



"Ford, Dawn"
<Dawn.Ford@dgs.ca.gov>
08/13/2007 09:24 PM

To <bosone@co.el-dorado.ca.us>
cc <rikki_007@hotmail.com>
bcc
Subject Agenda Item Z06-0017/P06-0017 (Lake Hills Court) August 14 Meeting

The following agenda item in on the August 14 meeting:

" The proposed rezone from Estate Residential Ten-acre (RE-10) to Single-family Three-acre Residential (R3A) is consistent with the General Plan which designates the parcel as Medium Density Residential (MDR) which allows for the proposed parcel sizes. The proposed R3A zoning is consistent within the El Dorado Hills Community Region. "

We strongly oppose the rezoning of this ten-acre parcel on Lake Hills Court to three-acre parcels. The parcel is located directly behind our Waterford residence (Lot 285.) We hope that the Board of Supervisors will consider and support our position against rezoning this parcel.

Thank you for your consideration.

Richard E. Ford II and Dawn L. Ford
2273 Cardiff Circle
El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
EL DORADO HILLS

2007 AUG 14 AM 8:12



Susan Maur
<bsmaur@pacbell.net>
08/13/2007 09:00 PM

To bosone@co.el-dorado.ca.us
cc
bcc
Subject Rezone

As residents of the Waterford neighborhood of El Dorado Hills, we ask that you **do not reconsider the rezone request** of Chamy Lee to rezone his Lakehills property (APN 110-020-08) from 10 acre estate to 3 acre single family residences. Rezoning to smaller parcels along Lakehills would be inconsistent with the current country appeal and necessity of the area. There are currently ranchettes with acreage only along this road. Inserting smaller residences would be out of place and devalue the neighborhoods and ruin the charm and appeal of some of the last and oldest open space in EDH. Since other properties along Lakehills are for sale, their requests for smaller and smaller and smaller parcels is sure to follow and would be completely inappropriate. Save the deer, hawks, and other wildlife! Let's keep our priorities straight -- and align with the country appeal that makes EDH the special and desirable place to live that it is. Retain the current zoning and maintain EDH priorities.

Susan and Brad Maur
2279 Cardiff Cir
EDH 95762

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
EL DORADO COUNTY

2007 AUG 14 AM 8:12



"ghatley"
<ghatley@pacbell.net>
08/13/2007 08:40 PM

To <bosone@co.el-dorado.ca.us>
cc
bcc
Subject Agenda item #57

As a very happy resident of El Dorado County for the past six years I would like to voice my opinion regarding the request from Chamy Lee for the rezoning (Z06-0017) of 10.4 acres from Estate Residential Ten-acre (RE-10) to Single-family Three-acre Residential (R3A).

One of the primary reasons my wife and I chose our location was due to the acreage that exists and the feeling of being in a country setting. It is so beautiful to look out and see trees, pastures and open space. In reviewing your "Vision Statement" for El Dorado County, it would appear that the County shares those same values.

I cannot see how approval of this rezoning request will do anything but start the domino effect of tearing down the intentions described in the Vision Statement. For once the process is approved, there will be a continual flow of similar requests, and probably for less than Three-acre Residential.

As you already know Lake Hills is today a very busy street and this will do nothing but exacerbate that issue. I do not look forward to the sounds of hammers pounding nails in beams, and concrete trucks and other vehicles bringing building supplies to the area.

We have a very peaceful, quiet and great neighborhood at this time and really question the sanity of the rezoning request, from the County point of view, obviously I understand it very well from the developer's view.

I urge you and the entire Board of Supervisors to disapprove this request for rezoning.

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
EL DORADO COUNTY

2007 AUG 14 AM 8:12



Debra Sedgwick
<dsedgwick@sbcglobal.net>
08/13/2007 10:41 PM

To bosone@co.el-dorado.ca.us
cc
bcc

Subject Rusty Dupray-please do not approve RE Zone from RE-10 to
RE 3 acres parcel to MDR

I am attempting to send an email to Rusty Dupray:

Pease do not approve RE Zone from RE-10 to RE 3 acres parcel to MDR, agenda no.57 on 8-14-07. We residents of Waterford oppose this re-zone to our neighborhood. Lake Hills Rd. is a serene country road unable to handle the increased traffic this re-zoning would cause. If you allow re-zoning, the other parcels will follow suit and that would have a very negative effect on the neighborhood.

Thank you,
Debra Sedgwick
Waterford resident

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
EL DORADO COUNTY

2007 AUG 14 AM 8:12



gnfitch@aol.com
08/13/2007 10:28 PM

To bosone@co.el-dorado.ca.us, bostwo@co.el-dorado.ca.us,
bosthree@co.el-dorado.us, bosfour@co.el-dorado.ca.us,
bosfive@co.el-dorado.ca.us

cc

bcc

Subject Equestrian Village Rezone

Dear Supervisors:

We will not be able to attend the request for reconsideration of rezoning on the Lee property in our village and would like to have our written comments considered by all supervisors.

We have lived in Equestrian Village for almost five years and own three horses. There are many, many villages in EDH but **only this one** is equestrian in nature. Ours is the only village set aside for large animals such as horses and cows in all of EDH. The rezone request of the Lees threatens the uniqueness of our village. As more crowding occurs, complaints from new homeowners about our livestock could easily happen. Eventually, we could lose the very lifestyle that the village now offers.

A case in point is that a recent development in Equestrian Village has now cut us off from the Folsom Lake equestrian trail by denying access through their acreage. Access was allowed for over 25 years and is now not allowed due to this one development. This one additional development created an adverse affect to the rest of the village residents. We request the access to the lake trail be restored to Equestrian village residents

We understand that one of the deciding factors to approve the rezone is that many years ago our area was zoned MDR. This zoning occurred long before EDH was built out as it is now. Why should MDR which was probably instituted by people no longer alive or not now living in EDH be the determining factor of allowing this rezone?

Times have changed. EDH is overly developed and preserving what little is left of large parcels is important to the diversity of the area. Ten acre zoning affords open, green space and an environmental buffer zone to higher density housing.

We would ask at the very least that no decision is made today and that instead the parties are asked to work together to keep the integrity of this village as it was intended and as the majority of landowners who actually live here would like to preserve.

Very truly yours,
Gary and Nancy Fletcher

AOL now offers free email to everyone. Find out more about what's free from AOL at AOL.com

2007 AUG 14 AM 8:12
RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
EL DORADO COUNTY



"Nyle & Kathleen"
<nkbkr@sbcglobal.net>
08/13/2007 09:31 PM

To <bosone@co.el-dorado.ca.us>
cc
bcc
Subject RE 8-14-07 AGENDA #57 REZONING ON LAKEHILLS DR

8-13-07 Mon. ATTN: Rusty Dupray

Mr Dupray,

It was just brought to our attention this evening that #57 on tomorrow's Agenda is to revisit the subject of RE Zoning 10 acre parcel to 3 acre, 3 acre, 4 acre with Granny quarters for one parcel on Lakehills Dr, EDH. **We do not agree with the Granny quarters** as it will actually double the people dwelling within each parcel. Which would equate to 4-1 1/2 acre parcels & 2-2 acre parcels.

Our property backs up to this 10 acre parcel—we purchased our home with the knowledge that the 10 acres behind us was never to be split but remain. The decision has already been made not to subdivide and the re zoning was "denied"—yet here it is again.

We strongly urge you again to **not approve** this re zoning and leave the 10 acre's in tack. Lakehills Dr is already busy with everyone North of Lakehills and Southpointe. We seriously do not need to increase the traffic flow and wear & tear of this road. It takes a beating as it is. Plus the traffic noise has increased greatly as it is now.

Thank you,

Nyle & Kathleen Baker
2740 Carnelian Circle
EDH, Ca. 95762
916-939-1656

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
EL DORADO COUNTY

2007 AUG 14 AM 8:12



<arras1108@sbcglobal.net>
08/14/2007 08:01 AM

To <bosone@co.el-dorado.ca.us>,
<bostwo@co.el-dorado.ca.us>,
<bosthree@co.el-dorado.ca.us>,
cc <bosfive@co.el-dorado.ca.us>
bcc
Subject Agenda item 57

Agenda item 57. 07-1293

Dear El Dorado County Board of Supervisors.

I am writing this in response to the reconsider of the Chamy Lee RE Zone.

Equestrian Village is a biological corridor to Folsom Lake. We are a buffer to Folsom State Park and High Density housing. RE-Zoning us to smaller parcels is going to have a major impact on many things, not just quality of life. Where will it stop?

We are property owners in Equestrian Village. We are very concerned of the ripple effects this re-zone will have. When you make your decision you need to take in to account it's not just one 10 acre parcel being Re-Zone today, but what will tomorrow be? How many more will you re-zone? How many parcels will add granny flats? Has the County done an overlay of the entire area if all the properties were to be re-Zoned? What are the effects's then?

Little by little the rural life style is being eroded away. Please don't take away what we have just so other's can make money that don't live here. This is our home; **we do live here and have an investment to protect.**

Equestrian Village could be the Crown Jewel of El Dorado Hills. I wish we could all work together for the betterment of all. Not just developers.

2007 AUG 14 AM 8:12
RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
EL DORADO COUNTY

Thank you for your time,

Sincerely

Mr. & Mrs. Green