

File Number: 17-17-517-0007-A Receipt No.: 30772
Date Received: 1-24-2018 Amount: 239.00

APPEAL FORM

(For more information, see Section 130.52.090 of the Zoning Ordinance)

Appeals must be submitted to the Planning Department with appropriate appeal fee. Please see fee schedule or contact the Planning Department for appeal fee information.

APPELLANT Steve + Brandi Peerman, ~~Justin + Doree Peerman~~ et al.

ADDRESS 5340 Victory Mine Rd., Placerville, CA 95667

DAYTIME TELEPHONE 916-802-4778

A letter from the Appellant authorizing the Agent to act in his/her behalf must be submitted with this appeal.

AGENT _____

ADDRESS _____

DAYTIME TELEPHONE _____

APPEAL BEING MADE TO: Board of Supervisors Planning Commission

ACTION BEING APPEALED (Please specify the action being appealed, i.e., approval of an application, denial of an application, conditions of approval, etc., and specific reasons for appeal. If appealing conditions of approval, please attach copy of conditions and specify appeal.)

Please see attached. We are appealing the approval of the Tiger Lilly Cel. Tower Application.

RECEIVED

JAN 24 2018

EL DORADO COUNTY
DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPT

DATE OF ACTION BEING APPEALED 1/14/18

[Signature]
Signature

1/24/18
Date

RECEIVED

JAN 24 2018

EL DORADO COUNTY
DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPT

Dear Planning Commission and Staff:

Please consider postponing adoption (and perhaps cancellation) of the "Proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration For Conditional Use Permit S17-0007, Site 3 (Tiger Lily) for the following reasons:

[if !supportLists]1. [endif]EL DORADO COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE FOR RL-10

(Section 130.40.130, subsection A.1, et. seq.)

ISSUE: Lack of strict adherence to the requirements for collocating wherever possible.

There is already full strength 4G LTE signal in that area. A review of the coverage maps of AT&T, T-Mobile, Verizon and Sprint shows "full saturation" in Tiger Lily and surrounding areas. In fact, the coverage maps show "full saturation" in virtually all of the areas covered by S17-0007. By "full saturation" I mean the color shown on the relevant companies' coverage map that shows their strongest signal coverage (4G LTE).

Since the wireless service providers' own maps already show "full saturation" in the S17-0007, Site 3 Tiger Lily area, it appears that colocation should be possible on one (or more) of the existing towers in the area as required by the ordinance.

For your convenience, here are links to the coverage maps:

AT&T – <http://goo.gl/ufllwz>

Sprint – <http://goo.gl/V65UE0>

T-Mobile – <http://goo.gl/mx4hIO>

Verizon – <http://goo.gl/yWD7bs>(Scroll down once you go to this page)
(Darkest areas on these coverage maps indicate best coverage.)

[if !supportLists]2. [endif]EL DORADO COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE FOR RL-10

(Section 130.40.130, subsection B.6 and H.1)

ISSUE: Lack of sufficient distance from residential area and/or children.

The ordinance states: "...within 500 feet of any residential zone..." in subsection B.6.

Subsection H states in part: "...in order to...protect school children from safety hazards..." and H.1 states: "If the proposed wireless facility is located within 1,000 feet of a school..."

The Hallock residence, which has two adults and two small children (ages 3 and 1), is only 264 ft. from the proposed Tiger Lily site and the Kramer residence is only 250 ft. The Kramer's will be receiving monthly lease revenue and do not have small children, which may be enough of a mitigating factor for them to support the proposed site.

Please consider "auditing" and/or verifying the results of the study provided by the vendor's consultant (Epic Wireless, LLC) to determine if there are, in fact, no suitable co-location sites within the Tiger Lily area. If an existing tower is not "tall" enough, they have already started the Conditional Use Permit process that would be required to replace/enhance an existing facility. There would be no need for the Tiger Lily site.

Please consider the many, capable resources available to the County as potential "auditors". The Sheriff and other public safety radio systems support staff may already possess the signal strength meters and other technology to enable them to test signal strength and propagation in the Tiger Lily area.

We are asking for an appeal based on the availability of an alternate site that would be much less intrusive to our neighborhood and community. The alternate site still covers a large number of LU's while having a minimal impact on the neighborhood (Per FCC guidelines, there is no minimum number of LU's required per tower location). The alternate site would have the least impact on property values, esthetics, and safety for neighborhood children. It would also be a safe distance for the endangered animal habitats and wildlife areas to continue conservation efforts.

If you absolutely will not deny the Tiger Lilly location, at least consider moving the tower to ATT's own self approved 2nd best alternate location on the neighboring lot at the Daker's residence.

Thank you for your consideration of this request.