# Environmental Management <br> Community Development Agency <br> Fee Study FY 14/15 



## COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

## ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DIVISION

Background: Environmental Management Division currently has a combination of flat fees and time and material fees. Actual time studies have not been examined since FY 2003-2004. The fees have been updated annually based on the CPI in accordance with the last resolution passed.

The current fee study consisted of analyzing the labor hours used for each fee as well as calculating an average labor rate for the division. The labor hour data was collected per program element using Environmental Management's time tracking software program Envision. The initial data proved to be inconclusive. Division directors, managers and supervisors conducted a detailed examination of each fee and compared it to the actual data. For $92 \%$ of the fees there were some mitigating factors that included non-billable time for complaints, research, phone consultations, increased turnover and training. These mitigating factors determine that the data was inadequate and not used to increase or decrease fees in $92 \%$ of the fee categories. Staff interviews were conducted in order to solidify adjustments to labor hours for use in the fee calculations; however, those results were inconclusive.

Therefore, it is recommended that fees be calculated based on the current year billing rate times the current hourly time it takes to do the work.

For $8 \%$ of the fees, the data and interviews were considered adequate and adjustments were applied to the labor hours.

Highlights: The test trench fee, program element 4233, has been recommended as a time and material charge instead of a flat fee. This subsequently lowered 7 other fees since their associated inspections are covered under program element 4233.

Fees that have an increase include Organized Camps, Food Facility Water System- Operating Permits and General Plan Map Amendment. Fees with a reduction include Special Use Permit Review, Review of Tentative Maps of Subdivision on Septic (all types), Variance Application, Tentative Parcel Maps and Septic System Experimental/Alternative permit.

Some fees were consolidated into an existing time and material fee. A few fees were eliminated from the fee schedule as they are obsolete or could be covered under another program element.

The Construction \& Demolition (C \&D) Ordinance No. 4640 identifies three new fees; C\&D Debris Processing Fee, C\&D Debris Diversion Compliance Fee and a C\&D Debris Deposit. The ordinance fees are made in response to the new 2013 California Green Building Standards Code, California Code of Regulations, Title 24, Part 11 (CalGreen). C\&D Debris Recycling Ordinance was revised to meet the new CalGreen requirements.

The C\&D Debris Processing Fee covers staff time reviewing building permit applications for which the permitee elects option 3 (Waste Management Plan (WMP)) to comply with the C\&D Debris Recycling Ordinance. C\&D Diversion Compliance Fee will be applied when permittees fail to meet the requirements of the C\&D Debris Recycling Ordinance. C\&D Deposit will be applied to permittees who have been assessed a C\&D Diversion Compliance Fee within the past year. The deposit will be returned in full, without interest, upon proof that the permittee was fully complaint with the C\&D Debris Recycling Ordinance on the current project.

The Union Mine treatment plant has been open for nearly 20 years. The septage disposal fee per pound is currently $\$ .0102$. The recommended fee per pound is $\$ .01176$. There has not been an increase in the disposal fee since inception. The treatment plant requires planned major maintenance, refurbishment and capital projects. These will be funded on an ongoing ten-year cycle through the recommended fee.

# DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DIVISION 

RECOMMENDED FEE SCHEDULE

| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{PE} \\ \mathrm{CODE} \end{gathered}$ | ACTIVITY | FY 14/15 FEE | RECOMMENDED HOURS | RECOMMENDED FEE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$143.00 | FY 15/16 hourly rate | \$163.93 |
|  | ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH PERMIT FEES |  |  |  |
| 1510 | ANNUAL BODY ART FACILITY PERMIT FEE | \$280.00 | 2.00 | \$328.00 |
| 1515 | ANNUAL BODY ART PRACTITIONER REGISTRATION FEE | \$70.00 | 0.50 | \$82.00 |
| 1650 | BAKERY | \$431.00 | 3.00 | \$492.00 |
| 1620 | BAR | \$287.00 | 2.00 | \$328.00 |
| 1681 | BED \& BREAKFAST (FOOD FACILITY) | \$360.00 | 2.50 | \$410.00 |
| 1640 | CATERER | \$287.00 | 2.00 | \$328.00 |
| 1680 | COMMISSARY | \$287.00 | 2.00 | \$328.00 |
| 1630 | COTTAGE FOOD OPERATION CLASS "A" | \$72.00 | 0.50 | \$82.00 |
| 1631 | COTTAGE FOOD OPERATION CLASS "B" | \$215.00 | 1.50 | \$246.00 |
| 1516 | EAR PIERCING FACILITY NOTIFICATION | \$47.00 | 0.30 | \$49.00 |
| 1611 | MARKET/RETAIL <2000 SQ. FT. | \$287.00 | 2.00 | \$328.00 |
| 1612 | MARKET/RETAIL >2000 SQ. FT. | \$360.00 | 2.50 | \$410.00 |
| 1613 | MARKET/RETAIL-PREPACKAGED ONLY | \$143.00 | 1.00 | \$164.00 |
| 1614 | MARKET+1 (MEAT, DELI, BAKERY, RESTAURANT) | \$503.00 | 3.50 | \$574.00 |
| 1616 | MARKET+2 (MEAT, DELI, BAKERY, RESTAURANT) | \$647.00 | 4.50 | \$738.00 |
| 1617 | MARKET+3 (MEAT, DELI, BAKERY, RESTAURANT) | \$790.00 | 5.50 | \$902.00 |
| 1615 | MARKET+4 OR MORE (MEAT, DELI, BAKERY, RESTAURANT) | \$934.00 | 6.50 | \$1,066.00 |
| 1635 | MOBILE FOOD / PRE-PACKAGED | \$143.00 | 1.00 | \$164.00 |
| 1633 | MOBILE FOOD/ROADSIDE | \$287.00 | 2.00 | \$328.00 |
| 1690 | ORGANIZED CAMPS (Fee in addition to fees collected for other permits) | \$287.00 | 4.00 | \$656.00 |
| 1642 | PLUS CATERING/ONLY USE WITH EXISTING RESTAURANT | \$143.00 | 1.00 | \$164.00 |
| 1623 | RESTAURANT >650 SQUARE FEET | \$431.00 | 3.00 | \$492.00 |
| 1622 | RESTAURANT<650 SQ. FT. | \$360.00 | 2.50 | \$410.00 |
| 1621 | RESTAURANT-BAR | \$431.00 | 3.00 | \$492.00 |
| 1540 | RIVER RAFTING/FOOD | \$287.00 | 2.00 | \$328.00 |
| 1632 | SCHOOLS/PUBLIC AGENCIES (FOOD FACILITY) | \$431.00 | 3.00 | \$492.00 |
| 1511 | SEASONAL FOOD FACILITY (closed for one consecutive quarter) | \$287.00 | 2.00 | \$328.00 |
| 3612 | SPA | \$287.00 | 2.00 | \$328.00 |
| 3611 | SWIMMING POOL | \$431.00 | 3.00 | \$492.00 |
| 1518 | TEMPORARY /OCCASIONAL EVENT within 5 days of event | \$180.00 | 1.30 | \$213.00 |
| 1513 | TEMPORARY PRE-PACKAGED FOOD BOOTH | \$73.00 | 0.50 | \$82.00 |
| 1512 | TEMPORARY/OCCASIONAL EVENT within 14 days of event | \$143.00 | 1.00 | \$164.00 |
| 3615 | WADING POOL | \$287.00 | 2.00 | \$328.00 |
| 1625 | WINERY - COMMERCIAL KITCHEN | \$287.00 | 2.00 | \$328.00 |
| 1627 | WINERY - PRE-PACKAGED FOOD | \$143.00 | 1.00 | \$164.00 |
| 1626 | WINERY - SEASONAL | \$287.00 | 2.00 | \$328.00 |

# DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DIVISION 

RECOMMENDED FEE SCHEDULE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { PE } \\ \text { CODE } \end{gathered}$ | ACTIVITY | FY 14/15 FEE | RECOMMENDED HOURS | RECOMMENDED FEE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$143.00 | FY 15/16 hourly rate | \$163.93 |
|  | PLAN CHECK FEES: NEW CONSTRUCTION (Flat fee will be charged as shown. If work exceeds recommended hours, an additional hourly rate will be added to existing fee charged in $1 / 2$ hour increments) |  |  |  |
| 1770 | PLAN CHECK: BAKERY | \$717.00 | 5.00 | \$820.00 |
| 1760 | PLAN CHECK: BAR | \$574.00 | 4.00 | \$656.00 |
| 1782 | PLAN CHECK: BED \& BREAKFAST | \$431.00 | 3.00 | \$492.00 |
| 1780 | PLAN CHECK: CATERERS | \$287.00 | 2.00 |  |
| 1774 | PLAN CHECK: MARKET +1 | \$861.00 | 6.00 | \$328.00 |
| 1776 | PLAN CHECK: MARKET +2 | \$1,005.00 | 7.00 \$ \$1,148.00 |  |
| 1777 | PLAN CHECK: MARKET +3 | \$1,148.00 | 8.00 | \$1,311.00$\$ 1,475.00$ |
| 1775 | PLAN CHECK: MARKET +4 | \$1,291.00 | 9.00 |  |
| 1773 | PLAN CHECK: MARKET PRE-PACKAGED ONLY | \$143.00 | 1.00 | \$1,475.00 $\mathbf{\$ 1 6 4 . 0 0}$ |
| 1771 | PLAN CHECK: MARKET/RETAIL <2000 SQ. FT. | \$574.00 | 4.00 | $\$ 656.00$ |
| 1772 | PLAN CHECK: MARKET/RETAIL >2000 SQ. FT. | \$717.00 | 5.00 | \$820.00 |
| 1781 | PLAN CHECK: MOBILE FOOD / ROADSIDE | \$287.00 | 1 hr. min. then $\begin{array}{r}2.00 \\ \mathrm{~T} \& \mathrm{M}\end{array}$ | \$328.00 |
| 1710 | PLAN CHECK: OTHER (PER HOUR) - CONSULTATIONS INSP. ETC | \$143.00 |  | \$163.93 min. then T\&M |
| 1762 | PLAN CHECK: RESTAURANT < 650 SQ. FT. | \$717.00 | 5.00 | \$820.00 |
| 1763 | PLAN CHECK: RESTAURANT > 650 SQ. FT. | \$861.00 | 6.00 | \$984.00 |
| 1779 | PLAN CHECK: SCHOOLS/PUBLIC AGENCIES | \$717.00 | 5.00 | \$820.00$\$ 984.00$ |
| 1752 | PLAN CHECK: SPA | \$861.00 | 6.00 |  |
| 1750 | PLAN CHECK: SWIMMING POOL | \$861.00 | 6.00 | \$984.00 |
| 1761 | PLAN CHECK: BAR AND RESTAURANT | \$861.00 | 6.00 | \$984.00 |
| 1517 | PLAN CHECK: TATTOO/BODY ART | \$280.00 | 2.00 | \$328.00 |
|  | OTHER RELATED FEES BASED UPON NEED \& ACTUAL COSTS |  |  |  |
| 2622 | PARCEL RESEARCH (Per Parcel) | \$13.00 | N/A | \$13.00 |
|  | FOOD HANDLER CLASSES |  |  |  |
| 1906 | FOOD HANDLER MGR ED. BOOK \& TEST ONLY | \$127.00 | N/A | \$121.00 |
| 1905 | FOOD HANDLER MGR ED. BOOK ONLY | \$72.00 | N/A | \$60.00 |
| 1902 | FOOD HANDLER MGR ED. CLASS \& TEST ONLY | \$104.00 | N/A | \$157.00 |
| 1904 | FOOD HANDLER MGR ED. CLASS ONLY | \$50.00 | N/A | \$90.00 |
| 1900 | FOOD HANDLER MGR ED. CLASS, BOOK, \& TEST | \$176.00 | N/A | \$211.00 |
| 1903 | FOOD HANDLER MGR ED. TEST ONLY | \$65.00 | N/A | \$67.00 |
| 1901 | FOOD HANDLER MGR RESCHEDULE FEE | \$34.00 | N/A | \$41.00 |
| 1907 | FOOD MGR SAFETY RE-CERTIFICATION | \$80.00 |  | \$92.00 |
| 1909 | FOOD SAFETY TRAINING (PER HOUR) | \$143.00 | 15^か年 | 5E 4 of $141 \quad \$ 164.00$ |

# DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DIVISION 

RECOMMENDED FEE SCHEDULE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { PE } \\ \text { CODE } \end{gathered}$ | ACTIVITY | FY 14/15 FEE | RECOMMENDED HOURS | RECOMMENDED FEE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$143.00 | FY 15/16 hourly rate |  | \$163.93 |
| 1908 | MGR CERTIFICATION - RE-TEST | \$26.00 | N/A |  | \$67.00 |
|  | CERTIFIED UNIFIED PROGRAM AGENCY (CUPA) FEES |  |  |  |  |
| 2349 | ABOVE GROUND PETROLEUM STORAGE ACT (APSA - Less than 10,000) | \$106.00 | 0.70 |  | \$114.00 |
| 2350 | ABOVE GROUND PETROLEUM STORAGE ACT (APSA - 10,000-100,000) | \$213.00 | 1.50 |  | \$246.00 |
| 2351 | ABOVE GROUND PETROLEUM STORAGE ACT (APSA - 100,001-1,000,000) Ca Code of Reg Title 27 Division 1, Subdivision 4, Chapter 1 Section 15240 | \$426.00 | 3.00 |  | \$492.00 |
| 2110 | CUPA-BUSINESS PLANS/LARGE BUSINESSES (>1,999 gallons above ground) | \$287.00 | 2.00 |  | \$328.00 |
| 2109 | CUPA-BUSINESS PLANS/SMALL BUSINESSES (55-1,999 gallons above ground) | \$216.00 | 1.50 |  | \$246.00 |
| 2399 | CUPA-OTHER - UGST SITES REPAIR/REMODEL/UPGRADE - MINOR (per site) | \$287.00 | 2 hrs . min. then $T \& M$ | \$327.86 min. | hen T\&M |
| 2011 | CUPA-SMALL QUANTITY HW GEN. (<55 gallons stored) | \$216.00 | 1.50 |  | \$246.00 |
| 2311 | CUPA-UGST - ANNUAL PERMIT (per tank) | \$431.00 | 3.00 |  | \$492.00 |
| 2118 | CUPA-UGST - ENVIR. ASSESSMENT-REVIEW/FILE SEARCH (per hour) | \$143.00 | 1 hr . min. then T\&M | \$163.93 min. | hen T\&M |
| 2305 | CUPA-UGST - INTERIOR LINING INSTALLATION PERMIT (per tank) | \$287.00 | 2.00 |  | \$328.00 |
| 2390 | CUPA-UGST - PERMANENT CLOSE/ABANDON/REMOVE (per tank) | \$574.00 | 4 hrs. min. then T\&M | \$655.72 min. | hen T\&M |
| 2348 | CUPA-UGST - REMEDIATION, SITE ASSESS, INVEST | \$431.00 | 3.00 |  | \$492.00 |
| 2347 | CUPA-UGST - REPAIR/REMODEL/UPGRADE - MAJOR (per tank) | \$503.00 | 3.5 hrs. min. then T\&M | \$573.76 min. | hen T\&M |
| 2315 | CUPA-UGST - TANK INSTALLATION \& REPLACEMENT (per tank) | \$431.00 | 3 hrs . min. then T\&M | \$491.79 min. | hen T\&M |
| 2393 | CUPA-UGST - TEMPORARY CLOSURE (per tank) | \$287.00 | 2.00 |  | \$328.00 |
|  | MEDICAL WASTE FEES |  |  |  |  |
| 2241 | MED WST-ACUTE CARE HOSP. | \$717.00 | 5.00 |  | \$820.00 |
| 2262 | MED WST-CLINICAL LABORATORY | \$287.00 | 2.00 |  | \$328.00 |
| 2263 | MED WST-HEALTH CAFE SERVICE PLAN FAC. | \$431.00 | 3.00 |  | \$492.00 |
| 2260 | MED WST-INTERMEDIATE CARE | \$360.00 | 2.50 |  | \$410.00 |
| 2265 | MED WST-MEDICAL/DENTAL/VETERINARY OFFICES | \$287.00 | 2.00 |  | \$328.00 |
| 2237 | MED WST-NURSING FACILITY 1-99 | \$360.00 | 2.50 |  | \$410.00 |
| 2238 | MED WST-NURSING FACILITY 100-199 | \$431.00 | 3.00 |  | \$492.00 |
| 2239 | MED WST-NURSING FACILITY 200 OR MORE | \$503.00 | 3.50 |  | \$574.00 |
| 2261 | MED WST-PRIMARY CARE | \$431.00 | 3.00 |  | \$492.00 |
| 2240 | MED WST-SM QTY W/O ONSITE/INITIAL FILING FEE | \$73.00 | 0.50 |  | \$82.00 |
| 2243 | MED WST-SM QTY W/ONSITE | \$143.00 | 1.00 |  | \$164.00 |
| 2242 | MED WST-SPECIALTY CLINICS | \$431.00 | 3.00 |  | \$492.00 |
| 2266 | MED WST-STORAGE FAC. (2-10 GENERATORS) | \$143.00 | 1.00 |  | \$164.00 |
| 2267 | MED WST-STORAGE FAC. (11-49 GENERATORS) | \$287.00 | 2.00 |  | \$328.00 |
| 2268 | MED WST-STORAGE FAC. (50 OR MORE GENERATORS) | \$574.00 | 154.05 | 5E 5 of 141 | \$656.00 |

# DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DIVISION 

RECOMMENDED FEE SCHEDULE

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PE } \\ & \text { CODE } \end{aligned}$ | ACTIVITY | FY 14/15 FEE | RECOMMENDED HOURS | RECOMMENDED FEE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$143.00 | FY 15/16 hourly rate | \$163.93 |
| 2269 | MED WST-TRANSFER STATION | \$574.00 | 4.00 | \$656.00 |
| 2264 | MED WST-VETERINARY CLINIC OR HOSPITAL | \$287.00 | 2.00 | \$328.00 |
|  | SOLID WASTE MONITORING |  |  |  |
| 4351 | MONITORING WELL ABANDON (with UST permit) (including PE 4355, 4357) | \$143.00 | 1 hr . min. then T\&M | \$163.93 min. then T\&M |
| 4350 | MONITORING WELL INSTALL (including PE 4354, 4356) | \$287.00 | 2 hrs . min. then T\&M | \$327.86 min. then T\&M |
| 2114 | NON-UGST-SITE ASSESSMENT (Per Parcel) - SOIL BORING-GEOTECH/SEISMIC | \$287 plus \$143 per parcel | 2 hrs. min. then hourly rate per parcel | $\$ 327.86$ min. then $\$ 163.93$ per parce |
|  | DOMESTIC DRINKING WATER WELLS |  |  |  |
| 4672 | CHANGE OF DRILLER | \$73.00 | . 5 hr . min. then T\&M | \$81.97 min. then T\&M |
|  |  |  | 1 hr. min. then T\&M 1 hr . min. then T\&M | \$163.93 min. then T\&M |
| 4320 | NEW WELL CONSTRUCTION PERMIT (individual or irrigation well) | ea add'l well |  | \$163.93 min. then T\&M |
| 4371 | PENALTY FOR ABANDON/DESTROY WITHOUT PERMIT | \$431.00 | 3.00 |  |
| 4370 | PENALTY FOR DRILLING WITHOUT PERMIT | \$717.00 | 5.00 | \$820.00 |
| 4671 | PERMIT EXTENSION | \$73.00 | 0.50 | \$82.00 |
| 4673 | PERMIT REVISION | \$73.00 | .5 hr min. then T\&M.5 hr min. then T\&M | $\$ 81.97$ min. then T\&M $\$ 81.97$ min. then T\&M |
| 4321 | WELL DEEPEN/MODIFY OR REPAIR PERMITS | \$73.00 |  |  |
| 4322 | WELL DESTRUCTION PERMITS | \$216.00 | 1.5 hr . min. then T\&M | \$245.90 min. then T\&M |
| 4670 | WELL PERMIT-PUBLIC | \$574.00 | 4 hrs. min. then T\&M .5 hr . min. then T\&M | $\$ 655.72$ min. then T\&M $\$ 81.97$ min. then T\&M |
| 4323 | WELL RE-CONSTRUCTION PERMIT $\quad$. $\$ 73.00{ }^{2} .5 \mathrm{hr}$ min. then T\&M |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 4212 | LOAN CERT/SEPTIC ONLY | \$216.00 | 1.50 | \$246.00 |
| 4213 | LOAN CERT/WATER ONLY (DOES NOT Include lab fees) | \$216.00 | 1.50 | \$246.00 |
| 4215 | LOAN CERT/WATER AND SEPTIC (DOES NOT Include lab fees) | \$287.00 | 2.00 | \$328.00 |
| 4208 | WATER SAMPLE HOURLY RATE | \$143.00 | 1 hr . min. then T\&M | \$163.93 min. then T\&M |
|  | INDIVIDUAL SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEMS |  |  |  |
| 4235 | PENALTY FOR ILLEGAL SEPTIC SYSTEM | \$717.00 | 5.00 | \$820.00 |
|  | SEPTAGE DISPOSAL FEE (REGISTERED HAULERS ONLY) | \$. 0102 per lb . |  | \$0.01176 per lb. |
| 4237 | SEPTIC ANNUAL OPERATING PERMIT - MONITORING | \$216.00 | 1.50 | \$246.00 |
| 4227 | SEPTIC MISC REVIEW (DECKS, POOLS, GARAGES, SHEDS, BARNS, STORAGE, ETC.) | \$73.00 | . 5 hr. min. then T\&M | \$81.97 min. then T\&M |
| 4224 | SEPTIC SYSTEM ADDITION PERMIT | \$360.00 | 2.50 | \$410.00 |
| 4228 | SEPTIC SYSTEM COMMERCIAL REPAIR | \$431.00 | 3 hrs. min. then T\&M | \$491.79 min. then T\&M |

# DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DIVISION 

## RECOMMENDED FEE SCHEDULE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { PE } \\ \text { CODE } \end{gathered}$ | ACTIVITY | FY 14/15 FEE | RECOMMENDED HOURS | RECOMMENDED FEE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$143.00 | FY 15/16 hourly rate | \$163.93 |
| 4236 | SEPTIC SYSTEM EXPERIMENTAL/ALTERNATIVE PERMIT | \$1,435.00 | 4.95 | \$811.00 |
| 4220 | SEPTIC SYSTEM REINSPECTION | \$216.00 | 1.5 hr . min. then T\&M | \$245.90 min. then T\&M |
| 4219 | SEPTIC SYSTEM REPAIR | \$216.00 | 1.50 | \$246.00 |
| 4231 | SEPTIC SYSTEM REVISION TO PERMIT APPLICATION | \$73.00 | . 5 hr. min. then T\&M | \$81.97 min. then T\&M |
| 4225 | SEPTIC SYSTEM, ABANDONED | \$216.00 | 1.50 | \$246.00 |
| 4216 | SEPTIC SYSTEM, COMMERCIAL | \$934.00 | 6.50 | \$1,066.00 |
| 4217 | SEPTIC SYSTEM, COMMERCIAL ADDITION | \$431.00 | 3 hrs . min. then T\&M | \$491.79 min. then T\&M |
| 4226 | SEPTIC SYSTEM, NEW / SINGLE FAMILY | \$717.00 | 5.00 | \$820.00 |
| 4244 | SEPTIC VEHICLES (PER VEHICLE) | \$216.00 | 1.50 | \$246.00 |
| 4233 | TEST TRENCH FEASIBILITY INSPECTION | \$216 Plus \$143 ea add'I trench | 1.5 hrs. min. then T\&M | $\$ 245.90$ min. then $\$ 163.93$ each add'I trench |
|  | SMALL PUBLIC WATER SYSTEMS |  |  |  |
| 4624 | FOOD FACILITY WATER SYSTEM - OPERATING PERMIT | \$143.00 | 1.50 | \$246.00 |
| 4638 | NEW COMMUNITY WATER SYST APPLICATION | \$518.00 | 3.60 | \$590.00 |
| 4632 | NEW NON-COMMUNITY SMALL WATER SYSTEM PERMIT APPLICATION FEE | \$360.00 | 2.50 | \$410.00 |
| 4633 | NON-COMMUNITY WATER SYSTEM (TRANSIENT-BASE CHG) | \$431.00 | 3.00 | \$492.00 |
| 4634 | NON-COMMUNITY WATER SYSTEM (NON-TRANSIENT) | \$574.00 | 4.00 | \$656.00 |
| 4622 | SMALL COMMUNITY WATER SYSTEM (BASE CHARGE) | \$574.00 | 4.00 | \$656.00 |
| 4644 | STATE SMALL WATER SYSTEM (BASE CHARGE) | \$216.00 | 1.50 | \$246.00 |
| 4639 | WATER SYSTEM CHANGE IN OWNERSHIP | \$155.00 | 1.10 | \$180.00 |
|  | CONSTRUCTION \& DEMOLITION COMPLIANCE |  |  |  |
| 4400 | C \& D DEBRIS WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN PROCESSING | N/A | 1.00 | \$164.00 |
| 4441 | C \& D DEBRIS DIVERSION COMPLIANCE FEE | N/A | N/A | Double the building |
| 4442 | C \& D DEBRIS DEPOSIT | N/A | N/A | Double the building permit fee |
|  | LAND USE FEES |  |  |  |
| 2669 | ASSESSMENT OF DESIGN REVIEW | \$287.00 | 2.00 | \$328.00 |
| 2623 | BOUNDARY LINE MODIFICATION | \$216.00 | 1.50 | \$246.00 |
| 2668 | CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE REVIEW | \$216.00 | 1.50 | \$246.00 |
| 2670 | DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE LETTER | \$143.00 | 1.00 | \$164.00 |
| 2688 | GENERAL PLAN MAP AMENDMENT | \$143.00 | 15205 | 7 5E 7 of $141 \quad \$ 328.00$ |

# DEVELOPMENT SERVICES - ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT DIVISION 

RECOMMENDED FEE SCHEDULE

| $\begin{gathered} \text { PE } \\ \text { CODE } \end{gathered}$ | ACTIVITY | FY 14/15 FEE | RECOMMENDED HOURS | RECOMMENDED FEE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \$143.00 | FY 15/16 hourly rate | \$163.93 |
| 2679 | MAJOR REVISION TO APPROVED TENTATIVE MAP | \$143.00 | 1 hr . min. then T\&M | \$163.93 min. then T\&M |
| 2681 | MINOR REVISION TO APPROVED TENTATIVE MAP | \$143.00 | 1 hr . min. then T\&M | \$163.93 min. then T\&M |
| 2680 | NOTICE OF PREPARATION (PER HOUR) | \$143.00 | 1 hr . min. then T\&M | \$163.93 min. then T\&M |
| 2673 | PLANNING COMMISSION, MINOR NEW CONSTRUCTION COMMERCIAL | \$143.00 | 1.00 | \$164.00 |
| 2674 | PLANNING DIRECTOR, MINOR NEW CONSTRUCTION COMMERCIAL | \$143.00 | 1 hr . min. then T\&M | \$163.93 min. then T\&M |
| 2671 | PRE-APPLICATION MEETINGS | \$73.00 | 0.50 | \$82.00 |
| 2692 | REVIEW OF A PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PLAN | \$143.00 | 1.00 | \$164.00 |
| 2616 | REVIEW OF REVISIONS TO APPROVED TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP | \$143.00 | 1 hr min. then T\&M | \$163.93 min. then T\&M |
| 2617 | REVIEW OF SUBDIVISION MAPS, PRELIMINARY, RURAL | \$143.00 | 1 hr . min. then T\&M | \$163.93 min. then T\&M |
| 2618 | REVIEW OF SUBDIVISION MAPS, PRELIMINARY, URBAN | \$143.00 | 1 hr min. then T\&M | \$163.93 min. then T\&M |
| 2611 | REVIEW OF TENTATIVE MAPS OF SUBDIVISIONS ON PUBLIC SERVICES (SEWER \& WATER) (BASED ON ONE HOUR CHARGE) | \$143.00 | 1 hr min. then T\&M | \$163.93 min. then T\&M |
| 2607 | REVIEW OF TENTATIVE MAPS OF SUBDIVISIONS ON SEPTIC SYSTEMS (0-49 LOTS) | \$1,579.00 | 3.30 | \$541.00 |
| 2609 | REVIEW OF TENTATIVE MAPS OF SUBDIVISIONS ON SEPTIC SYSTEMS (100+ LOTS) | \$1,866.00 | 3.85 | \$631.00 |
| 2608 | REVIEW OF TENTATIVE MAPS OF SUBDIVISIONS ON SEPTIC SYSTEMS (50-99 LOTS) | \$1,722.00 | 3.30 | \$541.00 |
| 2615 | REVIEW OF TENTATIVE PARCEL MAPS | \$431.00 | 1.65 | \$270.00 |
| 2663 | REVIEW OF VARIANCE APPLICATION | \$574.00 | 1.65 | \$270.00 |
| 2672 | SITE PLAN REVIEW | \$143.00 | 1.00 | \$164.00 |
| 2614 | SPECIAL USE PERMIT REVIEW | \$574.00 | 1.65 | \$270.00 |
| 2612 | SUBDIVISION TENTATIVE MAP REVISION DURING REVIEW | \$143.00 | 1 hr min. then T\&M | \$163.93 min. then T\&M |
| 2675 | ZONING ADMINISTRATOR, MINOR NEW CONSTRUCTION COMMERCIAL | \$143.00 | 1.00 | \$164.00 |
| 2676 | ZONING ADMINISTRATOR, MINOR WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS FACILITY | \$143.00 | 1 hr min. then T\&M | \$163.93 min. then T\&M |

## Food Handler Education

| Food Handler Education <br>  <br> Class, Book, \& Test |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 8.00 | \# of hours |  |
| 163.93 | Hourly rate |  |
| $1,311.44$ | Total time cost |  |
| 131.14 | Cost Recovery @ 10 participants |  |
| 54.00 | Book |  |
| 26.00 | Scoring of Exam |  |
| 211.14 | All included Cost Recovery |  |


| Food Mgr. Recertification <br> Refresher Class \& Test <br>  <br> 2 hours each |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 4.00 | \# of hours |
| 163.93 | Hourly rate |
| 655.72 | Total time cost |
| 65.57 | Cost Recovery @ 10 participants |
| 26.00 | Scoring of Exam |
| 91.57 | All included Cost Recovery |


| Class Only |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5.50 | \# of hours |
| 163.93 | Hourly rate |
| 901.62 | Total time cost |
| 90.16 | Cost Recovery @ 10 participants |


| Test Only |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2.50 \# of hours |  |
| 163.93 Hourly rate |  |
| 409.8 | Total time cost |
| 40.98 Cost Recovery @ 10 participants |  |
| 26.00 Scoring of Exam |  |
| 66.98 All included Cost Recovery |  |
| Class \& Test Only |  |
|  |  |
| 8.00 \# of hours |  |
| 163.93 Hourly rate |  |
| 1,311.44 Total time cost |  |
| 131.14 Cost Recovery @ 10 participants |  |
| 26.00 Scoring of Exam |  |
| 157.1 | All included Cost Recovery |

Cost Recovery based on average of 10 students per class. The classes are capped at 15 students. Classes are held every other month to keep enrollment higher and encourages a minimum of 4 participants for class to be held.

| Food Manager Certification Comparison 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PE 1900 | PE 1901 | PE 1902 | PE 1903 | PE 1904 | PE 1905 |  |
| Vendor/County | Class, Book, Exam | Class, <br> Exam | Class | Exam | Recert | Book | Book \& Exam |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| El Dorado County Fees | 211.00 | 157.00 | 90.00 | 67.00 | 92.00 | 60.00 | 121.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CA Food Safety Exam |  | 159.00 |  |  | 85.00 |  |  |
| Focus Food Safety |  | 175.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Premier Food Safety | 159.00 | 139.00 | 69.00 | 99.00 |  |  |  |
| Food Safety Boot Camp |  | 145.00 |  |  |  |  |  |
| George Young Consulting | 183.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAP Series |  |  | 85.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Serv Safe |  | 139-159 |  | 109-129 |  | 47.20 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| City \& County of San Francisco |  | 143.00 |  | 60.00 |  |  |  |
| Placer-Food Safety Class |  |  | 91.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Yolo-Food Program Consultation |  |  | 152.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Butte County refers out to providers |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Background and Information:

Waste Treatment Plant is now 20 years old and some major maintenance has already taken place. However, listed below are necessary delayed maintenance and capital improvements that are not funded. It is estimated that approximately $\$ 3.2$ million dollars will be needed over the next ten years to fund maintenance and capital projects to allow the treatment plant to serve El Dorado County. Revenues are derived from special assessments(liquid and solid waste), and septage hauling fees.

Recommended Rate:
The current rate is $\$ 0.0102$.
The Agency is recommending full cost recovery at a rate of $\$ 0.01176$ to include necessary and anticipated maintenance and capital improvements.

Estimated Revenue needed for ten year capital and maintenance projects and operations.
Delayed and anticipated capital and maintenance projects:

| Modifications to Digesters (engineer, materials and installation of level sensors, early warning alarms for breach of required overflow) | 150,000 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Receiving Station Upgrade | 500,000 |  |
| Design and Construction of third digester | $1,500,000$ |  |
| Centrifuge replacement (solids processing equipment) | 250,000 |  |
| Enginering Evaluation(structural integrity of holding tanks and digesters) | 300,000 |  |
| Modification of 2 existing digesters for grit removal/cleaning (engineer, equipment \& construction) | Total maintenance and capital | 500,000 |
|  | $3,200,000$ |  |
|  | 75,962 |  |

Total Expenditures
Less: Other Revenue
Net Expenditures to Recover:

| FY 09/10 | FY 10/11 | FY 13/14 | 5 Yr. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(506,622)$ | $(450,554)^{* * *}$ | $(434,535)$ | (2,300,596) |
| 428,694 | 435,780 ** | 433,562 | 2,262,615 |
| $(77,928)$ | $(14,774)$ | (973) | $(37,981)$ |
| lated Revenue need | Anticipated Defic tal and maintenan | 10 years <br> $r 10$ years | $\begin{array}{r} (75,962) \\ (3,200,000) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |

Current septage revenue projected over ten years
Anticipated Shortfall over next ten years (rate increase to cover) 435,082

To recover capital and maintenance costs: 10 years est. pounds of processed wast

| Rates | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 1 1 7 6}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Revenue | $2,840,880$ | $3,275,368$ |
| Total Revenue needed | $3,275,962$ | $3,275,962$ |
| Net Excess/(Deficit) | $(435,082)$ | $(594)$ |


| Increase Oniy |
| ---: |
| $278,517,647$ |
| 0.00156 |
| 434,488 |
| 435,082 |
| $(594)$ |


| County/Entity |  |  | Cost per Gallon | Per pound (conversion 1 gal $=$ 8.25 pds.) | Other Fees |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alameda |  | \$ | 0.07000 | 0.00848 |  |
| Butte |  | \$ | 0.13716 | 0.01663 | 33.25 a ton |
| Enviro-Tech-Placer County |  | \$ | 0.14000 | 0.01697 |  |
| Humboldt | * | \$ | 0.08000 | 0.00970 |  |
| Kern | * |  | 0.03000 | 0.00364 |  |
| LACSD |  |  | .059-101 |  |  |
| Napa | * | \$ | 0.12800 | 0.01552 |  |
| Nevada- Grass Valley |  |  | 0.10000 | 0.01212 |  |
| Sacramento |  | \$ | 0.05300 | 0.00642 |  |
| San Jose/Santa Clara |  |  | 0.01000 |  | \$15.00 min. + |
| Shasta | * |  | 0.03000 | 0.00364 |  |
| Sutter | * | \$ | 0.18000 | 0.02182 |  |
| Yolo |  |  | 0.13571 | 0.01645 | gate rate 32.90 ton |
| El Dorado - current fee |  |  | 0.08415 | 0.01020 |  |
| El Dorado - proposed fee |  |  | 0.09702 | 0.01176 |  |

[^0]Environmental Management Division Fe omparison Effective July 1, 2014

| P/E | Description | Current El Dorado County |  | Proposed <br> El Dorado <br> County |  | Placer <br> County |  | Butte <br> County |  | Sacramento County |  | Yolo <br> County |  | Nevada <br> County |  | Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1508 | Hourly Rate / General Investigation Fee | \$ | 143 | \$ | 164 | \$ | 172 | \$ | 131 | \$ | 213 | \$ | 152 | \$ | 161 | \$ | 162 |
| 1510 | Annual Body Art Permit | \$ | 280 | \$ | 369 | \$ | 231 | \$ | 263 | \$ | 266 | \$ | 418 | \$ | 322 | \$ | 297 |
| 1511 | Seasonal Food Facility (Closed for one quarter) | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1512 | Temporary/Occasional Event (as defined in CalCode)within 14 days of event | \$ | 143 | \$ | 180 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 444 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 294 |
| 1513 | Temporary Pre-packaged Food Booth | \$ | 73 | \$ | 90 |  |  | \$ | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 67 |
| 1515 | Body Art Annual Practitioner Registration | \$ | 70 | \$ | 90 | \$ | 86 | \$ | 32 | \$ | 71 | \$ | 125 |  |  | \$ | 77 |
| 1516 | One Time Ear Piercing Facility Notification | \$ | 47 | \$ | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1518 | Temporary/ Occasional Event (as defined in CalCode) within 5 days of event | \$ | 180 | \$ | 234 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 334 |  |  | \$ | 161 | \$ | 225 |
| 1540 | River Rafting/Food | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1611 | Market/Retail <2000 sq .ft. | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 | \$ | 552 | \$ | 368 | \$ | 552 | \$ | 519 |  |  | \$ | 456 |
| 1612 | Market/Retail >2000 sq.ft. | \$ | 360 | \$ | 451 | \$ | 864 | \$ | 473 | \$ | 812 |  |  | \$ | 725 | \$ | 647 |
| 1613 | Market/Retail - Pre-packaged Only | \$ | 143 | \$ | 180 | \$ | 859 |  |  | \$ | 355 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 452 |
| 1614 | Market +1 (Meat, Deli, Bakery, Restaurant) | \$ | 503 | \$ | 631 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 899 | \$ | 322 | \$ | 575 |
| 1615 | Market +4 or More (Meat, Deli, Bakery, Restaurant) | \$ | 934 | \$ | 1,172 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 725 | \$ | 830 |
| 1616 | Market +2 (Meat, Deli, Bakery, Restaurant) | \$ | 647 | \$ | 811 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,140 | \$ | 484 | \$ | 757 |
| 1617 | Market +3 (Meat, Deli, Bakery, Restaurant) | \$ | 790 | \$ | 992 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,227 | \$ | 645 | \$ | 887 |
| 1620 | Bar | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 | \$ | 432 |  |  | \$ | 697 | \$ | 557 | \$ | 322 | \$ | 459 |
| 1622 | Restaurant <650 sq.ft. | \$ | 360 | \$ | 451 | \$ | 1,072 | \$ | 421 | \$ | 1,130 | \$ | 645 | \$ | 564 | \$ | 699 |
| 1623 | Restaurant >650 sq .ft. | \$ | 431 | \$ | 541 | \$ | 1,245 | \$ | 578 | \$ | 1,130 | \$ | 797 | \$ | 605 | \$ | 798 |
| 1625 | Winery - Commercial Kitchen | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1626 | Winery - Seasonal | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1627 | Winery - Pre-packaged Food | \$ | 143 | \$ | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1630 | Cottage Food Operation Class "A" | \$ | 72 | \$ | 90 | \$ | 86 |  |  | \$ | 107 | \$ | 264 | \$ | 161 | \$ | 138 |
| 1631 | Cottage Food Operation Class "B" | \$ | 215 | \$ | 270 | \$ | 258 |  |  | \$ | 227 | \$ | 531 | \$ | 322 | \$ | 311 |
| 1632 | Schools/Public Agencies (food facility) | \$ | 431 | \$ | 541 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 344- \\ & \$ 1245 \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 421 | \$ | 553 | \$ | - | \$ | 484 | \$ | 447 |
| 1633 | Mobile Food/Roadside | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 | \$ | 517 | \$ | 263 |  |  | \$ | 4871 | Fos | 74 ¢5\% |  | 341 |

Environmental Management Division Fe omparison Effective July 1, 2014

| P/E | Description | Current El Dorado County |  | Proposed <br> El Dorado <br> County |  | Placer County |  | Butte <br> County |  | Sacramento County |  | Yolo <br> County |  | Nevada County |  | Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1635 | Mobile Food / Pre-packaged | \$ | 143 | \$ | 180 | \$ | 201 | \$ | 84 |  |  | \$ | 200 | \$ | 161 | \$ | 158 |
| 1640 | Caterer | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 | \$ | 172 | \$ | 421 | \$ | 221 | \$ | 201 | \$ | 242 | \$ | 257 |
| 1642 | Plus Catering / Only Use with Existing Restaurant | \$ | 143 | \$ | 180 | \$ | 89 | \$ | 263 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 165 |
| 1650 | Bakery | \$ | 431 | \$ | 541 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 485 | \$ | 500 | \$ | 403 | \$ | 455 |
| 1680 | Commissary | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 | \$ | 344 |  |  | \$ | 255 | \$ | 340 | \$ | 202 | \$ | 286 |
| 1681 | Bed \& Breakfast (food facility) | \$ | 360 | \$ | 451 | \$ | 605 | \$ | 263 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 282 | \$ | 378 |
| 1690 | Organized Camps (in addition to fees collected for other permits) | \$ | 287 | \$ | 721 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 391 |  |  | \$ | 339 |
| 3611 | Swimming Pool | \$ | 431 | \$ | 541 | \$ | 391 | \$ | 526 | \$ | 401 | \$ | 711 | \$ | 806 | \$ | 544 |
| 3612 | Spa | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 | \$ | 391 | \$ | 421 | \$ | 213 | \$ | 381 | \$ | 645 | \$ | 390 |
| 3615 | Wading Pool | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 | \$ | 391 | \$ | 421 | \$ | 232 |  |  | \$ | 215 | \$ | 309 |
| 1710 | Plan Check; Other (per hour) - Construction Insp., etc. | \$ | 143 |  | + T\&M |  |  |  |  | \$ | 532 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 338 |
| 1517 | One Time Plan Check for Body Art New Facilities | \$ | 280 | \$ | 361 | \$ | 172 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,000 |  |  | \$ | 484 |
| 1750 | Plan Check: Swimming Pool | \$ | 861 | \$ | 1,082 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,030 |  |  | \$ | 1,446 |
| 1752 | Plan Check: Spa | \$ | 861 | \$ | 1,082 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 580 |  |  | \$ | 721 |
| 1760 | Plan Check: Bar | \$ | 574 | \$ | 721 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1761 | Plan Check: Restaurant and Bar | \$ | 861 | \$ | 1,082 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1762 | Plan Check: Restaurant $<650$ sq. ft. | \$ | 717 | \$ | 902 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1763 | Plan Check: Restaurant >650 sq. ft. | \$ | 861 | \$ | 1,082 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1770 | Plan Check: Bakery | \$ | 717 | \$ | 902 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1771 | Plan Check: Market/Retail <2000 sq. ft. | \$ | 574 | \$ | 721 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1772 | Plan Check: Market/Retail >2000 sq. ft. | \$ | 717 | \$ | 902 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1773 | Plan Check: Market/Retail - Pre-packaged Only | \$ | 143 | \$ | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1774 | Plan Check: Market +1 | \$ | 861 | \$ | 1,082 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1775 | Plan Check: Market +4 | \$ | 1,291 | \$ | 1,623 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1776 | Plan Check: Market +2 | \$ | 1,005 | \$ | 1,262 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1777 | Plan Check: Market +3 | \$ | 1,148 | \$ | 1,443 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1779 | Plan Check: Schools/Public Agencies (Food Facility) | \$ | 717 | \$ | 902 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1780 | Plan Check: Caterers | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Environmental Management Division Fe omparison Effective July 1, 2014

| P/E | Description | Current El Dorado County |  | Proposed <br> El Dorado County |  | Placer <br> County |  | Butte <br> County | Sacramento County |  | Yolo <br> County |  | Nevada <br> County | Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1781 | Plan Check: Mobile Food / Roadside | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1782 | Plan Check: Bed \& Breakfast (food facility) | \$ | 431 | \$ | 541 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2622 | Parcel Research (per parcel) | \$ | 13 | \$ | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1900 | General Food Handler Education | \$ | 176 | \$ | 211 | See attached comparison |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | CUPA - Small Quantity HW Gen. < 55 gal stored -Yrly Reg. Fee | \$ | 216 | \$ | 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2109 | CUPA - Business Plans / Small Businesses (55-1,999 gallons hazardous materials stored) Annual Registration Fee | \$ | 216 | \$ | 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2110 | CUPA - Business Plans / Large Businesses ( $>1,999$ gallons hazardous materials stored) Annual Registration Fee | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2118 | CUPA - UGST - Environmental Assessment Review / File Search (per hour) | \$ | 143 |  | \&M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2305 | CUPA - UGST - Interior Lining Installation Permit (per tank) | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 210 \mathrm{FF}+ \\ & \$ 131 / \text { hour } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2311 | CUPA - UGST - Annual Permit to Operate (per tank) | \$ | 431 | \$ | 541 |  |  | \$ 631 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 531 |
| 2315 | CUPA - UGST - New Tank Installation \& Replacement (per tank) | \$ | 431 | $492+$ T\&M |  | \$ | 1,125 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \$ 1052 \text { FF } \\ + \\ \$ 131 / \text { hour } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | 1,103 | \$ | 395 |  | \$ | 821 |
| 2347 | CUPA - UGST - Repair / Remodel / Upgrade -Major (per tank) See Decision Matrix | \$ | 503 | 574 + T\&M |  | \$ | 692 |  | \$ | 746 | \$ | 1,393 |  | \$ | 834 |
| 2348 | CUPA - UGST - Remediation, Site Assessment, Permit | \$ | 431 | \$ | 541 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2349 | Above Ground Petroleum Storage Tanks Less than 10,000 | \$ | 106 | \$ | 136 |  |  | \$ 192 | \$ | 73 | \$ | 273 |  | \$ | 161 |
| 2350 | Above Ground Petroleum Storage Tanks 10,000100,000 | \$ | 213 | \$ | 270 |  |  | \$ 297 | \$ | 575 | \$ | 545 |  | \$ | 408 |
| 2351 | Above Ground Petroleum Storage Tanks 100,001 1,000,000 | \$ | 426 | \$ | 541 |  |  | \$ 402 | \$ | 679 | \$ | 956 |  | \$ | 616 |
| 2390 | CUPA - UGST - Permanent Close / Remove (per tank) | \$ | 574 |  | T\&M | \$ | 864 | \$316 FF + $\$ 131 /$ hour |  |  | \$ | 950 |  |  |  |
| 2393 | CUPA - UGST - Temporary Closure (per tank) Two year maximum | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 |  |  | \$ 316 | \$ | 746 | \$ | 5609 | 587 |  | 147 |
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Environmental Management Division Fe omparison Effective July 1, 2014

| P/E | Description | Current El Dorado County |  | Proposed <br> El Dorado <br> County |  | Placer <br> County |  | Butte <br> County |  | Sacramento County |  | Yolo County |  | Nevada <br> County |  | Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4672 | Change of Driller | \$ | 73 |  | T\&M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4673 | Permit Revision | \$ | 73 |  | T\&M | \$ | 345 |  | hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4212 | Loan Certification - Septic Only | \$ | 216 | \$ | 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4213 | Loan Certification - Water Only (Does Not Include Lab Fees) | \$ | 216 | \$ | 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4215 | Loan Certification - Both Septic and Water (Does Not Include Lab Fees) | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4208 | Water Sample Hourly Rate | \$ | 143 |  | T\&M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4216 | Septic System - Commercial | \$ | 934 | \$ | 1,172 |  |  | \$ | 576 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 755 |
| 4217 | Septic System - Commercial Addition | \$ | 431 |  | T\&M |  |  | \$ | 421 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 426 |
| 4219 | Septic System - Repair Permit | \$ | 216 | \$ | 270 | \$ | 691 | \$ | 414 | \$ | 586 | \$ | 605 | \$ | 322 | \$ | 472 |
| 4220 | Septic System - Re-inspection | \$ | 216 |  | T\&M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4224 | Septic System - Addition Permit | \$ | 360 | \$ | 451 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4225 | Septic System - Abandoned Permit | \$ | 216 | \$ | 270 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 71 | \$ | 408 | \$ | 161 | \$ | 214 |
| 4226 | Septic System - New / Single Family Septic System - Misc. Review (decks, pools, garages, sheds, barns, storage, etc.) | \$ | 717 | \$ | 902 |  |  | \$ | 265 |  |  | \$ | 745 |  |  | \$ | 576 |
| 4227 |  | \$ | 73 |  | T\&M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4228 | Septic System - Commercial Repair | \$ | 431 |  | T\&M |  |  | \$ | 414 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 423 |
| 4231 | Septic System - Revision to Permit Application | \$ | 73 |  | T\&M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4233 | Test Trench Feasibility Inspection |  | 143 ea trench |  | T\&M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4235 | Penalty for Illegal Septic System | \$ | 717 | \$ | 902 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4236 | Septic System Experimental / Alternative Permit | \$ | 1,435 | \$ | 811 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,225 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,330 |
| 4237 | Septic Annual Operating Permit - Monitoring | \$ | 216 | \$ | 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 81 | \$ | 148 |
| 4244 | Septic Vehicles (per vehicle) | \$ | 216 | \$ | 270 | \$ | 258 | \$ | 52 | \$ | 396 | \$ | 201 | \$ | 322 | \$ | 241 |
| 4622 | Small Community Water System (Base Charge) | \$ | 574 | \$ | 721 |  |  | \$ | 605 |  |  | \$ | 510 | \$ | 725 | \$ | 604 |
| 4624 | Food Facility Water System - Operating Permit | \$ | 143 | \$ | 270 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4632 | Small Water System Application Fee (Non Community) | \$ | 360 | \$ | 451 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,060 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 710 |
| 4633 | Non-Comm. Water Sys. Seasonal, Yr. Round, USFS | \$ | 431 | \$ | 541 |  |  | \$ | 631 |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 531 |
|  | (Base Charge) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | - |
| 4634 | Non-Community Water System (Non-Transient) | \$ | 574 | \$ | 721 |  |  | \$ | 605 | \$ | 1,203 | \$ | ,170, |  | 25 |  | 855 |

Environmental Management Division Ff omparison Effective July 1, 2014

| P/E | Description | Current El Dorado County |  | Proposed El Dorado County |  | Placer <br> County |  | Butte <br> County | Sacramento <br> County |  | Yolo <br> County |  | Nevada <br> County |  | Average |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4638 | New Community Water System Application Fee (set by State) | \$ | 500 | \$ | 649 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1052+ \\ & \$ 131 / \text { hour } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \$ | 848 | \$ | 564 |  |  |
| 4639 | Water System Ownership Change (set by State) | \$ | 150 | \$ | 198 |  |  | \$ 421 |  |  | \$ | 400 |  |  | \$ | 324 |
| 4644 | State Small Water System (Base Charge) | \$ | 216 | \$ | 270 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 210+ \\ & \$ 131 / \text { hour } \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 777 | \$ | 695 |  |  |  |  |
| 2614 | Special Use Permit Review | \$ | 574 | \$ | 270 |  |  |  | \$ | 266 | \$ | 418 |  |  | \$ | 419 |
| 2663 | Review of Variance Application | \$ | 574 | \$ | 270 |  |  |  | \$ | 53 | \$ | 497 |  |  | \$ | 375 |
| 2615 | Review of Tentative Parcel Maps | \$ | 431 | \$ | 270 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 497 |  |  | \$ | 464 |
| 2616 | Review of Revisions to Approved Tentative Parcel Map | \$ | 143 |  | \&M | \$ | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 111 |
| 2607 | Review of Revisions to Approved Tentative Parcel Map | \$ | 1,579 | \$ | 541 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2608 | Review of Tentative Maps of Subdivisions on Septic Systems- 50 to 99 lots | \$ | 1,722 | \$ | 541 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,564 |  |  | \$ | 1,643 |
| 2609 | Review of Tentative Maps of Subdivisions on Septic Systems- 100 or more lots | \$ | 1,866 | \$ | 630 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2611 | Review of Tentative Maps of Subdivisions on Public Services (Sewer and Water) (Based on Hourly Charge) | \$ | 143 |  | T\&M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2612 | Subdivision Tentative Map Revision During Review | \$ | 143 |  | T\&M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2617 | Review of Subdivision Maps / Preliminary / Urban | \$ | 143 |  | T\&M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2618 | Review of Subdivision Maps / Preliminary / Rural | \$ | 143 |  | T\&M |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2623 | Boundary Line Modification | \$ | 216 | \$ | 270 | \$ | 91 |  | \$ | 106 | \$ | 418 |  |  | \$ | 208 |
| 2688 | General Plan Map Amendment | \$ | 143 | \$ | 328 | \$ | 640 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \$ 841 \text { Dep } \\ + \\ \$ 131 / \text { hour } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$ | 213 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 459 |
| 2692 | Review of a Planned Development Plan | \$ | 143 | \$ | 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2668 | Certificate of Compliance Review | \$ | 216 | \$ | 270 | \$ | 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 150 |
| 2669 | Assessment of Design Review | \$ | 287 | \$ | 361 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2680 | Notice of Preparation (per hour) | \$ | 143 |  | T\&M | \$ | 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 122 |
| 2670 | Letter to Department of Real Estate | \$ | 143 | \$ | 180 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 210- \\ & \$ 400 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Planning Services <br> Community Development Agency

## Fee Study FY 14/15



## COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

## PLANNING DIVISION FEE HIGHLIGHTS

Background: The Planning Division fee schedule consists of a combination of flat fee and tasks which are billed for actual costs (Time \& Materials). A fee study has not been performed since 2010. The hourly rate has also not changed since 2010. To analyze these fees, data was collected from Planning Time Tracking for approximately a 10 year period. The search date was expanded for Planning because some projects take more than 3 years to complete or are on hold and/or delayed for years before being completed. Data was also collected from LMIS. Data was analyzed and where data was deemed inconsistent, staff interviews were conducted to augment conclusions.

Highlights: All fees were updated and are reflective of the current amount of resources required to complete a specific task. All Flat Fees were calculated using the current approved billing rate for FY $15 / 16$. This billing rate is approved by the County Auditor's Office.

The purpose of the time/fee study was to determine the appropriate amount of time needed to perform a task. As such, the study concluded that some fees were obsolete and were removed from the fee schedule. Others were combined and reclassified. Still others were increased to reflect the current amount of staff resources spent on a given task.

The Agency deleted the fees for Surety from the schedule and deleted "Tapes, Hearing (Planning Commission, Zoning Administrator)" because the fee is no longer needed.

The Agency as a whole decided all departments will offer a Pre-Application Review. It will provide information to the public on the development process and the cost involved. For applicants taking advantage of this review, a credit equivalent to the Pre-Application Review, Minor fee will be applied to the Parcel Review fee if requested for the same project within one year.

## Community Development Agency Planning Division <br> Proposed Fee Schedule

| ACTIVITY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXISTING } \\ & \text { FEE } \\ & \text { HOURS } \end{aligned}$ | FXISTING FEE | HOURLY <br> TIME <br> STUDY |  | Y FULL OST OVERY | RECOMMENDED HOURS |  | NDED FEE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HOURLY RATE |  | \$ 100.00 | FY 15/16 | \$ | 119.27 | FY 15/16 | \$ | 119.27 |
| APPEALS $\quad$ Appeal by project applicant | 2.00 | $\begin{array}{lr} \hline \$ & 200.00 \\ \$ 1,000 \mathrm{~T} \& \mathrm{M} \end{array}$ | 27.01 | \$ | 3,221.48 | 2.00 | \$ | 239.00 |
| BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT BLA | 3.00 | \$ 300.00 | 1.38 | \$ | 164.59 | 3.00 | \$ | 358.00 |
| BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT TIME EXTENSION BLA-E | 0.50 | \$ 50.00 | 0.69 | \$ | 82.30 | 0.69 | \$ | 82.00 |
| BOUNDARY LINE MERGE BLA-M | 1.00 | \$ 100.00 | 0.54 | \$ | 64.41 | 1.00 | \$ | 119.00 |
| BUILDING PERMITS <br> GRADING (NOT ASSOCIATED WITH A STRUCTURE) NON-RESIDENTIAL, New Construction (new floor plan) <br> Tenant Improvements with a change in use or occupancy Tenant Improvements with no change in use or occupancy Wall signs and misc. other non-residential <br> MISCELLANEOUS: Plan check Revisions, review of 2nd Corrections, and any other activity not covered in the fee schedule <br> RESIDENTIAL: new dwellings, second dwelling units, multi-family <br> Accessory structures, expansion of existing structures, ag buildings, pools <br> Minor permit review (permits requiring limited review) <br> SPECIAL ZONING REVIEW (Additional fee for flood zone, development eligibility review, TRPA MOU, or similar) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.00 | \$ 200.00 | 1.33 | \$ | 158.63 | 1.33 | \$ | 159.00 |
|  | 6.00 | \$ 600.00 | 3.55 | \$ | 423.41 | 3.55 | \$ | 423.00 |
|  | 3.00 | \$ 300.00 | 2.06 | \$ | 245.70 | 2.06 | \$ | 246.00 |
|  | 0.50 | \$ 50.00 | 1.21 | \$ | 144.32 | 1.21 | \$ | 144.00 |
|  | 0.50 | \$ 50.00 | 0.94 |  | 112.11 | 0.94 | \$ | 112.00 |
|  | charged hourly rate | charged hourly rate $\$ 300$ per | T\& M |  | T \& M | T \& M |  | T \& M |
|  | 3.00 | dwelling | 2.10 | \$ | 250.47 | 2.10 | \$ | 250.00 |
|  | 1.00 | \$ 100.00 | 1.03 | \$ | 122.85 | 1.03 | \$ | 123.00 |
|  | 0.25 | $\$ \quad 25.00$ | 0.55 | \$ | 65.60 | 0.55 | \$ | 66.00 |
|  | 1.00 | \$ 100.00 | 0.67 | \$ | 79.91 | 0.67 | \$ | 80.00 |
| CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE COC |  |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |
| DISCRETIONARY - HEARING REQ | 35.00 | \$ 3,500.00 |  |  | 6,953.44 | 35.00 | \$ | 4,174.00 |
| DISCRETIONARY - NO HEARING REQ | 6.00 | \$ 600.00 |  | \$ | 3,458.83 | 6.00 | \$ | 716.00 |
| MAJOR REVISION TO APPROVED CERTIFICATE |  | \$2625 T\&M |  |  |  |  |  | osit, T\&M |
| MINOR REVISION TO APPROVED CERTIFICATE (No Changes to environmental document) | 8.75 | \$ 875.00 |  | N/A |  | 8.75 | \$ | 1,044.00 |
| DESIGN REVIEW  DR <br>  MAJOR  <br>  MINOR  <br>  MAJOR REVISION TO APPROVED DESIGN REVIEW  <br>  MINOR REVISION TO APPROVED DESIGN REVIEW  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 72.54 \\ & 32.70 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8,651.85 \\ & 3,900.13 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60.00 \\ & 20.00 \end{aligned}$ | \$ 7,156.00 |  |
|  | 50.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10.00 |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 2,385.00 |
|  | $75 \%$ of fee $25 \%$ of fee |  |  |  |  |  |  | $75 \%$ of fee 25\% of fee |
| DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENTINITIAL APPLICATIONANNUAL REVIEW FEE |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2500 \text { T\&M } \\ \$ 800 \text { T\&M } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | osit, T\&M |
| ANNUAL REVIEW FEE |  |  |  |  |  | 05875 F 22 |  | posit, T\&M |

Community Development Agency Planning Division
Proposed Fee Schedule

| ACTIVITY | $\begin{gathered} \text { EXISTING } \\ \text { FEE } \\ \text { HOURS } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { FEE }}{\text { EXISTING }}$ | HOURLY <br> TIME <br> STUDY |  | Y FULL ST OVERY | RECOMMENDED HOURS | RECOMMENDED FEE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENVIROMENTAL IMPACT REPORT (EIR) <br> NOTICE OF PREPARATION <br> EIR AND HEARINGS, STAFF TIME (Consultant charges are based on cost identified by contract) | \$2000 T\&M$\$ 5000 \mathrm{~T} \& \mathrm{M}$ |  | 5.14 |  |  |  | \$2000 deposit, T\&M <br> $\$ 5000$ deposit, T\&M |
| FINDING OF GENERAL PLAN CONSISTENCY GOV | 5.00 | 500.00 |  | \$ | 613.05 | 5.14 | 613.00 |
| GENERAL PLAN <br> MAP AMENDMENT <br> TEXT AMENDMENT, MAJOR (not CEQA exempt) <br> TEXT AMENDMENT, MINOR (CEQA exempt) |  | $\$ 4000$ T\&M <br> \$2000 T\&M <br> \$1000 T\&M |  |  |  |  | \$4000 deposit, T\&M \$2000 deposit, T\&M \$1000 deposit, T\&M |
| HEARING CONTINUATION OFF-CALENDAR, REQUESTED BY APPLICANT | 3.00 | \$ 300.00 | N/A |  | N/A | 3.00 | 358.00 |
| MISCELLANEOUS MANUALS, ETC. |  | Actual cost |  |  |  |  | Actual cost |
| MOBILE HOME, TEMPORARY  <br>  INITIAL APPLICATION <br> RENEWAL  TMA | 2.00 0.50 | $\$$ 200.00 <br> $\$$ 50.00 |  |  |  | NO FEE <br> NO FEE |  |
| PARCEL MAPS <br> RESIDENTIAL/COMMERCIAL PARCEL MAP <br> MAJOR REVISION TO APPROVED PARCEL MAP <br> MINOR REVISIONS TO APPROVED PARCEL MAP <br> TIME EXTENSIONS <br> CORRECTIONS TO RECORDED FINAL/PARCEL MAP <br> PARCEL MAP WAIVER | 52.00 $75 \%$ of fee $25 \%$ of fee 7.50 4.00 | $\$, 200.00$ $75 \%$ of fee $25 \%$ of fee $\$ 1000 \mathrm{~T} \& \mathrm{M}$ $\$$ $\$ \quad 750.00$ $\$ \quad 400.00$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69.68 \\ 52.26 \\ 17.42 \\ 15.14 \\ 5.05 \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 8,310.73 \\ 6,233.05 \\ 2,077.68 \\ \\ 1,805.75 \\ 602.31 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 69.68 \\ 52.26 \\ 17.42 \\ 15.14 \\ 5.05 \end{array}$ | $\$$ $8,311.00$ <br> $\$$ $6,233.00$ <br> $\$$ $2,078.00$ <br> $\$ 1000$ deposit, T\&M  <br> $\$$ $1,806.00$ <br> $\$$ 602.00 |
| PLANNED DEVELOPMENT <br> PLANNED DEVELOPMENT <br> MAJOR REVISION TO APPROVED DEVELOPMENT PLAN <br> MINOR REVISION TO APPROVED DEVELOPMENT PLAN (No change to environmental document) | 10.00 | $\$ 6000$ T\&M $\$ 4000$ T\&M <br> \$ 1,000.00 | 11.56 | \$ | 1,378.76 | 11.56 | \$6000 deposit, T\&M $\$ 4000$ deposit, T\&M \$ 1,379.00 |
| PRE APPLICATION REVIEW MINOR MAJOR $\quad$ PA | $\begin{array}{r} 6.00 \\ 20.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{rr} \$ & 600.00 \\ \$ & 2,000.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.89 \\ 30.13 \end{array}$ | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 941.04 \\ 3,593.61 \end{array}$ | 7.89 30.13 | $\begin{array}{lr} \$ & 941.00 \\ \$ & 3,594.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| REACTIVATION OR REVISION TO APPLICATION: Applications that are continued offcalendar, on hold, or incomplete one year or longer, when such delay is caused by or at the request of the applicant. Also, applications that are revised by applicant during the review process and require redistribution due to design changes or other significant change in the project. <br> REACTIVATION <br> REVISION (Actual percentage to be determined by Planning Service based on extent of revision proposed) | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \text { of } \\ \text { fee }{ }^{*} \text { T\&M } \\ 25-50 \% \text { of } \\ \text { fee }{ }^{*} \text { T\&M } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \% \text { of fee* } \\ \text { T\&M } \\ 25-50 \% \text { of } \\ \text { fee }^{*} T \& M \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 50\% of fee* $T \& M$ $25-50 \%$ of fee* T\&M | $50 \%$ of fee ${ }^{*}$ T\&M $25-50 \%$ of fee* $T \& M$ |

Community Development Agency Planning Division
Proposed Fee Schedule


Community Development Agency Planning Division
Proposed Fee Schedule


## Appeals

## Appeals

Appeais made by the public and/or applicant

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS



An Assistant Planner is the category of person assigned this task $85 \%$ of the time.

| The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is |  | \$ 119.27 /hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | FLAT |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 119.27 \\ 27.01 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Calculated Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3,221.48 \\ & 3,221.00 \end{aligned}$ |


|  | PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Current fee | $\$$ | 200 |
| Proposed fee | $\$$ | 239 |
| Net Increase | $\$$ | 39 |

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 5 projects. Of the 5 projects, 1 was eliminated from analysis due to hourly irregularity. 108.05 hours were charged to the 4 projects. The mean time spent on each Appeal is 27.01 hours. The 27.01 multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 3,221.00$ per project.
Interviews were conducted on 4/9/15. Per Roger Trout, Development Services Division Director, this charge should be kept at the current 2 hours for the rate of $\$ 239.00$, instead of full cost recovery, to encourage the public to use this process. Currently there are two separate fees for Appeals, therefore we are recommending to consolidate the two categories into one fee.

The Agency is recommending to keep the current rate of 2 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Boundary Line Adjustment

## Boundary Line Adjustment:

BLA A change in the line between two or more existing adjacent parcels.

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years

Hours Projects
Total Annual Boundary Line Adjustments: Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:
BLA13-0025
BLA13-0032
(7.25)
(9)
(1)
(1)

Net Used for Analysis
41.30

30

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 30 |
| Total hours | 41.30 |
| Average hour / Project | 1.38 |

An Assistant Planner and Senior Planner are assigned this task $90 \%$ of the time.
The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is $\$ 119.27$ /hour

|  | FLAT FEE CALCULATION |
| :---: | :---: |
| Proposed cost per hour | \$ 119.27 |
|  | 1.38 |
| Calculated Flat Fee $=$ | $\$ 164.59$ |
| Rounded to | $\$ 165.00$ |
|  |  |
|  | PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |
|  | $\$ 300$ |
| Current fee | $\$ 358$ |
| Net Increase | $\$ 158$ |

## Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 32 projects. Of the 32 projects, 2 were eliminated from analysis due to hourly irregularity. 41.30 hours were charged to the 30 projects. The mean time spent on each Boundary Line Adjustment is 1.38 hours. The 1.38 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 165.00$ per project.

Interviews were conducted on 4/9/15. Roger Trout, Development Services Division Director, recommends this charge should be kept at the current 3 hours for a rate of $\$ 358.00$. Staff spends time that is not accounted for before the application is submitted and a file number created. Applicants, engineers, and surveyors need to contact us and get information in order to complete their applications. Therefore, the time tracking data only reflects a small portion of the actual processing costs to the Department.

The Agency is recommending to keep the current charge of 3 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Boundary Line Adjustment Time Extension

## Boundary Line Adjustment Time Extension:

BLA-E A change in the line between two or more existing adjacent parcels, extension.

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects
Total BLA Time Extension:
2.75

Exceptions deleted from analysis:
None

Net Used for Analysis
2.75

4

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 4 |
| Total hours | 2.75 |
| Average hour / Project | 0.69 |

An Assistant Planner and Senior Planner are assigned this task 95\% of the time.
The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is $\quad \$ 119.27$ /hour
FLAT FEE CALCULATION

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 119.27 \\ 0.69 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82.30 \\ & 82.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | \$ \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 82 \\ \hline 32 \end{array}$ |

## Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 4 projects. 2.75 hours were charged to the 4 projects. The mean time spent on each Boundary Line Adjustment-Extension is .69 hours. The .69 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 82.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 69 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Boundary Line Merge

## Boundary Line Merge:

BLA-M Adjoining lots under common ownership are proposed to be merged into one lot.

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects
Total Boundary Line Merge: 22 Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:
None

Net Used for Analysis
$11.85 \quad 22$

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 22 |
| Total hours | 11.85 |
| Average hour / Project | 0.54 |

An Assistant Planner and Senior Planner are assigned this task $90 \%$ of the time.
The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is $\$ 119.27$ /hour

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 119.27 \\ 0.54 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 19.27 \\ 0.54 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Calculated Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ & 64.41 \\ \$ & 64.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64.41 \\ & 64.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | $\begin{array}{rr} \$ & 100 \\ \$ & 119 \\ \hline \$ & 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 100 \\ 119 \\ \hline 19 \end{array}$ |

## Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 22 projects. 11.85 hours were charged to the 22 projects. The mean time spent on each Boundary Line Merge is .54 hours. The .54 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 64.00$ per project.

Interviews were conducted on 4/9/15. Roger Trout, Development Services Division Director, recommends this charge should be kept at the current hour for a rate of $\$ 119.00$. Staff spends time that is not accounted for before the application is submitted and a file number created. Applicants, engineers, and surveyors need to contact us and get information in order to complete their applications. Therefore, the time tracking data only reflects a small portion of the actual processing costs to the Department.
The Agency is recommending to keep the current charge of 1 hour multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Building Permit - Grading

## Building Permit - Grading:

Not associated with a structure

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects
Total Building Permit- Grading:
Total Hours Used:
29.35

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

None

Net Used for Analysis
29.35

22

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 22 |
| Total hours | 29.35 |
| Average hour / Project | 1.33 |

The unit's Labor Rate for FY $15 / 16$ is
\$ 119.27 /hour

## FLAT FEE CALCULATION

Proposed cost per hour $\$ 119.27$

Proposed Flat Fee =
\$ 158.63
Rounded to
\$ 159.00

## PRIOR FEE COMPARISON

| Current fee | $\$$ | 200 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Proposed fee | $\$$ | 159 |
| Net Decrease | $\$$ | $(41)$ |

## Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 22 projects. 29.35 hours were charged to the 22 projects. The mean time spent on each Building Permit: Grading is 1.33 hours. The 1.33 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 159.00$ per project.

Interviews were conducted on 4/9/15. Per Roger Trout, Development Services Division Director, these charges were estimated when originally proposed and the data presented best represents the resources required to complete the task.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 1.33 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Building Permit: Non-Residential, New Construction

## Building Permit: Non-Residential, New Construction

## New Floor Area

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years

Hours Projects
Total Non-Res, New Construct, New Floor Area: Total Hours Used:
71.05

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

## Net Used for Analysis <br> $71.05 \quad 20$



Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 20 projects. 71.05 hours were charged to the 20 projects. The mean time spent on each Building Permit: Non-Residential, New Construction is 3.55 hours. The 3.55 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 423.00$ per project.

Interviews were conducted on $4 / 9 / 15$. Per Roger Trout, Development Services Division Director, these charges were estimated when originally proposed and the data presented best represents the resources required to complete the task.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 3.55 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Building Permit: TI with Change in OCC

Building Permit: Tenant improvement with Change in Use or Occupancy:

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects

Total TI with Change in OCC:
Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis: 222315
(3.00)
(1)

## Net Used for Analysis

57.65

28


## Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 29 projects. Of the 29 projects, 1 was eliminated from analysis due to inconsistency. 57.65 hours were charged to the 28 projects. The mean time spent on each Building Permit: TI with Change in OCC is 2.06 hours. The 2.06 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 246.00$ per project.

Interviews were conducted on $4 / 9 / 15$. Per Roger Trout, Development Services Division Director, these charges were estimated when originally proposed and the data presented best represents the resources required to complete the task.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 2.06 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Building Permit: TI with no Change in OCC

Building Improvement - Tenant Improvements with no change in use or occupancy

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years

|  | Hours | Projects |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total Building Permit- TI with No Change in OCC: | 26.50 | 26 |
| Total Hours Used: |  |  |

Exceptions deleted from analysis:
$214578 \quad 225951$
(7)

| 210090 | 214578 | 225951 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 214577 | 222823 |
|  | 212787 | 202277 |

23.00

| HOURS PER UNIT |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 19 |
| Total hours | 23.00 |
| Average hour / Project | 1.21 |
| COST PER HOUR |  |
| The unit's Labor Rate for FY $15 / 16$ is | \$ 119.27 /hour |
| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 119.27 \\ 1.21 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 144.32 \\ & \$ 144.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | $\begin{array}{rr} \$ & 50 \\ \$ & 144 \\ \hline \$ & 94 \end{array}$ |
| Other Information and Issues |  |

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 26 projects. Of the 26 projects, 7 were eliminated from analysis due to inconsistency. 23 hours were charged to the 19 projects. The mean time spent on each Building Permit: TI with no Change in OCC is 1.21 hours. The 1.21 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 144.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 1.21 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Building Permit: Non-Residential, New Construction

## Building Permit: Non-Residential, New Construction

Wall Signs and Miscellaneous other non-residential

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

| SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total Building Permit- Wall Signs and Misc.: Total Hours Used: |  | 10.35 | 12 |
| Exceptions deleted from analysis: |  |  |  |
| 227172 |  | (0.50) | (1) |
| 227171 |  | (0.50) | (1) |
| Net Used for Analysis |  | 9.35 | 10 |
| HOURS PER UNIT |  |  |  |
| Number of Projects 10 |  |  |  |
| Total hours 9.35 |  |  |  |
| Average hour / Project $\quad 0.94$ |  |  |  |
| COST PER HOUR |  |  |  |
| The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is $\$ 119.27$ /hour |  |  |  |
| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |  |  |
| Proposed cost per hour $\$ 119.27$ <br> x hours 0.94 |  |  |  |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ $\$ 112.11$ <br> Rounded to $\$ 112.00$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |  |  |
| Current fee | \$ 50 |  |  |
| Proposed fee | \$ 112 |  |  |
| Net Increase | \$ 62 |  |  |
| Other Information and Issues |  |  |  |

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 12 projects. Of the 12 projects, 2 were eliminated from analysis due to inconsistency. 9.35 hours were charged to the 10 projects. The mean time spent on each Building Permit: Non-Residential, New Construction is .94 hours. The .94 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 112.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of .94 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Building Permit: Miscellaneous

Miscellaneous: Plan check revisions, review of 2nd corrections, and any other activity not covered in the fee schedule

| COST PER HOUR |
| :---: |
| Time and Materials |
| DEPOSIT INFORMATION |

Other Information and Issues

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Building Permit: Miscellaneous on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

## Building Permit: Residential, New Dwellings, Second Units, Multi-Family

## Building Permit - Residential:

New Dwellings, second dwelling units, multi-family


Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 28 projects. Of the 28 projects, 3 were eliminated from analysis due to higher or lower hours as compared to the mean time. 52.45 hours were charged to the 25 projects. The mean time spent on each Building Permit: Residential New Dwellings, Second Dwelling Units, Multi-Family is 2.10 hours. The 2.10 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 250.00$ per project.
Interviews were conducted on 4/9/15. Per Roger Trout, Development Services Division Director, these charges were estimated when originally proposed and the data presented best represents the resources required to complete the task.
The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 2.10 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Building Permit: Residential Accessory Structures, Expansion of Existing Structures, Ag. Buildings, Pools

## Building Permit - Residential:

Accessory structures, expansion of existing structures, agricultural buildings, pools

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects
Total Building Permit- Residential Accessory: Total Hours Used:
29.75

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

Net Used for Analysis
$29.75 \quad 29$


Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 29 projects. 29.75 hours were charged to the 29 projects. The mean time spent on each Building Permit: Residential is 1.03 hours. The 1.03 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 123.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 1.03 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Building Permit: Minor Permit Review

## Building Permit - Minor Permit Review: <br> Minor Permit Review (permits requiring limited review)

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years

Total Building Permit- Minor Permit Review: Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis: 203723
(1)

| 7.10 | 13 |
| :--- | :--- |

Hours Projects
7.35
.10
13

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 13 |
| Total hours | 7.10 |
| Average hour / Project | 0.55 |

The unit's Labor Rate for FY $15 / 16$ is
\$ 119.27 /hour

## FLAT FEE CALCULATION

Proposed cost per hour $\quad \$ 119.27$

Proposed Flat Fee $=\quad \$ 65.60$
Rounded to $\$ 66.00$

## PRIOR FEE COMPARISON

| Current fee | $\$$ | 25 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Proposed fee | $\$$ | 66 |
| Net Increase | $\$$ | 41 |

$\longrightarrow$ Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 14 projects. Of the 14 projects, 1 was eliminated from analysis due to inconsistency. 7.10 hours were charged to the 13 projects. The mean time spent on each Building Permit: Minor Permit Review is .55 hours. The .55 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 66.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of .55 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Special Zoning Review

## Special Zoning Review:

Additional fee for Flood Zone, Development Eligibility Review, TRPA MOU, or similar

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects

Special Zoning Review:
2.00

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

None

Net Used for Analysis
3

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :--- |
| Number of Projects | 3 |
| Total hours |  |
| Average hour / Project | 2.00 |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 3 projects. 2 hours were charged to the 3 projects. The mean time spent on each Special Zoning Review is .67 hours. The .67 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 80.00$ per project.

Interviews were conducted on 4/9/15. Per Roger Trout, Development Services Division Director, these charges were estimated when originally proposed and the data presented best represents the resources required to complete the task.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of .67 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Certificate of Compliance: Discretionary Hearing Required

Certificate of Compliance:

COC Discretionary - Hearing Required

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects

Total Certificate of Compliance: 2 Total Hours Used:
116.60

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

Net Used for Analysis
$116.60 \quad 2$

| Number of Projects |
| :--- |
| Total hours |
| Average hour / Project |

Other Information and Issues
Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 2 projects. 116.60 hours were charged to the 2 projects. The mean time spent on each Certificate of Compliance: Hearing Required is 58.30 hours. The 58.30 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 6,953.00$ per project.

Interviews were conducted on 4/9/15. Per Roger Trout, Development Services Division Director, this fee should be kept at the current 35 hours for a rate of $\$ 4,174.00$. There is not a large pool of samples to validate the fee. We only handie a few a year and these types of projects are wildly variable in their complexity. Recommendation is to update the hourly rate only. The vast majority of these applications are handled quickly and efficiently by the County Surveyor's Office, pursuant to the Ordinance (120.76), and these are all the unique applications that require special consideration.

The Agency is recommending to keep the current charge of 35 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

Certificate of Compliance :
COC Discretionary - No Hearing Required

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years

| Total Certificate of Compliance: | Hours | Project |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total Hours Used: | 58.00 | 2 |

Exceptions deleted from analysis:
None

|  | 58.00 | 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |

HOURS PER UNIT

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 2 |
| Total hours | 58.00 |
| Average hour / Project | 29.00 |

An Assistant Planner is the person assigned this task $95 \%$ of the time.
The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is \$ 119.27 /hour

## FLAT FEE CALCULATION

Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours \$ 119.27
$\quad 29.00$

Calculated Flat Fee $=$
\$ 3,458.83
Rounded to
$\$ 3,459.00$

|  | PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Current fee | $\$$ | 600 |
| Proposed fee | $\$$ | 716 |
| Net Increase | $\$ 116$ |  |

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 2 projects. 58 hours were charged to the 2 projects. The mean time spent on each Certificate of Compliance: No Hearing Required is 29 hours. The 29 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 3,459.00$ per project.

Interviews were conducted on 4/9/15. Per Roger Trout, Development Services Division Director, this fee should be kept at the current 6 hours for a rate of $\$ 716.00$. There is not a large pool of samples to validate the fee. We only handle a few a year and these types of projects are wildly variable in their complexity. Recommendation is to update the hourly rate only. The vast majority of these applications are handled quickly and efficiently by the County Surveyor's Office, pursuant to the Ordinance (120.76), and these are all the unique applications that require special consideration.
The Agency is recommending to keep the current charge of 6 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Certificate of Compliance: Major Revision

Certificate of Compliance Major Revision to Approved Certificate
COC

|  | COST PER HOUR |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Time and Materials |
| DEPOSIT INFORMATION |  |
|  | $\$ 2,625.00$ |
|  | Other Information and Issues |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Certificate of Compliance Major Revision to Approved Certificate on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

## Certificate of Compliance: Minor Revision

Certificate of Compliance :
COC Minor revision to approved certificate

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects

Total Annual Certificate of Compliance: Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

Net Used for Analysis

## COST PER HOUR

An Assistant Planner is the person assigned this task 95\% of the time.

The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is $\$ 119.27$ /hour

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Proposed cost per hour x hours | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 119.27 \\ 8.75 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,043.61 \\ & \$ 1,044.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | $\$$ 875 <br> $\$$ 1,044 <br> $\$$ 169 |
|  | Other Information and Issues |

No data was found to analyze this fee. In discussion with R. Trout, Development Services Division Director, the Agency is recommending to keep the fee at 8.75 hours.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 8.75 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Design Review:

DR
Major (Applications adjacent to State Highway - Negative Declaration)

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS



A Senior Planner is assigned this task $60 \%$ of the time.
The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is \$ 119.27 /hour


Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 14 projects. Of the 14 projects, 6 were eliminated from analysis due to higher or lower than mean hours spent on the project. 580.30 hours were charged to the 8 projects. The mean time spent on each Design Review: Major (Applications adjacent to State Highway - Negative Declaration) is 72.54 hours. The 72.54 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 8,652.00$ per project.
R. Trout, Development Services Division Director, recommends combining the fee of Design Review, Planning Commission Major and Design Review, Staff Level Major, making it one fee for all Design Review Major projects. For these types of projects, the data found is not a good representation of the required resources. The data sets were small and not representative of the typical project. R. Trout estimates 60 hours, for a total of $\$ 7,156.00$.

The Agency is recommending 60 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Design Review: Minor

| Design Review, Minor: |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| DR | Minor, (Categorically Exempt) Signs, On-Site, Accessory Structures, Minor Commercial |
|  | Expansions, Minor new Commercial ( 2,500 sq. ft.) minor residential (4welling units or less). |

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects

Total Design Review, Minor: Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:
DR00-0001-S

Net Used for Analysis
130.80

4

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 4 |
| Total hours | 130.80 |
| Average hour / Project | 32.70 |

## COST PER HOUR

A Senior Planner is assigned this task 60\% of the time.
The unit's Labor Rate for FY $15 / 16$ is $\$ 119.27$ /hour

## FLAT FEE CALCULATION

| Proposed cost per hour <br> $\times$ hours | $\$ 119.27$ |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | 32.70 |
| Calculated Flat Fee $=$ <br> Rounded to | $\$ 3,900.13$ |
|  | $\$ 3,900.00$ |

## PRIOR FEE COMPARISON

Current fee

| $\$$ | 1,000 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | 2,385 |
| $\$$ | 1,385 |

## Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 5 projects. Of the 5 projects, 1 was eliminated from analysis due to the amount of time spent on the project. 130.80 hours were charged to the 4 projects. The mean time spent on each Design Review: Minor is 32.70 hours. The 32.70 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 3,900.00$ per project.
R. Trout, Development Services Division Director, recommends combining the fee of Design Review, Planning Commission Major and Design Review, Staff Level Major, making it one fee for all Design Review Major projects. For these types of projects, the data found is not a good representation of the required resources. The data sets were small and not representative of the typical project. Some Minor Design Review applications should have been categorized to Major Design Review. R. Trout estimates 20 hours, for a total of $\$ 2,385.00$.

The Agency is recommending 20 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

| Design Review: Major Revision |
| :--- |
| Design Review, Major Revision to Approved Design Review <br> DR |
| COST PER HOUR |
| $75 \%$ of fee |
| DEPOSIT INFORMATION |

Other Information and Issues

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Design Review, Major Revision to Approved Design Review at $75 \%$ of the original application fee and recommends this remain unchanged.

| Design Review: Minor Revision |
| :--- |
| Design Review, Minor Revision to Approved Design Review <br> DR |
| COST PER HOUR |
| $25 \%$ of fee |
| DEPOSIT INFORMATION |
| Other Information and Issues |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Design Review, Minor Revision to Approved Design Review at $25 \%$ of the original application fee and recommends this remain unchanged.

## Development Agreement Application

| Development Agreement Application <br> DA |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | COST PER HOUR |
|  | Time and Materials |
| Flat Deposit Amount: $\$ 2,500.00$ |  |
|  | DEPOSIT INFORMATION |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Development Agreement Application on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

| Development Agreement Annual Review <br> Development Agreement Annual Review <br> DA <br>  <br> COST PER HOUR <br> Time and Materials <br> Dlat Deposit Amount: $\$ 800.00$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Development Agreement Annual Review on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

|  | EIR, Notice of Preparation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Environmental Impact Report, Notice of Preparation <br> EIR |  |
|  | COST PER HOUR |
| Time and Materials |  |
| Flat Deposit Amount: $\$ 2,000.00$ |  |
|  | Other Information and Issues |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Environmental Impact Report, Notice of Preparation on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

## EIR and Hearings, Staff Time

Environmental Impact Report, EIR and Hearings, Staff Time
EIR
Consultant charges are based on cost identified by contract

## COST PER HOUR

Time and Materials

|  | DEPOSIT INFORMATION |
| :--- | :--- |
| Flat Deposit Amount: $\$ 5,000.00$ |  |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Environmental Impact Report, EIR and Hearings, Staff Time on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

## Finding of General Plan Consistency

Finding of General Plan Consistency: GOV

Govt. Code Sections 65402 and 65403

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years

> Hours Projects

Total Finding of General Plan Consistency: Total Hours Used:
58.55

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

| GOV13-0001 |  | (0.20) | (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GOV14-0001 |  | (2.50) | (1) |
| GOV14-0002 |  | (1.00) | (1) |
| GOV07-0011 | county project | (7.00) | (1) |
| GOV09-0006 | county project | (4.10) | (1) |
| GOV10-0001-2 | project not found in LMIS | (7.75) | (1) |
| Net Used for An |  | 36.00 | 7 |


|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 7 |
| Total hours | 36.00 |
| Average hour / Project | 5.14 |

A Principal Planner is assigned this task $44 \%$ of the time.
The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is \$ 119.27 /hour
FLAT FEE CALCULATION

| Proposed cost per hour <br> $\quad x$ hours | $\$ 119.27$ |
| :--- | ---: |
|  | 5.14 |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ | $\$ 613.05$ |
| Rounded to | $\$ 613.00$ |

PRIOR FEE COMPARISON
Current fee
Proposed fee
Net Increase

| $\$$ | 500 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | 613 |
| $\$$ | 113 |

## Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 13 projects. Of the 13 projects, 6 were eliminated from analysis due to the amount of time spent on the project. 36 hours were charged to the 7 projects. The mean time spent on each Finding of General Plan Consistency is 5.14 hours. The 5.14 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 613.00$ per project.

These projects are waived for Public agencies.
The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 5.14 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## General Plan Map Amendment

| General Plan Map Amendment <br> A |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | COST PER HOUR |  |
|  | Time and Materials |  |
| Flat Deposit Amount: $\$ 4,000.00$ |  |  |
|  | Other Information and Issues |  |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a General Plan Map Amendment on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

## General Plan Text Amendment, Major

| General Plan Text Amendment, Major (not CEQA exempt) <br> A |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | COST PER HOUR |
|  | Time and Materials |
| Flat Deposit Amount: $\$ 2,000.00$ |  |
|  | Other Information and Issues |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a General Plan Text Amendment, Major on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

|  | General Plan, Minor |
| :--- | :--- |
| General Plan Text Amendment, Minor (CEQA exempt) <br> A |  |
|  | COST PER HOUR |
|  | Time and Materials |
| Flat Deposit Amount: | $\$ 1,000.00$ |
|  | DEPOSIT INFORMATION |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a General Plan Text Amendment, Minor on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

## Hearing Continuation Off-Calendar

## Hearing Continuation Off-Calendar, Requested by Applicant

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects
Total Hearing Continuation:
Total Hours Used:
Exceptions deleted from analysis:

Net Used for Analysis

The unit's Labor Rate for FY $15 / 16$ is
\$ 119.27 hour
FLAT FEE CALCULATION

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | $\begin{array}{rr} \$ 119.27 \\ & 3.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ & 357.81 \\ \$ & 358.00 \end{array}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | $\begin{array}{rr} \$ & 300 \\ \$ & 358 \\ \hline \$ & 58 \end{array}$ |
|  | Other Information and Issues |

No data was found to analyze this fee. It is proposed we keep the time to 3 hours for a rate of $\$ 358.00$ per R. Trout, Development Services Division Director.

The Agency is recommending 3 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Miscellaneous Manuals, etc.

## COST PER HOUR

Time and Materials

## DEPOSIT INFORMATION

Flat Deposit Amount: \$

## Other Information and Issues

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with Miscellaneous Manuals, etc. on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

## Parcel Maps, Residential/Commercial Parcel Maps

## Parcel Maps:

P Residential/Commercial Parcel Map

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years

|  | Hours | Projects |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Parcel Map: |  | 15 |  |
| Total Hours Used: | 777.15 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Exceptions deleted from analysis: | $(0.75)$ | $(1)$ |  |
| P94-0026 | $(0.50)$ | $(1)$ |  |
| P95-0020 | $(9.00)$ | $(1)$ |  |
| P10-0013 | $(0.45)$ | $(1)$ |  |
| P97-0010 |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{7 6 6 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |  |


|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 11 |
| Total hours | 766.45 |
| Average hour / Project | 69.68 |

A Senior Planner is assigned this task $78 \%$ of the time.
The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is $\$ 119.27$ /hour

## FLAT FEE CALCULATION

| Proposed cost per hour |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\quad$phours | $\$$119.27 <br> 69.68 |
|  |  |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ | $\$ 8,310.73$ |
| Rounded to | $\$ 8,311.00$ |

## PRIOR FEE COMPARISON

Current fee

| $\$$ | 5,200 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | 8,311 |
| $\$$ | 3,111 |

[^1]
## Parcel Maps, Major Revision

Parcel Maps, Major Revision to Approved Parcel Map
P

| COST PER HOUR |
| :---: |
|  |
| $75 \%$ of Residential / Commercial Parcel Map Fee |
| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |
| Hours Required for Residential / Commercial Parcel Map |
| $\times \%$ |

The Agency is recommending recovering full cost with a Parcel Maps, Major Revision to Approved Parcel Map at 75\% of the original application fee and recommends 52.26 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Parcel Maps, Minor Revision

Parcel Maps, Minor Revision to Approved Parcel Map
P

## COST PER HOUR

$25 \%$ of fee
FLAT FEE CALCULATION

| Hours Required for Residential / Commercial Parcel Map$\text { x } \%$ |  | 69.68 0.25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 17.42 |
| Current cost per hour | \$ | 119.27 |
| $x$ hours |  | 17.42 |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ | \$ | 2,077.68 |
| Rounded to | \$ | 2,078.00 |

## Other Information and Issues

The Agency is recommending recovering full cost with a Parcel Maps, Minor Revision to Approved Parcel Map at 25\% of the original application fee and recommends 17.42 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.


The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Parcel Maps, Time Extensions on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

## Parcel Map Correction

## Parcel Map Correction:

P Corrections to Recorded Final/Parcel Maps

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Total Corrections to Parcel Map: Hours Projects 7
Total Hours Used:
105.96

Exceptions deleted from analysis:
None

| Net Used for Analysis | 105.96 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 7 |
| Total hours | 105.96 |
| Average hour / Project | 15.14 |

A Senior Planner is assigned this task $78 \%$ of the time.
The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is \$ 119.27 /hour


Other Information and Issues
Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 7 projects. 105.96 hours were charged to the 7 projects. The mean time spent on each Parcel Map Correction is 15.14 hours. The 15.14 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 1,806.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 15.14 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Parcel Map Waiver



Waiver

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects

Total Parcel Map Waiver: 4 Total Hours Used: 20.20

Exceptions deleted from analysis:
None

| Net Used for Analysis | 20.20 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 4 |
| Total hours | 20.20 |
| Average hour / Project | 5.05 |

A Senior Planner is assigned this task $78 \%$ of the time.
The unit's Labor Rate for FY $15 / 16$ is $\$ 119.27$ Mour
FLAT FEE CALCULATION

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 119.27 \\ 5.05 \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ & 602.31 \\ \$ & 602.00 \end{array}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | $\$$ 400 <br> $\$$ 602 <br> $\$$ 202 |
|  | Other Information and Issues |

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 4 projects. 20.20 hours were charged to the 4 projects. The mean time spent on each Parcel Map Waiver is 5.05 hours. The 5.05 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 602.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 5.05 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

|  | Planned Development |
| :--- | :--- |
| Planned Development <br> PD |  |
|  | COST PER HOUR |
|  | Time and Materials |
| Flat Deposit Amount: $\$ 6,000.00$ |  |
|  | Other Information and Issues |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Planned Development on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

| Planned Development, Major Revision <br> Planned Development, Major Revision <br> PD <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> COST PER HOUR <br> Flat Deposit Amount: $\$ 4,000.00$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Planned Development, Major Revision on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

## Planned Development, Minor Revision

Planned Development:
PD Minor Revision to approved development plan (No change to environmental document)

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects
Total Planned Development Minor Revision: Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:
None

| Net Used for Analysis | 82.50 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 8 |
| Total hours | 92.50 |
| Average hour / Project | 11.56 |

A Senior Planner is assigned this task $45 \%$ of the time.
The unit's Labor Rate for $F Y$ 15/16 is $\$ 119.27$ /hour

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | $\begin{array}{rr} \$ \quad 119.27 \\ & 11.56 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,378.76 \\ & \$ 1,379.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | $\begin{array}{rr} \$ & 1,000 \\ \$ & 1,379 \\ \hline \$ & 379 \end{array}$ |
|  | Other Information and Issues |

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 8 projects. 92.50 hours were charged to the 8 projects. The mean time spent on each Planned Development: Minor Revision is 11.56 hours. The 11.56 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 1,379.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 11.56 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Pre-Application Review, Minor

## Pre-Application Review:

PA Minor (for projects likely to be exempt from CEQA; parcel maps; and use permits)

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years

Total Minor, Pre-Application Review:
Total Hours Used:
Hours Projects
20
185.75

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

| PA13-0013 | (22.70) | (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PA14-0002 | (21.00) | (1) |
| Net Used for Analysis | 142.05 | 18 |

(22.70)
142.05

18

| Humber of Projects |
| :--- |
| Total hours |
| Average hour / Project |

## Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and 20 projects were identified. Two were eliminated from analysis due to the amount of time spent on the project. 142.05 hours were charged to the 18 projects. The mean time spent on each Pre-Application Review: Minor is 7.89 hours. The 7.89 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 941.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 7.89 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar. For applicants taking advantage of this review, a credit equivalent to the Pre-Application Review, Minor fee will be applied to the Parcel Review fee if requested for the same project within one year.

## Pre-Application Review, Major

## Pre-Application Review:

> PA Major (including TAC review for tentative maps, rezones, general plan amendments and other projects not considered to be minor pre-applications.)

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects

Total Major, Pre-Application Review:
Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

## Net Used for Analysis

120.53

4
$\qquad$
120.53

4

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 4 |
| Total hours | 120.53 |
| Average hour / Project | 30.13 |

A Senior Planner is assigned this task $95 \%$ of the time.
The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is $\$ 119.27$ /hour

## FLAT FEE CALCULATION

| Proposed cost per hour |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| phours | $\$ 119.27$ |
|  | 30.13 |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ | $\$ 3,593.61$ |
| Rounded to | $\$ 3,594.00$ |

## PRIOR FEE COMPARISON

| Current fee | $\$$ | 2,000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed fee | $\$$ | 3,594 |
| Net Increase | $\$$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 9 4}$ |

## Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 4 projects. 30.13 hours were charged to the 4 projects. The mean time spent on each Pre-Application Review: Major is 30.13 hours. The 30.13 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 3,594.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 30.13 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Reactivation or Revision to Application

Applications that are continued off calendar, on hold, or incomplete one year or longer, when such delay is caused by or at the request of the applicant. Also, applications that are revised by the applicant during the review process and require redistribution due to design changes or other significant change in the project.

## COST PER HOUR

|  | DEPOSIT INFORMATION |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reactivation | $50 \%$ of original fee and T \& M |
| Revision | $25-50 \%$ of original fee and T \& M *to be determined by <br> Development Services Division Director |
|  | Other Information and Issues |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Reactivation or Revision to Application on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

|  | Reclamation Plan |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reclamation Plan |  |
|  | COST PER HOUR |
|  | Time and Materials |
| Flat Deposit Amount: | $\$ 4,000.00$ |
|  | DEPOSIT INFORMATION |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Reclamation Plan on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

|  | Reclamation Plan Revision |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reclamation Plan Revision |  |
|  | COST PER HOUR |
|  | Time and Materials |
| Flat Deposit Amount: $\$ 2,000.00$ |  |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Reclamation Plan Revision on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

Reclamation Annual Inspection and Report

|  | COST PER HOUR |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Time and Materials |
| DEPOSIT INFORMATION |  |
| Flat Deposit Amount: $\$ 1,000.00$ |  |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Reclamation Annual Inspection and Report on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

## Research Requests and Zoning Letters: Reconstruction after Burn-Down letters, Zone Determination letters, and flood zone determination letters

Reconstruction after Burn-Down letters, Zone Determination letters, and flood zone determination letters

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects
Total Research Requests and Zoning Letters:
Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

## Net Used for Analysis

## COST PER HOUR

The unit's Labor Rate for FY $15 / 16$ is $\$ 119.27$ /hour

## FLAT FEE CALCULATION

| Proposed cost per hour | $\$ 119.27$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| $x$ hours | 1.00 |

Proposed Flat Fee $=\quad \$ 119.27$
Rounded to
\$ 119.00

## PRIOR FEE COMPARISON

Current fee
Proposed fee
Net Increase

| $\$$ | 100 |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | 119 |
| $\$$ | 19 |

## Other Information and Issues

No data was found to analyze this fee. Per R. Trout, Development Services Department Director, the recommended fee should remain at 1 hour, for a total of $\$ 119.00$.

The Agency is recommending 1 hour multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Research Requests and Zoning Letters: ABC License Verification, DMV zoning verification, and HCD compliance (campground/rv parks)

ABC License Verification, DMV zoning verification, and HCD compliance (campground/rv parks)

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects
Total Verification and HCD compliance: Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

Net Used for Analysis

## COST PER HOUR

The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is $\$ 119.27$ /hour

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 119.27 \\ 0.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ & 59.64 \\ \$ & 60.00 \end{array}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ & 50 \\ \$ & 60 \\ \hline \$ & 10 \end{array}$ |
|  | Other Information and Issues |

No data was found to analyze this fee. Per R. trout, Development Services Division Director, the recommended fee should remain at .5 hours, for a total of $\$ 60.00$.

The Agency is recommending .5 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Research Requests and Zoning Letters: Public Convenience and Necessity

Public Convenience and Necessity

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects
Total Public Convenience and Necessity: Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

Net Used for Analysis

## COST PER HOUR

The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is
\$ 119.27 /hour

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 119.27 \\ 2.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 298.18 \\ & \$ 298.00 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ & 250 \\ \$ & 298 \\ \hline \$ & 48 \end{array}$ |

## Other Information and Issues

No data was found to analyze this fee. Per R. Trout, Development Services Division Director, the recommended fee should remain at 2.5 hours, for a total of $\$ 298.00$.

The Agency is recommending 2.5 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

| Unlisted Services and Research |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Unlisted Services and Research |  |  |
|  | COST PER HOUR |  |
|  | Time and Materials |  |
|  | DEPOSIT INFORMATION |  |
| Flat Deposit Amount: | $\$ 119.00$ |  |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Unlisted Services and Research on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

## Reversion to Acreage

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects
Total Reversion to Acreage:
Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

Net Used for Analysis

## COST PER HOUR

The unit's Labor Rate for FY $15 / 16$ is

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 119.27 \\ 1.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 178.91 \\ & \$ 179.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | $\begin{array}{rr} \$ & 150 \\ \$ & 179 \\ \hline \$ & 29 \end{array}$ |
|  | Other Information and Issues |

No data was found to analyze this fee. Per R. Trout, Development Service Division Director, the recommended fee should remain at 1.5 hours, for a total of $\$ 179.00$.

The Agency is recommending 1.5 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Site Plan Review: Administrative Permit

Site Plan Review: Administrative Permit (see Zoning Ordinance for Administrative Permits)
SPR

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects
Total Site Plan Review Administrative Permit: Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

## Net Used for Analysis

$\qquad$

## COST PER HOUR

The unit's Labor Rate for FY $15 / 16$ is
\$ 119.27 /hour

## FLAT FEE CALCULATION

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Proposed cost per hour x hours | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 119.27 \\ 1.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ & 119.27 \\ \$ & 119.00 \end{array}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | $\begin{array}{rr} \$ & 100 \\ \$ & 119 \\ \hline \$ & 19 \end{array}$ |
|  | Other Information and Issues |

No data was found to analyze this fee. It is proposed we keep the time to 1 hour. Interviews were conducted on $3 / 25 / 15$. Per L. Macleod, Principal Planner, this task takes 1 hour to perform for a rate of $\$ 119.00$.

The Agency is recommending 1 hour multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Site Plan Review: Improvement Plans

Site Plan Review:
SPR Improvement Plans (for parcel map or subdivision map)

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Total Site Plan Review Improvement Plans : Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:
$\qquad$
Net Used for Analysis


No data was found to analyze this fee. The fee is proposed to remain at 3 hours, for rate of $\$ 358.00$. Interviews were conducted on $3 / 25 / 15$. Per M. Pabalinas, Senior Planner, the time required to complete this task is difficult to estimate because it depends on the complexity of the project. Only 2 known projects were processed in the last 5 years and data was unavailable.

The Agency is recommending 3 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Site Plan Review: Commercial

## Site Plan Review: <br> SPR

Commercial (Non-Residential: pre-application for building permits and Recycling Facilities)

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

| Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Hours | Projects |  |
| Total Site Plan Review Non-Residential : | 97.45 | 12 |
| Total Hours Used: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Exceptions deleted from analysis: | $(25.30)$ | $(1)$ |
| SPR07-0037 | $(27.85)$ | $(1)$ |
| SPR09-0011 | $(0.25)$ | $(1)$ |
| SPR10-0010 |  |  |
|  |  | 44.05 |
| Net Used for Analysis |  | $\mathbf{9}$ |


|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 9 |
| Total hours | 44.05 |
| Average hour / Project | 4.89 |

The unit's Labor Rate for FY $15 / 16$ is \$ 119.27 /hour

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 119.27 \\ 4.89 \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ <br> Rounded to | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 583.23 \\ & \$ 583.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ & 300 \\ \$ & 583 \\ \hline \$ & 283 \end{array}$ |
|  | Other Information and Issues |

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 12 projects. Of the 12 projects, 3 were eliminated from analysis due to the amount of time spent on the project. 44.05 hours were charged to the 9 projects. The mean time spent on each Site Plan Review: Commercial is 4.89 hours. The 4.89 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 583.00$ per project.
Per R. Trout, Development Services Division Director recommends the fees for Site Plan Review: Non-Residential and Site Plan Review: Recycling Facilities be combined.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 4.89 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Site Plan Review: Ranch Marketing or Winery

## Site Plan Review:

SPR Ranch Marketing or Winery (see Zoning Ordinance)

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years

Hours Projects
Total SPR Ranch Marketing or Winery : Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

| SPR12-0004 | $(0.45)$ <br> SPR08-0009 | $(1)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | $(20.00)$ | $(1)$ |
| Net Used for Analysis | 50.75 | 4 |

促

## HOURS PER UNIT

Number of Projects 4

Total hours
Average hour / Project

## COST PER HOUR

| COST PER HOUR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The unit's Labor Rate for | 6 is | \$ 119.27 /hour |
| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |  |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | $\begin{array}{rr} \$ & 119.27 \\ & 12.69 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,513.54 \\ & \$ 1,514.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | $\begin{array}{lr} \$ & 250 \\ \$ & 1,193 \\ \hline \$ & 943 \end{array}$ |  |
| Other Information and Issues |  |  |

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 6 projects. Of the 6 projects, 2 were eliminated from analysis due to the amount of time spent on the project. 50.75 hours were charged to the 4 projects. The mean time spent on each Site Plan Review: Ranch Marketing or Winery is 12.69 hours. The 12.69 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 1,514.00$ per project.

Interviews were conducted on 4/9/15. Per Roger Trout, Development Services Division Director, recommends the fee be equivalent to 10 hours, for a total of $\$ 1,193.00$. These projects tend to serve as economic development with additional jobs and sales tax revenues and was established in the Ranch Marketing and Winery Ordinances to serve as the necessary level of regulation but at a minimal cost.

The Agency is recommending to increase to 10 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar, but not to the full cost recovery hours.

## Special Use Permit: Planning Commission/Zoning Administrator - Negative Declaration, Major

Special Use Permit:<br>$\mathbf{S} \quad$ Planning Commission/Zoning Administrator - Negative Declaration, Major



Other Information and Issues
Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 20 projects. $1,268.10$ hours were charged to the 20 projects. The mean time spent on each S- Planning Commission/Zoning Administrator - Negative Declaration, Major is 63.41 hours. The 63.41 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 7,563.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 63.41 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Special Use Permit: Planning Commission/Zoning Administrator, Medium

Planning Commission/Zoning Administrator, Medium
S (Categorically Exempt) Off-Premise Signs, minor expansion of floor area, new minor commercial (2500 sq. ft. or less), and other categorically exempt projects.

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects
Total Hours Used:
628.35

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

Net Used for Analysis
628.35

16

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 16 |
| Total hours | 628.35 |
| Average hour / Project | 39.27 |

The unit's Labor Rate for FY $15 / 16$ is
\$ 119.27 /hour

## FLAT FEE CALCULATION

| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 119.27 \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Flat Fee = | \$ 4,683.73 |
| Rounded to | \$ 4,684.00 |

## PRIOR FEE COMPARISON

Current fee

| $\$$ | 1,500 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | 4,684 |
| $\$$ | 3,184 |

## Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 16 projects. 628.35 hours were charged to the 16 projects. The mean time spent on each S-Planning Commission/Zoning Administrator, Medium is 39.27 hours. The 39.27 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 4,684.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 39.27 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Special Use Permit: Planning Commission/Zoning Administrator, Minor

Planning Commission/Zoning Administrator, Minor
S (Categorically Exempt) On-site signs (excess area), ham radio antenna height, fence height, modification of non-conforming parking/landscaping, temporary uses not listed, and wireless co-location.

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects
Total Planning Comm/Zoning Admin, Minor:
Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

## Net Used for Analysis

## COST PER HOUR

| COST PER HOUR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is |  | \$ 119.27 /hour |
| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |  |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 119.27 \\ \\ 15.00 \end{array}$ |  |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1,789.05 \\ & \$ 1,789.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A} \\ \$ \quad 1,789 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Other Information and Issues |  |  |

No data was used to analyze this fee. This fee was created because some projects do not require as much time and work as others. Roger Trout, Development Services Division Director, says 15 hours for a total of $\$ 1,789.00$, will cover the time needed to complete this task.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 15 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Special Use Permit, Major Revision

Major Revision to Approved to Special Use Permit

| COST PER HOUR |
| :---: | :---: |
| $75 \%$ of original fee |
| DEPOSIT INFORMATION |

## Other Information and Issues

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Major Revision to a Special Use Permit based on 75\% recovery and recommends this remain unchanged.

# Special Use Permit, Minor Revision 

Minor Revision to Approved to Special Use Permit

|  |
| :---: |
| $25 \%$ of original fee |
| DEPOSIT INFORMATION |

## Other Information and Issues

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Minor Revision to a Special Use Permit based on $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ recovery and recommends this remain unchanged.

## Specific Plans and Revisions

Staff costs only. Consultant costs are covered by a separate contract.

|  | COST PER HOUR |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Time and Materials |
| Flat Deposit Amount: | $\$ 5,000.00$ |
|  | DEPOSIT INFORMATION |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Specific Plan and Revision fee on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

| Subdivision Maps: Preliminary Map |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Preliminary Maps TM |  |
|  | COST PER HOUR |
|  | Time and Materials |
|  | DEPOSIT INFORMATION |
| Flat Deposit Amount | \$2,000.00 |
|  | Other Information and Issues |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a TM- Preliminary Map on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

| Tentative Map <br> TM | Subdivision Maps: Tentative Map |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| COST PER HOUR |  |  |  |
| Time and Materials |  |  |  |
| Flat Deposit Amount: $\$ 7,500.00$ |  |  |  |
|  | DEPOSIT INFORMATION |  |  |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a TM- Tentative Map on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

| Subdivision Maps, Major Revision |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Major Revision to Approved Tentative Map <br> TM |  |  |  |
| COST PER HOUR |  |  |  |
|  | Time and Materials |  |  |
| Flat Deposit Amount: $\$ 5,000.00$ |  |  |  |
|  | Other Information and Issues |  |  |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a TM- Major Revision to Approved Tentative Map on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

## Subdivision Map, Minor Revision to Approved Tentative Map

## Subdivision Map:

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects

Total Minor Revision to Approved Tentative Map: Total Hours Used:
459.40

Exceptions deleted from analysis:
TM05-1401-R
(146.50)

TM06-1409-R
(36.25)
(1)

Net Used for Analysis
276.65

8

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 8 |
| Total hours | 276.65 |
| Average hour / Project | 34.58 |

The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is $\$ 119.27$ /hour

## FLAT FEE CALCULATION

| Proposed cost per hour | $\$ \quad 119.27$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| x hours | 34.58 $\mathbf{~}$ |

Proposed Flat Fee $=\quad \$ 4,124.36$
Rounded to
$\$ 4,124.00$

## PRIOR FEE COMPARISON

Current fee
Proposed fee
Net Increase

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\$ 1,850 \\
\$ 2500 & T \& M \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 10 projects. Of the 10 projects, 2 were eliminated from analysis due to the amount of time spent on the permit. 276.65 hours were charged to the 8 projects. The mean time spent on each Minor Subdivision Revision to Approved Tentative Map is 34.58 hours. The 34.58 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 4,124.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending the fee be changed to $\$ 2500$ T\&M to be consistent with the other Tentative Map categories.


Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 14 projects. Of the 14 projects, 235.60 hours were charged to the 14 projects. The mean time spent on each Final Map is 16.83 hours. The 16.83 multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 2,007.00$ per project.
Interviews were conducted on 4/9/15. Roger Trout, Development Services Division Director, recommends this charge should be kept at the current 20 hours for a rate of $\$ 2,385.00$. The data analyzed is not a good representation and does not represent all the time needed to complete the project.

The Agency is recommending to keep the current charge of 20 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Subdivision Maps: Time Extension

| Time Extensions <br> TM | COST PER HOUR |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Time and Materials |
|  | DEPOSIT INFORMATION |
| Flat Deposit Amount: $\$ 1,000.00$ |  |
|  | Other Information and Issues |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a TM- Time Extensions on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

## Temporary Use Permits: A

Temporary Use Permits:<br>TUP<br>A. Subdivision Model Homes, Construction Yard, Office, Outdoor Sales

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects

Total Temporary Use Permits A: Total Hours Used: 86.20

Exceptions deleted from analysis:


Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 25 projects. 86.20 hours were charged to the 25 projects. The mean time spent on each TUP-Subdivision Model Homes, Construction Yard, Office, Outdoor Sales is 3.45 hours. The 3.45 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 411.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 3.45 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Temporary Use Permits: B

Temporary Use Permits:<br>TUP B. Christmas Tree Lots, Auctions, Temporary Signs

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years

Hours Projects
24.35

Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:
None

Net Used for Analysis
24.35

8

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 8 |
| Total hours | 24.35 |
| Average hour / Project | 3.04 |

The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is $\$ 119.27$ /hour

## FLAT FEE CALCULATION

| Proposed cost per hour | $\$ \quad 119.27$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| $x$ hours | 3.04 |

Proposed Flat Fee $=$
\$ 362.58
Rounded to
\$ 363.00

## PRIOR FEE COMPARISON

Current fee
Proposed fee
Net Increase

| $\$$ | 100 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$$ | 363 |
| $\$$ | 263 |

## Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 8 projects. 24.35 hours were charged to the 8 projects. The mean time spent on each TUP-Christmas Tree Lots, Auctions, Temporary Signs is 3.04 hours. The 3.04 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 363.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 3.04 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Temporary Use Permits: C

Temporary Use Permits:<br>TUP C. Outdoor Concerts, Itinerate shows, Carnivals, Circuses, Rodeos, and Religious Revival Meetings

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years

Total Temporary Use Permits C: Total Hours Used:

Hours Projects
55.15

Exceptions deleted from analysis:
None

Net Used for Analysis
55.15

13

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 13 |
| Total hours | 55.15 |
| Average hour / Project | 4.24 |

The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is \$ 119.27 /hour

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 119.27 \\ 4.24 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 505.70 \\ & 506.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | \$ \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 400 \\ 506 \\ \hline 106 \end{array}$ |

## Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 13 projects. 55.15 hours were charged to the 5 projects. The mean time spent on each TUP-Outdoor Concerts, Itinerate shows, Carnivals, Circuses, Rodeos, and Religious Revival Meetings is 4.24 hours. The 4.24 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 506.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 4.24 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Temporary Use Permits: D

## Temporary Use Permits: <br> TUP

D: Other Uses: As determined by Development Services Division Director

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Temporary Use Permits D:
Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:
TUP12-0018

Net Used for Analysis


## Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 22 projects. Of the 22 projects, 1 was eliminated from analysis due to the amount of time spent on the permit. 78.10 hours were charged to the 22 projects. The mean time spent on each Temporary Use Permits is 3.55 hours. The 3.55 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 423.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 3.55 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

Variance: 17.22.600 et. Seq.

## Variance:

V $\quad 17.22 .600$ et. Seq. (Discretionary Variance)

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Hours Projects
Total Annual Variance 17.22.600:
Total Hours Used: 98.05

Exceptions deleted from analysis:
V13-0001
(1)

|  |
| :--- |
| 50.50 |


| HOURS PER UNIT |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 3 |
| Total hours | 50.50 |
| Average hour / Project | 16.83 |
| COST PER HOUR |  |
| The unit's Labor Rate for | 6 is |
| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 119.27 \\ \\ \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Calculated Flat Fee = Rounded to | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2,007.31 \\ & \$ 2,007.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | $\begin{array}{lr} \$ & 2,200 \\ \$ & 2,624 \\ \hline \$ & 424 \end{array}$ |

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 4 projects. Of the 4 projects, 1 was eliminated from analysis due to the amount of time spent on the permit. 50.50 hours were charged to the 3 projects. The mean time spent on each Discretionary Variance 17.22 .600 et. Seq. is 16.83 hours. The 16.83 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 2,007.00$ per project.

Interviews were conducted on 4/9/15. Roger Trout, Development Services Division Director, recommends this charge should be kept at the current 22 hours at a rate of $\$ 2,624.00$. The pool sample was small and combined some projects that were not "true" variances and some of these projects are "administrative" variances. This fee should not be changed but reevaluated when the new zoning code is adopted.

The Agency is recommending to keep the current charge of 22 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Variance: Administrative Front Setback Reduction

## Variance:

V Administrative Front Setback Reduction (17.14.020-17.14.040)

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Total Variance Admin Front Setback:
Total Hours Used:
Hours Projects

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

Net Used for Analysis

## COST PER HOUR

The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is \$ 119.27 /hour

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 119.27 \\ 0.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59.64 \\ & 60.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | \$ <br> $\$$ <br> $\$$ | $\begin{array}{r} 50 \\ 60 \\ \hline 10 \end{array}$ |
| Other Information and Issues |  |  |

No data was found to analyze this fee. Per R. Trout, Development Services Division Director, the recommended fee should remain at .5 hours, for a total of $\$ 60.00$.

The Agency is recommending .5 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Variance: Administrative Relief for Agricultural Setbacks

## Variance:

V Administrative Relief for Agricultural Setbacks

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years
Total Variance Admin Ag Setback: Hours Projects 6

Total Hours Used:
14.40

Exceptions deleted from analysis:
V11-0003
(0.25)
(1)

V12-0004
V13-0002
(0.90)
(1)

Net Used for Analysis
12.75

3


## Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 6 projects. Of the 6 projects, 3 were eliminated from analysis due to the amount of time spent on the permit. 12.75 hours were charged to the 3 projects. The mean time spent on each VarianceAdministrative Relief for Agricultural Setbacks is 4.25 hours. The 4.25 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 507.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 4.25 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

# Variance: Administrative Relief for Riparian Setbacks 

## Variance:

$V$ Administrative Relief for Riparian Setbacks

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately | 10 years |
| :---: |
| Hours |
| Total Variance Admin Riparian Setback: |$\quad 2$

Total Hours Used:

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

Net Used for Analysis
$40.70 \quad 2$

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 2 |
| Total hours | 40.70 |
| Average hour / Project | 20.35 |

The unit's Labor Rate for FY $15 / 16$ is
\$ 119.27 /hour
FLAT FEE CALCULATION
Proposed cost per hour

x hours $\quad$| $\$ 119.27$ |
| ---: |
|  |

|  |  | PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Current fee | $\$$ | 300 |
| Proposed fee | $\$$ | 358 |
| Net Increase | $\$ 158$ |  |

Other Information and Issues

| Other Information and Issues |
| :--- |
| Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 2 projects. 40.70 hours were charged to the 2 projects. The mean time |
| spent on each Variance-Administrative Relief for Riparian Setbacks is 20.35 hours. The 20.35 hours multiplied by the current |
| billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 2,427.00$ per project. |
| Per an interview with Roger Trout, Development Service Division Director, on $4 / 9 / 15$, the General Plan Policy 7.3 .3 .4 (riparian |
| and wetland setbacks) and the Interim Interpretive Guidelines allow for modifications to the standard setbacks through a range of |
| processes, including "reasonable use determinations" and alternative setbacks based on biological site assessments. These |
| processes are extremely variable and the fees are intended to remain reasonable until new regulations are codified in the Zoning |
| Ordinance Update process. Roger Trout recommends the current 3 hours for the rate of $\$ 358.00$. |

The Agency is recommending to keep the current charge of 3 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Variance: Administrative 10\% Relief

## Variance:

V Administrative 10\% Relief (17.22.020)

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years

| Hours | Projects |
| ---: | ---: |
| 65.00 | 10 |

Total Annual Variance Admin 10\%:
Total Hours Used:
65.00

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

Net Used for Analysis
65.00

10

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 10 |
| Total hours | 65.00 |
| Average hour / Project | 6.50 |

The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is \$ 119.27 /hour

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed cost per hour $x$ hours | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 119.27 \\ 6.50 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 775.26 \\ & 775.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | \$ <br> \$ <br> $\$$ | $\begin{array}{r} 600 \\ 775 \\ \hline 175 \end{array}$ |

## Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 10 projects. 65 hours were charged to the 10 projects. The mean time spent on each Variance-Administrative 10\% Relief (17.22.020) is 6.5 hours. The 6.5 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 775.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 6.5 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## Williamson Act Contracts (Agricultural)

```
Williamson Act Contracts
    WAC Establish and Amendments (Rezone to LA is no charge; Rezone to AG or PA requires the
    full rezone fee)
```


## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data was derived from a representative sampling spanning approximately 10 years

|  | Hours | Projects |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Total Williamson Act Contract: | 169.70 | 17 |
| Total Hours Used: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Exceptions deleted from analysis: | $(30.40)$ | $(1)$ |
| WAC10-0004 | $(0.75)$ | $(1)$ |
| WAC14-0002 | $(0.75)$ | $(1)$ |
| WAC14-0003 |  |  |
|  | $\mathbf{1 3 7 . 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |


|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Projects | 14 |
| Total hours | 137.80 |
| Average hour / Project | 9.84 |

The unit's Labor Rate for FY 15/16 is \$ 119.27 /hour

|  | FLAT FEE CALCULATION |
| :---: | :---: |
| Proposed cost per hour | $\$ 119.27$ |
|  | 9.84 |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ | $\$ 1,173.62$ |
| Rounded to | $\$ 1,174.00$ |

## PRIOR FEE COMPARISON

Current fee

| $\$$ | 800 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | 1,174 |
| $\$$ | 374 |

## Other Information and Issues

Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 17 projects. Of the 17 projects, 3 were eliminated from analysis due to the amount of time spent on the permit. hours were charged to the 14 projects. The mean time spent on each Williamson Act Contracts is 9.84 hours. The 9.84 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 1,174.00$ per project.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 9.84 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

|  | Williamson Act Contracts (Agricultural) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Williamson Act Contracts <br> WAC | Immediate Cancellation (no fee for notice of non-renewal) |
|  | COST PER HOUR |
| Time and Materials |  |
| Flat Deposit Amount:DEPOSIT INFORMATION |  |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a WAC - Immediate Cancellation on a Time and Material basis; and would recommend this remain unchanged.

## Zone Change

| Zone Change <br> Z |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  | COST PER HOUR |
|  | Time and Materials |
| Flat Deposit Amount: | $\$ 2,800.00$ |
|  |  |

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Zone Change on a Time and Material basis; and would recommend this remain unchanged.

## 2014 County Fee Comparison - Planning

|  | COUNTY |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service/Application | Old Fee <br> El Dorado | New Fee El Dorado | Sacramento | Placer | Butte | Yolo | Nevada |


| Appeals | 200.00 | 239.00 | 3,106.00 | 546.00 | 450.00 | 816.20 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Boundary Line Adjustment | 300.00 | 358.00 | 1,606.00 | 900.00 | 652.00 | 1,632.40 | 333.55 |
| Boundary Line Merge | 100.00 | 119.00 | 1,606.00 |  |  |  |  |
| Certificate of Compliance | 3,500.00 | 4,174.00 |  | 771.00 | 1,141.00 | 1,305.92 | 266.84 |
| Design Review | 5,000.00 | 7,156.00 | 2,631.00 | 4,115.00 |  |  | 266.84 |
| Development Agreement | 2500 T\&M | 2500 T\&M | 8,282.00 | 3,695.00 | 4,890.00 |  | 533.68 |
| EIR | 2000 T\&M | 2000 T\&M |  | 8,972.00 | actual cost | 16,324.00 | 800.52 |
| Final Map/Subdivision Agmt | 2,000.00 | 2,385.00 | 8,282.00 | 1,423.00 | 326.00 | 1,795.64 | 3,735.76 |
| Finding of Consistency | 500.00 | 613.00 |  |  |  |  | 266.84 |
| General Plan | 4000 T\&M | 4000 T\&M | 3,623.00 | 3,695.00 | 163.00 |  | 266.84 |
| Mobile Home Temporary | 200.00 | N/A | 120.00 |  | 530.00 |  |  |
| Parcel Maps | 5,200.00 | 8,311.00 | 7,871.00 |  | 1,956.00 | 1,795.64 | 2,401.56 |
| Planned Development Plan | 6000 T\&M | 6000 T\&M | 3,106.00 |  | 4,890.00 |  |  |
| Reclamation | 4000 T\&M | 4000 T\&M | 7,764.00 |  | 163.00 |  | 3,468.92 |
| Site Plan Review | 100.00 | 119.00 | 158.00 |  |  |  | 133.42 |
| Special Use Permit-Minor | 1,500.00 | 1,789.00 |  |  |  | 1,795.64 | 667.10 |
| Subdivision Maps | 2000 T\&M | 2000 T\&M | 8,282.00 | 1,423.00 | 4,401.00 |  |  |
| Temporary Use Permits | 100.00 | 363.00 | 259.00 | 446.00 | 163.00 |  | 2,668.40 |
| Tentative Map Revision | 5000 T\&M | 5000 T\&M | 5,176.00 | 1,445.00 |  | 3,917.76 | 2,401.56 |
| Time Extensions | 1000 T\&M | 1000 T\&M |  |  | 815.00 |  | 266.84 |
| Variance | 2,200.00 | 2,624.00 | 4,659.00 | 1,406.00 | 2,119.00 |  | 1,334.20 |
| Williamson Act Contracts | 800.00 | 1,174.00 | 4,659.00 |  | 163.00 | 652.96 | 667.10 |
| Zone Change | 2800 T\&M | 2800 T\&M | 13,976.00 | 3,141.00 | 4,890.00 | 1,142.68 | 2,668.40 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum building permit fee | 101.00 | 119.00 | 119.87 |  | 127.00 | 130.00 |  |
| Standard Hourly Rate | 100.00 | 119.27 |  | 109.22 | 163.00 | 154.00 | 133.42 |

# Transportation Community Development Agency 

Fee Study FY 14/15


## COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

## TRANSPORTATION DIVISION FEE HIGHLIGHTS

Background: The existing Transportation Division (TD) fee schedule consists of a combination of flat fees and tasks billed at actual costs, named time and material projects (T\&M). This fee methodology was developed in 1997 in order to mitigate the financial impact on the General Fund. At that time, the actual fee and initial deposit amounts were not changed. The fee and deposit amounts were last reviewed in 1993, and were based upon a department-wide average hourly rate of $\$ 68.50$ per hour. Since then, salaries and benefits have continued to escalate. For example, the billable hourly rate for an Assistant Civil Engineer in the County Engineer Division in 1997 was $\$ 58$, while the current rate is $\$ 123.25$, a $112.5 \%$ increase.

In addition, new regulations for review and inspection have been mandated and additional staff time is required in order to remain in compliance. In order to accurately calculate a fee, detailed time studies in the form of three years of actual time tracking covering the time period of $7 / 1 / 11-6 / 30 / 14$ provide reliable and accurate data. By using this source data, more realistic and equitable fees were developed for TD activities.

This fee revision proposes increases and new fees as documented by historical actual costs when prudent and practical. A few rely on the historical data in conjunction with interviews from staff. Residential Parcel Maps are the exception to this methodology.

The new fees that are proposed by TD staff are: Minor Design Review, Temporary Use Permits and a Pre-Application Development Meeting. Currently there are no fees charged for minor design reviews or temporary use permits although staff time is required to process them. The Pre-Application Development Meeting is a new fee to allow for applicants to meet with staff from all divisions within the CDA. It is thought that this process would map out protocols and fees for each stage of development in order to use the least amount of staff resources and applicant funds.

Highlights: All fees were updated and are reflective of the current amount of resources required to complete a specified task. Flat Fees were calculated using the current approved billing rate for FY 14/15. This billing rate is approved by the County's Auditor-Controller's Office.

- All calculations were made in accordance with the State Controller's Standards and Procedures for Counties, Appendix A, Road Fund Accounting. The annual audit of TD rates by the State Controller's Office has found no exceptions.
- The California Department of Transportation (Cal Trans) performs annual audits of TD rates to assure they are reasonable and within allowable standards of variances.

Currently there is not a fee for processing a minor design review or temporary use permit. Staff interviews concluded that 3 hours of staff time could accomplish an average minor design review and that 4 hours could accomplish a temporary use permit.

The Pre-Application Development Meeting is a new fee for a task that TD does not currently preform.
Staff interviews concluded that 2 hours of staff time could provide for a minor project review and that 6 hours could provide for a major project review. These hours include staff time of a Traffic Engineer from the Long Range Planning Division of CDA. Development Services currently offers this service to the public and has an approved fee.

Special Event / Parade / Involving County road closure is a service that TD provides currently with no cost recovery. The initial Special Event Permit / Parade is required every 5 years and is for one year is based on full cost recover for staff time of 3.63 hours. Years $2-5$, require a Subsequent Event / Parade permit fee which is reduced to 1.5 hours based on reduced staff time required to process.

Two fees were deleted from the current fee resolution, including Abandonment of Easements, which are currently assigned to the Surveyor's Office and Obstruction permits, which are obsolete.

Encroachment (Driveway/Access) permits were modified to segregate permits into three categories (A-C) that better serve the public:

- Category A is on an Improved County Road with curbs and gutter or with an approved drainage facility. This type of permit will require 2 inspections. (Site Review and Final)
- Category B is on a Non-Improved County Road with stamped plans. This type of permit will require 3 inspections (Site Review, Sub Grade and Final).
- Category C is on a Non-Improved County Road with unstamped plans. This type of permit will require 4 inspections (Site Review, Sub Grade, Rock Grade and Final).

Four fees moved from a flat fee to a T\&M billing basis. They are Design Reviews, Planned Developments, Residential Parcel Maps and Special Use Permits. The variety and complexity of these activities are inconsistent from project to project within the same type of task. Each project has different obstacles, challenges and unique issues; therefore, it was difficult to establish a flat fee that is equitable for the public.

A small project with minor reviews is charged only the time spent on reviewing its project rather than averaging the project in with large projects with higher complexity. Because of the variety and complexity differentiations within project types of these natures, the review process is best suited to be charged on a T\&M basis.

Utility Encroachment permits were reclassified from $1.5 \times$ applied cost of labor plus applied cost of equipment to a standard T\&M billing for full cost recovery.

Where applicable, recommended fees have been rounded to the nearest dollar.

| ACTIVITY | EXISTING HOURS | $\begin{gathered} \text { EXISTING } \\ \text { FEE } \end{gathered}$ | HOURLY TIME STUDY | FULL COST RECOVERY | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RECOMMENDED } \\ & \text { HOURS } \end{aligned}$ | RECOMMENDED FEE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ABANDONMENT OF EASEMENT AOE | * | \$308.00 | 3.50 | \$437.75 |  | Remove from Fee Schedule |
| BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT BLA | * | \$68.00 | 4.46 | \$549.70 | 4.46 | \$550.00 |
| CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE COC | * | \$513.00 | 7.68 . | \$932.51 | 7.68 | \$933.00 |
| COMMERCIAL GRADE CG | * | T\&M |  |  |  | *5\% deposit, $\mathrm{T} \& \mathrm{M}$ |
| design review dr |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING COMMISSION OR DIRECTOR minor design review | * | \$274.00 | 22.80 | \$ 2,810.10 |  | \$3000 deposit, T\&M |
| A. Signs, On-site |  | \$0.00 | 3.00 | \$369.75 | 3.00 | \$370.00 |
| B. Accessory Structures |  | \$0.00 | 3.00 | \$369.75 | 3.00 | \$370.00 |
| C. Minor Expansion of Floor Plan |  | \$0.00 | 3.00 | \$369.75 | 3.00 | \$370.00 |
| D. New Construction, Commercial |  | \$0.00 | 3.00 | \$369.75 | 3.00 | \$370.00 |
| E. 3 Single-Family Residences |  | \$0.00 | 3.00 | \$369.75 | 3.00 | \$370.00 |
| F. 4-6 Apartment Units |  | \$0.00 | 3.00 | \$369.75 | 3.00 | \$370.00 |
| REVIIION TO APPROVED DESIGN REVIEW |  | \$137.00 |  |  |  | T\&M |
| ENCROACHMENTS ENC |  | SEE SCHEDULE |  |  |  | SEE SChedule |
| GENERAL GRADING GG | * | SEE SCHEDULE |  |  |  | See schedule |
| OVERSIZED LoAD os | STATE MANDATED FEE |  | STATE MANDATED FEE |  | STATE MANDATED FEE |  |
| PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | * | \$274.00 | 14.97 | \$1,845.05 |  | \$2000 deposit, T\&M |
| COMMERCIALINDUSTRIAL | * | \$274.00 | 14.97 | \$1,845.05 |  | \$2000 deposit, T\&M |
| in Conjunction with zone change | * | \$274.00 | 14.97 | \$1,845.05 |  | \$2000 deposit, T\&M |
| PARCEL MAP COMMERCIAL PM-C |  | T\&M | N/A | N/A |  | \$5000 deposit, T\&M |
| PARCEL MAP RESIDENTIAL PM-R |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING PHASE |  | \$685.00 |  |  |  |  |
| InSPECTION PHASE |  | \$850.00 |  |  |  |  |
| bOTH PHASES COMBINED |  | \$1,535.00 | 70.67 | \$8,710.08 |  | \$5000 deposit, T\&M |
| REVIIIIONS TO APPROVED PARCEL MAP |  | \$137/\$345 |  |  |  | T\&M |
| time extensions |  | \$205.00 |  |  |  | T\&M |
| * Current Fee Structures were initiated in 1993 and 1997 <br> Back up documentation as to amount of time required to complete task is unavailable. <br> ** Deposits based on County approved Engineer's Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## DIVISION

RECOMMENDED FEE SCHEDULE



Encroachment data was extrapolated from Resolution 204-93, which identified the number of inspections per permit. Greg Hicks, Sr Civil Engineer agreed with the number of inspections per permit but has concerns that time allocated for travel must be added to the inspector's time to fully recover costs. He states that most permits coming in are in the far reaches of the County. The fee schedule breaks the Encroachment permit into sections.
The first section will be the application fee. The same application fee will be charged for Utility, Obstruction or Access Driveway (Blanket, Field, Adjacent cut /fill) permits. The application fee was calculated at one hour of time at the Sr . Development Technician level ( $\$ 118.26$ per hour rounded to $\$ 118.00$ per hour).

The inspection fee is based on two hours of time at the Sr. Engineering Technician rate of $\$ 121.42$ per hour rounded to $\$ 243.00$ per inspection. The fee schedule details the number of anticipated inspections related to each encroachment activity.
The Agency is recommending full cost recovery for all ENC APP at 1 hour multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate of the Sr. Development Technician job classification rate rounded to the nearest dollar.
The Agency is recommending full cost recovery for Utility Encroachment permits on a time and material basis.
The Agency also recommends full cost recovery for an Encroachment permit be 2 hours at the approved billing rate of the Sr. Engineering Technician multiplied by the number of required inspections rounded to the nearest dollar. All other Encroachment costs are derived from that cost per inspection and extensions thereof.

## GENERAL GRADING APP, PLAN CHECK \& INSPECTION Non - Commercial Lots

General Grading GG

Inspection of projects 250 to 1500 cubic yards on non commercial property.
TD involvement: only when road issues are involved.

| SERVICE | EXISITING FEE | PROPOSED FEE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GENERAL GRADING APPLICATION | \$60.25 | \$118.00 |
| GENERAL GRADING PLAN CHECK |  |  |
| 250-1500 cubic yards | \$60.25 | \$243.00 |
| OVER 1500 cubic yards | TIME \& MATERIALS | TIME \& MATERIALS |
| CHANGES, ADDITIONS OR REVISIONS | DEPOSIT BASED ON ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE OF COST/T\&M | DEPOSIT BASED ON ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE OF COST/T\&M |
| GENERAL GRADING INSPECTION |  |  |
| $250-1,500$ cubic yards | \$234.98 | $\$ 1,214.00 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { BASED ON } 5 \\ & \text { INSPECTIONS }\end{aligned}\right.$ |
| OVER 1500 cubic yards | DEPOSIT BASED ON ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE OF COST/T\&M | DEPOSIT BASED ON ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE OF COST/T\&M |
| CHANGES, ADDITIONS OR REVISIONS | DEPOSIT BASED ON ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE OF COST/RM | DEPOSIT BASED ON ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE OF COST/R\&M |
| SURETY | \$68.50 | \$118.00 |

Other Information and Issues
Transportation Division is only involved when road issues exist. A General Grading permit is only applicable to non-commercial lots that require 250-1500 cubic yards of material to be moved.

The General Grading schedule mimics the encroachment fee schedule. The application fee is the same as an encroachment permit.

The Agency recommends the General Grading Application is 1 hour multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate of the Sr. Development Technician job classification rate rounded to the nearest dollar and is the same as an Encroachment Application fee.

The Agency recommends that plan checking for a General Grading permit is based on 2 hours at the approved billing rate of the Sr. Engineering Technician job classification rate rounded to the nearest dollar.
The Agency also recommends full cost recovery for a General Grading permit be 2 hours at the approved billing rate of the Sr. Engineering Technician multiplied by the number of required inspections rounded to the nearest dollar.

## ABANDONMENT OF EASEMENT


Boundary Line Adjustment:

| BLA | When lot line adjustments are proposed between two or more existing parcels |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | TD involvement: only when road issues are involved. |


| SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data: |  | Hours | Permits |
|  | Hours from: 7/1/11 to 6/30/14 |  |  |
|  | Total Boundary Line Adjustments Issued (Permits) |  | 37 |
|  | Total hours used: | 201 |  |
|  |  |  | 37 |
|  | Exceptions deleted from analysis: |  |  |
|  | BLA 12-0005 | (14.50) | (1) |
|  | BLA 12-0022 | (19.00) | (1) |
|  | BLA 13-0030 | (12.00) | (1) |
|  | BLA 13-0048 Addition error in program | (4.00) |  |
|  | Net Used for Analysis | 151.50 | 34 |
| HOURS PER UNIT |  |  |  |
|  | Number of BLAs 34 |  |  |
|  | Total hours 151.50 |  |  |
|  | Average hour / Unit $\quad 4.46$ |  |  |

## COST PER HOUR

An Assistant in Civil Engineering is the classification of employee that should be tasked with this work.
That position's Labor Rate for FY 14/15 i \$ 123.25 /hour

## FLAT FEE CALCULATION

Current cost per hour

x hours $\quad$| 123.25 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 4.46 |

## PRIOR FEE COMPARISON

Current fee
Proposed fee
Net increase

| $\$$ | 68 |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$$ | - |
| $\$$ | $(68)$ |

## Other Information and Issues

The time data analyzed for this time period identified 37 projects that were charged to. Of that 37,3 were eliminated from analysis due to hour irregularity and 4 hours were deducted due to an addition error in the program. The 151.50 hours that were charged to 34 projects were used to calculate the fee. The mean time spent for each BLA is 4.46 hrs. The 4.46 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job classification for an Assistant in Civil Engineering is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 550.00$ per permit.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery for a BLA be 4.46 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate of the Assistant in Civil Engineering job classification rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

# CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE 

Certificate of Compliance
COC
As of March 4, 1972, the California State Subdivision Map Act and El Dorado County Ordinances required that new
parcels of land can only be created lawfully by applying for and obtaining approval of a parcel map (when four or less
parcels are being created). Further, a tentative subdivision map is required if five or more parcels are created either
prior to or after the March 4, 1972 date. Unfortunately, numerous parcels have been created in violation of these
requirements and are now not eligible to obtain a building permit. The Certificate of Compliance application is a
process wherein these unlawfully created parcels will be considered legal after approval.
TD involvement: only when road issues are involved.

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data:
Hours from: 7/1/11 to 6/30/14
Total Certificate of Compliances applied for
7

Total exceptions deleted from analysis:
Net Used for Analysis
7

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of COCs | 7 |
| Total hours | 53.75 |
| Average hour / Unit | 7.68 |

A Sr. Engineering Technician is the classification of employee that should be tasked with this work.
That position's Labor Rate for FY 14/15 \$ 121.42 /hour
FLAT FEE CALCULATION


## Other Information and Issues

The time data analyzed for this time period identified 7 projects that were charged to. The 53.75 hours that were charged to 7 projects were used to calculate the fee. The mean time spent for each COC is 7.68 hrs . The 7.68 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job classification for a Senior Engineering Technician is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 933.00$ per permit

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery for a COC be 7.68 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate of the Sr. Engineering Technician job classification rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## COMMERCIAL GRADING

Commercial Grading Any grading on a non single family residential lot CG

## Time \& Material

## Other Information and Issues

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Commercial Grading Project on a Time and Material basis and would recommend this remain unchanged. Deposits are calculated upon a percentage of an estimate prepared by a registered Civil Engineer retained by the applicant.


An Assistant in Civil Engineering is the classification of employee that should be tasked with this work.
That position's Labor Rate for FY $14 / 15$ is $\$ 123.25$ /hour


Currently there are not fees for several type of DRs, including signs, on-site accessory structures, minor expansion of a floor plan, new construction commercial, 3 single family residences and 4-6 apartment units.
The time data for this time period identified 27 projects that were charged to, of that 27 , 16 were eliminated from analysis because the information is not in LMIS or predates FAMIS. The 250.75 hours that were charged to 11 projects were used to calculate the fee. The mean time spent for each DR is 22.80 hrs . The 22.80 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job classification for an Assistant in Civil Engineering is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 2810.00$ per permit. $3 / 26 / 15$ Per A. Gaber, Deputy Director of Engineering, this type of project should be T\&M. A. Gaber suggested minor projects be charged $\$ 370$ based on 3 hours of time for an Assistant in Civil Engineering.
The Agency is recommending full cost recovery on a Time and Material basis for a non-minor DR. It is also recommending full cost recovery for a minor DR be 3.0 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate of the Assistant in Civil Engineering job classification rate rounded to the nearest dollar.


Encroachment data was extrapolated from Resolution 204-93, which identified the number of inspections per permit. Greg Hicks, Sr Civil Engineer agreed with the number of inspections per permit but has concerns that time allocated for travel must be added to the inspector's time to fully recover costs. He states that most permits coming in are in the far reaches of the County. The fee schedule breaks the Encroachment permit into sections.

The first section will be the application fee. The same application fee will be charged for Utility, Obstruction or Access Driveway (Blanket, Field, Adjacent cut /fill) permits. The application fee was calculated at one hour of time at the Sr . Development Technician level ( $\$ 118.26$ per hour rounded to $\$ 118.00$ per hour).

The inspection fee is based on two hours of time at the Sr. Engineering Technician rate of $\$ 121.42$ per hour rounded to $\$ 243.00$ per inspection. The fee schedule details the number of anticipated inspections related to each encroachment activity.
The Agency is recommending full cost recovery for all ENC APP at 1 hour multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate of the Sr . Development Technician job classification rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery for Utility Encroachment permits on a time and material basis
The Agency also recommends full cost recovery for an Encroachment permit be 2 hours at the approved blling rate of the Sr. Engineering Technician multiplied by the number of required inspections rounded to the nearest dollar. All other Encroachment costs are derived from that cost per inspection and extensions thereof.

| GENERAL GRADING APP , PLAN CHECK \& INSPECTION Non - Commercial Lots |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General Grading <br> Inspection of GG <br> TD involvement: | Inspection of projects 250 to 1500 cubic yards on non commercial property. |  |  |
| SERVICE | EXISITING FEE | PRO | OPOSED FEE |
| GENERAL GRADING APPLICATION | \$60.25 |  | \$118.00 |
| GENERAL GRADING PLAN CHECK |  |  |  |
| 250-1500 cubic yards | \$60.25 |  | \$243.00 |
| OVER 1500 cubic yards | TIME \& MATERIALS | TIME | \& MATERIALS |
| CHANGES, ADDITIONS OR REVISIONS | DEPOSIT BASED ON ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE OF COST/T\&M |  | SIT BASED ON R'S ESTIMATE OF COST/T\&M |
| GENERAL GRADING INSPECTION |  |  |  |
| $250-1,500$ cubic yards | \$234.98 | \$1,214.00 | BASED ON 5 INSPECTIONS |
| OVER 1500 cubic yards | DEPOSIT BASED ON ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE OF COST/T\&M | DEPO ENGINEE $\qquad$ | SIT BASED ON ER'S ESTIMATE OF COST/T\&M |
| CHANGES, ADDITIONS OR REVISIONS | DEPOSIT BASED ON ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE OF COST/T\&M | DEPO ENGINEE | SIT BASED ON R'S ESTIMATE OF COST/T\&M |
| SURETY | \$68.50 |  | \$118.00 |
| Other Information and Issues |  |  |  |

Transportation Division is only involved when road issues exist. A General Grading permit is only applicable to non-commercial lots that require 250-1500 cubic yards of material to be moved.

The General Grading schedule mimics the encroachment fee schedule. The application fee is the same as an encroachment permit.

The Agency recommends the General Grading Application is 1 hour multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate of the Sr. Development Technician job classification rate rounded to the nearest dollar and is the same as an Encroachment Application fee.

The Agency recommends that plan checking for a General Grading permit is based on 2 hours at the approved billing rate of the Sr. Engineering Technician job classification rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

The Agency also recommends full cost recovery for a General Grading permit be 2 hours at the approved billing rate of the Sr . Engineering Technician multiplied by the number of required inspections rounded to the nearest dollar.

| Transportation Division Oversize Load Permit |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| SERVICE | EXSITING FEE |
| Oversize Load Permit |  |
| *Overwidth and overheight on any type transport each | \$16.00 |
| *Maximum Fees are established in accordance with the State of California Vehicle Code Division 15, Chapter 5, Article 6, Section 35795 "Permit Fee" and the State of California Code of Regulations, Title 21, Sec. 1411.3, | \$90.00 |
|  |  |

Other Information and issues
The Agency recommends that the Fees remain in concurrence with State Mandates.

## PLANNED DEVELOPMENT

Planned Development

PD | A development plan is required when a proposed project is located within a Planned |
| :--- |
| Development(PD) Zone District, or at such time a request is being made for the creation of |
| a PD Zone District. |

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data

Hours from: $7 / 1 / 11$ to $6 / 30 / 14$
Total Planned Development
29

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

Total exceptions deleted from analysis:
$\qquad$

Net Used for Analysis 29

HOURS PER UNIT

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of PDs | 29 |
| Total hours | 434.20 |
| Average hour / Unit | 14.97 |

An Assistant in Civil Engineering is the classification of employee that should be tasked with this work.

That position's Labor Rate for FY $14 / 15$ is $\$ 123.25$ /hour

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current cost per hour $x$ hours | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 123.25 \\ 14.97 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to Recommended Fee | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,845.05 \\ 1,845.00 \\ \text { T\&M } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net increase | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 274 \\ \mathrm{~T} \& \mathrm{M} \\ \hline \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A} \end{array}$ |
|  |  | ther Information and Issues |

The time data analyzed for this time period identified 29 projects. The 434.20 hours that were charged to 29 projects were used to calculate the fee. The mean time spent for each PD is 14.97 hrs . The 14.97 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job classification for an Assistant in Civil Engineering is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 1,845.00$ per permit.
$3 / 26 / 15$ Per A. Gaber, Deputy Director of Engineering these projects should be T\&M in order to fully recover costs. Planning is already charging T\&M for these types of projects.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery on a Time and Material basis for a PD.

## PARCEL MAP COMMERCIAL

Parcel Map Commercial
PM Creation of 2 or more Non-Residential Lots.

|  |
| :--- |
| COMMERCIAL PARCEL MAP |
| Tentative Parcel Map Deposit  <br> 2-4 Lots (Less than 5 parcels total) Based on Estimate T\&M <br> 2-49 Lots (More than 4 parcels total) Based on Estimate T\&M <br> 50-99 Lots Based on Estimate T\&M <br> 100 Plus Lots Based on Estimate T\&M <br> Revision 0-10 Lots Based on Estimate T\&M <br> Revision Over 10 Lots Based on Estimate T\&M <br> Extension Based on Estimate T\&M <br> Appeal Based on Estimate T\&M |

Other Information and Issues
The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a PM project on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged.

## PARCEL MAP - RESIDENTIAL

| Parcel Map - Residential |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| PM-R | The California State Subdivision Map Act establishes a process for parcel maps allowing |
| the division of residential land creating not more than four parcels. The proposed division of |  |
|  | commercial and industrial land into more than four parcels can all be processed as a parcel map. |

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS



An Assistant in Civil Engineering is the classification of employee that should be tasked with this work. That position's Labor Rate for FY 14/15 \$ 123.25 /hour

## FLAT FEE CALCULATION



Three permits which were thought to be the best examples of Residential Parcel Map projects were used in data analysis due to inconsistency and irregularities on all levels for similar Residential Parcel Map projects. They are PM-R 07-0025, PM-R 07-0023, PM-R 07-0048. In time card tracking the amount of time spent working on these projects are as follows: PM-R 07-0025 74.75 hours, PM-R 43.50 hours, PM-R 07004893.75 hours, for a total of 212 hours. The mean time spent is 70.67 hours per project. The 70.67 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job classification for an Assistant in Civil Engineering is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 8,710.00$ per permit.
$3 / 26 / 15$ Per A. Gaber, Deputy Director of Engineering, the variety, complexity and length of time required by these permits is best suited to a Time and Material fee.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery on a Time and Material basis for a PM-R.

PRE-APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT MEETING
PRE

## tD invol vement is suggested for all incoming projects

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data: This task is not currently preformed by TD. There is no data to analyze.


During the fee updating process it was discovered that there is a need for consultation with a project applicant/owner to discuss the project process and fee structure associated with developing a project prior to that project's submittal. A. Gaber, Deputy Director of Engineering, proposed that all incoming projects have a pre-application development meeting to advise the applicant/owner of the development requirements and how those requirements pertain to TD. He proposed that 3 hours for a major project and 1 hour for a minor project, including a Sr. Civil Engineer and a Traffic Engineer, would be an appropriate amount of time to conduct this meeting, possibly in conjunction with the other divisions of the CDA. It was also discussed that even if the amount of hours were not enough to perform these tasks, the process should produce time savings further in the development process.

The Agency is recommending cost recovery for a PRE Major be 3 hours multiplied by the sum of the current fiscal year's approved billing rate of the Sr. Civil Engineer job classification and the Traffic Engineer job classification rate rounded to the nearest dollar. It is also recommending that a PRE Minor be 1 hour multiplied by the sum of the current fiscal year's approved billing rate of the Sr. Civil Engineer job classification and the Traffic Engineer job classification rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## ROADSIDE MEMORIAL SIGNS PROGRAM

ROADSIDE MEMORIAL SIGNS PROGRAM

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data:

## COST PER HOUR

A Traffic Control Maintenance Worker III is the classification of employee that should be tasked with this work.
That position's Labor Rate for FY 14/15 is \$ 73.33 /hour

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sign Creation |  |  | Sign Installation and |  |
| Current cost per hour | \$ | 73.33 | Current cost per hour | \$ 73.33 |
| $x$ hours |  | 1.50 | $x$ hours | 1.50 |
|  |  | 110.00 |  | 110.00 |
| Sign Material |  | 20.00 | Equipment | 15.00 |
|  |  |  | Post and Hardware | 20.00 |
| Total | \$ | 130.00 | Total | \$ 145.00 |
| Calculated Flat Fee $=$ | \$ | 275.00 |  |  |
| Rounded to | \$ | 275.00 |  |  |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |  |  |  |
| Current fee | \$ | 265.00 |  |  |
| Proposed fee | \$ | 265.00 |  |  |
| Net Increase | \$ | - |  |  |

El Dorado County Ordinance 4833 was created in 2009 . The fee set by that ordinance is $\$ 265.00$

The Agency recommends that the Fees remain in concurrence with County Ordinance 4833.

## SPECIAL USE PERMIT

Special Use Permit
SUP
Those uses which are permitted only by the special use permit process are those which are not typically found in the applicable zoning district and may be injurious to the neighborhood if not properly controlled. However, the special use permit may be approved after public notice, public hearing, and subject to conditions which may limit or control the use.


An Assistant in Civil Engineering is the classification of employee that should be tasked with this work.
That position's Labor Rate for FY $14 / 15$ is $\$ 123.25 /$ hour

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current cost per hour $x$ hours | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 123.25 \\ 12.67 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Calculated Flat Fee Proposed fee | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,561.58 \\ \text { T\&M } \end{array}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 205 \\ \text { T\&M } \\ \hline \text { N/A } \end{array}$ |
|  |  | Other Information and Issues |

These permits are special because they are all different and the complexity varies per permit. Dave Spiegelberg, Sr. Civil Engineer stated that while cell towers are more easily processed than others, they too can vary due to access and zoning which affects time charged to each permit. Special Use Permits must be checked one year after issuance and every 5 years thereafter. They are never really complete as long as the Special Use condition that necessitated the permit still exists.
The variety and complexity of the Special Use Permit review process is best suited to Time \& Materials. The smaller projects would be charged only the time spent on reviewing their project rather that averaging their project in with large projects with higher complexity. $3 / 26 / 15$ Per A. Gaber, Deputy Director of Engineering, it is recommended that Special Use permits be charged on a Time and Material basis.

# TEMPORARY USE PERMIT 

Temporary Use Permit
TUP

| Chapter 17.23 of the County Zoning Code makes provisions for uses of a temporary nature which are generally |
| :--- |
| not permitted by right in the zoning district. A temporary use is a use which lasts more than one hour within any |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| 24ithin the Zoning code. Typical temporary uses include: Christmas tree lots, subdivision model homes. |
| temporary construction yards, outdoor carnivals, auctions, religious revival meetings, and similar uses which |
| the Planning Director determines to be compatible with existing zone and surrounding land uses. |

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

| Data: |
| :--- |
| Hours from: $7 / 1 / 11$ to $6 / 30 / 14$ |
| Total Temporary Use Permits |
| Exceptions deleted from analysis: |
| Total exceptions deleted from analysis: |
| Numb DATA - FOR HOURS |
| Total hours |
| Average hour / Unit |

## COST PER HOUR

An Assistant in Civil Engineering is the classification of employee that should be tasked with this work.
That position's Labor Rate for FY 14/15 is $\$ 123.25$ /hour
FLAT FEE CALCULATION

|  |  | FLAT FEE CALCULATION |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current cost per hour $x$ hours | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 123.25 \\ 5.39 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | $\$$ $\$$ | $\begin{aligned} & 664.32 \\ & 664.00 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | $\$$ $\$$ $\$$ | $\qquad$ |
| Other information and issues |  |  |

Currently there is no fee collected for Transportation Division to work on Temporary use permits
However, time data for this time period identified 35 projects that were charged to. The 188.50 hours charged to 35 permits were used to calculate the fee. The mean time spent for each TUP is 5.39 hours. The 5.39 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job classification of an Assistant in Civil Engineering is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 664.00$ per permit.
During a meeting with Transportation Division staff, the figure of $\$ 500.00$ was recommended. It should be noted that the fee is applicable to a single permit required every year and may not fully recover costs.

The Agency is recommending partial cost recovery for a TUP and charge a FlatFfeog $\$ 5090 \cdot 128$ of 141

# SPECIAL EVENT PERMIT / PARADE / INITIAL SUBMISSION 

| Special Event Permit | Chapter 12.37 .010 El Dorado County, Code of Ordinances makes provisions for process involving the use of County roads. <br> Parade - means any parade, march ceremony, show exhibit, pageant, or procession of any kind, or any similar display, consisting <br> of more than ten vehicles or more than 20 persons proceeding on foot or otherwise, in or upon any street, highway, road <br> thoroughfare, or alley of the County. |
| :--- | :--- |

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data:
Hours from: $1 / 1 / 10$ to $12 / 31 / 15$
Total Special Event Permit Initial 145
Exceptions deleted from analysis:

Total exceptions deleted from analysis: $\qquad$
Net Used for Analysis 145

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of SEs | 145 |
| Total hours | 526.00 |
| Average hour / Unit | 3.63 |
|  |  |

Sr. Development Technician is the classification of employee that should be tasked with $77 \%$ of this work.
Sr. Cadd Technician is the classification of employee that should be tasked with $23 \%$ of this work.

The blended Labor for these positions is for FY14/15 \$ 120.19 /hour

## FLAT FEE CALCULATION

| Current cost per hour | $\$$ | 120.19 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| $x$ hours |  | 3.63 |
|  |  |  |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ | $\$$ | 436.27 |
| Rounded to | $\$$ | 436.00 |

## PRIOR FEE COMPARISON

| Current fee | $\$$ | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Proposed fee | $\$$ | 436 |
| Net Increase | $\$$ | 436 |

## Other information and issues

Currently there is no fee collected for Transportation Division to work on Special Event permits.
Time data for this time period identified that 145 projects were processed. The 526 hours charged to 145 permits were used to calculate the fee. The mean time spent for each SEI is 3.63 hours. The 3.63 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job classification of a Sr. Development Technician multiplied by $77 \%$ added to the 3.63 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job classification of a Sr. Cadd Technician $23 \%$ rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 436.00$ per permit.

The Agency is recommending cost recovery for a SEI and charge a Flat Fee of $\$ 436.00$ for initial filing.


## TENTATIVE MAPS

| Tentative Maps TM |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| CLASS I SUBDIVISION |  | Deposit | FEE |
| Preliminary Map |  |  | T\&M |
| Tentative Map | 0-49 Lots <br> 50-99 Lots <br> 100 Plus Lots <br> Revision <br> Extension <br> Appeal | Based on Estimate Based on Estimate Based on Estimate Based on Estimate Based on Estimate Based on Estimate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Improvement Plan | Revision Rechecks | Based on Estimate Based on Estimate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \end{aligned}$ |
| Improvement Agreement | Revision Extension | Based on Estimate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Grading Agreement | Revision Extension | Based on Estimate Based on Estimate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \end{aligned}$ |
| Construction Inspection (Note 1) |  | Based on Estimate | T\&M |
| Final Map |  | Based on Estimate | T\&M |
| One Year Warranty Inspection |  | Based on Estimate | T\&M |
| RURAL SUBDIVISION |  | Deposit | FEE |
| Preliminary Map |  |  | T\&M |
| Tentative Map | 0-49 Lots <br> 50-99 Lots <br> 100 Plus Lots <br> Revision <br> Extension <br> Appeal | Based on Estimate Based on Estimate Based on Estimate Based on Estimate Based on Estimate Based on Estimate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Improvement Plan | Revision Rechecks | Based on Estimate Based on Estimate Based on Estimate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Improvement Agreement | Revision Extension | Based on Estimate Based on Estimate Based on Estimate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Grading Agreement | Revision Extension | Based on Estimate Based on Estimate Based on Estimate | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \text { T\&M } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Construction Inspection (Note 1) |  | Based on Estimate | T\&M |
| Final Map |  | Based on Estimate | T\&M |
| One Year Warranty Inspection |  | Based on Estimate | T\&M |

## Other Information and Issues

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with Tentative Maps on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged. The deposit amount is based on a percentage of the department's estimate.

## TRAFFIC STUDIES

Traffic Studies
ADH TS

## Time \& Material

## Other Information and Issues

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with a Traffic Study on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged. Deposit is $\$ 5,000.00$.

## VARIANCE

VARIANCE
Each zone district establishes development standards for building height, setbacks, lot area,
building coverage, etc. Occasionally the shape of the parcel, topography, or other natural
features constrain the development of the site so that one or more of these standards cannot
be met. The variance process is used to review the special circumstances and provide partial
relief from these criteria. A variance can only be approved after public notice and public
hearing, and also may be subject to certain conditions of approval as deemed necessary to
reduce potential negative impacts.

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data:
Hours from: 7/1/11 to 6/30/14
Total Variance Applications
Exceptions deleted from analysis:

Total exceptions deleted from analysis:
Net Used for Analysis

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Vs | 5 |
| Total hours | 28.70 |
| Average hour / Unit | 5.74 |

An Assistant in Civil Engineering is the classification of employee that should be tasked with this work
That position's Labor Rate for FY 14/15 is $\$ 123.25$ /hour

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current cost per hour $x$ hours | \$ | $\begin{array}{r} 123.25 \\ 5.74 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Calculated Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | \$ | $\begin{aligned} & 707.46 \\ & 707.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| PRIOR FEE COMPARISON |  |  |
| Current fee Proposed fee Net Increase | $\$$ <br> $\$$ <br> $\$$ | $\begin{array}{r} 137 \\ - \\ \hline(137) \end{array}$ |
|  |  | ther Information and Issues |

The time data analyzed for this time period identified 5 projects that were charged to. The 28.70 hours charged to 5 permits were used to calculate the fee. The mean time spent for each $V$ is 5.74 hours. The 5.74 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job classification for an Assistant in Civil Engineering is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 707.00$ per permit.

The Agency is recommending full cost recovery for a $V$ be 5.74 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate of the Assistant in Civil Engineering job classification rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## ZONE CHANGE

| ZONE CHANGE | The County is divided into numerous zone districts and General Plan land use designations. |
| :--- | :--- |
| E | Each district permits different land uses or residential densities. These districts are displayed |
| on the County official zoning maps and General Plan maps. An application for zone change |  |
| is used whenever the property owner wishes to change the zone district of their property (I.e. |  |
| from One-Acre Residential to Commercial, or from Estate Residential Ten-Acre to Estate |  |
| Residential Five-Acre zoning, etc.). Zone change applications may also be used to add an |  |
| overlay zone such as planned development or design review. A General Plan Amendment is |  |
| required when the underlying land use designation must be changed to permit a proposed |  |
| zone or use of the site. |  |

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data:
Hours from: 7/1/11 to 6/30/14

## Total Zone Changes

Exceptions deleted from analysis:

Total exceptions deleted from analysis:

## Net Used for Analysis

13

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Zs | 13 |
| Total hours | 52.75 |
| Average hour / Unit | 4.06 |

An Assistant in Civil Engineering is the classification of employee that should be tasked with this work.
That position's Labor Rate for FY 14/15 \$ 123.25 /hour


The time data analyzed for this time period identified 13 projects that were charged to. The 52.75 hours were charged to 13 permits and used to calculate the fee. The mean time spent for each $Z$ is 4.06 hours. The 4.06 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job classification of an Assistant in Civil Engineering is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 500.00$ per permit.
The Agency is recommending full cost recovery for a $Z$ be 4.06 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate of the Assistant in Civil Engineering job classification rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

## GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT
AZ
The County is divided info numerous zone districts and General Plan land use designations.
Each district permits different land uses or residential densities. These districts are displayed
on the County official zoning maps and General Plan maps. An application for zone change
is used whenever the property owner wishes to change the zone district of their property (I.e.
from One-Acre Residential to Commercial, or from Estate Residential Ten-Acre to Estate
Residential Five-Acre zoning, etc.). Zone change applications may also be used to add an
overlay zone such as planned development or design review. A General Plan Amendment is
required when the underlying land use designation must be changed to permit a proposed
zone or use of the site.

## SOURCE OF DATA - FOR HOURS

Data:
Hours from: 7/1/11 to 6/30/14
Total General Plan Amendments
4
Exceptions deleted from analysis:
Total exceptions deleted from analysis:

## Net Used for Analysis

4

|  | HOURS PER UNIT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Number of Azs | 4 |
| Total hours | 24.50 |
| Average hour / Unit | 6.13 |
|  |  |

An Assistant in Civil Engineering is the classification of employee that should be tasked with this work.
That position's Labor Rate for FY $14 / 15$ is $\$ 123.25$ /hour

| FLAT FEE CALCULATION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current cost per hour $x$ hours | $\$$ <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  | $\begin{array}{r} 123.25 \\ 6.13 \end{array}$ |
| Proposed Flat Fee $=$ Rounded to | $\begin{array}{ll} \$ & 755.52 \\ \$ & 756.00 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 755.52 \\ & 756.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| Current fee | \$ 612 | 612 |
| Proposed fee | \$ 755 | 755 |
| Net Increase | \$ 143 | 143 |
| Other Information and Issues |  |  |
| The time data analyzed for this time period identified 4 projects that were charged to. The 24.50 hours charged to 4 permits were used to calculate the fee. The mean time spent for each AZ is 6.13 hours. The 6.13 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job classification of an Assistant in Civil Engineering is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 756.00$ per permit. |  |  |
| The Agency is recommending full cost recovery for a AZ be 6.13 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate of the Assistant in Civil Engineering job classification rate rounded to the nearest dollar. |  |  |

COMPARISON OF COUNTY TRANSPORTATION FEES

| APPLICATION TYPE/SERVICE | Current | Proposed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TD | TD | Butte | Nevada | Placer | Sacramento | Yolo |
| ZONING APPLICATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABANDONMENT OF EASEMENT(General) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1467+\$ 163 \\ \text { per } h r \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \end{aligned}$ |
| ABANDONMENT OF EASEMENT(VACATION) | \$308 |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1467+\$ 163 \\ \text { per } \mathrm{hr} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \end{aligned}$ |
| BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT | \$68 |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 652+\$ 163 \\ \text { per hr } \end{gathered}$ | \$ 422 | \$ 900 | \$ 299 |  |
| DESIGN REVIEW: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING COMMISSION (MAJOR) | \$274 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4130+ \\ \text { T\&M } \end{gathered}$ | \$ 748 | Actual cost + \$150 per sheet initial |
| PLANNING DIRECTOR (MAJOR) | \$274 |  |  |  |  | \$ 299 |  |
| MAJOR REVISION TO APPROVED DR PLAN | \$137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINOR REVISION TO APPROVED DR PLAN | \$137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GENERAL PLAN: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAP AMENDMENT | \$640 |  |  |  |  | \$ 957 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2650 \\ & +T \& M \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PLAN: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PD ZONE EXISTS-RESIDENTIAL | \$274 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 9454 \text { ret }+ \\ & \$ 163 \text { per hr. } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \$ 598 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| PD ZONE EXISTS-COMMERCIAL/INDUST. | \$274 |  |  |  |  | \$ 897 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \end{aligned}$ |
| IN CONJUNCTION WITH ZONE CHANGE | \$274 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPECIAL USE PERMIT: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING COMMISSION | \$205 |  |  | $+\$ 14068 \text { per }$ $\mathrm{hr}$ |  | \$598/\$748 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \end{aligned}$ |
| ZONING ADMINISTRATOR | \$205 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \end{aligned}$ |
| VARIANCE | \$137 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1467.00 \\ +\$ 163.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$281 min | \$ 1,406 | \$ 688 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \end{aligned}$ |
| ZONE CHANGE | \$340 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5865 \mathrm{ret}+ \\ & \$ 163 \text { per hr } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ 141 \text { min } \\ +\$ 140.68 \text { per } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | \$ 897 |  |
| IN CONJUNCTION WITH GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT | \$640 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 4890.00+ \\ & \$ 163 \text { per hr } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\$ 4191 \text { + }$ $T \& M$ | \$ 1,212 |  |

COMPARISON OF COUNTY TRANSPORTATION FEES

| APPLICATION TYPE/SERVICE | Current | Proposed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TD | TD | Butte | Nevada | Placer |  | ento | Yolo |
| SUBDIVISION MAPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PRELIMINARY MAP: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS 1 (Parcels less than two acres) | \$550 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 628 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1060 \\ +T \& M \end{array}$ |
| RURAL(Parcels two acres or larger) | \$550 |  |  | \$844 min | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1445 \\ & + \text { T\&M } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 628 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1060 \\ + \text { T\&M } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| ALL OTHER SUBDIVISION MAPS ARE T\&M |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 688 |  |
| PARCEL MAPS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-4 PARCELS | \$1,535 |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1956+ \\ \$ 163.00 \text { PER } \\ \text { HR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 562.72 \mathrm{~min}+ \\ \$ 140.68 \mathrm{per} / \mathrm{hr} \end{array}$ | \$1406 per parcel |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \end{aligned}$ |
| MAJOR REVISION TO APPROVED PARCEL MAP | \$345 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINOR REVISION TO APPROVED PARCEL MAP | \$137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RESIDENTIAL | \$345 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 628 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1060 \\ +T \& M \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| COMMERCIAL-10 Lots or less | $\$ 445+\$ 150$ for ea 5 lots + T8M |  |  |  |  | \$ | 628 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1060 \\ +T \& M \end{array}$ |
| RESIDENTIAL | \$137 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 299 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1060 \\ +\mathrm{T} \& \mathrm{M} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| TIME EXTENSIONS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXTENSION | \$280 + T\&M |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 815+\$ 163 \\ & \text { PER HR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 133 \mathrm{Min}+ \\ & \$ 140.68 \text { per } \mathrm{hr} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1646+\text { act } \\ & \text { cost } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \end{aligned}$ |
| CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 PARCELS | \$513 |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 978+\$ 163 \\ \text { PER HR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 422 \mathrm{~min} \\ +\$ 140.68 \mathrm{per} \\ \mathrm{hr} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$ 771 |  |  |  |
| TEMPORARY USE PERMIT | \$0 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \$163 PER HR } \\ & 12 \text { MO MAX } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 422 \mathrm{~min} \\ +\$ 14068 \mathrm{per} \\ \mathrm{hr} \end{gathered}$ | \$ 466 | \$ | 285 |  |

COMPARISON OF COUNTY TRANSPORTATION FEES

| APPLICATION TYPE/SERVICE | Current | Proposed |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TD | TD | Butte | Nevada | Placer | Sacramento | Yolo |
| ZONING APPLICATIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ABANDONMENT OF EASEMENT(General) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1467+\$ 163 \\ \text { per hr } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \end{aligned}$ |
| ABANDONMENT OF EASEMENT(VACATION) | \$308 |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1467+\$ 163 \\ \text { per hr } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \end{aligned}$ |
| BOUNDARY LINE ADJUSTMENT | \$68 |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 652+\$ 163 \\ \text { per hr } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$ 422 | \$ 900 | \$ 299 |  |
| DESIGN REVIEW: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING COMMISSION (MAJOR) | \$274 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4130+ \\ \text { T\&M } \end{gathered}$ | \$ 748 | Actual cost + \$150 per sheet initial |
| PLANNING DIRECTOR (MAJOR) | \$274 |  |  |  |  | \$ 299 |  |
| MAJOR REVISION TO APPROVED DR PLAN | \$137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINOR REVISION TO APPROVED DR PLAN | \$137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GENERAL PLAN: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MAP AMENDMENT | \$640 |  |  |  |  | \$ 957 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 2650 \\ & +T \& M \end{aligned}$ |
| PLANNED DEVELOPMENT PLAN: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PD ZONE EXISTS-RESIDENTIAL | \$274 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 9454 \text { ret }+ \\ & \$ 163 \text { per } \mathrm{hr} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | \$ 598 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| PD ZONE EXISTS-COMMERCIAL/INDUST. | \$274 |  |  |  |  | \$ 897 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \end{aligned}$ |
| IN CONJUNCTION WITH ZONE CHANGE | \$274 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SPECIAL USE PERMIT: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PLANNING COMMISSION | \$205 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & +\$ 14068 \text { per } \\ & \mathrm{hr} \end{aligned}$ |  | \$598/\$748 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \end{aligned}$ |
| ZONING ADMINISTRATOR | \$205 |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \end{aligned}$ |
| VARIANCE | \$137 |  | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1467.00 \\ +\$ 163.00 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$281 min | \$ 1,406 | \$ 688 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1060 \\ +T \& M \end{array}$ |
| ZONE CHANGE | \$340 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5865 \mathrm{ret}+ \\ & \$ 163 \text { per hr } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \$ 141 \mathrm{~min} \\ +\$ 140.68 \text { per } \end{array}$ |  | \$ 897 |  |
| IN CONJUNCTION WITH GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT | \$640 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 4890.00+ \\ & \$ 163 \text { per hr } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 4191+ \\ \text { T\&M } \end{gathered}$ | \$ 1,212 |  |

COMPARISON OF COUNTY TRANSPORTATION FEES

| APPLICATION TYPE/SERVICE | Current | Proposed |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TD | TD | Butte | Nevada | Placer |  | ento | Yolo |
| SUBDIVISION MAPS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PRELIMINARY MAP: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CLASS 1 (Parcels less than two acres) | \$550 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 628 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \end{aligned}$ |
| RURAL(Parcels two acres or larger) | \$550 |  |  | \$844 min | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1445 \\ & +T \& M \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | \$ | 628 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1060 \\ +T \& M \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| ALL OTHER SUBDIVISION MAPS ARE T\&M |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 688 |  |
| PARCEL MAPS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2-4 PARCELS | \$1,535 |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 1956+ \\ \$ 163.00 \text { PER } \\ \text { HR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 562.72 \mathrm{~min}+ \\ \$ 140.68 \mathrm{per} / \mathrm{hr} \end{array}$ | \$1406 per parcel |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 1060 \\ & +T \& M \end{aligned}$ |
| MAJOR REVISION TO APPROVED PARCEL MAP | \$345 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MINOR REVISION TO APPROVED PARCEL MAP | \$137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RESIDENTIAL | \$345 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 628 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1060 \\ +T \& M \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| COMMERCIAL-10 Lots or less | $\$ 445+\$ 150$ for ea 5 lots + T\&M |  |  |  |  | \$ | 628 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1060 \\ +T \& M \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| RESIDENTIAL | \$137 |  |  |  |  | \$ | 299 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 1060 \\ +T \& M \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| TIME EXTENSIONS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EXTENSION | \$280 + T\&M |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \$ 815+\$ 163 \\ \text { PER HR } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 133 \mathrm{Min}+ \\ & \$ 140.68 \text { per hr } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \$ 1646+\text { act } \\ \text { cost } \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 1060 \\ +T \& M \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 PARCELS | \$513 |  | $\begin{gathered} \$ 978+\$ 163 \\ \text { PER HR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ 422 \mathrm{~min} \\ +\$ 140.68 \text { per } \\ \mathrm{hr} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \$ 771 |  |  |  |
| TEMPORARY USE PERMIT | \$0 |  | $\$ 163$ PER HR 12 MO MAX | $\begin{gathered} \$ 422 \mathrm{~min} \\ +\$ 14068 \text { per } \\ \mathrm{hr} \end{gathered}$ | \$ 466 | \$ | 285 |  |

# Zone of Benefit <br> Community Development Agency 

Fee Study FY 14/15


## ZONE OF BENEFIT PROCEEDINGS

ZONE OF BENEFIT PROCEEDINGS
ZOB

Time \& Material

## Other Information and Issues

The Agency is currently recovering all costs associated with formation or changes to an exisisting Zone of Benefit, where a fund has not been established or where there is no exisitng funding source on a Time and Material basis and recommends this remain unchanged. Deposit is $\$ 1,000.00$.


[^0]:    * 2002 fee study

[^1]:    Other Information and Issues
    Time data was analyzed for this period and identified 15 projects. Of the 15 projects, 4 were eliminated from analysis due to inconsistency. 766.45 hours were charged to the 14 projects. The mean time spent on each Parcel Map:
    Residential/Commercial is 69.68 hours. The 69.68 hours multiplied by the current billable rate for the job is rounded to the nearest whole dollar at $\$ 8,311.00$ per project.
    R. Trout, Development Services Division Director is recommending that Parcel Maps, both Residential and Commercial, be combined to one fee. The increased fee is justified because most parcel maps involve a high degree of complexity, as many easily developed parcels in the County have already been processed.

    The Agency is recommending full cost recovery of 69.68 hours multiplied by the current fiscal year's approved billing rate rounded to the nearest dollar.

