

**Fiscal Year 2013/2014 Addendum to Memorandum of Understanding  
Department of Justice  
Electronic Recording Delivery System  
Costs for Regulation and Oversight**

**Parties**

This Addendum to the Memorandum of Understanding (Addendum) is between the California Department of Justice, hereinafter referred to as "DOJ" and the County of El Dorado, hereinafter referred to as "County."

**Incorporation by Reference of MOU**

Both County and DOJ agree that the terms of the MOU, previously executed, continue to operate and are incorporated herein by reference.

**Purpose**

The purpose of this Addendum is to continue the agreement found in the MOU previously executed by the parties to comply with the Electronic Recording Delivery Act of 2004 (ERDA) (Gov. Code, §§ 27390-27399; "Act"). This Addendum shall operate to bind the parties to the final proportionate costs to the County for fiscal year 2013/14. These costs include the costs for regulation and oversight.

**Agreement**

DOJ and County hereby consent and agree that County will pay DOJ for the direct cost of regulation and oversight in support of the Act, as set forth in Article 6 (commencing with Section 27390) to Chapter 6 of Division 2 of Title 3. The final proportionate cost for fiscal year 2013/14 is attached and incorporated by reference. Upon receipt of payment, the County is authorized to participate in the Electronic Recording Delivery System (ERDS).

**Term of Addendum**

The term of this Addendum operates for fiscal year 2013/14.

**MOU Representatives**

The Addendum representatives during the term of this Addendum are:

County of: El Dorado  
Name:  
Phone:  
Fax:  
E-mail:

Department of Justice  
Name: Michelle N. Mitchell  
Phone: (916) 227-1127  
Fax: (916) 227-0595  
E-Mail: michellen.mitchell@doj.ca.gov

**Agreed and Accepted**

Certification of Addendum Representatives

I certify that I have read and understand the aforementioned statements and agree to comply with the requirements contained herein:

County of: El Dorado  
Name:

Department of Justice  
Name: Michelle N. Mitchell

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

Attachments: Final Proportionate Costs:  
Expenditure Report:

Attachment A  
Attachment B

<i>County Code</i>	<i>County Name</i>	<i>Recordings*</i>	<i>% of Recordings</i>	<i>Final County Cost **</i>
1	Alameda	435,841	5.02%	\$8,294.61
4	Butte	58,938	0.68%	\$1,121.67
7	Contra Costa	198,552	2.29%	\$3,778.70
9	El Dorado	69,416	0.80%	\$1,321.07
10	Fresno	189,809	2.19%	\$3,612.31
15	Kern	209,615	2.41%	\$3,989.24
19	Los Angeles	2,019,254	23.25%	\$38,428.97
21	Marin	95,897	1.10%	\$1,825.04
24	Merced	22,698	0.26%	\$431.97
27	Monterey	81,642	0.94%	\$1,553.75
28	Napa	44,290	0.51%	\$842.90
29	Nevada	43,182	0.50%	\$821.81
30	Orange	817,537	9.41%	\$15,558.77
31	Placer	127,320	1.47%	\$2,423.06
33	Riverside	639,244	7.36%	\$12,165.63
34	Sacramento	484,248	5.58%	\$9,215.86
35	San Benito	14,986	0.17%	\$285.20
36	San Bernardino	245,643	2.83%	\$4,674.90
37	San Diego	816,321	9.40%	\$15,535.63
38	San Francisco	245,610	2.83%	\$4,674.27
39	San Joaquin	175,844	2.02%	\$3,346.53
41	San Mateo	233,332	2.69%	\$4,440.60
42	Santa Barbara	52,782	0.61%	\$1,004.51
43	Santa Clara	639,836	7.37%	\$12,176.89

\* Recordings are based on what the counties submitted to the Insurance Commissioner in 2012 per the LOI.

\*\* The total documents recorded and filed by the participating counties, as reported to the Office of the Insurance Commissioner pursuant to Section 27296 of the Government Code, for the previous calendar year; A percentage figure will be calculated, by dividing the total documents recorded per participating county, by the total documents recorded for all participating counties; The percentage figure is applied to the estimated annual costs of the ERDS Program to arrive at each participating county's System Administrative Fee.

<i>County Code</i>	<i>County Name</i>	<i>Recordings*</i>	<i>% of Recordings</i>	<i>Final County Cost **</i>
44	Santa Cruz	40,555	0.47%	\$771.81
45	Shasta	52,380	0.60%	\$996.86
48	Solano	144,709	1.67%	\$2,754.00
49	Sonoma	135,223	1.56%	\$2,573.47
52	Tehama	16,134	0.19%	\$307.05
54	Tulare	89,278	1.03%	\$1,699.07
56	Ventura	244,958	2.82%	\$4,661.86
<b>Total</b>		<b>8,685,074</b>		<b>\$165,288.00</b>

\* Recordings are based on what the counties submitted to the Insurance Commissioner in 2012 per the LOI.

\*\* The total documents recorded and filed by the participating counties as reported to the OC.

PROJECTIONS  
ERDS Expenditure/Collections Report

COLLECTIONS

YTD Collections (November 2004 through June 2013)	3,448,909
Interest on Collections	43,099
Total Collections	3,492,008

EXPENDITURES

Summary of ERDS Program Expenditures (November 2004 through June 2013)	3,174,151
2013-14 ERDS Projected Expenditures	215,288
1/ Expenditure Credit Applied to (2013-14) for Subsequent Years (2012-13)	(50,000)
2013-14 Projected MOUs	165,288

1/ Expenditures credits will be applied one year in arrears to allow for fiscal year liquidation.