

ORIGINAL - PROJECT APPLICANT

### State of California - Department of Fish and Wildlife

### 2017 ENVIRONMENTAL FILING FEE CASH RECEIPT

DFW 753.5a (Rev. 01/01/17) Previously DFG 753.5a Print StartOver | Finalize&Email RECEIPT NUMBER: 09 - 09/29/201 - 101 STATE CLEARINGHOUSE NUMBER (If applicable) SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE. TYPE OR PRINT CLEARLY. DATE LEAD AGENCY LEADAGENCY EMAIL 09/29/2017 El Dorado Co. Planning & Building Dept. COUNTY/STATE AGENCY OF FILING DOCUMENT NUMBER 09-2017-101 El Dorado PROJECT TITLE JS West Propane/S16-0009, PD06-0016-R PHONE NUMBER PROJECT APPLICANT NAME PROJECT APPLICANT EMAIL (530) 621-5994 Russ Cleland ZIP CODE PROJECT APPLICANT ADDRESS CITY STATE Placerville Ca 95667 2850 Fairlane Ct. PROJECT APPLICANT (Check appropriate box) Other Special District ✓ Local Public Agency School District State Agency ✓ Private Entity **CHECK APPLICABLE FEES:** 0.00 \$3,078.25 ☐ Environmental Impact Report (EIR) 0.00 \$2,216,25 ☐ Mitigated/Negative Declaration (MND)(ND) 0.00 ☐ Certified Regulatory Program document (CRP) \$1,046.50 ☐ Exempt from fee ✓ Notice of Exemption (attach) ☐ CDFW No Effect Determination (attach) ☐ Fee previously paid (attach previously issued cash receipt copy) 0.00 ☐ Water Right Application or Petition Fee (State Water Resources Control Board only) \$850.00 50.00 ☐ County documentary handling fee ☐ Other **PAYMENT METHOD:** 50.00 **TOTAL RECEIVED** ☐ Cash □ Credit Check ☐ Other

SIGNATURE El Dorado Co. Recorder Clerk - Janet Rocha - Deputy

AGENCY OF FILING PRINTED NAME AND TITLE

DFW 753.5a (Rev. 20151215)

COPY - LEAD AGENCY COPY - CDFW/ASB COPY - COUNTY CLERK To: County Clerk County of El Dorado 360 Fair Lane Placerville, CA 95667

From: County of El Dorado Planning & Building Department 2850 Fairlane Court Placerville, CA 95667

# Subject:

Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21152 of the Public Resources Code.

JS West Propane/S16-0009, PD06-0016-R				Russ Cleland
Project Title				Project Applicant
N/A			Evan Mattes	(530) 621-5994
State Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to Clearinghouse)			Lead Agency Contact Person	Area Code/Telephone Extension
	and B. ad Maria	de - 400 400 04	,	
	ssor's Parcel Num		lay 250 feet north of the intersection	n with Business Drive in the Shingle
	gs area (El Dorac		ay 200 lock notified the interescence	With Business Birds in the Chingle
of tw	o 30,000 gallon e/warehouse bui	propane tanks and th lding resulting in a 6,	e reduction of 5,478.5 square fee 221.5 square foot office/wareho	_
This is	s to advise that the	Planning Commissio  ☑ Lead Agency ☐ R	has approved the above the	ove described project on 09/14/2017 (date)
and ha	s made the following	ng determinations regardi	ing the above described project:	
<ol> <li>The project ☐ will ☒ will not have a significant effect on the environment.</li> </ol>				
1. 2.	An environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.			
۷.	An addendum to an adopted Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.			
3.	Mitigation Measures were were not made a condition of the approval of this project.			
4.	A Statement of Overriding Considerations was was not adopted for this project.			
5.	Findings were were not made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.			
	Findings \( \sqrt{\text{were in or made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.} \)			
Fish a		s/Recording Fees		
	Negative Declaration prepared; \$2,216.25 Fish and Wildlife fee required for Notice of Determination			
	EIR filed; \$3,070.00 fee required for Notice of Determination			
$\boxtimes$	Recording fee of \$50 required			
	ole to the General P		and responses and record of project ap Dorado Planning & Building Depratm	proval, or the Negative Declaration, is ent, 2850 Fairlane Court, Placerville, CA
//				
KI	Ser Vion	6	9-19-17	DIRECTOR
Signat	we (Public Agency	)	Date	DIRECTOR
				SEP 29 2017

Z06-0018/PD06-0016/P06-0018 - As approved by the Board of Supervisors on May 5, 2009

# **Findings**

Based on the review and analysis of this project by staff and affected agencies, and supported by discussion in the staff report and evidence in the record, the following findings can be made:

#### FINDINGS FOR APPROVAL

### 1.0 CEQA FINDING

- 1.1 El Dorado County has considered the Negative Declaration together with the comments received during the public review process. The Negative Declaration reflects the independent judgment of the County and has been completed in compliance with CEQA and is adequate for this proposal.
- 1.2 No significant impacts to the environment as a result of this project were identified in the initial study.
- 1.3 The project will not affect wetlands, watercourses, riparian lands, unique plant or animal life and habitats, or other terrestrial matters under the jurisdiction of the State Department of Fish and Game. Therefore, the project has a de minimis impact on the environment and a Certificate of Fee Exemption (DFG 753.5-5/91 is applicable.
- 1.4 The documents and other materials which constitute the record of proceedings upon which this decision is based are in custody of the Development Services Department-planning Services at 2850 Fairlane Court, Placerville, CA 95667.

# 2.0 General Plan Findings

- 2.1 The proposed use and design conforms to the Industrial General Plan Land Use Designation. The project area is located within the Shingle Springs Community Region, the proposed use and developmental density are consistent with both land use designation and floor area ratio policies as well as the natural resources on site being protected pursuant to General Plan Policies 2.2.1.2 regarding Land Use Designations, 2.2.3.1 regarding Planned Developments, 2.2.1.5 regarding building intensities, 2.2.5.3 regarding general policies for evaluating Zone Changes, 2.2.5.21 regarding compatibility with surrounding land uses, Policies 7.3.5.1, 7.3.5.2, and 7.4.4.2 regarding adequate Landscape Plans, 7.4.4.4 regarding oak woodlands and Objective 10.1.5 and Policies 10.1.5.1 and 10.1.5.2 regarding the importance of promoting and encouraging commercial growth within the County.
- 2.2 As proposed, the project is consistent with the Industrial land use designation of the subject site as defined by General Plan Policy 2.2.1.2, because the Industrial land use designation includes light industrial uses as compatible.