

## TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION OF EI DORADO COUNTY

Post Office Box 13 

Placerville

☐ Placerville, California 95667☐ Founded in 1958

**TO:** 

Helen Baumann, Chairman

El Dorado County Board of Supervisors

FROM:

Ellen Day, President

Taxpayers Association of El Dorado Cour

DATE:

June 18, 2007

REGARDING: Agenda Item 37 Development Services Permit Process Improvements

The Taxpayers Association of El Dorado County is willing to support the "New Fee Proposal –C" under the conditions that Development Services and the Board of Supervisors understands that progress needs to be made in fixing some of the problems associated with the unnecessary delays in issuing permits.

We do support the assigning of Code Enforcement costs to the General Fund. These costs should be reexamined at least annually to determine whether the program truly reflects an appropriate level of cost/benefit and whether the public would be better served by scaling back the program. It does not seem appropriate to bill those obtaining a proper permit for the costs associated with enforcing actions against those who have not obtained the proper permit for their activities.

Should progress in dealing with the General Plan issues not be improved within 120 days, October 16, 2007, and not be continuing, the Taxpayers Association will withdraw support for these changes and request the Board of Supervisors rescind the fee increase. We are more than willing to fully participate in any discussions concerning appropriate remedies to these important issues.

Attachment: New Fee Proposal – C, General Funded Code Enforcement

CC: Rusty Dupray, Supervisor District I
Jack Sweeney. Supervisor District III
Ron Briggs, Supervisor District IV
Norma Santiago, Supervisor District V
Mike Raffety, Mountain Democrat
Cathy Locke, Sacramento Bee
Tahoe Tribune
Auburn Journal
Village Life

Building Services Customer Profile 2006							New Fee Proposal - C General Funded Code Enforcement			
Permit Category	% of Total Customers	Number of Permits	Average Valuation	Average Current Building Fee	Total Revenue	% of Total	No Change to Current Fee		Increase in Average Permit Fee	Additional Revenue Generated
Average of Permits Requiring TIM Fees	19%	1,105	\$325,937	\$3,259	\$3,601,195					
Dwellings, Retail, Industrial, etc.										
TOTAL "LARGE" PERMIT CUSTOMERS	19%	1,105	\$325,937	\$3,259	\$3,601,195	71%				
Average Pool Permit	8%	731	\$22,893	\$229	\$167,345		-	\$286	\$57	\$41,83
Average Misc. Structures Category	12%	449	\$46,865	\$469	\$210,425			\$586	\$117	\$52,60
Decks, Garages, etc.	12.0			*100	<b>4210,120</b>			Ψ300	9117	\$32,00
Average Remodel	5%	354	\$69,472	\$695	\$245,931			\$868	\$174	\$61,48
Average Addition	6%	281	\$77,023	\$770	\$216,436			\$963	\$193	\$54,109
Average all Other Permits										-
Electrical, Plumbing, Reroof, etc.	50%	2,951	\$21,050	\$211	\$621,195		MINIMUM	\$298	\$87	\$258,203
TOTAL "SMALL" PERMIT CUSTOMERS	81%	4,766	\$237,304	\$475	\$1,461,333	29%				\$468,237
TOTAL ALL CUSTOMERS 5,87			\$563,241				Revenue from increased fees Revenue from Resubmittals			\$468,237 \$100,000
							Total Potent	ial New Fee	Revenue	\$568,237
					Revenue Target Code Enforcement GF Offset New Revenue Target			\$1,391,457 (\$496,355 \$895,102		
			Customer Service	ce Impact 3 to 4 positions			Cost Reduction Required			\$326,865