

EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Fwd: BOS January 24, 2017 Item 30 16-1146

1 message

Jim Mitrisin - El Dorado County <jim.mitrisin@edcgov.us> To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Tue, Jan 24, 2017 at 7:13 AM

Please add as public comment for item 30 on today's agenda.

1/24/17

Jim Mitrisin Clerk of the Board of Supervisors County of El Dorado Ph. 530.621.5390 Main Ph. 530.621.5592 Direct Email jim.mitrisin@edcgov.us

-- Forwarded message --From: James Sweeney <jamessweeney@comcast.net> Date: Mon, Jan 23, 2017 at 8:50 PM Subject: BOS January 24, 2017 Item 30 16-1146 To: jim.mitrisin@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bosone@edcgov.us, The BOSTHREE <bosthree@edcgov.us>, The BOSFOUR <bosfour@edcgov.us>, bosfive@edcgov.us Cc: Donald Ashton <don.ashton@edcgov.us>, roger.niello@edcgov.us, Joe Harn - <joe.harn@edcgov.us>

BOS 1-24-17 Item 30

Chair and members of the Board:

I believe you have a long agenda tomorrow, therefore I will not waste your time at the meeting and I do hope you have time to read this memo; thank you!

I appreciate the lengthy staff report about how to increase the spending on road maintenance as our roads are in dire need of additional funding!

In the world of road maintenance it is said that a road with proper drainage is a very strong road and will last a long time. The roads in our county would not have been so badly flooded nor as significantly damaged if the ditches had been properly and annually cleaned! Ditching is the greatest preventative maintenance that can accomplished. Ditches are to roads what paint is to wood!

It is suggested in the staff report that you should renegotiate with the tribe to be able to use the tribal road funds in other parts of the county! That map was drawn purposely to illustrate the area that would be most impacted by the casino traffic. It was meant that such money would be in addition to the ordinary maintenance dollars for the area; it was not an effort to have more money to ease other impacts on the county general fund nor to transplant money to other areas of the county. And, ask yourselves what you will give the tribe in return for such revised negotiations?

As to the MC&FP: you should think of these funds as money that would not have come to the county if the incentive of roadway improvements had not been promised! This is NOT money that would have been in the general fund if we had not brought in the additional commercial space that came available only through the methodology of the MC&FP! And

there is the potential to more than double the existing revenue if additional roadway incentives are provided. And remember, the MC&FP is funded with 85% of the one cent that would go to the general fund and does leave the one and a quarter cents of "law enforcement" money in that pot! For every dollar that goes to the MC&FP \$1.47 goes to law enforcement! What a gold mine!

Even if you wanted to give up this economic incentive program, I believe you are obligated to the property owners and holders of the development agreements and tentative maps to build out all of Phase I improvements and a reasonable amount of Phase II improvements.

That is to say that there may be a different solution than the full blown Single Point Urban Interchange.

With every application for building permits, Tentative Maps, or Development agreements the property owners were REQUIRED to annex to the MC&FP! That is the very basis for the obligation; the county requirement to annex with the promise of an ultimate road circulation system that improved the value of their improvements!

Please to not give up on the MC&FP! It can be a cash cow for this county

Thank you for your patience with me and for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully,

Jack Sweeney





EDC COB <edc.cob@edcc

BOARD AGENDA 1/24/2017- Items #19, File #13-1194 and #30, File 16-1146

1 message

greatnewlife@att.net <greatnewlife@att.net>

"edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Mon, Jan 23, 2017 at 9:06 PM To: "bosone@edcgov.us" <bosone@edcgov.us>, "bostwo@edcgov.us" <bostwo@edcgov.us>, "bosthree@edcgov.us" <bosthree@edcgov.us>, "bosfour@edcgov.us" <bosfour@edcgov.us>, "bosfive@edcgov.us" <bosfive@edcgov.us>,

Dear Supervisors John Hidahl, Shiva Frentzen, Brian Veerkamp, Michael Ranalli and Sue Novasel:

Those of us living on Shingle Springs Drive are appalled by the fact that the County has been receiving a significant amount of money each year (since 2009) that was specifically earmarked in the 2006 and 2012 MOUs with the Tribe for mitigating the negative impacts of the RedHawk Casino and the Tribe's other development projects. To date, none of this money has found its' way to benefit those financially, physically and emotionally affected in the Shingle Springs Community near the casino or other purchased Tribal lands.

Agenda Items #19 and #30 are vaguely labeled and written to disguise the source of the funding for the proposed projects so that the majority of El Dorado County Citizens are unaware that a large portion of the funding is being diverted from mitigating the negative and dangerous impacts of the Tribe's activities in Shingle Springs to other unaffected areas in the County that are not within close proximity to Tribal lands. Your actions in diverting these funds have contributed to the bad relationships the Tribe has with its' immediate neighbors.

We request that approval of Board Agenda Items #19 and #30 be postponed until such time that the Agenda Item is properly described on the agenda and affected residents have the opportunity to communicate with the Board and the public regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Aren Manda

Representative for the Shingle Springs Drive Residents

Sent from Mail for Windows 10