

#12



LATE DISTRIBUTION

DATE 8/17/2018
~~BOB 8/21/2018~~

EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Re: 8-21-18 Agenda #12.c, Item #18-1179 - SouthEast Connector

1 message

Sue Taylor <sue-taylor@comcast.net>

Fri, Aug 17, 2018 at 2:43 AM

Reply-To: Sue Taylor <sue-taylor@comcast.net>

To: Edc Cob <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Cc: john.hidahl@edcgov.us, shiva.frentzen@edcgov.us, sue.novasel@edcgov.us, michael.ranalli@edcgov.us, brian.veerkamp@edcgov.us

Item 12.c regarding the payment for the SouthEast Connector is not clear as to its purpose.

The agenda does not give much information and when you click onto the link for c there is only the old contracts. The summary, as seen below, also gives very little information. Where is Agreement 427-M0810 that is being referenced? What does this have to do with Community Development Block Grants? Shouldn't those funds be used to assist our own residents rather than going to fund a project for a major out of county developer?

Board of Supervisors

MEETING AGENDA

August 21, 2018

12. 18-1179

Community Development Services, Department of Environmental Management, Department of Planning and Building, and Department of Transportation recommending the Board consider the following:

1) Approve the continuation of six current perpetual contracts:

- a) Agreement 428-S0110 with the State of California Department of Transportation, to provide signal maintenance service (Road Fund);
- b) Agreement 821-S0710 with Squarerigger, Inc., to provide annual software support and maintenance (Road Fund);

c) Agreement 427-M0810 for the Capital SouthEast Connector Joint Powers Authority, for the planning, design, and construction of the Elk Grove-Rancho Cordova

El Dorado Connector (Community Development Block Grants and Housing and Economic Development Program Income Funds);

Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement for the Elk Grove - Rancho Cordova - El Dorado Connector Authority (Capital SouthEast Connector JPA) 427-M0810/FENIX 813	Joint Powers Agreement which provides for the acquisition of real property and the construction of the Connector Project	12/12/2006	As invoiced	\$45,000 Road Fund	Yes	May be terminated by the vote of a majority of the Members; however the Agreement may not be terminated, and no Member may withdraw its membership, until (a) all bonds or other instruments of indebtedness issued by the Authority, if any, have been paid in full or provision has been made for payment in full and (b) all outstanding obligations and liabilities of the Authority have been paid in full or provision has been made for payment in full.
---	--	------------	-------------	--------------------	-----	---

Don't you think it's time for the County to get out of this JPA before we are straddled with 100's of millions of dollars of debt that this project proposes to accrue? The bond money from Sacramento's initiative to help fund this connector is gone and the voters of Sacramento turned down another measure meant to continue funding this project. Therefore to fund this project these other jurisdictions will need to start taking out bonds in which our County will be held partly liable to assist in paying those bonds back. There is no benefit to our county for this project. In fact this project will cause massive amounts of traffic to flow into El Dorado Hills which is already impacted beyond the County's allowed Traffic Level of Service.

I ask that you discontinuing funding this project in which the only benefit is outside of the County's boundaries and discontinue the contract in order to protect the County from an enormous debt obligation.

Thank you,

Sue Taylor