#### **Findings**

#### 1.0 CEQA Findings

- 1.1 El Dorado County has considered the Negative Declaration together with the comments received during the public review process. The Negative Declaration reflects the independent judgment of the County and has been completed in compliance with CEQA and is adequate for this project.
- 1.2 No significant impacts to the environment as a result of this project were identified in the initial study.
- 1.3 The project will not affect wetlands, water courses, riparian lands, unique plant or animal life and habitats, or other terrestrial matters under the jurisdiction of the California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG). The project is subject to the CDFG environmental filing fee of \$1,800 and a \$50 El Dorado County processing fee based on CDFG Code Section 711.4 and Senate Bill 1535.
- 1.4 The documents and other materials which constitute the record of proceedings upon which this decision is based are in the custody of the Development Services Department Planning Services at 2850 Fairlane Court, Placerville, CA.

#### 2.0 General Plan Findings

## 2.1 That the proposed tentative map and rezone is consistent with applicable general and specific plans;

The proposed rezone from Estate Residential Ten-acre (RE-10) to Single-family Three-acre Residential (R3A) is consistent with the General Plan which designates the parcel as Medium Density Residential (MDR) which allows for the proposed parcel sizes. The proposed R3A zoning is consistent within the El Dorado Hills Community Region.

#### 3.0 Tentative Map Findings

### 3.1 The proposal conforms to the County's zoning regulations and Minor Land Division Ordinance;

The Department of Transportation and the El Dorado Hills Fire Department have reviewed the existing road conditions and have determined that the proposed improvements would provide adequate access. Adequate public water and sewer services exist that would be sufficient to serve the project.

The proposed parcels provide adequate area to meet the development standards of the R3A zone district.

#### 3.2 The site is physically suitable for the proposed type and density of development;

As determined through a Cultural Resource Study, Biological Survey, and Arborist Report the project site does not contain any natural resources that would be significantly impacted through the proposed residential development of the project.

The project would require the removal of one oak tree. The project would be conditioned to replace the impacted oak as required by the General Plan.

# 3.3 The design of the division or the proposed improvements are likely to cause substantial environmental damage or substantial and avoidable injury to fish or wildlife or their habitat;

The required site improvements will not cause significant impacts to the environment or wildlife. As determined by the Biological Survey and Arborist Reports, the project would not result in significant environmental impacts.