB and air district VMT data<sup>3,4</sup> for each roadway type, are linked with the Caltrans HPMS data<sup>5</sup> to estimate emissions for each road type in each county. Further detail on the derivation of the paved road dust emission factors, silt loadings, and roadway travel fractions are available in the ARB background document for entrained paved road dust.<sup>6</sup>

#### TEMPORAL ACTIVITY AND GROWTH

Temporal activity is assumed to be the same as on-road vehicle travel: uniform in spring and fall, increasing slightly in summer, and decreasing slightly in winter. The monthly temporal profile below shows this trend. The weekly and daily activities are estimated to have higher activities on weekdays and during daylight hours.

CES	Hours	Days	Weeks
ALL	24	7	52

CES	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
ALL	7.7	7.7	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	8.5	7.7

#### ASSUMPTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

- 1. The current AP-42 emission factor assumes that road dust emissions are proportional to VMT, roadway silt loading, and average vehicle weight.
- 2. Virtually the same silt loading values are used throughout the state. These silt loadings are based on a total of eight silt loading measurements each in the South Coast Area, Coachella Valley, and Bakersfield. This does not fully represent the variability in California silt loading.
- 3. The methodology assumes that roadway silt loading, and therefore the emission factor, varies by the type of road.
- 4. It is assumed that the EPA particle size multiplier (i.e., the 'k' factor in the AP-42 equation) reasonably represents the size distribution of California paved road dust.
- 5. The average vehicle fleet weight is assumed to be 2.4 tons, statewide (except for the SCAQMD).
- 6. For freeway and major roads, emissions growth is assumed to be proportional to changes in roadway centerline mileage. For collector and local roads, emissions growth is assumed proportional to changes in VMT.

#### CHANGES IN THE METHODOLOGY

There were substantial changes in the paved road dust emission estimates for this update. These include:

- Incorporation of the new EPA paved road emission factor from the Fifth Edition of EPA's AP-42 document (January 1995, Section 13.2.1).
- Update of the Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) data to 1993 levels based on ARB and Air District supplied values.
- Update of the fractions of vehicle miles traveled on each of the four major roadway categories (i.e., freeways, major roads, collectors, and local roads) to reflect 1993 data.
- Incorporation of California specific roadway silt loading values.
- Emissions growth was changed so that freeways and major roads are grown based on increases in roadway centerline mileage, and local and collector roads are grown based on increases in VMT. Previously, all roads were grown based on VMT.

The changes reduced the paved road dust emission estimates by about 70% from the previous 1993 published emission inventory estimates.

11-1429 3E 26 of 42

#### COMMENTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Studies are ongoing by the University of California, Riverside, and the University of California, Davis, to better understand and quantify paved road dust emissions. These studies are not showing clear correlations between roadway silt loading and dust production, or VMT and dust production in urban areas. The results of these studies will be incorporated into this methodology when they are available. Also, effort is needed to better account for the variability in dust emissions based on population density, adjacent land uses, and geographic location.

#### SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

The table below summarizes the data computations necessary to estimate the paved road dust emissions in Santa Cruz county. The following steps are performed:

- Step 1: Silt Loadings. Use the ARB default silt loadings, or local silt loadings if better data are available. Detailed information on the derivation of the ARB default values is included in reference 6.
- Step 2: Emission Factor. Using the silt loadings shown and the AP-42 emission factor equation shown previously, compute the emission factor for each road type. In this case, a default average vehicle weight of 2.4 tons is used. Also, because  $PM_{10}$ , emissions are being computed, a 'k' factor of 0.016 is used from AP-42. For reference, the 'k' factor for  $PM_{2.5}$  is 0.0073 (for units of lb/VMT).
- Step 3: Using the data in Table 2, fill in the county specific travel fraction data. These data are derived from Caltrans HPMS data.<sup>5</sup> See reference 6 for additional information on how the traffic splits were derived.
- Step 4: Using the county total VMT values provided in Table 1, and the travel fraction values from Step 3, compute the VMT traveled on each roadway type. Total VMT x Travel Fraction = Road VMT.
- Step 5: Multiply the emission factors in Step 2 by the VMT data in Step 4 to compute the  $PM_{10}$  emissions for each road type. *Road EF x Road VMT = Road Emissions*. Divide the computed values by 2000 lbs/ton to get the annual tons of  $PM_{10}$ /year from paved road dust.
- Step 6: The ARB's database system maintains particulate emissions as Total Suspended Particulates (TSP). Therefore, the  $PM_{10}$  emissions must be converted to TSP emissions. For California paved road dust, it is estimated that 46% of TSP is  $PM_{10}$ , therefore, dividing the  $PM_{10}$  value by 0.46 produces the correct TSP emissions.<sup>7</sup>

			Roa	d Type		I
		Freeway	Major	Collector	Local	
Step 1	Silt Loading (g/m²)	0.02	0.035	0.32	0.32	
Step 2	Emission Factor (lbs PM <sub>10</sub> /1e6 VMT)	574	825	825	3479	Totals
Step 3	Travel Fraction	0.285	0.465	0.181	0.069	1
Step 4	VMT (1993, million/yr)	519	847	330	125	1821
Step 5	PM <sub>10</sub> Emissions (tons /yr)	149	349	136	219	853
Step 6	TSP Emissions (tons/yr)	324	759	296	476	1855

#### Estimating Paved Road Dust Emissions In Santa Cruz County

#### **ADDITIONAL CODES**

SOURCE CATEGORY GROWTH AND CONTROL CODES Various SOURCE CATEGORY CODE POLLUTANT SPECIATION PROFILES For All: PM = 393, VOC = not applicable SOURCE CATEGORY CODE REACTIVITY FACTORS

Not Applicable

#### REFERENCES

- 1. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. <u>Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors</u>, AP-42, Section 13.2.1, Fifth Edition. January 1995.
- 2. Muleski, Greg. <u>Improvement of Specific Emission Factors (BACM Project No. 1). Final</u> <u>Report.</u> Midwest Research Institute, March 29, 1996.
- 3. California Air Resources Board, Technical Support Division. 1993 Vehicle Miles Traveled by County from 1993 Ozone SIP EMFAC/BURDEN7F runs. Contact: Ed Yotter.
- 4. County VMT data for 1993 for the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District and South Coast Air Quality Management District were obtained from district staff (who collected the information from local transportation agencies).
- California Department of Transportation. California 1993 Daily Vehicle Miles of Travel for Public Maintained Paved Roads based on Highway Performance Monitoring System (HPMS) Data from 'TRAV93'. Barry Chrissinger; May 1995.
- 6. Gaffney, Patrick. <u>Entrained Dust from Paved Road Travel, Emission Estimation</u> <u>Methodology, Background Document</u>. California Air Resources Board. July 1997.
- Houck, J.E., Chow, J.C., Watson, J.G., et al. <u>Determination of Particle Size Distribution</u> and <u>Chemical Composition of Particulate Matter from Selected Sources in California</u>. <u>Final Report</u>. Desert Research Institute & OMNI Environmental. Prepared for California Air Resources Board. Agreement No. A6-175-32. June 30, 1989.

#### **UPDATED BY**

Patrick Gaffney August 1997

#### TABLE 1

#### 1993 Reentrained Paved Road Dust Emissions for PM<sub>10</sub> and TSP

EIC: Various; Activity: On Road Travel; Process: Paved Road

						1993	1993	
r		1993 VMT					PM <sub>10</sub>	TSP
AIR		(million VMT	Paved F	Road Dust PM1	0 Emissions (t	ons/yr)	Emissions	Emissions
BASIN	COUNTY	per year)	Freeway	Major	Collector	Local	(tons/year)	(tons/year)
GBV		52	0.0	16.4	2.6	9.9	29	63
	MONO	311	0.0	99.7	10.2	78.4	188	409
LC	LAKE	420	0.0	110.9	28.5	144.0	283	616
LT	EL DORADO		0.0	111.8	7.1	95.3	214	466
MC		304	0.0	90.1	20.7	62.0	173	376
	CALAVERAS	320	0.0	90.2	26.5	64.3	181	393
	EL DORADO	1479	0.0	482,4	30.4	411.2	924	2009
		948	88.4	143.9	<u> </u>	279.4	<u>143</u> 565	1229
	PLACER	262	32.1	36.3	10.5	64.0	143	311
	PLUMAS	278	0.0	66.5	24.2	101.2	192	417
		<u>92</u> 511	3.4	17.8	4.9	43.3	316	<u>151</u> 688
NC	DEL NORTE	223	0.0	62.3	19.3	44.5	126	274
	HUMBOLDT	1114	0.0	329.6	55.1	315.8	701	1523
		997	0.0	258.2	87.3	278.3	624	1356
	SONOMA	514	29.9	109.2	37.3	95.9	272	592
NCC	MONTEREY	3223	119.9	784.5	219.5	647.1	1771	3850
	SAN BENITO	375	0.0	123.5	10.1	89.7	223	485
NEP	LASSEN	492	0.0	<u> </u>	44.4	171.9	334	727
	MODOC	149	0.0	28.4	11.7	89.6	130	282
		812	101.1	73.2	30.0	365.3	570	1238
SC	ORANGE	22026	<u>9858.7</u> 3386.3	15402.2 5105.9	420.7	2153.7	<u>32878</u> 11067	24058
	RIVERSIDE	11278	2291.0	2564.7	828.0	2450.7	8134	17683
	SAN BERNARDINO	10853	2356.7	3042.6	645.5	2324.3	8369	18194
SCC	SAN LUIS OBISPO	2351	28.9	<u>740.9</u> 653.6	<u> </u>	521.8	1356	2947
	VENTURA	5858	576.8	1215.3	160.4	895.0	2848	6191
SD	SAN DIEGO	23094	3478.3	3105.1	757.4	2804.8	10146	22056
SED	KERN	<u>1341</u> 817	94.0	223.3	417.3	404.3	1139	2476
	LOS ANGELES	1409	203.4	306.5	35.9	144.6	690	1501
	RIVERSIDE	4780	877.0		305.7	1131.1	3261	7089
ee	SAN BERNARDINO	5173	661.0	823.1	174.6	786.0	2445	<u>5315</u>
31	CONTRA COSTA	6259	884.5	913.0	164.3	984.1	2946	6404
	MARIN	1947	271.0	241.0	115.1	242.8	870	1891
	NAPA SAN ERANCISCO	717	36.6	163.0	42.6	159.2	401	873
	SAN PRANCISCO	4923	813.1	627.0	114.7	508.0	2063	4484
	SANTA CLARA	10674	1443.8	1792.2	240.5	1246.9	4723	10268
	SOLANO	2314	422.2	228.9	55.9	265.8	973	2115
S.IV	FRESNO	6112	343.4	408.2	379.8	2829.8	4816	10469
	KERN_	5011	337.2	1214.0	149.4	1386.3	3087	6711
	KINGS	967	62.1	209.3	48.2	319.6	639	1389
		<u>1010</u> 2377	127.0	312.1	35.3	<u> </u>	918	1997
	SAN JOAQUIN	4776	480.3	830.3	232.4	1353.6	2897	6297
	STANISLAUS	3455	211.7	628.1	305.6	1051.4	2197	4776
¢1/		2984	47.7	744.3	202.1	<u>1775.1</u>	2769	6020
37	COLUSA	495	81.2	34.9	17.9	146.8	281	610
	GLENN	404	61.0	36.3	17.8	105.9	221	480
		2373	290.8	328.3	95.1	579.3	1294	2812
	SHASTA	9056	208.3	272.4	<u>328.5</u> 69.9	290.4	4201 841	9264
	SOLANO	1030	187.9	101.9	24.9	118.3	433	941
	SUTTER	634	14.2	165.7	36.1	166.2	382	831
		1/56	104.5 227 A	88.7	35.7	186.3	415	903
	YUBA	502	20.5	106.1	39.6	135.6	302	656
	Totals	262363	34445	53590	10329	42874	141238	307062
	1		·					1

EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Venicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal, 03/23/12 Page 28 County of El Dorado DOT, Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project

#### TABLE 2

#### 1993 Roadway Travel Fractions and VMT Estimates for **California Entrained Paved Road Dust Estimates**

	,	1993 VMT				
		1993 O <sub>3</sub> SIP*	1993 HPMS Travel Fractions			5
AIR BASIN	COUNTY	(million VMT)	Freeway	Major	Collector	Local
GBV	ALPINE	52	0.000	0.767	0.123	0.110
	INYO	460	0.000	0.742	0.132	0.126
10		<u>  311</u>	0.000	0.776	0.079	0.145
		343	0.000	0.639	0.050	0.197
<b>L</b> 1	PLACER	158	0.427	0.335	0.097	0.140
MC	AMADOR	304	0.000	0.718	0.165	0.117
	CALAVERAS	320	0.000	0.684	0.201	0.116
	EL DORADO	1479	0.000	0.790	0.050	0.160
	NEVADA	948	0.000	0.718	0.117	0.100
	PLACER	262	0.427	0.335	0.097	0.140
1	PLUMAS	278	0.000	0.580	0.211	0.209
	SIERRA	92	0.129	0.470	0.129	0.272
NC		511	0.000	0.619	0.225	0.156
NC		1114	0.000	0.717	0.120	0.163
	MENDOCINO	997	0.000	0.627	0.212	0.160
	TRINITY	142	0.000	0.685	0.083	0.232
	SONOMA	514	0.203	0.515	0.176	0.107
NCC		3223	0.130	0.590	0.165	0.115
	SANTA CRUZ	1821	0.285	0.465	0.005	0.069
NEP	LASSEN	492	0.000	0.581	0.219	0.201
	MODOC	149	0.000	0.463	0.190	0.347
	SISKIYOU	812	0.434	0.218	0.089	0.258
SC	LOS ANGELES	65793	0.437	0.458	0.054	0.051
	RIVERSIDE	11278	0.453	0.340	0.000	0.096
	SAN BERNARDINO	10853	0.445	0.385	0.082	0.087
SCC	SAN LUIS OBISPO	2351	0.043	0.764	0.066	0.128
	SANTA BARBARA	3105	0.303	0.510	0.124	0.064
SD	SAN DIEGO	23094	0.343	0.326	0.066	0.088
SED	IMPERIAL	1341	0.244	0.403	0.179	0.173
	KERN	817	0.235	0.587	0.100	0.078
	LOS ANGELES	1409	0.437	0.458	0.054	0.051
	SAN BERNARDINO	<u>4/80</u> 5173	0.453	0.340	0.110	0.096
SF	ALAMEDA	9867	0.550	0.321	0.072	0.057
	CONTRA COSTA	6259	0.493	0.353	0.064	0.090
	MARIN	1947	0.485	0.300	0.143	0.072
		717	0.178	0.551	0.144	0.128
	SAN MATEO	4923	0.576	0.309	0.062	0.048
	SANTA CLARA	10674	0.471	0.407	0.055	0.067
	SOLANO	2314	0.636	0.240	0.059	0.066
0.1/	SONOMA	1922	0.203	0.515	0.176	0.107
SJV		5011	0.196	0.501	0.751	0.153
	KINGS	967	0.224	0.525	0.121	0.131
	MADERA	1010	0.000	0.749	0.085	0.167
	MERCED	2377	0.186	0.574	0.141	0.099
	SAN JOAQUIN	4776	0.351	0.421	0.118	0.110
	THIARE	2984	0.214	0.440	0.214	0.132
SV	BUTTE	1532	0.058	0.574	0.196	0.172
	COLUSA	495	0.572	0.170	0.088	0.170
	GLENN	404	0.526	0.217	0.106	0.151
		23/3	0.42/	0.335	0.097	0.092
	SHASTA	1722	0.422	0.383	0.098	0.097
	SOLANO	1030	0.636	0.240	0.059	0.066
	\$UTTER	634	0.078	0.633	0.138	0.151
		773	0.471	0.278	0.112	0.139
	YUBA	1456	0.544	0.262	0.070	0.123
<u> </u>			0.172	State A	Averages	0.100
All	Statewide Total	262363	0.252	0.500	0.119	0.123

\* The VMT for most counties is from the ARB's EMFAC/BURDEN 7F runs performed for the 1993 ozone SIPs. The VMT for the SCAQMD and SJVUAPCD was provided by each district from their local transportation agencies. EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal, 03/23/12

Page 29 County of El Dorado DOT, Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project

#### TABLE 3

#### Silt Loadings and Emission Factors for California Entrained Paved Road Dust Estimates

		Silt Loadings and PM <sub>10</sub> Emission Factors										
		Free	eway	Major Collector Local Local Rural (1)		lural (1)						
AIR BASIN	COUNTY	Silt Load (g/m²)	EF (lbs PM <sub>10</sub> per 10 <sup>o</sup> VMT)	Silt Load (g/m²)	EF (lbs PM₁₀ per 10 <sup>∞</sup> VMT)	Silt Load (g/m²)	EF (lbs PM <sub>ro</sub> per 10⁰ VMT)	Silt Load (g/m²)	EF (lbs PM,o per 10 VMT)	Silt Load (g/m²)	EF (lbs PM <sub>10</sub> per 10 <sup>60</sup> VMT)	Average Vehicle Weight (tons)
GBV	ALPINE	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	MONO	0.020	573.8 573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
LC	LAKE	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
LT	EL DORADO	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5 825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
MC	AMADOR	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	CALAVERAS	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	ARIPOSA	0.020	<u>573.8</u>	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	NEVADA	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	PLACER	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	SIERRA	0.020	573.8 573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	TUOLUMNE	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
NC	DEL NORTE	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	MENDOCINO	0.020	573.8 573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479	<u> </u>		2.4
	TRINITY	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	SONOMA	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479	<u> </u>		2.4
NCC	SAN BENITO	0.020	573.8 573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	SANTA CRUZ	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
NEP	LASSEN	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	SISKIYOU	0.020	573.8 573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
SC (2,3)	LOS ANGELES	0.020	685.5	0.037	1022.4	0.037	1022.4	0.240	3447			2.7
		0.020	682.8	0.037	1018.5	0.037	1018.5	0.240	3434			2.7
	SAN BERNARDINO	0.020	975.1	0.037	1336.6	0.037	1336.6	0.240	4904			3.4
SCC	SAN LUIS OBISPO	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	SANTA BARBARA	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
SD	SAN DIEGO	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479	<u> </u>		2.4
SED	IMPERIAL (4)	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.320	3478.8	0.320	3479	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	2.4
	KERN	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	RIVERSIDE (2,3)	0.020	809.3	0.035	1164.3	0.035	1164.3	0.320	4907			3.0
	SAN BERNARDINO	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
SF	ALAMEDA	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	MARIN	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	NAPA	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	SAN FRANCISCO	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	SANTA CLARA	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
	SOLANO	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479	<b> </b>	<b> </b>	2.4
SJV (5)	FRESNO	0.020	<u> </u>	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479	1.6	9903	2.4
	KERN	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479	1.6	9903	2.4
	KINGS	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479	1.6	9903	2.4
	MADERA	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479	1.6	9903	2.4
	SAN JOAQUIN	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479	1.6	9903	2.4
	STANISLAUS	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479	1.6	9903	2.4
sv	BUTTE	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479	0	9903	2.4
	COLUSA	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479		ļ	2.4
		0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479		<u> </u>	2.4
	SACRAMENTO	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479		1	2.4
	SHASTA	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479		-	2.4
1		0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
1	TEHAMA	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479	1		2.4
	YOLO	0.020	573.8	0.035	825.5	0.035	825.5	0.320	3479			2.4
		Motor V	<u>  573.8</u>	<u>0.035</u>	<u>825.5</u>	0.035	<u>  825.5</u>	0.320	<u>」 3479</u> フマ/1つ	L Pa		2.4

EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal, 03/23/12 Pa County of El Dorado DOT, Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project

#### Notes for Table 3.

- (1) The SJVUAPCD splits local roads into urban and rural classes, and uses separate silt loading values.
- (2) The SCAQMD uses the median, rather than the average value of the BACM silt loading values.
- (3) The SCAQMD computed county specific vehicle weight averages. Los Angeles and Orange Counties have an average vehicle weight value of 2.7 tons. Riverside has a value of 3.2 tons, and San Bernardino is set to 3.4 tons.
- (4) In Imperial county, a silt loading value of 0.32 is used for collector roads to account for the large portion of developed areas.
- (5) The SJV district splits their local roads into urban and rural roads. A higher silt loading value derived from AP-42 data is used in computing emissions for rural local roads due to anticipated higher loading levels.

#### **BAYNE ROAD PROJECT AREA PHOTOS**

#### ATTACHMENT G



#### End of Pavement at Stewart Mine Rd MP 1.0 (Project Limit East End)



Motorist driving truck on existing unpaved road

EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal County of El Dorado County DOT, 03/23/12

Page 32

#### **BAYNE ROAD PROJECT AREA PHOTOS**

#### ATTACHMENT G



Steep Grade Next 1 Mile sign posted at MP 1.94



Steep grade at MP 3.21

EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal County of El Dorado County DOT, 03/23/12

Page 33

#### BAYNE ROAD PROJECT AREA PHOTOS

#### ATTACHMENT G



End of unpaved segment at driveway to 6392 Bayne Rd (MP 3.54)



Start of Pavement at MP 3.54 (Project Limit East End)

EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal County of El Dorado County DOT, 03/23/12

Page 34

11-1429 3E 36 of 42



March 21, 2012

Dave Johnston Air Pollution Control Officer El Dorado County Air Quality Management District 330 Fair Lane Placerville, CA 95667

Re: County of El Dorado Department of Transportation – Motor Vehicle Emissions Reduction Project Proposal: Bayne Road Chip Seal Project

Dear Mr. Johnston,

I am writing this letter on behalf of the residents who live on Bayne Road. This road is nearly 5 miles long, of which about 2.5 miles is currently unpaved. There are more than 30 developed residential lots along the unpaved section of Bayne Road, which is the only access road for motorists to travel west to get to Mt. Murphy Road and to travel east to get to State Route 193.

The unpaved section is in poor condition and gets very muddy during the rainy winter-spring season and very dusty during the dry summer-fall season. Residents have made numerous requests over the years to the County Department of Transportation to pave the unpaved segment. We've been told that there was no funding but that Bayne Road was at the top of the list, and would be considered should some funding become available.

The residents of Bayne Road are very supportive of the County's Motor Vehicle Emissions Reduction project proposal to chip seal the 2.5 mile of unpaved segment of Bayne Road. We hope that this project is selected for funding.

Attached are several petitions in support of this project.

Thank you for your consideration.

Bill Center 561 Toad Road Coloma, CA 95613

EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal, 03/23/12 Page 35 County of El Dorado DOT, Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project

Post Office Box 623 \* Lotus, CA 95651

530) 622-4742 11-1429 3E 37 of 42

3(21)2012

ATTACHMENT H

#### PETITION TO CHIP SEAL BAYNE ROAD

We, the undersigned, fully support the County of El Dorado Department of Transportation's Motor Vehicle Emissions Reductions project proposal to chip seal Bayne Road.

Name Address Phone Email POBOX 316 530 bobe Maddoomest. Con 642-8187 4000 TainRidgEs Rd 10 Box 316 530 deren Brige 4000 Twin Ridges Rd dogmis 6428187 P.D BY HOS 530 arcia Levis Murcial nd Ray @ Reethin 310 Baynect. 626-3017 Bayne 33-2951802 C1. obertCloud U li Ц 4 344-0880 311 Mules Ear Rd. Michael Beignar 6875 Bayne Rd. 676-8681 BARDAIA BERGON 6875 BAYRE Rd. 626-8681 530-David Boerschingen 6777 Bayre Rd. 748-6110 John GAILICCI 621-0860 67)7 Doyne Rd. RON FAGOT 7124 BAYNE Rd. 621-0860 SAMOY ROBINSON 7140 BAYNE 20. 621-4058 Shand Dingle 7265 Bayner 621-025 Bayne Rd. 622-4102

EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal, 03/23/429 3E 3839 426 County of El Dorado DOT, Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project

ATTACHMENT H

3/21/2012

#### PETITION TO CHIP SEAL BAYNE ROAD

We, the undersigned, fully support the County of El Dorado Department of Transportation's Motor Vehicle Emissions Reductions project proposal to chip seal Bayne Road.

Name	Address	Phone	Email	
Mrchael WAllow	6821 BAYNERd. Kelsey CA,	530 748-7997		
Josephe Piterson	6720 BAYNE RD	570 622-4566		
Josh Sinnare	6735 BAYNERD,	530 919 4504		
Raymond billt	310 Beyne Ct	530626- 3017	marciaendray@ eartulink.net	
Judith Gossett	7201 Stewart Mine Rd. Kelsey, CA 95667	530-622 7945	judith@arconservanc judith?possett@	y.or
EM Center	561 Toad Road, Coloma	530 622 4742	telotose innecite co	P/1
Note Note	561 toad road, colon	530622 A 4742	bebtusQinnonite	son)
Jim Klotz	381 Mules Ear Rd. Coloma, CA	530 - 919 8180	guitar ten 11 @ gmail com	
Alice Butler	385 Coloma Heights Rd. Coloma, cA 95613	530-295- 1688	alicevirginiabutleve gmail.com	
Deb Dohm	380 Mules Ear Rd. Colona 95613 - Aroperty 9 Duarte (t. Ministo CA (	(415)234 - 6834 4949	dj denada@ Smail.con	
TAMES LIKONSKI	200 Males Fired Idama	internet.	1: laure la D	
Jumos B filmster	A 95613 - Property and T Duark ct., Novaco, A 9494	415/234	gmant com	
James & fitusti	A 95613 - Propert and Thearth ct., Nover, A 9494 6830 Baype Rel	415/234 6834 344-9305	ajaenine <u>gniait</u> com barberswife @ hughes.net	
Jemos & fitusti Aftation al Octor	6830 Bayne Rel 6830 Bayne Rel	415/234- 6834 344-9305	ajaenneze gmant.com barberswife @ hughes.net L	

EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal, 03/23/12Page 37County of El Dorado DOT, Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project11-1429 3E 39 of 42

### PETITION TO CHIP SEAL BAYNE ROAD

We, the undersigned, fully support the County of El Dorado Department of Transportation's Motor Vehicle Emissions Reductions project proposal to chip seal Bayne Road.

Name	Address	Phone	Email	
Droid Thomas	Ро Вы 24° Союща 594726+ Rd	530-306 - 8756	thomasdauide m	oc.com
2 co	9001			
Lallo				
Jack 3_	- 6760 BAYA-	530 622774	I	
Barbara Mom	Box 24 594 Toad Rd	530-503- 5715	barbarathomas	e mae cor
				a management of the second
				с така така така така така така така так
				₩ € ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩ ₩
				And the second se

EDC AQMD FY 12/13 Motor Vehicle Emission Reduction Project Proposal, 03/23/12 Page 38 County of El Dorado DOT, Bayne Road Chip Seal Paving Project

11-1429 3E 40 of 42

Fax Cover sheet

To: Anne Novotny @ 530 626 0387. El Dorado County DOT

From: Valeri Heer

Date: 3/22/2012

Attached: Petition to Chip Seal Bayne Road

I have submitted the attached petition signed by people who use Bayne Road and would be delighted to see it chip/sealed. We have been here over 15 years and have continued to encourage DOT to repair Bayne Road.

Please push full speed ahead and let me know if I can do anything to assist you in this endeavor.

11-1429 3E 41 of 42

#### PETITION TO CHIP SEAL BAYNE ROAD

# We, the undersigned, fully support the County of El Dorado Department of Transportation's Motor Vehicle Emissions Reductions project proposal to chip seal Bayne Road.

Name	Address	Phone	Email	
Valari Maar	500 AVE. Chateau	530 622	raleri@chateau	trails
ILLEI MEEN	Kelsey (A	3303		
	500 AVE. Chatter	530 622	valeri @ chateru	trzut
Kand Heer	Kelsey CA	3303		
Nov New Col	3720 Springer Rd	530 621	_	
Mike Morave	Placerville UA	4918	none	
Burerly Feusi	7227 Dead Horse Ed.	530 626	Gueilaudor (1)	ſ
1	Placerville CA	3067	fersile du me	
Tim FRUSSI	7227 Dead Horse Rd	.530 626	C. ) @vahro. C	TH
	Placerville CA	3067	febril e prime	
Bon FRUSI	7227 Dead Horse RI	530 621-	p. 110 w thief.	anco
	Placerville CA	2147		ľ
Mor' I Teus	7227 Dead Horse Re	.530 621-	April Frisi @ amail	(CON
Mpi Trues	Placerville CA	xville UA 2147 Inpilia	Sprine griedi	,
				t
				ļ
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ļ

,

.

• --