EL DORADO COUNTY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

Agenda of:

July 12, 2007

Item No.:

7.

Staff:

Michael C. Baron

WILLIAMSON ACT CONTRACT / REZONE

FILE NUMBER:

WAC06-0014/Z06-0039

APPLICANT:

Greg and Sharon Baiocchi

This item was continued from the meeting of February 8, 2007.

EL DORADO COUNTY DEVELOPMENT SERVICES PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

Agenda of:

February 8, 2007

Item No.:

8.a.

Staff:

Michael C. Baron

WILLIAMSON ACT CONTRACT / REZONE

FILE NUMBER:

WAC06-0014/Z06-0039

APPLICANT:

Greg and Sharon Baiocchi

REQUEST:

Williamson Act Contract establishing a new agricultural preserve and

rezoning the same property from Residential Estate Ten-acre (RE-10) to

Agriculture Preserve (AP).

LOCATION:

On the south side of Hidden Ranch Road, 0.5 mile east of the intersection

with Mt. Aukum Road, in the Somerset area, Supervisorial District II

(Exhibit A).

APN:

046-061-37

ACREAGE:

35.2 acres

GENERAL PLAN:

Agricultural Lands - Agriculture District overlay (AL-A) (Exhibit B)

ZONING:

Residential Estate Ten-acre (RE-10) (Exhibit C)

ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENT:

Categorically Exempt from CEQA pursuant to

Section 15317 of the CEQA Guidelines

SUMMARY RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

STAFF ANALYSIS

Project Description:

The project parcel consists of 35.2 acres, of which 12.5 acres have been planted with wine grapes and 15 acres are utilized as dry grazing area. The applicants have a barn to serve as a workshop/equipment storage building. Projected plans call for an additional five acres of grapes by 2010.

Site Description:

The project site is accessed off Hidden Ranch Road which is not a County-maintained road. The access and onsite circulation consists of gravel roads. The topography of the parcel is characterized by gently rolling hills.

Most of the sloped areas are covered by the existing vineyard, and the entire project area is surrounded by deer fence. A single-family residence and agricultural barn currently exist on the property. Improvements include a well and irrigation system for the existing vineyard.

Adjacent Land Uses:

| | Zoning | General Plan | Land Use/Improvements | |
|-------|--------|--------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Site | RE-10 | AL-A | Improved Residence/Vineyard | |
| North | AE | AL-A | Improved Residential | |
| South | RE-10 | RR-A | Vacant Residential | |
| East | RE-10 | RR-A | Improved Residential | |
| West | RF-10 | AL-A | Improved Residential/Vacant | |

General Plan: The General Plan designates the subject site as Agricultural Lands-Agricultural District (AL-A). General Plan Policy 2.2.1.2 states that the Agricultural Lands designation is applied to lands described in Policy 8.1.1.8. A maximum of two residential dwellings used to support the agricultural use are allowed. The AL designation may be applied in Rural Regions only.

Policy 8.1.1.6 states that pursuant to the California Land Conservation Act, parcels under a Williamson Act contract shall be zoned Exclusive Agriculture (AE).

Conclusion: The requested zoning of Agricultural Preserve (AP) is similar in intent to the AE Zone District except wineries and certain ranch marketing activities are only allowed by special use permit as opposed to by right in the AE Zone District. No winery or ranch marketing activities are proposed. The project parcel has the Agricultural District overlay designation (-A) added to its land use designation of Agricultural Lands (AL), and the parcel meets the criteria applicable to these designations. Both designations support the Agricultural Preserve (AP) Zone District that is applied to parcels that are subject to the Land Conservation Act of 1965, or the Williamson Act. Staff finds that the project, as proposed, conforms to the General Plan.

Policy 8.1.3.2: Agriculturally incompatible uses adjacent to agricultural zoned lands shall provide a minimum setback of 200 feet from the boundary of agriculturally zoned lands.

<u>Discussion</u>: Subsequent to the subject parcel's rezone to AP, all new development of agriculturally incompatible uses on adjacent parcels will require a setback of 200 feet. Administrative relief is available through the Agricultural Commission. At the time of the preparation of this report, staff had not received comments from the public with concerns that a rezone of the parcel to Agricultural Preserve (AP) would result in a 200-foot building setback for residential structures adjacent to the property. A total of seven surrounding parcels would be subject to a 200-foot setback. However, only three parcels, each in excess of 10 acres, to the south and west are undeveloped, and a 200 foot setback would not create un-developable parcels. Five adjoining property owners were in attendance at the Agriculture Commission hearing to speak in opposition of the project.

Zoning: Agricultural preserves are established through the execution of a Williamson Act Contract between the County and the landowner and include the rezoning of the land to Agriculture Preserve (AP) or Exclusive Agriculture (AE). The purpose of the AP and AE zone districts is to implement the Land Conservation Act of 1965, and to encourage the sustainable use of farmland in the County for agricultural production. The difference between the AP and AE Zone District is that the AE Zone District allows for ranch marketing activities, such as a winery by right, where the AP Zone District would require a special use permit for that use.

The parcel size is consistent with the development standards of Section 17.36.090.D. Under Ordinance 17.36.070, one single family dwelling is allowed in each agricultural preserve preserve. In this case, the one existing dwelling unit for the 35.2 acre project is in compliance with the density requirement of one dwelling unit per preserve.

Williamson Act Criteria:

The County's criteria and procedures for qualifying for a Williamson Act Contract are contained in the Board of Supervisors' Resolution No. 188-2002. There are three criteria identified in this Resolution that are required for the establishment of an agricultural preserve. Specifically, minimum acreage, capital outlay, and income generated from the agricultural pursuits are identified. The three criteria for the establishment of a Williamson Act Contract are described in Finding 3.0. The Agricultural Commission has reviewed this application and stated that the property meets all the necessary criteria.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

This project has been found to be Categorically Exempt from the requirements of CEQA pursuant to Section 15317 of the CEQA Guidelines which states, "Class 17 consists of the establishment of agricultural preserves, the making and renewing of open space contracts under the Williamson Act, or the acceptance of easements or fee interests in order to maintain the open space character of the area." The requested rezone to AP only allows wineries, special events, and other quasi-commercial activities by special use permit which would require further discretionary and CEQA review.

Pursuant to Resolution No. 240-93, a \$50.00 processing fee is required by the County Recorder to file the Notice of Exemption.

RECOMMENDATION: Recommend approval


SUPPORT INFORMATION

Attachments to Staff Report:

| Exhibit A | Vicinity Map |
|-----------|---|
| | General Plan Land Use Map |
| Exhibit C | Agricultural District |
| Exhibit D | Zoning Map |
| Exhibit E | WAC 06-0014 Application |
| Exhibit F | Assessor's Parcel Map |
| Exhibit G | Parcels Affected By Agricultural Setbacks |

L:\PC\WAC\$\WAC\$6-0014 Z06-0039 Staff Report.doc

Exhibit A: Vicinity Map



Prepared By: Michael C. Baron El Dorado County Planning Services



Exhibit B: General Plan Land Use Map






Exhibit C: Agricultural District



Prepared By: Michael C. Baron El Dorado County Planning Services 1,000 500 0 1,000 Feet

Exhibit D: Zoning Map



Prepared By: Michael C. Baron El Dorado County Planning Services 1,000 500 0 1,000 Feet

Exhibit E: WAC06-0014 Application

August 1,2006

PART I (To be completed by applicant)

LAND CONSERVATION CONTRACT APPLICATION

| NAME GREG F | BATOCCHI BAIOCCHI | _ PHONE (530) _ PHONE (530) PHONE (530) | - 12 February - 12 Health St. 18 Health St. |
|---|----------------------|---|---|
| MAILING ADDRESS | 24 - EM | EN RANCH RI |) |
| TYPE OF AGRICULTUR | | ~ | |
| | 200 | II-out) | 1940 In 1950 |
| YEAR PROPERTY PUR WHAT IS YOUR AGRIC | CHASED2 | 1,005 | |
| Improvement ASR FEI | VCE | with value for each. | Value \$/6,000.00 |
| TRELLIS S VINES | J SYSTEM SYSTEM | | \$ 55,000. |

PART I (continued, page 2) (To be completed by applicant)

| | | | SANCO DE PORTO DE LA CONTRACTOR DE LA CO | |
|---|---|----------------|--|----------------|
| WHAT IS YOUR CURRENT GE | ROSS INCOME FO | OR AGRICULT | TURAL PROI | DUCTS? |
| Product | | | Income | 35 |
| G WINE GRAPES | 5 | \$ | Ø | |
| | | | ./ | |
| WINE | | | P. | - |
| | | | | 2 |
| Breeding Mini | DONKEYS | | Ø | _ |
| | | | | _ |
| | | | / | |
| | | Total \$ | đ | |
| | | nor year fo | r high intens | sity farming |
| NOTE: Total gross income mu | et avagad \$13.50 | O Del Veal IC | ing (grazing) | . If the total |
| orchards, vineyards, row crops) |), or \$2,000 for low | intensity farm | | |
| orchards, vineyards, row crops; loes not exceed these amounts |), or \$2,000 for low | intensity farm | | |
| orchards, vineyards, row crops; does not exceed these amounts gross this amount? |), or \$2,000 for low s, when do you ant | intensity farm | | |
| orchards, vineyards, row crops; does not exceed these amounts gross this amount? |), or \$2,000 for low | intensity farm | | |
| NOTE: Total gross income multorchards, vineyards, row crops, does not exceed these amounts gross this amount? Donkey Reserve |), or \$2,000 for low s, when do you ant ころっし | intensity farm | | |
| orchards, vineyards, row crops; does not exceed these amounts gross this amount? |), or \$2,000 for low s, when do you ant | intensity farm | | |
| orchards, vineyards, row crops; does not exceed these amounts gross this amount? Donkey Reserve |), or \$2,000 for low s, when do you ant ころっし | intensity farm | | |

PART I (continued, page 3) (To be completed by applicant)

CURRENT LAND UTILIZATION

| Pear trees | | acres | Date planted _ | | |
|---|----------------------|---|--|-------------------|--------------------|
| Apple trees | | acres | | | |
| Walnut trees | | acres | Date planted_ | | |
| trees | | acres | Date planted_ | | |
| Irrigated pasture _ | | acres | Date planted_ | | |
| Crop land | | acres | Comments | | |
| Dry grazing | | acres | Comments Be | ELDING 1 | MINI DONKEYS |
| Brush | | acres | Comments | | |
| Timber | | acres | Comments | | |
| Christmas trees | | acres | | | |
| Grapes | 12. | 5 acres | Comments | ANTED | 6/06 |
| | | acres | Comments | | |
| TOTAL ACRES | | | nis figure should equ | | e under Contract) |
| TOTAL ACRES Briefly describe wh Please list acreage | PLAN | INED FUTURI | E DEVELOPMENT | 'S ent of this | |
| Briefly describe wh Please list acreage | PLAN nat future p | INED FUTURI plans you have nd time schedu | E DEVELOPMENT for the development le for your planned | ent of this a | agricultural unit. |
| Briefly describe wh Please list acreage | PLAN nat future p | INED FUTURI plans you have nd time schedu | E DEVELOPMENT for the development le for your planned | ent of this a | |

Exhibit G: Parcels Affected By Agriculture Setbacks WAC06-0014/Z06-0039

