

#32



LATE DISTRIBUTION

EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Measure E

1 message

DATE 8/25/16  
BOS 8/30/16

Debbie & Lee White <clwdlw@internet49.com>  
To: edc.cob@edcgov.us

Wed, Aug 24, 2016 at 6:38 PM

The registered voters of El Dorado County voted and passed Measure E. The Board needs to respect the vote and implement the Measure as written, as the people are tired of builders coming in and starting projects not to finish them (hotel) and leaving an eyesore. The builders need to prove they are supporting infrastructure, i.e.: roads and water before they build homes, apartments or condominiums in our county. We are not opposed to growth but we want responsible growth with accountability to ensure our roads and water supply is not adversely affected.

Thank you

Deborah and Clifford White  
2812 Stone lane  
Placerville, CA 95667



**FREE Animations for your email [Click Here!](#)**



EDC COB &lt;edc.cob@edcgov.us&gt;

---

**Fwd: FW: Measure E**

1 message

---

**The BOSTHREE** <bosthree@edcgov.us>  
To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Thu, Aug 25, 2016 at 1:48 PM

**Kathy Witherow**Assistant to Supervisor Brian K. Veerkamp  
District Three - El Dorado County  
530.621.5652

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Laurel Brent-Bumb** <chamber@eldoradocounty.org>

Date: Thu, Aug 25, 2016 at 1:31 PM

Subject: FW: Measure E

To: The BOSTHREE &lt;bosthree@edcgov.us&gt;, The BOSFOUR &lt;bosfour@edcgov.us&gt;, bosfive@edcgov.us, The BOSTWO &lt;bostwo@edcgov.us&gt;, bosone@edcgov.us

Honorable Supervisors,

Measure E as written and explained in the ballot information expressed the will of the voters to deny projects that require any form of discretionary approval the right to pay a fee to mitigate cumulative road impacts.

Staff indicates the initiative as written may not be enforceable.

Staff then undertakes a confusing and circular rewrite of the Measure using legal maxims and canons that ends with using fees to mitigate cumulative impacts in direct conflict with the will of the voters which was to stop paper roads as mitigation for discretionary projects and stop selected projects. The interpretation conflicts with these objectives.

While there may be a duty to reasonably interpret words or clauses to reach a result consistent with the expressed intent of the voters, neither staff nor the Board has the authority to undermine the voter's intent to save the initiative from itself. The initiative clearly says what it is and is what it says.

Please let the courts do their job in the most expeditious manner as possible.

Let the Board conduct public hearings leading to a consensus 2018 Board sponsored initiative and bring clarity to what is the best traffic program in the region and probably the state.

Laurel Brent-Bumb A.C.E.

Chief Executive Officer

530 621 5885

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use, or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, or authorized to receive for the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication. Thank you for your consideration.