PRELIMINARY DRAINAGE REPORT for PIEDMONT OAK ESTATES EL DORADO, CA

PREPARED BY:

GENE E. THORNE & ASSOCIATES, INC. 4080 PLAZA GOLDORADO CIRCLE CAMERON PARK, CA 95682-8527 530.677.1747/916.985.7745



REVIEWED BY:



Z12-0010 / PD12-0002 TM/12-1510

5

AM B: Ze

HAY

-

PM

4:10

NING DEPARTMEN

A 09-0003/Z 09-0006 PD 09-0004/TM 09-1490

ATTACHMENT 12 18-0367 | 1 of 91

BTConsulting

October 3, 2012

Lillian MacLeod, Senior Planner El Dorado County Development Services Dept. Planning Services 2850 Fairlane Court Placerville, CA 95667

RE: Piedmont Oak Estates Preliminary Drainage Report by Gene E. Thorne & Assoc, Inc.

Lillian,

This letter serves to verify the applicability of the Preliminary Drainage Report for Piedmont Oak Estates dated May 11, 2009 by Gene E. Thorne. I have reviewed the Report, and find that it adequately demonstrates that drainage facilities, including those areas designated for detention and post construction runoff control are adequate to serve the reduced project study area currently in for review by the Development Services Department. Unfortunately, due the passing of Gene Thorne, and the resulting closure of Gene E. Thorne & Associates, revisions to the Report are impossible.

During the final design phase of the project for improvement plans, and likely required by the Conditions of Approval, a Final Drainage Report will be prepared to meet the requirements of El Dorado County Department of Transportation. The Final Drainage Report will be significantly more detailed, and will consider the current project and design standards.

With kindest regards,

Peter K. Thorne Vice-President, BTConsulting Inc. PE 58279

A WING DEPARTMENT

P.O. Box 304, Shingle Springs, California 95682 Phone: 530-672-2316 · Fax: 530-405-4722 www.gobtc.net



Piedmont Oaks 4/13/2009

Table of Contents

VICINITY MAP1
INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS
PROCEDURE
APPENDIX A – POST DEVELOPMENT HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS
APPENDIX B - PRE DEVELOPMENT HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS 23 Watershed Properties Table 24 Pre-Development Drainage Shed Map 25
APPENDIX C
APPENDIX D - EL DORADO DESIGN RAINFALL TABLES. 28 Runoff Curve Numbers For Urban Areas 30 Overland-Flow Roughness Coefficients 31 Rainfall Depth in Inches for Return Period = 2.33 years 32 Rainfall Intensity in Inches per Hour for Return Period = 10 years 33 Rainfall Intensity in Inches per Hour for Return Period = 100 years 34
APPENDIX E

Introduction and Background

Piedmont Oaks Drainage Report analyzes the possibility of flooding because of development during any storm event at the project site.

The drainage report examines and recommends drainage improvements to handle computed discharges, based upon the 100-year hydraulic grade line (HGL), as stated in Section 3.2.6 of the County of El Dorado Drainage Manual, March 14, 1995.

The drainage for Piedmont Oaks was analyzed using the **Unit Hydrograph** method as discussed in Section 2.4 of the El Dorado County Drainage Manual, adopted March 14, 1995.

The hydraulic analysis of the existing and proposed drainage improvements related to the project area was based on Civil Storm modeling software by Haestad Methods.

Location:

Piedmont Oaks is located north of Pleasant Valley Road and east of State Highway 49 along Black Rice Road near Diamond Springs, California. The property is owned by Jim Davies, and encompasses approximately 48.97 acres.

Existing Conditions:

The property area is covered mostly with grass, trees and surrounded by residential development. All runoff from the project site flows north, through two existing trapezoidal channels into Weber Creek. See Quad Map in Appendix E.

Summary and Conclusions

Pre-Development Hydraulic Analysis:

See Appendix B for Pre Development Drainage Sheds, and analysis of three (3) Points of Release. Note that Point of Release "A" is analyzed for flow contributed only by the subject property in order to compare pre and post development increases or decreases in flow and does not consider the entire drainage shed for flow at that location.

Proposed Improvements:

- Drainage improvements along onsite roads with outlet pipe to daylight.
- Detention Ponds. See proposed detention basins in Appendix A.

Storm Drain Flow Calculations:

Civil Storm software computes the flow rate and analyzes the existing and future storm drain system. For quantity of flow and hydraulic grade line (HGL) in each pipe see Future and Existing Storm Drain Pipes in Appendix A and B respectively.

Post-Development Hydraulic Analysis:

With the addition of two (2) detention facilities (see Post-Development Drainage Shed Map), the project maintains pre development runoff when built. Table 1 provides a summary of results for three (3) release points.

Table 1: Existing and Proposed Peak Flow Comparison

	Pre-Dev	elopment	Post-Development			
Release Point	10-yr Peak Flow (cfs)	100-yr Peak Flow (cfs)	10-yr Peak Flow (cfs)	100-yr Peak Flow (cfs)		
A	15.95	28.44	19.17	31.53		
В	21.01	38.98	22.32	32.05		
С	2.60	4.90	3.61	4.95		
Total	39.56	72.32	45.10	68.51		

Procedure

A. Watershed Areas:

For location of delineated pre and post development watershed areas, see the attached drainage shed maps located in Appendix A and B respectively.

B. <u>Mean Annual Precipitation, Pptn:</u> The site was located on the Mean Annual Rainfall Map for El Dorado County. Then, the annual rainfall for the project was determined from the map.

Use Pptn = 38 inches (see Appendix C)

C. Soil Survey:

The soil survey map (Appendix E) was used in order to determine the soil types present within the watershed areas that contribute runoff to the project site. Next, data from the El Dorado Area Soil Survey (USDA, April 1974) describing each soil type was reviewed in order to determine the hydrological soil group of the soil. Soils are rated as Type A, having high infiltration rates, through Type D, having the lowest infiltration rate. This project site contains 2 soil types. Diamond Springs (DfC and DgE), a very fine sandy loam over clay loam with bedrock found between 20 to 50 inches deep, and Placer Diggins (PrD), no interpretations made because of variable properties. DfC and DgE soils lie within soil hydrological group C. Utilizing Table 2-2a in Appendix D, pre and post-development curve numbers are determined.

D. Time of Concentration, Tc: Per Section 2.4 of the EDC Drainage Manual.

See Watershed Properties Table in Appendix A and B for Post and Pre Development Tc of each shed area. A minimum time of concentrations of 5 minutes was used.

E. Unit Hydrograph:

Using the Mean Annual Precipitation, and the El Dorado Design Rainfall Tables (See Appendix C), both 10 and 100 year event Rainfall Depths are determined. This information is then entered into Bentley Civil Storm Type 1 SCS Storm Event to produce a Temporal Distribution Model (Cumulative Rainfall) for the 10 and 100-year events. The rainfall excess and the incremental excess values are estimated per Section 2.4 of the EDC Drainage Manual. Then, the runoff hydrograph is computed using the incremental rainfall excess per Section 2.4.2 of the EDC Drainage Manual.

F. Pipes Size Requirements:

Pipes sizes vary to convey the 10-year event within the pipe and within storm structures as stated in Section 4.3.2 of El Dorado County Drainage Manual, adopted March 14, 1995.

G. Detention Facilities:

Utilizing Bentley Civil Storm, two detention ponds have been located to reduce offsite flows to be near or below existing pre development levels. Outlet control structures are designed to accomplish this. APPENDIX A – Post Development Hydraulic Analysis

Watershed Properties Table

Watershed areas tributary to existing downstream pipes and ponds analyzed. Data imported from Bentley CivilStorm v8. See delineated watershed areas in Post-Development Drainage Shed Map.

Shed Name	SCS Curve Number	Area (Acres)	2-yr 24 hr depth	Tc (min)	100-Year Peak Flow (cfs)	10-Year Peak Flow (cfs)
CS1	98	0.32	3.34	5	0.53	0.37
CS2	98	0.34	3.34	5	0.57	0.40
CS3	98	0.25	3.34	5	0.41	0.29
CS4	98	0.26	3.34	5	0.44	0.31
CS5	90.8	2.34	3.34	10	3.56	2.35
CS6	92	1.12	3.34	10	1.73	1.16
CS7	83	1.99	3.34	15	2.49	1.50
CS8	83	4.75	3.34	15	5.97	3.59
CS9	83	5.92	3.34	15	7.44	4.48
CS10	83	0.26	3.34	10	0.33	0.20
CS11	90.4	4.15	3.34	15	6.14	4.04
CS12	74	1.17	3.34	10	1.14	0.61
CS13	74	0.52	3.34	10	0.51	0.27
CS14	79.7	9.80	3.34	20	10.80	6.21
CS15	79	14.03	3.34	20	15.20	8.64
CS16	83	1.79	3.34	10	2.30	1.38
CS17	79	1.60	3.34	10	1.84	1.05
CS18	92.4	1.24	3.34	10	1.93	1.30
CS19	90.8	1.05	3.34	10	1.59	1.05
CS20	98	0.59	3.34	5	0.99	0.70
CS21	98	0.32	3.34	5	0.53	0.37
CS22	98	0.26	3.34	5	0.43	0.30
CS23	74	0.81	3.34	5	0.80	0.43
CS24	98	0.13	3.34	5	0.22	0.16
CS25	98	0.14	3.34	5	0.24	0.17
CS26	98	0.12	3.34	5	0.20	0.14
CS27	90.8	2.85	3.34	10	4.32	2.86
CS28	98	0.31	3.34	5	0.51	0.36
CS29	90	0.92	3.34	10	1.38	0.90
CS30	90	0.13	3.34	5	0.20	0.13
CS31	98	0.14	3.34	5	0.23	0.16
CS32	98	0.10	3.34	5	0.16	0.12
CS33	98	0.12	3.34	5	0.21	0.15
CS34	90	0.85	3.34	8	1.28	0.84
CS35	84.5	1.61	3.34	10	2.15	1.32
CS36	98	0.12	3.34	5	0.20	0.14
CS37	90	0.50	3.34	7	0.77	0.51
						5

CS38	98	0.12	3.34	5	0.20	0.14
CS39	91.2	0.21	3.34	5	0.33	0.22
CS40	84.5	1.47	3.34	10	1.95	1.20

18-0367 | 10 of 91

Future Storm Drain Pipes – Hydraulic Analysis

Hydraulic analysis of 100-yr non-uniform flow in proposed storm drain pipes. (Source: Civil Storm v8).

FlexTable: Conduit Table (Piedmont Post with Det NORTH.csd)

Label	Elevation (Start Invert) (ft)	Elevation (Stop Invert) (ft)	Slope (%)	Diameter (in)	Material	Manning's n	Flow (Maximum) (ft³/s)
SD E 07	1794.00	1793.00	7.246	18.0	HDPE	0.013	4.91
SD E 06	1795.54	1794.00	8.613	12.0	HDPE	0.013	0.41
SD E 05	1810.00	1794.00	2.495	18.0	HDPE	0.013	4.51
SD E 01	1814.51	1814.01	1.518	12.0	HDPE	0.013	3.56
SD E 02	1814.01	1810.00	4.093	18.0	HDPE	0.013	3.56
SD F 01	1822.00	1821.00	4.492	12.0	HDPE	0.013	1.73
SD G 03	1819.00	1818.00	3.391	18.0	HDPE	0.013	1.25
SD E 03	1810.77	1810.00	4.784	12.0	HDPE	0.013	0.53
SD E 04	1810.77	1810.00	4.471	12.0	HDPE	0.013	0.44
SD A 01	1786.00	1785.00	3.766	12.0	HDPE	0.013	4.32
SD A 02	1785.00	1784.00	2.572	12.0	HDPE	0.013	4.82
SD B 07	1751.00	1746.00	6.978	36.0	HDPE	0.013	19.72
SD B 01	1798.33	1797.50	5.140	12.0	HDPE	0.013	1.59
SD B 02	1798.50	1797.50	4.073	12.0	HDPE	0.013	0.20
SD E 08	1793.00	1790.00	3.984	18.0	HDPE	0.013	5.47
SD A 03	1780.00	1779.00	2.051	18.0	HDPE	0.013	6.13
SD B 06	1756.00	1751.00	10.963	36.0	HDPE	0.013	16.27
SD G 01	1821.00	1820.00	2,929	18.0	None	0.013	0.80
SD B 05	1763.00	1762.00	2.794	12.0	HDPE	0.013	1.93
SD B 04	1763.00	1762.00	2.978	18.0	HDPE	0.013	0.99
SD D 01	1806.36	1805.36	3.006	12.0	HDPE	0.013	0.53
SD D 02	1805.36	1804.00	1.212	12.0	HDPE	0.013	0.96
SD G 02	1820.00	1819.00	2.555	18.0	HDPE	0.013	1.02
SD B 03	1797.00	1751.00	9.115	18.0	HDPE	0.013	1.79

Current Time: 483.000 min

Piedmont Post with Det NORTH.csd

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley CivilStorm V8 XM Edition [08.09.26.17]

Page 1 of 1

4/13/2009

FlexTable: Conduit Table (Piedmont Post with Det.csd)

Label	Elevation (Start Invert) (ft)	Elevation (Stop Invert) (ft)	Slope (%)	Diameter (in)	Material	Manning's n	Flow (Maximum) (ft ³ /s)
SD C 1	1825.00	1824.00	6.457	12.0	HDPE	0.013	0.16
SD C 2	1825.00	1824.00	4.431	12.0	HDPE	0.013	0.21
SD C 3	1824.00	1820.00	1.433	18.0	HDPE	0.013	0.37
SD C 4	1824.50	1823.50	2.443	12.0	HDPE	0.013	0.23
SD C 5	1820.00	1818.00	1.865	18.0	HDPE	0.013	0.60
SD C 6	1821.00	1818.00	9.676	18.0	HDPE	0.013	1.28
SD C 7	1818.00	1809.50	6.772	18.0	HDPE	0.013	1.88
SD C 8	1810.50	1809.50	3.529	18.0	HDPE	0.013	2.15
SD C 9	1809.50	1804.50	9.333	18.0	HDPE	0.013	4.01
SD C 10	1804.86	1804.50	1.786	12.0	HDPE	0.013	0.20
SD C 11	1804.50	1804.00	2.834	18.0	HDPE	0.013	4.20
SD C 15 (E)	1802.16	1799.93	2.071	18.0	HDPE	0.013	1.95
SD C 14 (E)	1799.93	1798.80	2.234	18.0	HDPE	0.013	4.95
SD C 16 (E)	1798.80	1796.00	16.393	18.0	HDPE	0.013	4.95
SD C 12	1804.00	1803.00	2.363	18.0	HDPE	0.013	4.40
SD C 13	1803.00	1799.93	6.150	18.0	None	0.013	2.91

Current Time: 480.000 min

Piedmont Post with Det.csd

3/18/2009

Bentley Systems, Inc. Haestad Methods Solution Center 27 Siemon Company Drive Suite 200 W Watertown, CT 06795 USA +1-203-755-1666 Bentley CivilStorm V8 XM Edition [08.09.26.17]

Page 1 of 1



Profile - B1 - 100 Year Storm Event Time: 08:03:00

18-0367 | 14 of 91



Profile - B2 - 100 Year Storm Event Time: 08:03:00

i.

18-0367 | 15 of 91



Profile - G - 100 Year Storm Event Time: 08:03:00

18-0367 | 16 of 91



Profile - D - 100 Year Storm Event Time: 08:03:00

18-0367 | 17 of 91



Profile - E - 100 Year Storm Event Time: 08:03:00

18-0367 | 18 of 91



Profile - A1 - 100 Year Storm Event Time: 08:03:00

18-0367 | 19 of 91



Profile - A2 - 100 Year Storm Event Time: 08:03:00

18-0367 I 20 of 91



Profile - F - 100 Year Storm Event Time: 08:03:00

18-0367 | 21 of 91



Profile - C1 - 100 Year Storm Event Time: 08:00:00

18-0367 | 22 of 91



Profile - C2 - 100 Year Storm Event Time: 08:00:00

18-0367 | 23 of 91

APPENDIX B – Pre Development Hydraulic Analysis

Watershed Properties Table

Shed	SCS Curve	Area	2-yr 24 hr	Тс	100-Year Peak Flow	10-Year Peak Flow
Name	Number	(Acres)	depth	(min)	(cfs)	(cfs)
CS101	74.0	8.39	3.34	14	8.05	4.25
CS102	94.0	5.05	3.34	14	7.94	5.42
CS103	74.0	4.26	3.34	20	3.90	2.05
CS104	74.0	3.25	3.34	8	3.20	1.70
CS105	74.0	22.65	3.34	28	19.37	10.13
CS106	74.0	2.35	3.34	12	2.28	1.21
CS107	74.0	3.84	3.34	11	3.76	1.99
CS108	74.0	0.98	3.34	8	0.97	0.51
CS109	79.0	20.80	3.34	20	22.53	12.83
CS110	98.0	0.07	3.34	5	0.11	0.08

Watershed areas tributary to existing downstream pipes and channels. Data imported from Bentley CivilStorm v8. See delineated watershed areas in Pre-Development Drainage Shed Map, Appendix B.

APPENDIX C

Mean Annual Rainfall Map



APPENDIX D - El Dorado Design Rainfall Tables

Table 2-2a Runoff Curve Numbers for Urban Areas Table 2.4.3 Overland-Flow Roughness Coefficients El Dorado Design Rainfall Depth

Rainfall Intensity - 10 year

Rainfall Intensity – 100 year

URBAN HYDROLOGY FOR SMALL WATERSHEDS U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE TECHNICAL RELEASE 55

TABLE 2-2a

RUNOFF CURVE NUMBERS FOR URBAN AREAS¹

Cover Description					
Cover Description	Average Percent	· · · · · ·	i yu ologic	. Jon aroup	
Cover Type and Hydrologic Condition	Impervious Area ²	Α	В	С	D
Fully developed and hydrologic condition			•		
Open Space (lawn, parks, golf courses, cemeteries,					
etc.) ³					
Poor condition (grass cover <50%)		68	79	86	89
Fair condition (grass cover 50% to 75%)		49	69	7 9	84
Good condition (grass cover >75%)		39	61	74	80
Impervious areas:					
Paved parking lots, roofs, driveways, etc.					
(excluding right-of-way)		98	98	98	98
Streets and roads:					
Paved; curbs and storm sewers (excluding					
right-of-way)		98	98	98	98
Paved; open ditches (including right-of-way)		83	89	92	93
Gravel (including right-of-way)		76	85	89	91
Dirt (including (right-of-way)		72	82	87	89
Western desert urban areas:					
Natural desert landscaping (pervious areas		63	77	85	88
only)4					
Artificial desert landscaping (impervious weed					
barrier, desert shrub with 1- to 2-inch sand					
or gravel mulch and basin borders)		96	96	96	96
Urban districts:					
Commercial and business	85	89	92	94	95
Industrial	72	81	88	91	93
Residential districts by average lot size:					
1/8 acre or less (town houses)	65	77	85	90	92
1/4 acre	38	61	75	83	87
1/3 acre	30	57	72	81	86
1/2 acre	25	54	70	80	85
1 acre	20	51	68	79	84
2 acres	12	46	65	77	82
Developing urban areas					
Newly graded areas (pervious areas only, no					
vegetation) ⁵		77	86	91	94
Idle lands (CN's are determined using cover types					
similar to those in Table 2-2c					

¹Average runoff condition, and $I_a = 0.2S$

²The average percent impervious areas shown was used to develop the composite CN's. Other assumptions are as follows: impervious areas are directly connected to the drainage system, impervious areas have a CN of 98, and pervious areas are considered equivalent to open space in good hydrologic conditions. CN's for other combinations of conditions may be computed using figure 2-3 or 2-4.

³CN's shown are equivalent to those of pasture. Composite CN's may be computed for other combinations of open space cover type.

⁴Composite CN's for natural desert landscaping should be computed using figures 2-3 or 2-4 based on the impervious area percentage (CN = 98) and the pervious area CN. The pervious area CN's are assumed equivalent to desert shrub in poor hydrologic condition.

⁵Composite CN's to use for the design of temporary measures during grading and construction should be computed using figure 2-3 or 2-4, based on the degree of development (impervious area percentage) and the CN's for the newly graded pervious areas

18-0367 I 30 of 91

Table 2.4.3

OVERLAND-FLOW ROUGHNESS COEFFICIENTS

(Source: SCS, 1986)

Surface Description (1)	Overland Flow n (2)	
Smooth surfaces (concrete, asphalt, gravel, or bare soil)	0.011	
Fallow (no residue)	0.05	
Residue Cover <20% Residue Cover >20%	0.06 0.17	
Grass:		
Short Grass Prairie	0.15	
Dense Grasses	0.24	
Bermuda	0.41	
Range (natural)	0.13	
Woods:		
Light Underbrush	0.40	
Dense Underbrush	0.80	

EL DORADO DESIGN RAINFALL

Rainfall Depth in Inches for Return Period = 2.33 years

Mean Annual Precipitation	5 Min	10 Min	15 Min	30 Min	1 Hr	2 Hrs	3 Hrs	6 Hrs	12 Hrs	24 Hrs
									·	
20	0.113	0.162	0.200	0.286	0.410	0.587	0.723	1.035	1.481	2.120
22	0.120	0.172	0.212	0.304	0.435	0.623	0.768	1.099	1.572	2.249
24	0.128	0.183	0.225	0.322	0.461	0.660	0.814	1.165	1.667	2.385
26	0.135	0.193	0.238	0.341	0.488	0.698	0.860	1.231	1.762	2.251
28	0.142	0.203	0.251	0.359	0.514	0.735	0.907	1.298	1.857	2.657
30	0.149	0.214	0.264	0.377	0.540	0.773	0.953	1.364	1.952	2.793
32	0.157	0.224	0.277	0.396	0.566	0.810	1.000	1.430	2.047	2.929
34	0.164	0.235	0.289	0.414	0.593	0.848	1.046	1.497	2.142	3.065
36	0.171	0.245	0.302	0.433	0.619	0.886	1.092	1.563	2.237	3.200
38	0.179	0.256	0.315	0.451	0.645	0.923	1.139	1.629	2.332	3.336
40	0.186	0.266	0.328	0.469	0.671	0.961	1.185	1.696	2.246	3.472
42	0.193	0.276	0.341	0.488	0.698	0.998	1.231	1.762	2.521	3.608
44	0.200	0.287	0.354	0.506	0.724	1.036	1.278	1.282	2.616	3.744
46	0.208	0.297	0.366	0.524	0.750	1.074	1.324	1.895	2.77	3.880
48	0.512	0.308	0.379	0.543	0.777	1.111	1.370	1.961	2.806	4.016
50	0.222	0.318	0.392	0.561	0.803	1.149	1.417	2.027	2.91	4.152
52	0.229	0.328	0.405	0.579	0.829	1.186	1.463	2.094	2.996	4.287
54	0.237	0.339	0.418	0.598	0.855	1.224	1.510	2.160	3.091	4.423
56	0.244	0.349	0.431	0.616	0.882	1.262	1.556	2.226	3.186	4.559
58	0.251	0.360	0.443	0.643	0.908	1.299	1.602	2.293	3.281	4.695
60	0.259	0.370	0.456	0.653	0.934	1.337	1.649	2.359	3.376	4.831
62	0.266	0.380	0.469	0.671	0.960	1.374	1.695	2.425	3.471	4.967
64	0.273	0.391	0.482	0.690	0.987	1.412	1.741	2.492	3.566	5.103
66	0.280	0.401	0.495	0.708	1.013	1.450	1.788	2.558	3.661	5.238
68	0.288	0.412	0.508	0.726	1.039	1.487	1.834	2.625	.3.756	5.374
70	0.295	0.422	0.520	0.745	1.066	1.525	1.880	2.691	3.851	5.510
72	0.302	0.432	0.533	0.762	1.092	1.562	1.927	2.757	3.946	5.646
74	0.309	0.443	0.546	0.781	1.118	1.600	1.973	2.824	4.040	5.782
76	0.317	0.453	0.559	0.800	1.144	1.638	2.020	2.890	4.135	5.918
78	0.324	0.464	0.572	0.818	1.171	1.675	2.066	2.956	4.230	6.054
80	.0331	0.474	0.585	0.836	1.197	1.713	2.112	3.023	4.325	6.189
82	0.339	0.484	0.597	0.855	1.223	1.750	2.159	3.089	4.420	6.325
84	0.346	0.495	0.610	0.873	1.250	1.788	2.205	3.155	4.515	6.461
86	0.353	0.505	0.623	0.892	1.276	1.826	2.251	3.222	4.610	6.597
88	0.360	0.516	0.636	0.910	1.302	1.863	2.298	3.288	4.705	6.733
90	0.368	0.526	0.649	0.928	1.328	1.901	2.344	3.354	4.800	6.869

Source: Design Rainfall Tables for El Dorado County, prepared by Jim Goodridge, July 29, 1989

Rainfall Intensity in Inches per Hour for Return Period = 10 years

Mean Annual										
Precipitation	5 Min	10 Min	15 Min	30 Min	1 Hr	2 Hrs	3 Hrs	6 Hrs	12 Hrs	24 Hrs
20	2.004	1.434	1.179	0.843	0.603	0.432	0.355	0.254	0.182	0.130
22	2.127	1.522	1.251	0.895	0.640	0.458	0.377	0.270	0.193	0.138
24	2.255	1.613	1.326	0.949	0.679	0.486	0.399	0.286	0.204	0.146
26	2.383	1.705	1.402	1.003	0.718	0.514	0.422	0.302	0.216	0.155
28	2.512	1.797	1.478	1.057	0.756	0.541	0.422	0.318	0.228	0.163
30	2.640	1.889	1.553	1.111	0.795	0.569	0.468	0.335	0.239	0.171
32	2.769	1.981	1.629	1.165	0.834	0.597	0.490	0.351	0.251	0.180
34	2.897	2.073	1.704	1.219	0.872	0.624	0.513	0.367	0.263	0.188
36	3.026	2.165	1.780	1.273	0.911	0.652	0.536	0.383	0.274	0.196
38	3.154	2.257	1.855	1.327	0.950	0.680	0.559	0.400	0.286	0.205
40	3.282	2.349	1.931	1.381	0.988	0.707	0.581	0.416	0.298	0.213
42	3.411	2.440	2.006	1.436	1.027	0.735	0.604	0.432	0.309	0.221
44	3.539	2.532	2.082	1.490	1.066	0.763	0.627	0.449	0.321	0.230
46	3.668	2.624	2.157	1.544	1.104	0.790	0.650	0.465	0.333	0.238
48	3.796	2.716	2.233	1.598	1.143	0.818	0.672	0.481	0.344	0.246
50	3.925	2.808	2.309	1.652	1.182	0.846	0.695	0.497	0.356	0.255
52	4.053	2.900	2.384	1.706	1.221	0.873	0.718	0.514	0.368	0.263
54	4.181	2.922	2.460	1.760	1.259	0.901	0.741	0.530	0.379	0.271
56	4.310	3.084	2.535	1.814	1.298	0.929	0.763	0.546	0.391	0.280
58	4.438	3.176	2.611	1.868	1.337	0.956	0.786	0.563	0.402	0.288
60	4.567	3.267	2.686	1.922	1.375	0.984	0.809	0.579	0.414	0.296
62	4.695	3.359	2.762	1.976	1.414	1.012	0.832	0.595	0.426	0.305
64	4.824	3.451	2.837	2.030	1.453	1.039	0.854	0.611	0.437	0.313
66	4.952	3.543	2.913	2.084	1.491	1.067	0.877	0.628	0.449	0.321
68	5.081	3.635	2.989	2.138	1.530	1.095	0.900	0.644	0.461	0.330
70	5.209	3.727	3.064	2.192	1.569	1.122	0.923	0.660	0.472	0.338
72	5.337	3.819	3.140	2.246	1.607	1.150	0.945	0.676	0.484	0.346
74	5.466	3.911	3.215	2.300	1.646	1.178	0.968	0.693	0.496	0.355
76	5.594	4.003	3.291	2.354	1.685	1.205	0.991	0.709	0.507	0.363
78	5.723	4.095	3.366	2.409	1.723	1.233	1.014	0.725	0.519	0.371
80	5.851	4.186	3.442	2.463	1.762	1.261	1.036	0.742	0.531	0.380
82	5.980	4.278	3.517	2.517	1.801	1.288	1.059	0.758	0.542	0.388
84	6.108	4.370	3.593	2.571	1.839	1.316	1.082	0.774	0.554	0.396
86	6.236	4.462	3.668	2.625	1.878	1.344	1.105	0.790	0.566	0.405
88	6.365	4.554	3.744	2.679	1.917	1.371	1.127	0.807	0.577	0.413
										-

7/24/89 12:08 PM

Note older versions are superseded Prepared by Jim Goodridge 916.345.3106

Rainfall Intensity in Inches per Hour for Return Period = 100 years

Mean Annual										
Precipitation	5 Min	10 Min	15 Min	30 Min	1 Hr	2 Hrs	3 Hrs	6 Hrs	12 Hrs	24 Hrs
20	2.840	2.032	1.671	1.195	0.855	0.612	0.503	0.360	0.258	0.184
22	3.014	2.157	1.773	1.269	0.908	0.649	0.534	0.382	0.273	0.196
24	3.196	2.287	1.880	1.345	0.963	0.689	0.566	0.405	0.290	0.207
26	3.378	2.417	1.987	1.422	1.017	0.728	0.598	0.428	0.306	0.219
28	3.561	2.548	2.094	1.499	1.072	0.767	0.631	0.451	0.323	0.231
30	3.743	2.678	2.202	1.575	1.127	0.806	0.663	0.474	0.339	0.243
32	3.925	2.808	2.309	1.652	1.182	0.846	0.695	0.497	0.356	0.255
34	4.107	2.938	2.416	1.728	1.237	0.885	0.727	0.520.	0.372	0.266
36	4.289	3.069	2.523	1.805	1.291	0.924	0.760	0.544	0.389	0.278
38	4.471	3.199	2.630	1.882	1.346	0.963	0.792	0.567	0.405	0.290
40	4.653	3.329	2.737	1.958	1.401	1.002	0.824	0.590	0.422	0.302
42	4.835	3.459	2.844	2.035	1.456	1.042	0.856	0.613	0.438	0.314
44	5.017	3.590	2.951	2.112	1.511	1.081	0.889	0.636	0.455	0.326
46	5.199	3.720	3.058	2.188	1.566	1.120	0.921	0.659	0.471	0.337
48	5.381	3.850	3.164	2.265	1.620	1.159	0.953	0.682	0.488	0.349
50	5.563	3.980	3.272	2.341	1.675	1.199	0.985	0.705	0.504	0.361
52	5.745	4.111	3.380	2.418	1.730	1.238	1.018	0.728	0.521	0.373
51	5.927	4.241	3.487	2.495	1.785	1.277	1.050	0.751	0.537	0.385
56	6.109	4.371	3.594	2.571	1.840	1.316	1.082	0.774	0.554	0.396
58	6.291	4.501	3.701	2.648	1.895	1.356	1.114	0.797	0.571	0.408
60	6.473	4.632	3.808	2.725	1.949	1.395	1.147	0.820	0.587	0.420
62	6.656	4.762	3.915	2.801	2.004	1.434	1.179	0.844	0.604	0.432
64	6.838	4.892	4.022	2.878	2.059	1.473	1.211	0.867	0.620	0.444
66	7.020	5.022	4.129	2.954	2.114	1.512	1.243	0.890	0.637	0.455
68	7.202	5.153	4.236	3.031	2.169	1.552	1.276	0.913	0.653	0.467
70	7.384	5.283	4.343	3.108	2.223	1.591	1.308	0.936	0.670	0.479
72	7.566	5.413	4.450	3.184	2.278	1.630	1.340	0.959	0.686	0.491
74	7.748	5.544	4.558	3.261	2.333	1.669	1.372	0.982	0.703	0.503
76	7.930	5.674	4.665	3.338	2.388	1.709	1.405	1.005	0.719	0.514
78.	8.112	5.804	4.772	3.414	2.443	1.748	1.437	1.028	0.736	0.526
80	8.294	5.934	4.879	3.491	2.498	1 .78 7	1.469	1.051	0.752	0.538
82	8.476	6.065	4.986	3.567	2.552	1.826	1.501	1.074	0.769	0.550
84	8.658	6.195	5.093	3.644	2.607	1.865	1.534	1.097	0.785	0.562
86	8.840	6.325	5.200	3.721	2.662	1.905	1.566	1.120	0.802	0.574
88	9.022	6.455	5.307	3.797	2.717	1.944	1.598	1.143	0.818	0.585
90	9.204	6.586	5.414	3.874	2.772	1.983	1.630	1.167	0.835	0.597

7/27/89 12:08 PM Note older versions are superseded Prepared by Jim Goodridge 916.345.3106

APPENDIX E

Project Site Deadman Creek

Quad Map
Soil Survey Map

Soil Map-El Dorado Area, California (Pledmont Soil Map)



1

Map Unit Legend

	El Dorado Area, California (CA	624)	
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
DfC	Diamond Springs very fine sandy	22.0	45.9%
	loam, 9 to 15 percent slopes		
DgE	Diamond Springs very rocky, very fine sandy	5.1	10.6%
	3 to 50 percent slopes		
PrD	Placer Diggins	20.9	43.5%
Totals for Area of Interest (AOI		48.1	100.0%















18-0367 | 41 of 91















18-0367 | 45 of 91



P.O. Box 6748 • Auburn, California 95604 1287 High Street • Auburn, California 95603 p.530.823.0960 • f.530.823.0961 • www.jcbrennanassoc.com

June 21, 2016

Mr. Jim Davies 854 Diablo Road Danville, CA 94526

Subject: Review of the Piedmont Oak Estates Revised Site Plan - Per 2012 Noise Analysis

Dear Mr. Davies:

j.c. brennan & associates, Inc. conducted a Revised Environmental Noise Analysis for the Piedmont Oak Estates Project on March 6, 2013 (*Revised Environmental Noise* <u>Assessment</u>, <u>Piedmont Oaks</u>, <u>El Dorado County California</u>, <u>Prepared for: Mr. Jim</u> <u>Davies</u>, <u>Prepared by: j.c. brennan & associates</u>, <u>Inc., March 6, 2013</u>). The conclusions and recommendations for the March 2013 analysis are as follows:

A summary of the conclusions are as follows:

- 1) The project will not be exposed to roadway traffic noise levels which exceeds the exterior and interior noise level criteria of 60 dBA Ldn and 45 dBA Ldn, respectively;
- 2) The project will not be exposed to noise levels from nearby light industrial and commercial uses which will exceed the noise level standards;
- 3) The project will not result in a significant increase in roadway traffic noise levels;
- 4) The proposed commercial uses on the project site may result in noise levels which exceed the El Dorado County stationary noise level criteria.

The following mitigation measures are recommended:

1) When site plans and specific uses are proposed on Lots A and B, the applicants shall provide a noise analysis to ensure compliance with the El Dorado County noise level criteria. The noise analyses shall evaluate any potential loading dock operations, truck circulation, parking lot activity and HVAC noise levels. If additional noise sources are identified, they must also be reviewed.

ATTACHMENT 13

On June 16, 2016, you forwarded a revised site plan for the Piedmont Oak Estates dated March 2016 (See attached site plan). The revised site plan reflects the following, as compared to the site plan analyzed in March 2013:

- At the recommendation of the Planning Commissioners, you have moved the cluster lot configuration away from the northern boundary;
- Removal of 7 residential cluster lots;
- Replaced with 1 residential lot of 1/4 acre;
- Removed 1 commercial lot and replaced with 6 residential cluster lots;
- Addition of 3 residential cluster lots to the main group of cluster lots;
- Total of 107 residential lots and 1 commercial lot of 10,000 square feet;
- A net reduction of 10,000 square feet of commercial.

Based upon the 2013 noise analysis, the revised site plan does not reflect any additional noise impacts. Consistent with the previous report, when site plans and specific uses are proposed any commercial uses will require additional analyses of noise impacts to ensure compliance with the El Dorado County noise level criteria. The noise analyses shall evaluate any potential loading dock operations, truck circulation, parking lot activity and HVAC noise levels. If additional noise sources are identified, they must also be reviewed.

If you or the El Dorado County planning staff have questions, please contact me at 530-823-0960, or jbrennan@jcbrennanassoc.com.

Respectfully submitted, j.c. brennan & associates, Inc.

im Frem

Jim Brennan President Member: Institute of Noise Control Engineering File: 2012-164 Revised Site Plan Review



18-0367 I 48 of 91

Revised Environmental Noise Assessment

Piedmont Oaks

El Dorado County, California Job# 2012-164

Prepared For:

Mr. Jim Davies

854 Diablo Road Danville, CA 94526

Prepared By:

j.c. brennan & associates, Inc.

Jim Brennan Président Member, Institute of Noise Control Engineering

March 6, 2013

llj.c. brennan & associates IV\./VCn nmltants in tlCnusticJ

P.O. Box 6748- 263 Nevada Street- Auburn, California 95603 -p: (530) 823-0960 -f: (530) 823-0961

INTRODUCTION

The proposed Piedmont Oak Estates project is generally located east of Highway 49 and north Black Rice Lane in the unincorporated area of El Dorado County, known as Diamond Springs, California. The proposed project is a mixed use development with single family large and "patio" lot residential use, and two large commercial lots. Figure 1 shows the project site and an aerial of the project location. Figure 2 shows the project site plan.

Potential noise impacts upon the site include commercial and light industrial uses to the west, and across Highway 49 from the project site, and traffic on Highway 49. The project could result in increased traffic noise along the local roadway system, and some noise from potential commercial uses on the project site.

ACOUSTIC TERMINOLOGY

Acoustics is the science of sound. Sound may be thought of as mechanical energy of a vibrating object transmitted by pressure waves through a medium to human (or animal) ears. If the pressure variations occur frequently enough (at least 20 times per second), then they can be heard and are called sound. The number of pressure variations per second is called the frequency of sound, and is expressed as cycles per second or Hertz (Hz).

Noise is a subjective reaction to different types of sounds. Noise is typically defined as (airborne) sound that is loud, unpleasant, unexpected or undesired, and may therefore be classified as a more specific group of sounds. Perceptions of sound and noise can be highly subjective from person to person.

Measuring sound directly in terms of pressure would require a very large and awkward range of numbers. To avoid this, the decibel scale was devised. The decibel scale uses the hearing threshold (20 micropascals), as a point of reference, defined as 0 dB. Other sound pressures are then compared to this reference pressure, and the logarithm is taken to keep the numbers in a practical range. The decibel scale allows a million-fold increase in pressure to be expressed as 120 dB, and changes in levels (dB) correspond closely to human perception of relative loudness.

The perceived loudness of sounds is dependent upon many factors, including sound pressure level and frequency content. However, within the usual range of environmental noise levels, perception of loudness is relatively predictable, and can be approximated by A-weighted sound levels. There is a strong correlation between A-weighted sound levels (expressed as dBA) and the way the human ear perceives sound. For this reason, the A-weighted sound level has become the standard tool of environmental noise assessment. All noise levels reported in this section are in terms of A-weighted levels, but are expressed as dB, unless otherwise noted.



18-0367 | 51 of 91

Figure 2

Piedmont Oak Estates

Site Plan



The decibel scale is logarithmic, not linear. In other words, two sound levels 10 dB apart differ in acoustic energy by a factor of 10. When the standard logarithmic decibel is A-weighted, an increase of 10 dBA is generally perceived as a doubling in loudness. For example, a 70 dBA sound is half as loud as an 80 dBA sound, and twice as loud as a 60 dBA sound.

Community noise is commonly described in terms of the ambient noise level, which is defined as the all-encompassing noise level associated with a given environment. A common statistical tool to measure the ambient noise level is the average, or equivalent, sound level (L_{eq}), which corresponds to a steady-state A weighted sound level containing the same total energy as a time varying signal over a given time period (usually one hour). The L_{eq} is the foundation of the composite noise descriptor, L_{dn} , and shows very good correlation with community response to noise.

The day/night average level (L_{dn}) is based upon the average noise level over a 24-hour day, with a +10 decibel weighing applied to noise occurring during nighttime (10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.) hours. The nighttime penalty is based upon the assumption that people react to nighttime noise exposures as though they were twice as loud as daytime exposures. Because L_{dn} represents a 24-hour average, it tends to disguise short-term variations in the noise environment.

Table 1 lists several examples of the noise levels associated with common situations. Appendix A provides a summary of acoustical terms used in this report.

18-0367 | 53 of 91

Table 1							
Ty Common Outdoor Activities	Noise Level (dBA)	Common Indoor Activities					
	110	Rock Band					
Jet Fly-over at 300 m (1,000 ft)	100						
Gas Lawn Mower at 1 m (3 ft)	90						
Diesel Truck at 15 m (50 ft), at 80 km/hr (50 mph)	80	Food Blender at 1 m (3 ft) Garbage Disposal at 1 m (3 ft)					
Noisy Urban Area, Daytime Gas Lawn Mower, 30 m (100 ft)	70	Vacuum Cleaner at 3 m (10 ft)					
Commercial Area Heavy Traffic at 90 m (300 ft)	60	Normal Speech at 1 m (3 ft)					
Quiet Urban Daytime	50	Large Business Office Dishwasher in Next Room					
Quiet Urban Nighttime	40	Theater, Large Conference Room (Background)					
Quiet Suburban Nighttime	30	Library					
Quiet Rural Nighttime	20	Bedroom at Night, Concert Hall (Background)					
	10	Broadcast/Recording Studio					
Lowest Threshold of Human Hearing	0	Lowest Threshold of Human Hearing					
Source: Caltrans, Technical Noise Suppleme	ent, Traffic Noise A	nalysis Protocol. October 1998.					

Effects of Noise on People

The effects of noise on people can be placed in three categories:

- Subjective effects of annoyance, nuisance, and dissatisfaction
- Interference with activities such as speech, sleep, and learning
- Physiological effects such as hearing loss or sudden startling

Environmental noise typically produces effects in the first two categories. Workers in industrial plants can experience noise in the last category. There is no completely satisfactory way to measure the subjective effects of noise or the corresponding reactions of annoyance and

dissatisfaction. A wide variation in individual thresholds of annoyance exists and different tolerances to noise tend to develop based on an individual's past experiences with noise.

Thus, an important way of predicting a human reaction to a new noise environment is the way it compares to the existing environment to which one has adapted: the so-called ambient noise level. In general, the more a new noise exceeds the previously existing ambient noise level, the less acceptable the new noise will be judged by those hearing it.

With regard to increases in A-weighted noise level, the following relationships occur:

- Except in carefully controlled laboratory experiments, a change of 1 dBA cannot be perceived;
- Outside of the laboratory, a 3 dBA change is considered a just-perceivable difference;
- A change in level of at least 5 dBA is required before any noticeable change in human response would be expected; and
- A 10 dBA change is subjectively heard as approximately a doubling in loudness, and can cause an adverse response.

Stationary point sources of noise – including stationary mobile sources such as idling vehicles – attenuate (lessen) at a rate of approximately 6 dB per doubling of distance from the source, depending on environmental conditions (i.e. atmospheric conditions and either vegetative or manufactured noise barriers, etc.). Widely distributed noises, such as a large industrial facility spread over many acres, or a street with moving vehicles, would typically attenuate at a lower rate.

CRITERIA FOR ACCEPTABLE NOISE EXPOSURE

Transportation Noise - (Highway 49)

The El Dorado County General Plan Noise Element establishes exterior and interior noise level standards for a variety of land uses affected by transportation noise sources. The El Dorado County Noise Element noise standards which would be applicable to this project are provided in Table 2. The criteria in Table 2 are applied at the outdoor activity area and interior spaces of residential land uses.

Table 2 El Dorado County General Plan Noise Element Standards Applicable at Residential Land Uses for Transportation Noise Sources						
Land Use Outdoor Activity Areas Interior Spaces						
Residential60 dB Ldn145 dB Ldn						
¹ For residential uses with front yards facing the identified noise source, an exterior noise level criterion of 65 dB Ldn shall be applied at the building façade, in addition to a 60 dB Ldn criterion at the outdoor activity area. Source: Table 6-1 of the El Dorado County General Plan.						

Table 6-1 of the El Dorado County Noise Element establishes an exterior noise level criterion of 60 dB Ldn at the outdoor activity area of residential land uses impacted by transportation noise sources. Where it is not possible to reduce noise in outdoor activity areas to 60 dB Ldn or less using a practical application of the best-available noise reduction measures, an exterior noise level of up to 65 dB Ldn may be allowed provided that available exterior noise level reduction measures have been implemented. In addition, an interior noise level criterion of 45 dB Ldn is applied to all residential land uses.

Non-Transportation Noise - (Project Generated Noise)

The El Dorado County General Plan Noise Element also contains goals and standards for nontransportation noise affecting existing noise-sensitive receptors.

Goal 6.5: ACCEPTABLE NOISE LEVELS

Ensure that County residents are not subjected to noise beyond acceptable levels.

Objective 6.5.1 PROTECTION OF NOISE-SENSITIVE DEVELOPMENT

Protect existing noise-sensitive developments (e.g. hospitals, schools, churches and residential) from new uses that would generate noise levels incompatible with those uses and, conversely, discourage noise-sensitive uses from locating near sources of high noise levels.

Policy 6.5.1.7

Noise created by new proposed non-transportation noise sources shall be mitigated so as not to exceed the noise level standards of Table 6-2 for noise-sensitive uses.

Policy 6.5.1.13

When determining the significance of impacts and appropriate mitigation to reduce those impacts for new development projects, including ministerial development, the following criteria shall be taken into consideration:

- A. In areas in which ambient noise levels are in accordance with the standards in Table 6-2, increases in ambient noise levels caused by new nontransportation noise sources that exceed 5 dBA shall be considered significant; and
- **B.** In areas in which ambient noise levels are not in accordance with the standards in Table 6-2, increases in ambient noise levels caused by new nontransportation noise sources that exceed 3 dBA shall be considered significant.

Table 3Noise Level Performance Protection Standards For Noise Sensitive
Land Uses Affected by Non-Transportation Noise Sources

	Day 7 a.m	time - 7 p.m.	Eve 7 p.m	ning 10 p.m.	Night 10 p.m 7 a.m.		
Noise Level Descriptor	Community	Rural	Community	Rural	Community	Rural	
Hourly L _{eq} , dB	55	50	50	45	45	40	
Lmax, dB	70	60	60	55	55	50	

Each of the noise levels specified above shall be lowered by five dB for simple noises, noises consisting primarily of speech or music, or for recurring impulsive noises.

County can impose noise level standards which are up to 5 dB less than those specified above based upon determination of existing low ambient noise levels in the vicinity of the project site.

In Community areas the exterior noise level standard shall be applied to the property line of the receiving property. In Rural areas the exterior noise level shall be applied at a point 100 feet away from the residence.

Source: Table 6-2 of the El Dorado County General Plan.

The noise standards in Table 3 are divided into daytime hours (7 am to 7 pm), evening hours (7 pm to 10 pm), and nighttime hours (10 pm to 7 am).

EXISTING NOISE ENVIRONMENT

Existing Traffic Noise:

j.c. brennan & associates, Inc., utilizes the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model (FHWA RD-77-108) for the prediction of traffic noise levels. The model is based upon the CALVENO noise emission factors for automobiles, medium trucks and heavy trucks, with consideration given to vehicle volume, speed, roadway configuration, distance to the receiver, and the acoustical characteristics of the site.

On October 12, 2012, j.c. brennan & associates, Inc. conducted short-term noise level measurements and concurrent counts of traffic on Highway 49 on the project site. The purpose of the short-term traffic noise level measurements is to determine the accuracy of the FHWA model in describing the existing traffic noise environment on the project site, while accounting for shielding from existing intervening topography, actual travel speeds, and roadway grade. Noise measurement results were compared to the FHWA model results by entering the observed traffic volume, speed, and distance as inputs to the FHWA model.

Instrumentation used for the measurements was a Larson Davis Laboratories (LDL) Model 820 precision integrating sound level meter which was calibrated in the field before use with an LDL CA200 acoustical calibrator. The sound level meter was programmed to collect all noise level

18-0367 | 57 of 91

data using the A-weighting filter and slow response. The equipment meets ANSI standards for Type 1 noise measurement equipment. The results of the traffic noise calibration process, which was conducted on the project site, is shown in Table 4. Based upon Table 4, the FHWA Model considerably over-predicted the traffic noise levels at the project site by 4 dBA. The reason was that the roadway grade is considerably below the project site, and the roadway embankment shields roadway traffic. A -3 dBA adjustment will be made to the predicted future traffic noise levels.

	Table 4 Comparison of FHWA Model to Measured Traffic Noise Levels Piedmont Oaks - El Dorado County								
Site	Ve Autos	hicles/Hour. Med. Trk.	Hvy.Trk.	Speed (mph)	Dist. (Feet)	Measured L _{eq} , dBA	Modeled L _{eq} , dBA*		
Highwa	Highway 49								
1	237	12	4	45	100	59.5	63.7		
* Acou	stically "sof	t" site assumed							

A complete listing of FHWA Model inputs and results are shown in Appendix B.

j.c. brennan & associates, Inc. utilized the calibrated FHWA traffic noise prediction model and existing traffic volumes contained in the project applicant's traffic study to predict existing traffic noise levels at various locations on the project site, and along the primary roadways. Truck percentages on Highway 49 were obtained from Caltrans. The predicted traffic noise levels and distances to traffic noise contours are shown in Table 5. A complete listing of the FHWA Model inputs and results are provided in Appendix B.

Table 5 Predicted Existing Traffic Noise Levels Piedmont Oaks – El Dorado County, California									
		Predicted Noise	Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontours ¹				
Location	Distance	Level, Ldn	70 dB Ldn	65 dB Ldn	60 dB Ldn				
Highway 49									
N. of Pleasant Valley @ Project Residential	400'	54 dBA	37 feet	79 feet	272 feet				
N. of Pleasant Valley Project Commercial	100'	63 dBA	37 feet	79 feet	272 feet				
West of Pleasant Valley	100'	61 dBA	26 feet	56 feet	121 feet				
Pleasant Valley									
East of Highway 49	100'	58 dBA	16 feet	35 feet	75 feet				
Missouri Flat Road									
W. of Future Diamond Springs Parkway	100'	62 dBA	29 feet	63 feet	135 feet				
Diamond Springs Parkway									
Not Applicable		NA	NA	NA	NA				
¹ Distances are measured from the roadway centerline. Contour distances are generalized and do not account for shielding from intervening buildings. Source: j.c. brennan & associates, Inc., Caltrans, AECom Traffic Study.									

Environmental Noise Analysis Piedmont Oaks - El Dorado County, California Page 9 of 13

Existing Industrial and Commercial Noise:

Existing commercial and light industrial uses to the west, and across Highway 49 include a materials recovery facility (MRF) and transfer station, auto body repair facility, mini storage facility, and a small sand and gravel operation. Each of these operations occur during the daytime hours. During the site visit, traffic noise at the residential portion of the project site was the dominant noise source, and noise from the commercial and light industrial uses were not contributing the exiting noise environment.

FUTURE NOISE ENVIRONMENT

Once again the FHWA traffic noise prediction model was used to predict Future (2025) traffic on the project site and on adjacent roadways. The project applicant's traffic study was used as direct inputs to the traffic noise prediction model. Appendix B provides the complete listing of the FHWA Model inputs. Table 6 provides the results of the analysis.

18-0367 | 59 of 91

Table 6 Predicted Future (2025) Traffic Noise Levels Piedmont Oaks – El Dorado County, California								
	Predicte	ed Ldn	Distanc	e to Noise Co	ontours ¹			
Location	No Project	W/Project	70 dB Ldn	65 dB Ldn	60 dB Ldn			
Highway 49								
N. of Pleasant Valley @ Project Residential	55 dBA	55 dBA	41 feet	87 feet	188 feet			
N. of Pleasant Valley Project Commercial	64 dBA*	64 dBA*	41 feet	87 feet	188 feet			
West of Pleasant Valley	60 dBA*	60 dBA*	22 feet	47 feet	101 feet			
Pleasant Valley	Pleasant Valley							
East of Highway 49	60 dBA*	60 dBA*	22 feet	48 feet	103 feet			
Missouri Flat Road								
W. of Future Diamond Springs Parkway	64 dBA*	65 dBA*	45 feet	96 feet	207 feet			
Diamond Springs Parkway		•		•				
Not Applicable	63 dBA*	64 dBA*	38 feet	81 feet	175 feet			
¹ Distances are measured from the roadway centerline. Contour distances are based upon 2025 With Project Scenario. * Indicates the predicted noise level at 100 feet from the roadway centerline. Source: i.c. brennan & associates. Inc., Caltrans, AECom Traffic Study.								

Based upon the Table 6 data, the residential portion of the project site will comply with the exterior noise level standard of 60 dBA Ldn. The project will not contribute to a significant increase in traffic noise levels along Highway 49, Pleasant Valley Road, Missouri Flat Road and Diamond Springs Parkway.

Based upon a typical exterior to interior noise level reduction of 25 dBA, the interior noise levels at the residential portion of the project site will comply with the 45 dBA interior noise level criterion.

PROJECT NOISE GENERATION AND POTENTIAL NOISE IMPACTS

Noise impacts due to the proposed project were evaluated relative to the applicable El Dorado County noise standards. Noise generated by project-related activities was quantified through the application of accepted noise modeling techniques. Since no specific uses are proposed for the Commercially designated areas of the site, specific mitigation measures cannot be prescribed at this point.

Loading Dock Operations

Loading dock operations typically generate noise levels of approximately 63 dB Leq and 76 dB Lmax at a distance of 50 feet from the loading dock. The primary noise source associated with loading dock areas is typically heavy trucks stopping (air brakes), backing into the loading areas as necessary, and pulling out of the loading docks (revving engines) and fork lifts. The nearest proposed residential uses on the project site are Lots 2 and 18, which are directly adjacent to the commercial Lots A and B. Assuming a typical setback, the predicted noise levels would exceed the daytime noise level criteria of 55 dBA Leq and 70 dBA Lmax. Therefore, noise reduction measures could be warranted. A typical 8-foot tall wall will reduce overall noise levels by approximately 5 to 6 dBA. Therefore, a combination of a 100 foot setback from a loading dock, and an 8-foot tall property line barrier would reduce noise levels to within the daytime noise level criteria.

Parking Lot Circulation Noise Generation

Parking lots can be a source of noise. A typical SEL due to automobile arrivals and departures, including car doors slamming and people conversing is approximately 71 dB, with a maximum level of 63 dB Lmax, at a distance of 50 feet. Assuming a parking lot which has 100 spaces, and they are all utilized in one hour, the parking lot Leq noise level can be determined using the following formula:

Peak Hour Leq =
$$71 + 10 * \log(100) - 35.6$$
, dB

71 is the mean sound exposure levels (SEL) for an automobile arrival or departure, and 10 * log(100) is 10 times the logarithm of the number of automobile and departures per hour, and 35.6 is 10 times the logarithm of the number seconds in an hour.

Based upon the calculation above, the predicted noise level due to parking lot activities is 55 dB Leq at a reference distance of 50 feet. the maximum noise level would be 63 dBA Lmax. Therefore, a typical parking lot of 100 spaces could comply with the daytime noise level standards.

Mechanical Equipment Noise

Generally, commercial uses include packaged roof-top HVAC units. A roof-top HVAC unit will provide approximately one ton of cooling per 250 sf. of space. Therefore, the cooling load for

2,000 square feet would be eight tons of cooling. Noise levels for the roof-top HVAC units were calculated based upon typical manufacturer data for packaged HVAC units.

Manufacturer data states that the Air-Conditioning and Refrigeration Institute (ARI) sound power rating for two four ton units would likely be 88 dBA for a modern HVAC unit. The hourly average noise level for the HVAC these units is predicted to be 48 dBA Leq at a distance of 100 feet.

Assuming a 3 foot tall building parapet, noise levels would be predicted to be reduced by 5.0 dBA.

CONCLUSION

A summary of the conclusions are as follows:

- 1) The project will not be exposed to roadway traffic noise levels which exceeds the exterior and interior noise level criteria of 60 dBA Ldn and 45 dBA Ldn, respectively;
- 2) The project will not be exposed to noise levels from nearby light industrial and commercial uses which will exceed the noise level standards;
- 3) The project will not result in a significant increase in roadway traffic noise levels;
- 4) The proposed commercial uses on the project site may result in noise levels which exceed the El Dorado County stationary noise level criteria.

The following mitigation measures are recommended:

1) When site plans and specific uses are proposed on Lots A and B, the applicants shall provide a noise analysis to ensure compliance with the El Dorado County noise level criteria. The noise analyses shall evaluate any potential loading dock operations, truck circulation, parking lot activity and HVAC noise levels. If additional noise sources are identified, they must also be reviewed.

Appendix A

Acoustics	The science of sound.
Ambient Noise	The distinctive acoustical characteristics of a given space consisting of all noise sources audible at that location. In many cases, the term ambient is used to describe an existing or pre-project condition such as the setting in an environmental noise study.
Attenuation	The reduction of an acoustic signal.
A-Weighting	A frequency-response adjustment of a sound level meter that conditions the output signal to approximate human response.
Decibel or dB CNEL	Fundamental unit of sound, A Bell is defined as the logarithm of the ratio of the sound pressure squared over the reference pressure squared. A Decibel is one-tenth of a Bell. Community Noise Equivalent Level. Defined as the 24-hour average noise level with noise occurring during evening hours (7 - 10 p.m.) weighted by a factor of three and nighttime hours weighted by a factor of 10 prior to averaging.
Frequency	The measure of the rapidity of alterations of a periodic signal, expressed in cycles per second or hertz.
Ldn	Day/Night Average Sound Level. Similar to CNEL but with no evening weighting.
Leq	Equivalent or energy-averaged sound level.
Lmax	The highest root-mean-square (RMS) sound level measured over a given period of time.
L(n)	The sound level exceeded a described percentile over a measurement period. For instance, an hourly L50 is the sound level exceeded 50% of the time during the one hour period.
Loudness	A subjective term for the sensation of the magnitude of sound.
Noise	Unwanted sound.
Peak Noise	The level corresponding to the highest (not RMS) sound pressure measured over a given period of time. This term is often confused with the AMaximum@ level, which is the highest RMS level.
RT ₆₀	The time it takes reverberant sound to decay by 60 dB once the source has been removed.
Sabin	The unit of sound absorption. One square foot of material absorbing 100% of incident sound has an absorption of 1 sabin.
Threshold of Hearing	The lowest sound that can be perceived by the human auditory system, generally considered to be 0 dB for persons with perfect hearing.
Threshold of Pain	Approximately 120 dB above the threshold of hearing.
Impulsive	Sound of short duration, usually less than one second, with an abrupt onset and rapid decay.
Simple Tone	Any sound which can be judged as audible as a single pitch or set of single pitches.



Project Name: Roadway Tested: Test Location: Test Date: Temperature (Fahrenheit): Relative Humidity: Wind Speed and Direction: Cloud Cover: Sound Level Meter: Calibrator: Meter Calibrated: Meter Settings: Microphone Location: Distance to Centerline (feet): Microphone Height: ening Ground (Hard or Soft): ation Relative to Road (feet):	Piedmont Oaks Hwy 49 October 12, 2012 60 Dry 5-10 from West ptly cloudy LDL Model 820 LDL Model CA200 Immediately before and after test A-weighted, slow response On Project Site 100 5 feet above ground Soft 10
Roadway Tested: Test Location: Test Date: Temperature (Fahrenheit): Relative Humidity: Wind Speed and Direction: Cloud Cover: Sound Level Meter: Calibrator: Meter Calibrated: Meter Settings: Microphone Location: Distance to Centerline (feet): Microphone Height: ening Ground (Hard or Soft): ation Relative to Road (feet):	Hwy 49 October 12, 2012 60 Dry 5-10 from West ptly cloudy LDL Model 820 LDL Model CA200 Immediately before and after test A-weighted, slow response On Project Site 100 5 feet above ground Soft 10
Test Location: Test Date: Temperature (Fahrenheit): Relative Humidity: Wind Speed and Direction: Cloud Cover: Sound Level Meter: Calibrator: Calibrator: Meter Calibrated: Meter Settings: Microphone Location: Distance to Centerline (feet): Microphone Height: ening Ground (Hard or Soft): ation Relative to Road (feet):	October 12, 2012 60 Dry 5-10 from West ptly cloudy LDL Model 820 LDL Model CA200 Immediately before and after test A-weighted, slow response On Project Site 100 5 feet above ground Soft 10
Test Date: Temperature (Fahrenheit): Relative Humidity: Wind Speed and Direction: Cloud Cover: Sound Level Meter: Calibrator: Meter Calibrated: Meter Settings: Microphone Location: Distance to Centerline (feet): Microphone Height: ening Ground (Hard or Soft): ation Relative to Road (feet):	October 12, 2012 60 Dry 5-10 from West ptly cloudy LDL Model 820 LDL Model CA200 Immediately before and after test A-weighted, slow response On Project Site 100 5 feet above ground Soft 10
Temperature (Fahrenheit): Relative Humidity: Wind Speed and Direction: Cloud Cover: Sound Level Meter: Calibrator: Meter Calibrated: Meter Settings: Microphone Location: Distance to Centerline (feet): Microphone Height: ening Ground (Hard or Soft): ation Relative to Road (feet):	60 Dry 5-10 from West ptly cloudy LDL Model 820 LDL Model CA200 Immediately before and after test A-weighted, slow response On Project Site 100 5 feet above ground Soft 10
Relative Humidity: Wind Speed and Direction: Cloud Cover: Sound Level Meter: Calibrator: Meter Calibrated: Meter Settings: Microphone Location: Distance to Centerline (feet): Microphone Height: ening Ground (Hard or Soft): ation Relative to Road (feet):	Dry 5-10 from West ptly cloudy LDL Model 820 LDL Model CA200 Immediately before and after test A-weighted, slow response On Project Site 100 5 feet above ground Soft 10
Wind Speed and Direction: Cloud Cover: Sound Level Meter: Calibrator: Meter Calibrated: Meter Settings: Microphone Location: Distance to Centerline (feet): Microphone Height: ening Ground (Hard or Soft): ation Relative to Road (feet): Pavement Type	5-10 from West ptly cloudy LDL Model 820 LDL Model CA200 Immediately before and after test A-weighted, slow response On Project Site 100 5 feet above ground Soft 10
Cloud Cover: Sound Level Meter: Calibrator: Meter Calibrated: Meter Settings: Microphone Location: Distance to Centerline (feet): Microphone Height: ening Ground (Hard or Soft): ation Relative to Road (feet): Pavement Type	ptly cloudy LDL Model 820 LDL Model CA200 Immediately before and after test A-weighted, slow response On Project Site 100 5 feet above ground Soft 10
Sound Level Meter: Calibrator: Meter Calibrated: Meter Settings: Microphone Location: Distance to Centerline (feet): Microphone Height: ening Ground (Hard or Soft): ation Relative to Road (feet): Pavement Type	LDL Model 820 LDL Model CA200 Immediately before and after test A-weighted, slow response On Project Site 100 5 feet above ground Soft 10
Calibrator: Meter Calibrated: Meter Settings: Microphone Location: Distance to Centerline (feet): Microphone Height: ening Ground (Hard or Soft): ation Relative to Road (feet): Pavement Type	LDL Model CA200 Immediately before and after test A-weighted, slow response On Project Site 100 5 feet above ground Soft 10
Meter Calibrated: Meter Settings: Microphone Location: Distance to Centerline (feet): Microphone Height: ening Ground (Hard or Soft): ation Relative to Road (feet): Pavement Type	A-weighted, slow response On Project Site 100 5 feet above ground Soft 10
Meter Settings: Microphone Location: Distance to Centerline (feet): Microphone Height: ening Ground (Hard or Soft): ation Relative to Road (feet): Pavement Type	A-weighted, slow response On Project Site 100 5 feet above ground Soft 10
Microphone Location: Distance to Centerline (feet): Microphone Height: ening Ground (Hard or Soft): ation Relative to Road (feet): Pavement Type	On Project Site 100 5 feet above ground Soft 10
Distance to Centerline (feet): Microphone Height: ening Ground (Hard or Soft): ation Relative to Road (feet): Pavement Type	100 5 feet above ground Soft 10
Microphone Height: ening Ground (Hard or Soft): ation Relative to Road (feet): Pavement Type	5 feet above ground Soft 10
ening Ground (Hard or Soft): ation Relative to Road (feet): Pavement Type	Soft 10
ation Relative to Road (feet): Pavement Type	10
Pavement Type	
51	Asphalt
Pavement Condition:	Good
Number of Lanes:	2
sted Maximum Speed (mph):	45
Test Time:	12:00 PM
Test Duration (minutes):	15
served Number Automobiles:	237
ved Number Heavy Trucks:	12
erved Average Speed (mph):	45
easured Average Level (L):	59.5
Predicted by FHWA Model:	63.7
Difference:	4.2 dB
	Test Time: Test Duration (minutes): erved Number Automobiles: ed Number Medium Trucks: rved Number Heavy Trucks: erved Average Speed (mph): easured Average Level (L _{eq}): I Predicted by FHWA Model: Difference:

Appendix B FHWA-RD-77-108 Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model Data Input Sheet

Project #:2012-164Description:Existing Piedmont OaksLdn/CNEL:LdnHard/Soft:Soft

Segment	Roadway Name	Segment Description	Δητ	Day %	Eve %	Night %	% Med. Trucks	% Hvy. Trucks	Sneed	Distance	Offset (dB)
1		Project Site Residential	15 960	95 95		15	7	2	45	400	(*=)
2	Highway 49	Project Site Commercial	15,860	85		15	7	3	45 45	400	-3
3	Highway 49	West of Pleasant Valley	11.210	85		15	7	3	25	100	Ũ
4	Pleasant Valley	East of Highway 49	10,870	85		15	3	1	25	100	
5	Missouri Flat	W. of Future Diamons Springs Pky	14,500	85		15	3	1	35	100	
6		1 0 9									
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											
						i.	c. bre	nnan	& as	sociates	6
						Ų ×	\sim	/consul	tants in	acoustic.	

Appendix B FHWA-RD-77-108 Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model Predicted Levels

Project #:2012-164Description:Existing Piedmont OaksLdn/CNEL:LdnHard/Soft:Soft

Segment	Roadway Name	Segment Description	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Total
1	Highway 49	Project Site Residential	51.1	48.3	49.1	54
2	Highway 49	Project Site Commercial	60.2	57.3	58.1	63
3	Highway 49	West of Pleasant Valley	54.3	54.8	58.8	61
4	Pleasant Valley	East of Highway 49	54.4	51.0	53.9	58
5	Missouri Flat	W. of Future Diamons Springs Pky	59.9	54.5	55.0	62



Appendix B FHWA-RD-77-108 Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model Noise Contour Output

Project #:2012-164Description:Existing Piedmont OaksLdn/CNEL:LdnHard/Soft:Soft

			Distances to Traffic Noise Contours					
Segment	Roadway Name	Segment Description	75	70	65	60	55	
1	Highway 49	Project Site Residential	17	37	79	171	367	
2	Highway 49	Project Site Commercial	17	37	79	171	367	
3	Highway 49	West of Pleasant Valley	12	26	56	121	260	
4	Pleasant Valley	East of Highway 49	7	16	35	75	161	
5	Missouri Flat	W. of Future Diamons Springs Pky	14	29	63	135	292	



Appendix B FHWA-RD-77-108 Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model Data Input Sheet

Project #:2012-164Description:Future No Project Piedmont OaksLdn/CNEL:LdnHard/Soft:Soft

Segment	Roadway Name	Segment Description	ADT	Dav %	Eve %	Niaht %	% Med. Trucks	% Hvy. Trucks	Speed	Distance	Offset (dB)
1	Highway 49	Project Site Residential	17 741	85		15	7	3	45	400	-3
2	Highway 49	Project Site Commercial	17,741	85		15	7	3	45	100	-3
3	Highway 49	West of Pleasant Valley	8,366	85		15	7	3	25	100	
4	Pleasant Valley	East of Highway 49	16,958	85		15	3	1	25	100	
5	Missouri Flat	W. of Future Diamons Springs Pky	25,122	85		15	3	1	35	100	
6	Pleasant Valley Pkwy	W. of Highway 49	19,072	85		15	3	1	35	100	
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25						∥.	a hea		Q7		-
						Į.	\sim	/consul	& as tants in	sociates a acoustic	s s

Appendix B FHWA-RD-77-108 Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model Predicted Levels

Project #:2012-164Description:Future No Project Piedmont OaksLdn/CNEL:LdnHard/Soft:Soft

Segment	Roadway Name	Segment Description	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Total
1	Highway 49	Project Site Residential	51.6	48.8	49.6	55
2	Highway 49	Project Site Commercial	60.6	57.8	58.6	64
3	Highway 49	West of Pleasant Valley	53.0	53.6	57.5	60
4	Pleasant Valley	East of Highway 49	56.4	52.9	55.8	60
5	Missouri Flat	W. of Future Diamons Springs Pky	62.3	56.9	57.4	64
6	Pleasant Valley Pkwy	W. of Highway 49	61.1	55.7	56.2	63



Appendix B FHWA-RD-77-108 Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model Noise Contour Output

Project #:2012-164Description:Future No Project Piedmont OaksLdn/CNEL:LdnHard/Soft:Soft

			Distances to Traffic Noise Contours					
Segment	Roadway Name	Segment Description	75	70	65	60	55	
1	Highway 49	Project Site Residential	18	40	85	184	396	
2	Highway 49	Project Site Commercial	18	40	85	184	396	
3	Highway 49	West of Pleasant Valley	10	21	46	99	214	
4	Pleasant Valley	East of Highway 49	10	22	47	101	217	
5	Missouri Flat	W. of Future Diamons Springs Pky	20	42	91	195	421	
6	Pleasant Valley Pkwy	W. of Highway 49	16	35	75	163	350	



Appendix B FHWA-RD-77-108 Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model Data Input Sheet

Project #:2012-164Description:Future with Project Piedmont OaksLdn/CNEL:LdnHard/Soft:Soft

Segment	Roadway Name	Segment Description	Δητ	Day %	Eve %	Night %	% Med. Trucks	% Hvy. Trucks	Sneed	Distance	Offset (dB)
Jegment		Desired Ote Description							opeeu	Distance	(0.0)
1	Highway 49 Highway 49	Project Site Residential Project Site Commercial	18,390	85 85		15 15	7	3	45 45	400	-3
2	Highway 49	West of Pleasant Valley	8 540	85		15	7	3	4J 25	100	-5
۵ ۵	Pleasant Valley	Fast of Highway 49	17 570	85		15	י כ	1	25	100	
5	Missouri Flat	W of Future Diamons Springs Pky	27 350	85		15	3	1	35	100	
6	Pleasant Valley Pkwy	W of Highway 49	21,000	85		15	3	1	35	100	
7	r leadant valley r kwy	W. of highway 40	21,000	00		10	U		00	100	
, 8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											
25											
						j.	c. bre	nnan /consuli	& as tants in	sociates acoustic	s s

Appendix B FHWA-RD-77-108 Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model Predicted Levels

Project #:	2012-164
Description:	Future with Project Piedmont Oaks
Ldn/CNEL:	Ldn
Hard/Soft:	Soft

Segment	Roadway Name	Segment Description	Autos	Medium Trucks	Heavy Trucks	Total
1	Highway 49	Project Site Residential	51.8	48.9	49.7	55
2	Highway 49	Project Site Commercial	60.8	58.0	58.8	64
3	Highway 49	West of Pleasant Valley	53.1	53.6	57.6	60
4	Pleasant Valley	East of Highway 49	56.5	53.1	56.0	60
5	Missouri Flat	W. of Future Diamons Springs Pky	62.7	57.3	57.7	65
6	Pleasant Valley Pkwy	W. of Highway 49	61.6	56.2	56.6	64


Appendix B FHWA-RD-77-108 Highway Traffic Noise Prediction Model Noise Contour Output

Project #:2012-164Description:Future with Project Piedmont OaksLdn/CNEL:LdnHard/Soft:Soft

			[Distances to	Traffic Noi	se Contours	3
Segment	Roadway Name	Segment Description	75	70	65	60	55
1	Highway 49	Project Site Residential	19	41	87	188	405
2	Highway 49	Project Site Commercial	19	41	87	188	405
3	Highway 49	West of Pleasant Valley	10	22	47	101	217
4	Pleasant Valley	East of Highway 49	10	22	48	103	222
5	Missouri Flat	W. of Future Diamons Springs Pky	21	45	96	207	446
6	Pleasant Valley Pkwy	W. of Highway 49	18	38	81	175	377



Piedmont Oak Estates Subdivision APN: 051-550-40, 47- 48 & 51

Wildland Fire Safe Plan

Prepared for:

Jim Davies

Prepared by:

CDS Fire Prevention Planning William F. Draper Registered Professional Forester #898 4645 Meadowlark Way Placerville, CA 95667

December 6, 2012

1



Z12-0010 / PD12-0002 TiMb/362-1451091

ATTACHMENT 14

NECEIVED

Piedmont Oak Estates

Approved by:

oner

Rob Coombs, Fire Chief Fire Marshal Diamond Springs/El Dorado Fire Protection District

<u> /-22-/3</u> Date

ann Farli

 $\frac{1-22-13}{\text{Date}}$

Darin McFarlin, Fire Captain **Fire Prevention California Department of** Forestry and Fire Protection

Prepared by:

William F. Draper

RPF #898

Date



CONTENTS

٠

1.	Purpose	4
H.	Fire Plan Limitations	4
HH.	Wildland Fire Safe Plan	5
	1. Project Description	.5
	2. Project Vegetation (Fuels)	6
	3. Problem Statements	6
	4. Goals	7
	5. Wildfire Mitigation Measures	.7
	6. Other Fire Safe Requirements	8

IV. Appendix

Α.	A. Fuel Treatment Specifications Oak Woodland			
B.	Enclosed Deck Guidelines	10		
C.	Maps Location Map, Parcel Map, Lot Map	11-13		
D.	Turnouts El Dorado County Design Standard	14		
G.	CDF Guideline	16		
H.	Notice of Fire Hazard Inspection	17		



I. PURPOSE AND SCOPE

Communities are increasingly concerned about wildfire safety. Drought years coupled with flammable vegetation and annual periods of severe fire weather insure the potential for periodic wildfires.

The purpose of this plan is to assess the wildfire hazards and risks of the Piedmont Oak Estates, to identify measures to reduce these hazards and risks and protect the native vegetation. There are light to moderate fuel hazards and gentle topography associated with this proposed project both on and adjacent to the project.

The possibility of large fires occurring when the subdivision is complete will be greatly reduced. However, small wildfires in the open space areas and on the larger lots may occur due to the increase in public uses.

Incorporation of the fire hazard reduction measures into the design and maintenance of the future parcels will reduce the size and intensity of wildfires and help prevent catastrophic fire losses. State and County regulations provide the basic guidelines and requirements for fire safe mitigation measures and defensible space around dwellings. This plan builds on these basic rules and provides additional fire hazard reduction measures customized to the topography and vegetation of the development with special emphases on the interface of homes and wildland fuels.

The scope of the Piedmont Oak Estates Wildland Fire Safe Plan recognizes the extraordinary natural features of the area and designs wildfire safety measures which are meant to compliment and become part of the community design. The Plan contains measures for providing and maintaining defensible space around future homes and open space. Plan implementation measures must be maintained in order to assure adequate wildfire protection.

Homeowners who live in and adjacent to the wildfire environment must take primary responsibility along with the fire services for ensuring their homes have sufficient low ignitability and surrounding fuel reduction treatment. The fire services should become a community partner providing homeowners with technical assistance as well as fire response. For this to succeed it must be shared and implemented equally by homeowners and the fire services.

II. FIRE PLAN LIMITATIONS

The Wildland Fire Safe Plan for Piedmont Oak Estates does not guarantee that wildfire will not threaten, damage or destroy natural resources, homes or endanger residents. However, the full implementation of the mitigation measures will greatly reduce the exposure of homes to potential loss from wildfire and provide defensible space for firefighters and residents as well as protect the native vegetation. Specific items are listed for homeowner's attention to aid in home wildfire safety.

III. PIEDMONT OAK ESTATES WILDLAND FIRE SAFE PLAN

1. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Piedmont Oak Estates is located east of Highway 49 and north of Black Rice Road. April Lane borders a portion of the subdivision along the east side. A new road, Road "A", providing access to the new lots is to be constructed approximately .1 mile north of Black Rice Road and intersect Hwy 49 just south of Bradley Drive. Private property borders the project on the north, east and south. Black Rice Road, the existing road, is 18' wide of travel surface with shoulders and the necessary drainage ditches. A secondary road, Road "D", providing access from Road "A" to Black Rice Road will be built providing two way access. This road will be approximately .2 miles long. Road "D" is being proposed as a DOT 101C road (20'plus shoulders). It shall be posted with "No Parking". All roads shall have rolled curbs on one or both sides to allow for better vehicle passage. With open space to the north and south of Black Rice Road, Racquet Way should be connected to provide additional emergency access. This access is needed since the distance from Black Rice Road and Road "A" is less than ¼ mile and therefore not consistent with The Land Design Manual (LSDM). Racquet Way is currently barricaded at Black Rice Road. Interior roads "B" and "C" will connect to "A". No new road will be a dead end road over 800' without second access. Posting of the Emergency Access road is being proposed instead of a locked gate at the end of road "A". Locked gates on access roads are not acceptable to the fire agencies since this would constitute a long dead end road which would violate the County Fire Safe Regulations. The roads will be constructed to El Dorado County Department of Transportation (DOT), Fire Safe and LDMS standards. A design waiver is being proposed by the developer to allow on street parking on one side of 28' roads and parking on both sides of 36' wide roads.

- Road "A" 36' Parking on both sides, rolled curbs and fire hydrant turnouts.
- Road "B" 28' Parking on one side, rolled curb on the opposite side and posted "No Parking" with fire hydrant turnouts.
- Road "C" 28' Parking on one side, rolled curb on the opposite side and posted "No Parking" with fire hydrants turnouts.
- Road "D" 20' Posted "No Parking" rolled curb on both sides and fire hydrant turnouts.
- All driveways/alleys for the clustered units shall be posted "No Parking".

Turnouts at each fire hydrant and clustered parking at the high density housing area should be

required. The turnout will conform to fire department and DOT standards. The project shall be served by El Dorado Irrigation District (EID). All fire hydrant locations and spacing shall be determined by Diamond Springs Fire and the Residential Fire Code. There is not any road work anticipated to Black Rice Road beyond the normal encroachment and clearing of a fuel hazard reduction zone. Any private gate shall meet the requirements for Diamond Springs Fire. A fuel hazard reduction zone along the entire length of the roads in and adjacent to the project and around the perimeter of the project will be needed. The project is proposing to split parcels APN: 051-550-40, 47, 48 and 51 totaling 25.89 acres into 43 custom lots, 65 clustered lots, 2 commercial lots, and 8 open space lots. All the lots shall have water provided by EID.

The clustered units will have a common alley between rows of units extending the entire block with driveways serving a 5 unit cluster (normally). Each unit has designated parking. No parking will be allowed in the alleys or driveways serving the clusters.

A tank farm of central propane tanks will serve the cluster units in this development as well as the small custom lots. Due to the setback requirements for propane tanks, the tank farm concept is the only practical way to distribute propane to all the lots with houses. Propane tanks require 10' setback from the property line and 10' from any structure. They also must have a 10' setback from any ignition source such as the electric meter. The commercial lots will have individual tanks. The

preferred system would have underground tanks inside an enclosed area for the tank farm. This area would be landscaped and maintained. Each residence would have its own gas meter.

A Lighting and Landscape District (LLD) shall be formed for the purpose of maintaining the fuel hazard reduction zones along the new roads and open spaces, interior road maintenance, landscaped areas, and signage. Annual maintenance is essential for keeping fire safe conditions viable.

The Diamond Springs/EI Dorado Fire Protection District provides all fire and emergency medical services to this project. The California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection (CAL FIRE) has wildland fire responsibility in this state responsibility area (SRA).

2. PROJECT VEGETATION (FUELS)

For wildfire planning purposes the vegetation is classified as follows:

- (a) ground fuels- annual grasses, manzanita, toyon, blackberries and buckeye with downed limbs (Brush)
- (b) overstory- ponderosa pine, gray pine, liveoak with scattered black oaks.

In general, the property gently slopes to the east and west with most slopes less than 10%. Lots 11 to 17 have steeper east facing slopes up to approximately 25%. There is a seasonal drainage running through Open Space Lot F with steep slopes. Fire hazard reduction of the fuels will be extremely important around the house sites and surrounding areas. Ladder fuels in all open spaces need to be eliminated. Sprouting will bring back the problem of ladder fuels. Sprout treatment and pruning of the overstory trees will be needed to reduce the fire hazard. Limbing of trees is important to reduce their susceptibility from a ground fire. Tree spacing on the slopes is a critical component to attaining the required fire safe clearances. A separation of the brush fuels and trees are essential for creating the defensible space around the residence and along the perimeter. CDF guidelines for the 100 foot clearance requirements are attached.

3. PROBLEM STATEMENTS

A. The brush fuels on the slopes will ignite and have a rapid rate of spread.

Fire in the grass and brush fuels on the slopes is the most serious wildfire problem for this project.

B. Risk of fire starts will increase with development.

The greatest risk from fire ignition will be along roads and on large lots as human activity increases in these areas.

C. Provisions must be made to maintain all fuel treatments.

The wildfire protection values of fuel reduction are rapidly lost if not maintained. Continued review of potential ladder fuels to maintain a fire safe environment is very important. Annual maintenance by June 1 of each year is necessary.

D. Typical home design and siting often does not recognize adequate wildfire mitigation measures.

A review of many wildfires has conclusively shown that most home losses occur when: (1) there is inadequate clearing of flammable vegetation around a house, (2) roofs are not fire

resistant, (3) homes are sited in hazardous locations, (4) firebrand ignition points and heat traps are not adequately protected and (5) there is a lack of water for suppression.

4. GOALS

- A. Modify the continuity of high hazard vegetation fuels.
- B. Reduce the size and intensity of wildfires.
- C. Ensure defensible space is provided around all structures.
- D. Design fuel treatments to minimize tree removal.
- E. Ensure fuel treatment measures are maintained.
- F. Identify fire safe structural features.
- G. Help homeowners protect their homes from wildfire.

5. WILDFIRE MITIGATION MEASURES

Wildfire mitigation measures are designed to accomplish the Goals by providing and maintaining defensible space and treating high hazard fuel areas. Fire hazard severity is reduced through these mitigation measures. The Wildland Fire Safe Plan places emphasis on defensible space around structures and project perimeter.

Fuel hazard reduction zones (FHRZ) of at least 20 feet in width shall be installed around the perimeter of the project and a 10 foot fuel hazard reduction zone along both sides of the roads. All open space perimeters shall have a 20' FHRZ adjacent to backyards. Sidewalks and planted landscaping may be a part of the FHRZ. The propane tank farm shall have a 20' FHRZ around its perimeter and the interior shall be kept free of high weeds and brush. Low fuel ground cover would be a good alternative to bare ground. Any tree canopy over the roads and driveways will have 15' of vertical clearance over the roadways.

All residences shall be required to have NFPA 13D fire sprinkler systems. The project is located in a Moderate Fire Hazard Severity Zone. Implementation of Wildland-Urban Interface Fire Areas Building Standards will be required for the construction of new residences. These standards address roofing, venting, eave enclosure, windows, exterior doors, siding, and decking.

Clearance along the road and around structures is very important and necessary. Fire Safe specifications state that all trees in the fuel treatment zones shall be thinned so the crowns are not touching. Branches on remaining trees shall be pruned up 10 feet as measured on the uphill side of the tree. Brush shall be removed. Grasses shall be kept mowed to a 2 inch stubble annually by June 1. Any tree crown canopy over the driveways shall be pruned at least 15 feet up from the driveway surface.

This zone is in addition to the clearances required by state law. The State required Fire Safe clearances (PRC 4291) shall be implemented around all structures (See CDF Guideline). <u>Clearances may be required at the time of construction by the County.</u>

More restrictive standards may be applied by approving El Dorado County Authorities. Approval of this plan does not by itself guarantee approval of this project.

Mitigation Measures:

- Driveways shall be 12 feet wide. Driveways shall comply with the DOT weight standards.
 - a. Responsibility-homeowner
- All private driveway gates on custom lots shall be inset on the driveway at least 30 feet from the road. Gate opening shall be 2 feet wider than the driveway. Knox lock assess shall be provided to the fire department.
 - a. Responsibility-homeowner
- All homes shall have Class A listed roof covering.
 a. Responsibility- homeowner
- Decks that are cantilevered over the natural slope shall be enclosed.
 a. Responsibility- homeowner (See Appendix C for guidelines)
- The houses shall be constructed with exterior wall sheathing that shall be rated noncombustible.
 - a. Responsibility-developer
- Windows and glass doors on the sides of the structure shall have tempered glass and fire resistant frames.
 - a. Responsibility-builder
- Rafter tails shall be enclosed with noncombustible material on the sides of the structure.
 - a. Responsibility-builder
- Gutters and downspouts shall be noncombustible.
 a. Responsibility-builder
- Attic and floor vents shall be covered with ¼ inch, or less, noncombustible mesh and horizontal to the ground.
 - a. Responsibility-builder

6. OTHER FIRE SAFE REQUIREMENTS

- A. New roadways, turnouts, alleys, and driveway shall be constructed only after consulting with Diamond Springs Fire, DOT and consulting El Dorado County Design Standard for specifications (See Attachment). A design waiver may be requested.
- B. Each new property owner prior to construction shall be required to contact El Dorado County Planning Services/Building Department to have the residential fire sprinklers plans approved. All fire sprinkler systems shall be designed and installed by a licensed contractor.
- C. Any new road and turnout shall be built to DOT standards. Driveways can only serve one parcel except in the clustered units.

- D. 20' fuel hazard reduction zone along the perimeter of the project, both sides of the open spaces, around the tank farm and 10' on both sides of the roads shall be installed and annually maintained by June 1 to the Fire Safe specifications. Tree canopy over the road and driveways shall be cleared up 15'.
- E. The developer shall file with DOT to get the roads named and have the names posted at the intersections.
- F. A Lighting and Landscape District (LLD) shall be formed for the specific purpose of maintaining the fuel hazard reduction zones along the roads, perimeter, open space and tank farm annually by June 1 in addition to other specific needs of the Fire District.
- G. Roads shall be posted "No Parking" on both sides of the road unless a design waiver is approved. Posting on one side as determined in the design waiver.
- H. If the parking design waiver is granted, turnouts at each fire hydrant location on roads "A", "B", "C", and "D" shall be installed and meet fire department specifications.
- I. Rolled curbs shall be used on all roads as specified to allow for safe vehicle passage on roads narrower than fire code requirements.
- J. A Notice of Restriction shall be filed with the final parcel map which stipulates that a Wildland Fire Safe Plan has been prepared and wildfire mitigation measures must be implemented.
- K. The project shall meet all the Public Resource Codes 4290 as amended (the 1991 SRA Fire Safe Regulations- Article 2 Access, Article 3 Signing, Article 4 Water, Article 5 Fuels), County and Fire Department ordinances.
- L. The home/property owners are responsible for any future fire safe or building code changes adopted by the State or local authority.
- M. Only fire rated composite deck material, wood or non-combustibles shall be allowed for decks.

V. Appendix

APPENDIX A

PIEDMONT OAK ESTEATES

FUEL TREATMENT SPECIFICATIONS For OAK WOODLAND Within The Designated Fuel Treatment Areas

1. Leave all live trees where possible.

2. Remove all dead trees.

3. Remove all brush.

4. Prune all live trees of dead branches and green branches 10 feet from the ground as measured on the uphill side of the tree, except no more than 1/3 of the live crown is removed. All slash created by pruning must be disposed of by chipping or hauling off site.

5. Annually by June 1, reduce the grass or weeds to a 2 inch stubble by mowing, chemical treatment, disking or a combination of treatments.

6. Conifers within 30 feet of a house shall be removed. Those pines in the open space shall be isolated with no brush understory within the dripline of the tree.

APPENDIX B

PIEDMONT OAK ESTATES

ENCLOSED DECK GUIDELINES

The purpose of enclosing the underside of decks that are cantilevered out over the natural slope is to help prevent heat traps and fire brands from a wildfire igniting the deck or fuels under the deck.

1. Does not apply to decks that are constructed using fire resistant materials such as concrete, steel, stucco etc.

2. Any deck shall not include non fire rated composite deck material.

3. This applies to decks one story or less above natural slopes.

4. Combustible material must not be stored under the deck.



Apn's: 05155040, 05155047, 05155048, 05155051



Aerials Copyright 2003,2004,2006,2007 AirPhotoUSA, LLC, All Rights Reserved

Disclaimer: This depiction was compiled from unverified public and private sources and is illustrative only. No representation is made as to accuracy of this information. Parcel boundaries are particularly unreliable. Users make use of this depiction at their own risk.



TENTATIVE MAP & DEVELOPMENT PLAN SHEET 1 OF 4



18-0367 I 87 of 91







ί**λ**

18-0367 | 88 of 91

CAL FIRE GUIDELINE 100' DEFENSIBLE SPACE



Why 100 Feet?

Following these simple steps can dramatically increase the chance of your home surviving a velicificat

A Defentible Brace of 100 feet around your home is required by law. The goal is to protect your home while providing a safe area for firefighters.

- Lamps, without strint Capitals

 Clearing an area of 30 feet immediately surrounding your home is critical. This area requires the greatest reduction in flammable wegetation.

and transformer of the Alter of

 The fuel reduction zone in the remaining 70 feet (or to property line) will depend on the steepness of your property and the vecetation.

Spacing between plants improves the chance of stopping a wildfire before it destroys your home. You have two options in this area:

Create horizontal and vertical spacing between plants. The amount of space will depend on how steep the slope is and the size of the plants.

Large trees do not have to be cut and removed as long as all of the plants beneath them are removed. This eliminates a vertical "fire ladder."

When clearing vegetation, use care when operating equipment such as lawnmowers. One small spark may start a fire; a string trimmer is much safer.

Remove all build – up of needles and leaves from your roof and gutters. Keep tree limbs trimmed at least 10 feet from any chimneys and remove dead limbs that trang over your home or garage. The law also requires a screen over your chimney outlet of not more than ½ inch mesh.

3. These regulations affect mest of the guass, brash, and timbes-covered private tanks in the Sam. Sona lite departnees jariaticitons may here additional requirements. Some activities may require parallels for the restoreal, Alter, some activities may require special procedures (or, 1) threshored are endungered species, 2) avoiding ensuion, and 3) protection of water quality. Direck with local officials I in deals. Current regulations aflow an insurance company to require additional charance. The area to be insulted does not estable depend your property. The State Board of Forestry and Fare Protection has approved Guidelines to assist you in complying with the new tax. Contact you local CDF files for more dealts.

16



State of California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection

ection NOTICE OF FIRE HAZARD INSPECTION

A representative from CAL FIRE has inspected your property for fire hazards. You are hereby notified to correct the violation(s) indicated below. Failure to correct these violations may result in a citation and fine.

	l Oc	CUD	ant:				Physical (Adress'				Dhone #		
		p.					i nysicai/	1001035.				FIIONE #.		
	Oc	cupa	nt No	ot Hom	e:	Occupant No	Home:	Refused	For C	uestions,	_		B	attalion #:
	1ª/	Atten	npt:		<u>/_/</u> _	2 nd Attempt:	/	_/Inspection:	_//Conta	act Inspector	r at: ()		
	Cor	KOC mbust	of Cor ible/N	istruction 1917-Comi	n Nistihle (Exterior Sidi	ng mhustible	Window Panes	Eaves Enclosed lines	docad b	Decks or f	Porches	Locati	on of Structure
	L			D.(Lindoscurbiteri	Guscu w	ndsonn yr Conng	USILET TYOUU		nurskopervage top
Г	Ē	Com	ected	Dete	nsible	Space Zone	e (withir	n 30 feet of all stru	ctures or to p	roperty lir	ne):			
	Н		3	A. 1	Kemove	leaves, needles	or other	vegetation on roofs, gutt	ers, decks, porch	es and stairv	ways etc. F	PRC §4291(a)(6)	
	Н	2	3	B. 1	kernove	all dead trees, s	hrubs or	other plants adjacent to	or overhanging bi	uildings. PR	C §4291(a))(5)		
	Н	2	3	U. I	Kemove	all dead or dyin	g branche	es and stems from trees,	shrubs or other p	lants adjace	ent to or ove	erhanging b	uildings.	PRC §4291(a)(5)
	H	2	3	D. 1	Remove	all branches wit	hin 10 fee	et of any stovepipe or ch	imney outlet. PR	C §4291(a)(4	4)			
ns.	Н	2	3	E. 1	<emove< td=""><td>all dead or dyin</td><td>g grass, k</td><td>eaves, needles or other</td><td>vegetation. PRC</td><td>§4291(a)(1)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></emove<>	all dead or dyin	g grass, k	eaves, needles or other	vegetation. PRC	§4291(a)(1)				
latio	ш	2	3	1. I	<pre>demove</pre>	or isolate live fla	smmable	ground cover and shrub	s (i.e. Bear Clover	r, Mountain M	Misery, Juni	iper etc.). F	PRC §429	1(a)(1)
Ś			,	Red	uced I	-uel Zone (w	ithin 30	- 100 feet of all str	uctures or to	property	line):			
cate	Н	2	3	U. 1	NOW dea	ad or dying grass	s to a max	amum of 4 inches in heigh	ght. I rimmings m	ay remain o	n the groun	d. PRC §4	291(a)(1)	
įpu		2	3	п. 1	lve nan	nmable ground c	over less	than 18 inches in height	(i.e. Mountain Mi	sery, Bear C	lover etc.)	may remain	, but over	hanging and
xex			-	; ,	adjacent	t trees must be p	runed up	to 15 feet. PRC §4291(a)(1)					
A P	H	2	3	ь I I I	<equce< td=""><td>tuels in accorda</td><td>nce with t</td><td>he Continuous Tree Can</td><td>opy Standard (se</td><td>e back). PR</td><td>C §4291(a</td><td>)(1)</td><td></td><td></td></equce<>	tuels in accorda	nce with t	he Continuous Tree Can	opy Standard (se	e back). PR	C §4291(a)(1)		
cke	ш	2	3	J. I Defe		rueis in accorda	nce with t	ne Horizontal Spacing S	tandard (see back	(). PRC §42	91(a)(1)			
S	m	1	2		ensidie			Zone (within 100 f	et of all struc	tures or 1	to prope			4
1	H	2	3	- K I - H - I	ogs or	siumps embedde	ed in the s	soli must be removed or	Isolated from stru	ctures and o	Strer vegeta	ation. PRC 9	§4291(a)(1)
				Oth		an dead of dyn	y prusit, u	rees and branches within	1 15 leet of the gr	ound, PRC	84231(8)(1)		
	П	2	2		r ræq Noor de	uirements:	mahla ua	notation within 40 foots				2007 2		
	Н	2	3	NI I	Jiedł Ue Addroco	au, uyiny or nan		egelauon within 10 leet a	found and above	propane tan	IKS. UFU 9	3007.3 at ar access	mod C	
Ļ		L		Oth		ommendatio	ne:	ed in contrasting colors i	4 Min. 512e) and		JII URE SUR		illau. Ci	rc 9303.1
ions				Cuit	Cover al	Chimney or stor	na. Venine on	onings with a motal scre	en having openin	as no israer	than 1/2 in	ch		
dat	Example 2 Clear 10 feet around and 15 feet above field (i.e.) Westaken array of a build and the sector at the feet around and 15 feet above field (i.e.) Westaken array of a build westaken array of a build are the sector at the feet around and the feet around a feet around and the feet around and the feet around a field (field (m structures					
Imel	П			1	Remove	flammable mate	rials ston	ed under decks and simi	lar overhands of	structures	moodpiico			
çõn	\Box			l	Clear ve	detation 10 feet	from side	s and 15 feet above all o	hiveways and tur	naround area	as.			
å	Comments:													
					_									
							·							
						·····								·
				·										
								Additional Info	mation on Back					
	r							Complete only if a	occupant contacte	d				
j –	1.	Insp	ector	r				Date:		A re-ins	spection wil	l occur on/a	fter:	.]]
	2.	insp	ector	r				Date:		A re-ins	spection wil	l occur on/a	fter:	<u></u>
	2	h	- - 1 -	_										
	J.	aisp	ectol					Date:	_ <u>//</u>					

18-0367 | 90 of 91

Continuous Tree Canopy Standard

To achieve defensible space while keeping a larger stand of trees with a continuous tree canopy, adhere to the guidelines below:

- Prune lower branches of trees to a height of 6 to 15 feet from the top of the vegetation below or 1/3 to 1/2 the tree height for trees under 30 feet, whichever is less.
- Remove all ground fuels greater than four inches in height. Single specimens of trees or other vegetation may be kept if they are well spaced, well
 pruned and create an overall condition that avoids the spread of fire to other vegetation or to structures.

Horizontal Spacing Standard

- Ideally, grass should not exceed four inches in height. In situations where these fuels are isolated from other fuels or where necessary to stabilize soil, grasses may reach a height of 18 inches.
- Clearance between shrubs should be 4 to 40 feet depending on the slope of the land and size and type of vegetation. Check the chart below for an
 estimation of clearance distance. Any questions regarding requirements for a specific property should be addressed to your local fire official.

Minimum Horizontal Spacing Guidelines							
Slope	Shrubs, Ground Covers & Other Ornamental Plants Space required between clumps of ground cover, plants, bushes, shrubs, seedlings or sapling trees, etc.	Trees Space required between tree canopies					
Flat or gentle slope (0% to 20%)	2 times the height of the plant	10 feet					
Moderate slope (20% to 40%)	4 times the height of the plant	20 feet					
Steep slope (greater than 40%)	6 times the height of the plant	30 feet					

PRC §4291(a) A person who owns, leases, controls, operates, or maintains a building or structure in, upon, or adjoining a mountainous area, forest-covered lands, brush-covered lands, grass-covered lands, or land that is covered with flammable material, shall at all times do all of the following:

(1) Maintain defensible space no greater than 100 feet from each side of the structure, but not beyond the property line unless allowed by state law, local ordinance, or regulation and as provided in paragraph (2). The amount of fuel modification necessary shall take into account the flammability of the structure as affected by building material, building standards, location, and type of vegetation. Fuels shall be maintained in a condition so that a wildfire burning under average weather conditions would be unlikely to ignite the structure. This paragraph does not apply to single specimens of trees or other vegetation that are well-pruned and maintained so as to effectively manage fuels and not form a means of rapidly transmitting fire from other nearby vegetation to a structure or from a structure to other nearby vegetation. The intensity of fuels management may vary within the 100-foot perimeter of the structure, the most intense being within the first 30 feet around the structure. Consistent with fuels management objectives, steps should be taken to minimize erosion.

(2) A greater distance than that required under paragraph (1) may be required by state law, local ordinance, rule, or regulation. Clearance beyond the property line may only be required if the state law, local ordinance, rule, or regulation includes findings that such a clearing is necessary to significantly reduce the risk of transmission of flame or heat sufficient to ignite the structure, and there is no other feasible mitigation measure possible to reduce the risk of ignition or spread of wildfire to the structure. Clearance on adjacent property shall only be conducted following written consent by the adjacent land-owner.

(3) An insurance company that insures an occupied dwelling or occupied structure may require a greater distance than that required under paragraph (1) if a fire expert, designated by the director, provides findings that such a clearing is necessary to significantly reduce the risk of transmission of flame or heat sufficient to ignite the structure, and there is no other feasible mitigation measure possible to reduce the risk of ignition or spread of wildfire to the structure. The greater distance may not be beyond the property line unless allowed by state law, local ordinance, rule, or regulation.

(4) Remove that portion of any tree that extends within 10 feet of the outlet of a chimney or stovepipe.

(5) Maintain any tree, shrub, or other plant adjacent to or overhanging a building free of dead or dying wood.

(6) Maintain the roof of a structure free of leaves, needles, or other vegetative materials.

For additional information on how to comply with defensible space clearance requirements, please visit: WWW.FIRE.CA.GOV

18-0367 | 91 of 91