

#3

**LATE DISTRIBUTION**DATE 8/29/16

EDC COB &lt;edc.cob@edcgov.us&gt;

**Prop 90, File # 16-0777, Agenda Item #3**

BOS 8/30/16

1 message

Jeannette Maynard &lt;jeannette.maynard@yahoo.com&gt;

Sun, Aug 28, 2016 at 11:07 AM

Reply-To: Jeannette Maynard &lt;jeannette.maynard@yahoo.com&gt;

To: Supervisor Mikulaco &lt;bosone@edcgov.us&gt;, Shiva Frentzen &lt;bostwo@edcgov.us&gt;, Supervisor Novasel &lt;bosfive@edcgov.us&gt;, Supervisor Veerkamp &lt;bosthree@edcgov.us&gt;, The BOSFOUR &lt;bosfour@edcgov.us&gt;, EDC COB &lt;edc.cob@edcgov.us&gt;

**Dear Supervisors:**

Please end Prop 90. Our county simply **CANNOT** afford the loss in property taxes.

The real estate market is doing well. We do **NOT** need Prop 90 as an added incentive to attract buyers to our beautiful county.

We taxpayers who already live in El Dorado County should **NOT** have to subsidize anyone moving here from another county -- especially people moving here from the Bay Area and Southern California where their homes are selling for much, much more.

Please join our District I and II Supervisors who agree that Prop 90 should end.

Thank you, in advance, for changing your mind on this matter.

Respectfully,

Jeannette Maynard  
El Dorado County property owner





## PIONEER FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT

### FIRE • RESCUE • EMS

P.O. Box 128  
7061 Mt. Aukum Road  
Somerset, California 95684  
Phone (530) 620-4444 • Fax (530) 620-4317  
[www.pioneerfire.org](http://www.pioneerfire.org)

#### Board of Directors

Allen Wood – Chair  
Lori Ingram – Vice Chair  
Michael Juliff – Secretary  
Ripley Howe  
Allan Polinsky

August 29 2016

To members of the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors

The Pioneer Fire Protection Board is asking for your **NO** vote regarding the extension of Proposition 90 in El Dorado County.

In reading this, please keep in mind, to small agencies every allocated tax dollar counts.

As you are aware Fire service's in El Dorado County's rural areas are drastically underfunded. Our District has employed every operational efficiency imaginable, has no unfunded liabilities, and still finds ourselves unable to operate within our secured funds. The continuance of this program exemplifies a willingness on the County Boards part to ignore the budgetary short falls this program inflicts. The Staff report prepared regarding this issue is found to be lacking at best. It does however indicate a steadily increasing revenue loss to the county. It states "The County Assessor confirmed the general Fund loss in FY 15/16 totaled \$305,000 which does not account for the loss of property tax revenue to schools community colleges, and special districts which total an additional \$800,000-\$900,000 each year." It seems ironic that a recent survey stated that public safety is a major concern of residents of El Dorado County. This is supported by the overwhelming support of residents of the Pioneer Fire protection district that supported Measure F that created an assessment of \$85.00 a parcel The Pioneer Board recently voted to increase this by an allowed amount of .85 cents. which will afford the District approximately an additional \$2,300 annually. This seems futile when the County is willing to forego \$900,000 in revenue annually. The staff report warns of more people taking advantage of this in future years so we must assume the losses will only continue to grow.

Ironically the Assessor's office receives a fee of \$500.00 for processing the Prop. 90 requests, due to the additional workload. However no such reimbursement is given to agencies for lost revenue. If the Board of Supervisors sees fit to continue to deprive effected agencies of this revenue source they should augment the budgets of the effected agencies as they have done with the assessor's office. The Board continues to demonstrate a willingness to divert funds without regard for the affected entities. The staff report fails to recognize the increased workload for EMS services as it relates to an elderly demographic. In light of the state of EMS service in this county it makes no sense to throw away tax dollars when there is no documented benefit to do so. There are 11 counties participating in this program most large with significant tax bases where such losses are not noticed. A number of counties opted out stating there was no benefit to continue. Revenue and Taxation Code Section 69.5 states that "it is adopted only after consultation between the Board of Supervisors and all other local affected agencies within the counties boundaries." We are unaware of an opportunity afforded for such a consultation. The Counties own staff report confirms a loss of revenue of approximately 1.2 million dollars but there is no confirmation as to how these lost revenues are made up to the affected agencies. Also there seems to be a violation of a basic tenant that taxation is based upon that "everyone should pay their fair share." Considering what El Dorado County has to offer, affordable housing, open space, wildlife, and

outdoor activities, why does the Board think they need to pay people to move here at the expense of current property owners.

We ask that you vote **NO** and support the residents of the county and in particular the residents of our fire district that we are struggling to serve and say no to the special interest groups.



Pioneer Fire Protection District  
Board Chairman





EDC COB &lt;edc.cob@edcgov.us&gt;

---

**Fwd: Proposition 90 extension**

1 message

---

**The BOSTWO** <bostwo@edcgov.us>  
To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Mon, Aug 29, 2016 at 12:25 PM

**Elaine Gelber**Assistant to Supervisor Shiva Frentzen  
Board of Supervisors, District II  
County of El Dorado  
530.621.5651

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Mary Lou Giles** <mlgiles18@yahoo.com>

Date: Wed, Aug 24, 2016 at 10:31 AM

Subject: Proposition 90 extension

To: The BOSONE &lt;bosone@edcgov.us&gt;, The BOSTWO &lt;bostwo@edcgov.us&gt;, The BOSTHREE &lt;bosthree@edcgov.us&gt;, The BOSFOUR &lt;bosfour@edcgov.us&gt;, The BOSFIVE &lt;bosfive@edcgov.us&gt;

Kudos to Supervisors Frentzen and Mikulaco for your efforts at the Aug. 16 meeting to allow the Prop 90 extension to expire. I appreciate your recognition that luring new residents to the county, while at the same time cutting the county's revenue stream by giving those new residents a property tax break is fiscally irresponsible and monumentally unfair to current residents.. I also appreciate your willingness to stand up to the developers who are the only ones who profit from this tax payer funded subsidy.

Supervisors Veerkamp, Ranalli, and Novasel, please reconsider your support of the Prop 90 extension. Your duty is to do the right thing for the residents of the county, not to create a marketing tool for developers.

Regards,  
Mary Lou Giles  
Cameron Park



EDC COB &lt;edc.cob@edcgov.us&gt;

---

**Fwd: Prop 90 Benefits**

1 message

---

**The BOSTHREE** <bosthree@edcgov.us>  
To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Mon, Aug 29, 2016 at 1:45 PM

**Kathy Witherow**Assistant to Supervisor Brian K. Veerkamp  
District Three - El Dorado County  
[530.621.5652](tel:530.621.5652)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Stephen Ferry** <[stephen.ferry@me.com](mailto:stephen.ferry@me.com)>

Date: Mon, Aug 29, 2016 at 1:33 PM

Subject: Prop 90 Benefits

To: Bernie Carlson <[1bcc@comcast.net](mailto:1bcc@comcast.net)>, Jack Sweeney <[jamesweeney@comcast.net](mailto:jamesweeney@comcast.net)>, Ron Mikulaco <[bosone@edcgov.us](mailto:bosone@edcgov.us)>, Brian Veerkamp <[bosthree@edcgov.us](mailto:bosthree@edcgov.us)>, Todd White <[toddwhite2006@hotmail.com](mailto:toddwhite2006@hotmail.com)>, Michael Ranalli <[bosfour@edcgov.us](mailto:bosfour@edcgov.us)>, Sue Novasel <[bosfive@edcgov.us](mailto:bosfive@edcgov.us)>Cc: Billy Vandegrift <[billyv69@sbcglobal.net](mailto:billyv69@sbcglobal.net)>, Dave Defanti <[david.defanti@edcgov.us](mailto:david.defanti@edcgov.us)>, Allan Priest <[allanpriest1@yahoo.com](mailto:allanpriest1@yahoo.com)>, Karl Weiland <[karl.weiland@edcgov.us](mailto:karl.weiland@edcgov.us)>, Joe Ham <[joe.ham@edcgov.us](mailto:joe.ham@edcgov.us)>, Kimberly Beal <[kimberlyabeal@gmail.com](mailto:kimberlyabeal@gmail.com)>, Debbie Manning <[debbie@eldoradohillschamber.org](mailto:debbie@eldoradohillschamber.org)>, Laurel Brent-Bumb <[chamber@eldoradocounty.org](mailto:chamber@eldoradocounty.org)>

This is my information on the reasons to continue Prop 90. Its about the loss of \$12,000,000..... Hope to see you at the 3pm hearing tomorrow at the BOS Chambers

Please pass this on to your appropriate organizations

You may want to read this prior to Tuesday at 3:pm

Steve Ferry  
916-468-3300  
[Stephen.Ferry@me.com](mailto:Stephen.Ferry@me.com)**Balancing the Budget Really!.pdf**

35K



## Balancing the El Dorado County Budget (Really)

First, let's discuss Supervisor Frentzen's issues of concern but put them in context.

About three years ago the BOS gave their 1,700 employees a 15% raise over three years. That took the counties salary expense from \$118,000,000 to \$153,000,000. The BOS has approved the new Sheriff's station \$60,000,000 and the BOS has depleted the Capital Improvement Project funds to renovate the county offices. Then, of course, they passed on taking care of our roads which they must deem are still passable. Unfunded liabilities for retirees are now challenged by the 1% rate of return instead of the 7% rate speculated by the state.

And now Supervisor Frentzen writes about the high cost of Prop 90 (she explains Prop 90 in her "My Turn") and its effects on the budget. Let me go through her explanation point by point.

1. Prop 90 allows folks 55+ to move from another California county to our county and bring their old Prop 13 tax base. The folks moving to EDC are not getting a benefit over and above those provided to the present residents of EDC because Prop 60 allows residents of EDC to move within the county with the same benefit.

2. Frentzen says EDC has missed out on \$1,200,000 over six years. Frentzen notes that the county would have received \$300,000 of those monies, or \$50,000 more per year if Prop 90 had not been approved. The remainder would have gone to schools, CSD's, and Fire districts, and the two cities in EDC. All of these districts were notified of the extension and not a single one of them weighed in on their possible losses. Don't forget the \$35,000,000 salary increase mentioned above.

3. The supervisor goes on to say there is no data to prove that these people purchase more goods and services than other families who move here. There is! Besides their purchases of coffee, groceries, gasoline, breakfast/lunch/dinners in restaurants, Prop 90 buyers stimulated the local economy by improving their properties in a variety of ways, with over half spending more than \$10,000 in improvements, most of which was spent in El Dorado County. But there is a much bigger issue facing the county called Prop 8.

Prop 8 "demands" that the Assessor reduce property taxes as values fall. Example: If EDC has about 12 billion dollars worth of real estate and values drop just 10% then EDC loses the tax revenue on \$1.2 billion of real estate. 1% of that number is \$12,000,000 of revenue per year and the county share is \$3,000,000 per year, and the supervisor is having a hard time with the cost of \$50,000 per year and that is without netting out the benefits. Please note that real estate assessed values continue to go up, not because of the market but because farm land taxed at \$50/year gets reassessed at the sale of the new home built on it and that number changes to 1% of the new value, example, \$450,000 home gets taxed at \$4,500/year.

4. The remaining points in the supervisor's My Turn column are about providing housing for families yet her history is one of opposing any new family oriented housing in her district. She says, "Two people buy identically priced homes on the same day", when she should have said; Two people of identical ages buy identically priced homes... and they do get identical advantages.

5. She goes on to speak about the struggling agencies but fails to mention that those agencies neglected to file their Nexus Reports on their Mitigation Fee Monies and are

now in court defending their negligence to the tune of \$30,000,000. Thank God the Auditor Controller is elected by the people.

5. The Supervisors did not give away the farm but instead maximized the incentive to move to El Dorado County and Prop 90 continues to this day helping our homeowners maintain the values of their properties.

You might be wondering why this is important to me. The El Dorado County Board of Realtors and the Chamber's of Commerce worked all of 2009 to get Prop 90 implemented on February 12, 2010. Yes, we represented the business community but the entire county has benefitted from the implementation of Prop 90 especially every homeowner in El Dorado County.

Steve Ferry  
916-468-3300  
Stephen.Ferry@me.com  
4587 Echo Springs Circle  
El Dorado Hills, CA 95762