

#28



LATE DISTRIBUTION

EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Item #28, 14-0245

1 message

DATE

0122/14

BOS 6/28/14

Jeannette Maynard <jeannette.maynard@yahoo.com>

Tue, Jun 21, 2016 at 6:38 PM

Reply-To: Jeannette Maynard <jeannette.maynard@yahoo.com>

To: Supervisor Mikulaco <bosone@edcgov.us>, Supervisor Frentzen <bostwo@edcgov.us>, Supervisor Veerkamp <bosthree@edcgov.us>, Supervisor Novasel <bosfive@edcgov.us>, The BOSFOUR <bosfour@edcgov.us>, Clerk of the Board <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Measure E has not officially passed, yet it is being addressed on the June 28, 2016 Consent Calendar?

The County wants to spend \$200,000 on an outside law firm to study how not-yet-officially-passed Measure E will affect TIM fees? What?

Please **REMOVE** Item #28, 14-0245 on the June 28, 2016 Consent Calendar. This item is **PREMATURE**.

Please spend the \$200,000.00 to fix our current roads. It won't go far, but it is a start.

Thank you.

Respectfully,

Jeannette Maynard
El Dorado County Resident

#28



LATE DISTRIBUTION

DATE 6/22/16 BOS 6/28/16

EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

public comment- BOS agenda 6/28/16 item 28 (file 14-0245)

1 message

Ellen Van Dyke <vandyke.5@sbcglobal.net>

Wed, Jun 22, 2016 at 7:58 AM

To: Brian Veerkamp <bosthree@edcgov.us>, Ron Mikulaco <bosone@edcgov.us>, Shiva Frentzen <bostwo@edcgov.us>, Sue Novasel <bosfive@edcgov.us>, Michael Ranalli <bosfour@edcgov.us>

Cc: Jim Mitrisin <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Dear Supervisors:

Please do not approve the request for increased funds under item 28 (14-0245) on the consent calendar for 6/28/16.

- the amount is excessive for the consent calendar without any previous discussion by the Board or with the public
- an amount of \$200K has not been justified; "as a result of Measure E" does not constitute an explanation & no staff memos are posted.
- this contract is linked to a project that is supposedly now put on hold (CDA Press Release 6/17/16)
- the request usurps Road/TIM fee funds & is thrown at a confused public: the project is put on hold, but more funds are requested and the DEIR is not suspended?

CDA needs to reconcile the conflicting and partial information being thrown at the public before you grant them \$200K in additional funds, and an adequate explanation justifying the request should accompany it.

How many employees do we have in LRP now? Can none of them provide the work that needs to be done? Look at the list of CIP projects and see what \$800K would cover in terms of actual road improvements, vs. using those funds for consultant fees.

Ellen Van Dyke, Rescue

agenda item 28:

Board of Supervisors	MEETING AGENDA	June 28, 2016
28. <u>14-0245</u>	Community Development Agency, Long Range Planning Division, recommending the Board approve and authorize the Chair to sign Amendment IV to Agreement for Services 214-S1511 with Kittelson and Associates, Inc., amending Article IX, Article XIX, and Contract Signer designations, and increasing the maximum obligation by \$200,000 for a new total not-to-exceed amount \$802,960 to amend the draft Major Five-Year Capital Improvement Program and Traffic Impact Mitigation Fee updates as a result of Measure E, pending review and approval by Risk Management and County Counsel.	
	FUNDING: Traffic Impact Mitigation Fees (80%) and Road Fund (20%).	