

**LATE DISTRIBUTION****DATE**2/10/15  
~~BOS 2/10/15~~

EDC COB &lt;edc.cob@edcgov.us&gt;

**Feb 10, 2015 Calendar item #23 15-0048**

1 message

**Larry Weitzman** <weitzman@directcon.net>

Tue, Feb 10, 2015 at 1:12 PM

To: edc.cob@edcgov.us

Cc: Pamela Knorr &lt;pamela.knorr@edcgov.us&gt;, bosone@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bosthree@edcgov.us, bosfour@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us

Dear EDC Board of Supervisors, I was originally going to voice an opinion against spending any money relative to the CDA, Long Range Planning Division to hire a consultant with respect to the MC&FP. However after discussing the item with the Senior Civil Engineer for the County, Claudia Wade, there is significant merit to the item. However, I am only in favor of a portion of the item, the \$450,000 for the Missouri Flat Area Circulation and Financing Plan Phase II and not in favor in spending \$150,000 Business Parks Financial and Marketing Strategy Report. Mrs. Wade advised me that Long Range Planning will also recommend spending the \$450,000 which is from the MC&FP Funds and not to spend \$150,000 for the Market Strategy Report which would have been from General funds. I am in agreement with this proposal.

By using the outside consultant it will accelerate and shorten the completion of the Plan substantially and help with the TIM fee situation. The economic benefit from the earlier completion of the plan is large.

Thank you for your consideration,

Larry Weitzman

Placerville, Ca



EDC COB &lt;edc.cob@edcgov.us&gt;

---

**Feb 10, 2015 Calendar item #23-15-0048**

2 messages

---

**Larry Weitzman** <weitzman@directcon.net>

Tue, Feb 10, 2015 at 1:50 PM

To: edc.cob@edcgov.us, Pamela Knorr &lt;pamela.knorr@edcgov.us&gt;, bosone@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bostthree@edcgov.us, bosfour@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us

Dear EDC Board of Supervisors, In further reviewing this item #23 on the agenda today my previous email with respect to supporting this item is slightly amended as to not supporting one line item I just noticed in the \$450,000 bid. That line item is Task 1.11: Conduct County Outreach. The County employees should face the public and not use a buffer of a consultant to not answer direct questions. All public questions should be answered by county officials directly. It create public confidence and later cannot be used as an excuse that can be used if only a consult is facing the public. I have made this point before with respect to public outreach by the county using consultants as a buffer. It's like having an attorney answering questions placed to you.

Thank you for your consideration,

Larry Weitzman

Placerville, Ca

---

**Larry Weitzman** <weitzman@directcon.net>

Tue, Feb 10, 2015 at 2:00 PM

To: edc.cob@edcgov.us, Pamela Knorr &lt;pamela.knorr@edcgov.us&gt;, bosone@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bostthree@edcgov.us, bosfour@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us

Dear BOS, To make myself clear, This email means I do not support as below spending \$51,500 for line item 1:11, conduct public outreach. I support the spending of \$398,500 for the rest of the bid as proposed. The County should conduct the public outreach necessary.

Thank you for your consideration,

Larry Weitzman

Placerville, Ca

---

**From:** Larry Weitzman [mailto:weitzman@directcon.net]**Sent:** Tuesday, February 10, 2015 1:51 PM**To:** 'edc.cob@edcgov.us'; 'Pamela Knorr'; 'bosone@edcgov.us'; 'bostwo@edcgov.us'; 'bostthree@edcgov.us'; 'bosfour@edcgov.us'; 'bosfive@edcgov.us'**Subject:** Feb 10, 2015 Calendar item #23-15-0048

[Quoted text hidden]